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#### Abstract

W e study voltage driven translocation of a single stranded (ss) D N A through a m em brane channel. O urm odel, based on a m aster equation (ME) approach, investigates the probability density function (pdf) of the translocation tim es, and show $s$ that it can be either double or $m$ ono-peaked, depending on the system param eters. W e show that the m ost probable translocation tim e is proportional to the polym er length, and inversely proportional to the rst or second pow er of the voltage, depending on the in itial conditions. The $m$ odel recovers experim ental observations on hetro-polym ens when using their properties inside the pore, such as sti ness and polym er-pore interaction. PAC S num ber: 87.14.G g, 87.15 A a,87.15 H e


## 1. IN TRODUCTION

T ranslocation of biopolym ers through a pore em bedded in a m em brane is a fundam ental step in a variety of biological processes. Am ong the exam ples are the translocation of som e species ofm $R N A$ through the nucleus $m$ em brane which is the rst stage of gene expression in eucaryotic cells [1], and the attack of cells by vinuses that occurs by in jecting the genetic inform ation through a hole in the cell $m$ em brane [2]. T he translocation im portance in bio-system $s$, and the possibility for developing fast sequencing $m$ ethods, have been the $m$ otivation for recent experim ents, in which a voltage-driven $\operatorname{ssD}$ NA is translocated through them olysin channel of a known structure [3]. In these experim ents one m easures the time it takes a single ssD N A m olecule to pass through a membrane channel [4-7]. Since ssD NA is negatively charged (each $m$ onom er has an e ective charge of zq, where $z(0<z<1)$ is controlled by the solution pH and strength), w hen applying a voltage the polym er is subject to a driving force while passing through the $m$ em brane from the negative (cis) side to the positive (trans) side. B ecause the presence of the ssD NA in the transm em brane pore part (T P P ) blocks the cross-T P P current, one can deduce the translocation tim es pdf from the current blockade duration tim es [4-7]. It has been found that the shape of the translocation tim es pdf is controlled not only by the voltage applied to the system, the tem perature and the polym er length but also by the nature of the hom o-polym er used: poly-dA (A -adenine); poly-dC ( $C$-cytosine); poly $-T_{n u}$ ( $T_{n u}$-thym ine), and the sequence of hetro-polym ens [4-7].

T he translocation process can be roughly divided into two stages. The nst stage is the arrival of the polym er in the vicinity of the pore and the second stage is the translocation itself. Severalm odels have been suggested for describing the translocation stage. In [8] an equation for the free energy of the translocation, obtained from the partition functions of the polym er parts outside the

TPP, was derived and used to calculate the mean rst passage tim e (MFPT). O ther investigators used sim ilar ideas w ith im proved free energy term sby taking into consideration e ects such as the $m$ em brane $w$ idth [9-10], or assum ed that only the part of the ssD NA inside the TPP a ects the dynam ics of the translocation rather than the polym er parts outside the T P P [11-12].

In this work we present a new theoretical approach that allow s to consider both the polym er parts outside the TPP and w thin the TPP. U sing the M E we are able to m ap the three dim ensional translocation onto a discrete space one-dim ensional process. B ased on the M E we com pute the pdfof the nstpassagetim es (FPT) of the translocation, F ( t ), and the M FP T, as a function of the system param eters. W e relate our theoretical results to recent experim ental observations and by analyzing them using our m odel, we com e up w ith physical understanding of these observations.

## 2. THEMODEL

An $n(=N+d \quad 1)$-state $M E$ is used to describe the translocation of an $N \mathrm{~m}$ onom er long ssD N A sub ject to an extemal voltage $V$, and tem perature $T$, through a TPP of a length that corresponds to $d(=12) \mathrm{m}$ onom ens. A state is de ned by the num ber of $m$ onom ers on each side of the $m$ em brane and within the TPP. A change in the state of the system (only nearest states transitions are allowed) is assum ed to be controlled $m$ ainly by the behavior of the polym er w ithin the TPP in the presence of the applied voltage. In addition, it is assum ed to be in $u-$ enced by entropic and interaction factors of the polym er outside and w thin the TPP. Absorbing ends are used as boundary conditions, which are the natural choige for this system because the polym er can exit the TPP on both sides. The state $j=n$ represents the arrival of the rst m onom er into the TPP from the cis side, and the state $j=0$ represents the departure of the far end $m$ onom er from the trans side of the TPP. Let $P_{j}(t)$ be the pdf of state $j$ that contains $m_{j}$ nucleotides occupying
the TPP according to:

and a sim ilar set ofequations for a short polym er, $N \quad d$, which is obtained by exchanging $N$ and $d$ in Eqs.(1.1) through (1.3). The goveming equations ofm otion are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @ P_{j}(t)=@ t= a_{j+1 ; j} P_{j+1}(t)+a_{j} 1 ; j P_{j} 1(t) \\
& \quad\left(a_{j ; j+1}+a_{j ; j 1}\right) P_{j}(t) ; j=2 ; \\
& @ P_{y}(t)=@ t= a_{y+1 ; y} P_{y+1}(t) y ; 1+a_{y} 1 ; y P_{y}(t) y ; n \\
&\left(a_{y ; y}+a_{y ; y+1}\right) P_{y}(t) ; y=1 ; n: \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2) can be written in $m$ atrix representation $@ \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{P}}=@ \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{A} \stackrel{\perp}{\mathrm{P}}$. The propagation m atrix A , is a tridiagonalm atrix that contains inform ation about the transitions betw een states in term of rate constants. W e assum e that the rate constants can be decoupled into two term s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j ; j+}=1=k_{j}(T) p_{j ; j+}=1(V ; T): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term provides the rate to perform a step, while the second term gives the probability to jump from state $j$ in a given direction, and obeys: $p_{j ; j+1}+p_{j ; j}=1$. To obtain $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$, we rst consider the bulk relaxation tim e of a polym er [13] b/ $b^{b} b^{2} N$, where ${ }^{1}=k_{B} T$, $b$ is a m onom er length, b is the Stokes bulk friction constant per segm ent, $\mathrm{b}=6 \mathrm{~b}$ (where is the solvent viscosity), $N$ is the number of $m$ onom ens in the polym er and the dim ensions dependant represents the e ect of the $m$ icroscopic repulsion on the average polym er size. In three dim ensions $=3 ; 9=5 ; 3=2$ for rod-like, self-avoiding and Gaussian (Z imm model) chains, respectively. To com pute the relaxation time inside the TPP, the con ned volume of the TPP must be taken into account. For a rod-like polym er the restricted volum e dictates a onedim ensionaltranslocation, whereas for a exible polym er the lim itations are less restricted. W e im plem ent this by taking as a $m$ easure of the polym er sti ness inside the TPP that obeys: 0 1:5. The expression for the relaxation rate of state $j$ is therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=1=\left(\quad p^{2} m_{j}\right) \quad R=m_{j}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(4) it is clear that as becom es sm aller the rate to preform a step becom es larger, nam ely $k_{j}$ for a rod-like polym er increases. $T$ his feature appears, at rst sight, to be in contradiction to the relaxation tim e behavior of a bulk polym er, where a rod-like polym er has a larger relaxation tim e than that of a exible polym er. $T$ his contradiction is resolved by taking into account the di erent dim ensional dem and for a rod-like polym er relative to a exible polym er inside the TPP. Because is a m easure of the polym er sti ness inside the TPP, it is
controlled by the interaction betw een the $m$ onom ers occupying the TPP, e.g base stacking and hydrogen bonds, and therefore is a ected by the $m$ onom er type and the sequence of the ssD NA.

The friction constant per segm ent inside the TPP, $p$, represents the interaction betw een the ssD NA and the TPP. The physical picture is that during translocation there are few or no w aterm olecules betw een the polym er and the TPP. C onsequently the water m olecules inside the TPP can hardly be viewed as the conventional solvent and the Stokes friction constant is replaced by $p$ representing the ssD NA-TPP interaction.

To calculate $p_{j ; j} 1$, the second term on the right hand side ofE q. (3), we assum e a quasi-equilibrium process and use the detailed balance condition for the ratio of the rate constants betw een neighboring states: $a_{j ; j} 1=a_{j 1 ; j}=$ e $E_{j}$, where $E_{j}=E_{j} 1 \quad E_{j}$. We then use the approxim ation $a_{j ; j} 1=a_{j 1 ; j} \quad p_{j ; j}=\left(1 \quad p_{j ; j} 1\right)$, and deduce the jump probabilities by computing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$. To com pute $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ the contributions from three di erent sources are considered: electrostatic, $\mathrm{E}_{j}^{\mathrm{p}}$, entropic, $\mathrm{E}_{j}^{\mathrm{s}}$, and an averaged interaction energy betw een the ssD NA and the pore, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}}$.

For the calculations of the electrostatic energy di erence betw een adjacent states, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{p}}$, we assum e a linear drop of the voltage along the TPP and write for $m_{j}$ $m$ onom ens occupying the TPP penetrating from the cis side of the $m$ em brane for a length of $i_{j} b$ :

$$
E_{j}^{p}=z q(V=d){\underset{n=i_{j}}{m_{j} i_{j}} l^{1} n=z q(V=2 d) m_{j}\left(m_{j}+2 i_{j} \quad 1\right): ~: ~}_{n}
$$

The e ective charge per monomer in the TPP is taken to be the same as of the bulk. For states that contain $m$ onom ers at the trans side of the $m$ em brane, $z q V$ should be added for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{p}}$. This contribution represents the additionale ective charge that passed through the potential V. A ccordingly, the expression for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is (see A ppendix A):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{p}=z q V\left(m_{j}+j\right)=d: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $j$ takes the values: $j_{j}=1 ; 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ for cases described by equations $f(1: 1) ;(1: 2) ;(1: 3)$ g respectively ( $j=1$ and ${ }_{j}=1$ correspond to the entrance and exit states of the translocation, whereas $j=0$ corresponds to the interm ediate states of the translocation). For a short polym er, $j$ has the sam e values as for a large polym er.

The contribution to $E_{j}$ from $E_{j}^{s}$ is com posed of two term s. O ne term is the entropic cost needed to store $m_{j} m$ onom ens inside the TPP, and the second term originates from the reduced number of con gurations of a G aussian polym er near an im perm eable wall. C om bining these term s leads to (see A ppendix B):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{s}=j=; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=f 1+g_{j} ; g_{j} ; 1+g_{j} g$, for cases described by equations $f(1: 1) ;(1: 2) ;(1: 3) g$, respectively. $g_{j}$ is given in A ppendix $B$ in tem $s$ of $N_{j ; c i s}$ and $N_{j ; t r a n s,}$ which are the num ber ofm onom ers on the cis and trans sides correspondingly. For a short polym er $j$ behaves sim ilarly but for interm ediate states $g_{j}=0$.

For com puting $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{i}$ we focus on the average interaction betw een the ssD NA and pore (not only its trans$m$ embrane part). Due to the asymmetry of the pore between the cis and the tran sides of the $m$ em brane [3], the ssDNA interacts $w$ ith the pore on the cis side of the $m$ embrane and $w$ thin the TPP but not on the trans side of the $m$ embrane. Assum ing an attractive interaction, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{i}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\left(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{j ; \text { trans }}\right)$ (m ore properly, $E_{j}^{i}=\quad \|\left(\mathbb{N} \quad N_{j, t r a n s}\right)$, and we set $=k_{B} T$ in the relevant tem perature regim e) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{i}=j=; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=f 1 ; 1 ; 0 g$, for the cases described by equations $\mathrm{f}(1: 1) ;(1: 2) ;(1: 3) \mathrm{g}$, respectively, and for a short polym er $j=f 1 ; 0 ; 0 \mathrm{~g}$.
Using the above relations, and de ning $j=j_{j}+j w e$ obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j ; j} 1=\left(1+e^{\left.E_{j}^{p+}{ }_{j}^{j}\right)^{1}: ~}\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the system to be voltage driven $j E_{j}^{p} j>{ }_{j} m$ ust be ful lled, which translate into the condition: $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V} \gg 1$, where a characteristic voltage is introduced: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{1}$ ( $1+1=\mathrm{d}$ ) z jj $j$. This inequality ensures that there is a bias tow ards the trans side of the $m$ em brane. O therw ise the polym er is $m$ ore likely to exit from the sam e side it entered than to transverse the $m$ em brane. U nder experim ental conditions [6] $V_{C}=46 \mathrm{mV}$, when using $z \quad 1=2$.

In $F$ igure 1 we show the di erent contributions to $E_{j}$ :
$E_{j}^{p}($ for $z \dot{q} j N=1)$ and $j$. $\quad E_{j}^{p}$ decreases for the entrance states of the translocation, increases at the exit states of the translocation, and is a negative constant for interm ediate states. C learly $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{p}} 0$ re ects the eld directionality. On the other hand, j opposes the translocation for the entrance and interm ediate states. For the entrance states $j>0$ due to both entropic term $s$, but approaches zero (from below) for the exit states of the translocation, due to the cancellation of $E{ }_{j}^{i}$ against the entropic gain of storing less $m$ onom ens $w$ ith in the TPP. At interm ediate states j 1, where its shape near the crossover betw een the di erent situations is controlled by $g_{j}$.
3. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

## 3.i. The FP T pdf

In this subsection we com pute the FPT pdf, F ( t ), and exam ine its behavior as a function of the system param eters. $F$ ( $t$ ) is de ned by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=@(1 \quad S(t))=@ t ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1: The components of the $E j, E \underset{j}{p}(z \dot{q} j N=1)$ and $j$, are show $n$ for $N=100$.
where the survivalprobability, nam ely, the probability to have at least one $m$ onom er in the TPP, $S(t)$, is the sum over all states pdf

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\ell_{U C e}^{D t} C \quad{ }^{1} \dot{P}_{0}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\dot{U}$ is the summ ation row vector of $n$, dim ensions, $P_{0}$ is the initial condition colum $n$ vector, $\left(P_{0}\right)_{j}=x_{i j}$, where $x$ is the initial state, and the de nite negative real part eigenvaluem atrix, D, is obtained through the transform ation: $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{AC}$.

Substituting Eq.(11) into Eq.(10), F ( t ) is obtained. $F$ igure 2 show s the double-peaked $F(t)$ behavior as a function of $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and N (inset), for starting at $\mathrm{x}=$ $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{d}=4$. The left peak represents the non-translocated events. Its am plitude decreases as $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ increases but rem ains unchanged (along w ith the position) w ith the increase in $N$, because only the 'head' of the polym er is involved in these events. A s x decreases, nam ely when the translocation initial state shifts tow ards the trans side, the non-translocation peak and the translocation peak $m$ erge and for $x=n=2$, nam ely, for an initial condition for which $N_{j ; c i s}=N_{j \text { jtrans }}, F$ (t) has one peak (data not show n ) independent of $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

For a convenient com parison to experim ental results, we calculate and exam ine the behavior of the $m$ ost prob$a b l e ~ a v e r a g e ~ t r a n s l o c a t i o n ~ v e l o c i t y, ~ v=x b=t_{m}$, where $t_{m}$ is the tim e that $m$ axim izes the translocation peak.

F igure 3 shows the $\mathrm{v}(\mathbb{N})$ behavior, for $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ 2:60. $\mathrm{v}(\mathbb{N})$ is a monotoniaclly decreasing function of N for $N \quad d$, but is independent of $N$ for $N \quad d$. This agrees $w$ th the experim ental results [6]. T he origin for $\mathrm{v}(\mathbb{N})$ behavior, stem s from Eqs.(1) and (4), according to $w h i c h$ the $m$ inim $a l k_{j}$ is a decreasing $m$ onotonic function of $N$ for $N \quad d$, but is independent of $N$ for $N d$.
$T$ he velocity, $v(V)$, depends on $x, v(V)=v_{x}(V)$, and changes from a linear to quadratic function of the voltage $w$ hen changing $x$, as show $n$ in the inset of figure 3 , for $\mathrm{N}=30$.


FIG.2: $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})$, for several values of $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$, w ith $: \mathrm{N}=30, \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}+$ $\mathrm{d}=4, \mathrm{z} \quad 1=2, \mathrm{~T}=2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=46 \mathrm{mV},=1: 14$ and $\mathrm{R}=10^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}$. The left peak represents the non-translocated events, w hereas the right peak represents the translocation. Inset: $F$ ( $t$ ) as a function of N , w ith $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=1: 5$, and the other param eters as above. T he non-translocation peak rem ains unchanged when increasing the polym er length, while the translocation peak shifts to the right w ith N .

Starting at $x=N+1$, a linear scaling is obtained: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}+1}(\mathrm{~V})=\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$. The coe cient $\mathrm{b}_{2}=\mathrm{b}_{1}$ can be identi ed as an e ective characteristic voltage: 䨋 $_{C}^{1}$ $(1+1=d) \& \dot{9} \dot{\mathcal{j}}=\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}$. From the last equality $\&$ can be extracted.

Starting at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}=2$, i.e. w hen initial state is close to the exit states, a square dependence is obtained: $V_{N=2}(V)=c_{1}\left(V \quad C_{2}\right)^{2}+C_{3}, w$ ith $C_{1}=O\left(10{ }^{5}\right), c_{2}=$ 40 mV and $c_{3}=O\left(10^{2}\right)$. These coe cients are sim ilar to the $m$ easured values [6].

W e note that both linear and square scaling behaviors have been observed experim entally $[4,6]$. A possible explanation for the di erent functionalbehavior of $v(V)$ $m$ ight originate from di erent data analysis that can be intenpreted as having a di erent initial condition.

To get num erical values for $p$ and, we use the experim ental data in refs. $[6-7]$, and $o b-$ tain: (C) $=1, \quad(A)=1: 14, \quad\left(T_{n u}\right)=1: 28$, and $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{A}) \quad 10{ }^{4} \mathrm{meV} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{nm}^{2}, \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nu}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{A})=3$. From these values we nd the lim it in which the relaxation tim e of the polym er parts outside the TPP can be neglected. W e estim ate the $m$ axim al bulk num ber of $m$ onom ers, $N_{m}$ ax, for which the bulk relaxation time is much shorter (5\%) than of the TPP relaxation time. For a poly-dA bulk $Z i m m$ chain we get $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax 271, by taking for the viscosity the value for $w$ ater at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\quad 1: 7 \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{m}^{2}$. U sing this value, $b$ can be calculated from the Stokes relation to be: b $10{ }^{7} \mathrm{meV} \mathrm{s=nm}{ }^{2}$, which is three order of $m$ agnitude $s m$ aller than $p$.


FIG. 3: The velocity as a function of the polym er length, for the sam e param eters as in gure 2, various initial conditions (linear-log scales) and $V=V_{C}=2: 6 . \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{N})$ tends tow ards a length independent, but display a sharp decrease for short polym ens. $N$ ote for the step feature of $v(N)$ when $N$ becom es larger than the TPP length, d . Inset: $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{V})$, for $\mathrm{N}=30$, show ing linear and quadratic scaling depending on the initial condition, $x$.

## 3.ii. T he M FP T

A dditional inform ation about the translocation can be obtained by computing the MFPT, 一 To com pute an analytical expression for ${ }^{-}$, we consider a large polym er, $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{d}$, and replace $\mathrm{p}_{j ; j} 1$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ by state independent term $s: p_{+}=\left[1+e^{\left(v=v_{C}+1\right)}\right]^{1}$, valid for $x \quad N$, and $\mathrm{k}=1=\left(\mathrm{p} b^{2} \mathrm{~d}\right)$. This leads to $\mathrm{a}_{+}=\mathrm{p}_{+} \mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{a}=$ (1 $\mathrm{p}_{+}$)k,which de nes a one dim ensionalstate invariant random wa $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{k}$. The MFPT, is obtained by inverting $A$ [14]: ${ }^{-}={\underset{0}{1}}_{0} \mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{U}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{0}$. The calculations of the elem ents of the state independent A ${ }^{1}$ yields (see Appendix C):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{1}\right)_{s ; x}=\frac{\left(p^{s}\right)\left(p^{n+1} x\right.}{(p)\left(p^{n+1}\right)} \frac{p_{+}^{x}}{k} ; \quad s<x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(p^{m}\right)=p_{+}^{m} \quad p^{m}$ and $\left(A{ }^{1}\right)_{s ; x}$ for $s \quad x$ is obtained $w$ hen exchanging $s w$ ith $x$ and $p_{+} w$ ith $p$ in Eq.(12). Sum $m$ ing the $x$ colum $n$ elem ents of ( $A{ }^{1}$ ) we obtain - (see A ppendix C) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=\frac{\left(p^{n+1} x\right) p_{+}^{x} x \quad\left(p^{x}\right) p^{n+1} x(n+1 \quad x)}{k \quad(p)\left(p^{n+1}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in the lim it of a weak bias, $V=V_{C}>1$, can be rew ritten as (see A ppendix C)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\quad \frac{2 x p_{p} b^{2} d}{z \dot{q} \dot{q}(1+1=d)} \frac{1}{V \quad V_{c}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A though - and $t_{m}$ are di erent characteristics of $F(t)$ and di er signi cantly when slow translocation events dom inate, Eq.(14) captures the linear scaling with
$N$ and $1=V$. The quadratic scaling of $t_{m}$ with $1=V$ is obtained when using Eq.(9) rather than its state invariant version, for starting at, or near, an initial state forwhich $j=x \quad 0$.

## 3.iii. T he sequence e ect

U nder the assum ptions that are presented below, we now construct $p$ and for every SSD NA sequence and thus exam ine the sequence e ect on $t_{\text {to }}$. For a given $s s$ DNA sequence occupy ing the TPP in the jstate, wew rite an expression for the average friction of that state, $p ; j$, assum ing additive contributions of the m onom ers inside the TPP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=\left(1=m_{j}\right)^{x{ }^{\mathrm{Nj}}} \mathrm{p}\left(n u_{\mathrm{s}}\right): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $n u_{s}$ stands for the nucleotide $s$ occupying the TPP. To construct a com patible state dependent sti ness param eter, j, we rst argue that only nearest $m$ onom ers can interact inside the TPP and thus contributes to the rigidity of the polym er, which in tum increases $k_{j}$. We then exam ine the chem ical structure of the nucleotides and look for 'hydrogen-like' bonds between adjacent bases. The term 'hydrogen-like' bonds is used because the actual distance betw een the atom $s$ that create the interaction $m$ ay be larger than of a typical hydrogen bond. The pairs AA and CC can interact but not the pairs $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nu}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nu}}$ and $C A$. For the pair $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nu}}$ the interaction is orientation dependent; nam ely, for the l pairs sequence, poly-d ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nu}}\right)_{1}$, the interaction is w ithin each of the pairs but not betw een the pairs. A ccordingly we have: $(n u n u)=(n u),(C A)=(A C)=(T n u)$, $\left(C T_{n u}\right)=(C),\left(T_{n u} C\right)=\left(T_{n u}\right)$, which allow the


FIG. 4: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$ as a function of the percentage of equally spaced m onom ers substitutions $C!A$ and $C!T_{n u}$, for $N=60$, $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{z} \quad 1=2, \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=1: 63$ and $\mathrm{T}=292^{\circ} \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{T}$ he curves em phasize the e ects of the rigidity and the friction on the translocation dynam ics. See text for discussion.
calculation of j follow ing the de nition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j={\frac{1}{m_{j} \quad 1}}_{s=1}^{m_{X}{ }^{1}}\left(n u_{s} n u_{s+1)} ;\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(j)_{m_{j}=1}=0$.F igure 4 show $s t_{m}$ as a function of equally spaced substitutions C ! $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nu}}$ and C ! A. The linear scaling of $t_{m}\left(C!T_{n u}\right.$ ) is due to the linear scaling of $j\left(C\right.$ ! $T_{n u}$ ). The saturating behavior of $t_{m}$ (C ! A) is a combination of two opposing factors: the linear scaling of $p_{; j}(C!A)$ and the nonm onotonic behavior of j (C ! A). O urm odel also predicts that for su ciently large 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{m}\left[(C A)_{1}\right]>t_{m}\left(C_{1} A_{1}\right): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This feature is explained by noticing that $2 t_{m}\left[(C A)_{1}\right]>t_{m}\left(C_{21}\right)+t_{m}\left(A_{21}\right)$, see gure 4 , which follows from the expression for ${ }_{j}$, Eq. (16), and then using $2 t_{m}\left(C_{n} A_{n}\right) \quad t_{m}\left(C_{21}\right)+t_{m}\left(A_{21}\right)$, which follow from the linear scaling of $t_{m} w$ ith $N$, for $N \quad d$ in addition to Eq.(15). The above ndings regarding the behavior oft $t_{m}$ forhetro-ssD NA, the experim entalresults [7].

## 4. C O N C LU S IO N S

In the presented $m$ odel, the translocation of ssD NA through hem olysin channelis controlled, in addition to the voltage, by the interaction betw een the polym er and the pore ( $\mathrm{E}_{j}^{i}$ and p ), and betw een nearest $m$ onom ers inside the TPP ( ), as well as by an entropic factors originate from polym er segm ents outside and $w$ thin the TPP. B ased on the m odel, we showed that $F(t)$ can be m ono or double peaked depending on x and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathrm{We}$ calculated the M FPT to be: ${ }^{-} \quad N=\left(V \quad V_{C}\right)$, for $N>d$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}>1$, and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{V})$ for $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{d}$, where $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{V})$ changes from a linear to a quadratic function of V w ith x . In addition we estim ated that $\mathrm{p} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{~b}$, and by constructing $p$ and for hetro-ssD NA explained experim ental results regarding the various behaviors of $t_{m}$ for hetro-ssD NA.

An extended version of this $m$ odel that describes translocation through a uctuating channel structure, can be used to describe unbiased translocation, which displays long escape tim es [16]. Translocation of other polym ers through proteins channels can be described using the sam e fram ew ork by changing , p and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
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## APPENDIX A

W e wish to calculate the electrostatic energy di erence between sates, $E{ }_{j}^{p}$. There are three cases during translocation, which are described by Eqs.(1.1)-(1.3).

For any polym er length, Eq.(1.1) (exit states) describes a case for which $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{cis}}=0$ and Eq.(1.3) (entrance states) describes a case for which $N_{j}$;trans $=0$. For the case described by Eq.(12) (interm ediate states), there are m onom ers on both sides of the $m$ em brane for a large polym er, or no $m$ onom ens on both sides of the $m$ em brane for a short polym er. Starting from Eq. (5) we have for the entrance states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{p}=\frac{z q V\left(m_{j}+1\right)}{d}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the exit states $E_{j}^{p}$ is com posed oftw o contributions. O ne contribution stem $s$ from the passage of a $m$ onom er $w$ ith an e ective charge of $z q$ through the potential $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{j ; 1}^{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{zqV}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second contribution calculated from Eq.(5) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 2}^{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ZqV}}{\mathrm{~d}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the two contributions we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{p}=\frac{\left(d \quad i_{j}\right) z q V}{d}=\frac{\left(m_{j} 1\right) z q V}{d} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when using $m_{j}=d+1 \quad i_{j}$.
For the interm ediate states and a large polym er, we have only the contribution given by Eq.(A 2) (the num ber ofm onom ers w thin the TPP is constant), which can be w ritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{p}=\frac{m_{j} z q V^{\prime}}{d} ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ hen using $m_{j}=d$ which holds for the interm ediate states. For a short polym er, we have to consider only the contribution given by Eq.(5), which leads again to Eq.(A5). Eq.(6) is obtained from adding the above contributions.

## APPENDIX B

For calculating $E_{j}^{s}$, we start by writing an expression for the entropic energy that consists of tw o term $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}^{s}=E_{j ; 1}^{s}+E_{j ; 2}^{s}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 1}^{\mathrm{s}}$ represents the entropy cost of storing $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{m}$ onom ers w ithin the TPP and is a linear function of m [10]. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 2}^{\mathrm{s}}$ originates from the reduced num ber of con gurations of a G aussian polym er near an im perm eable wall, and can be approxim ated by [8]:

Separating the translocation into three regim es, described by Eqs.(1.1)-(1.3), we nd that for the entrance states $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 1}^{\mathrm{s}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j ; 1}^{s} / k_{B} T ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for the exit states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{j ; 1}^{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} ; \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a proportional constant of $\circ$ (1).
For the interm ediate states $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 1}^{\mathrm{s} 1}=0$ because the sam e num ber ofm onom ers occupy the TPP betw een adjacent states. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 1}^{\mathrm{s}}$ for a short polym er has the sam e values as for a large polym er, when adjusting the conditions for the three cases (exchanging $N$ and $d$ in Eqs.(1)).

$$
\text { Computing } \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} ; 2}^{\mathrm{s}} \text { from Eq.(B2) results in } \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~g}_{j},
$$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& \stackrel{8}{<} \ln (1+1=(\mathbb{N} \quad j)) ; \quad j \quad d ; \\
& g_{j}=: \begin{array}{ll}
\ln (1+1=(\mathbb{N} & \left.j))\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j & d
\end{array}\right)\right) ; \\
\ln (1 & 1=(j
\end{array} \quad d\right)\right) ; \quad j>d ; \\
j & N:
\end{array} \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

For a short polym er, $g_{j}$ is sim ilar to Eq.(B5) for the entrance and exit states, but $g_{j}=0$ for the interm ediate states because $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j} \text {;cis }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j} \text {;tran } \mathrm{s}}=0$ for these states. N ote that $\dot{g}_{j} j<1$ for all $j$. Special care is needed when com puting $g_{j}$ for states that belong to the crossover betw een the three situations. For these states a com bination of Eqs.(B2) was used. From the above contributions we obtain Eq.(7).
APPEND IX C

To com pute ${ }^{-}$which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=X_{s=1}^{X^{1}}\left(A^{1}\right)_{s ; x}+X_{s=x}^{X^{n}}\left(A^{1}\right)_{s ; x} ; \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have to calculate the elem ents of the general inverse Toeplitz m atrix (A $\left.{ }^{1}\right)_{\text {s; }}$ [17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{1}\right)_{s ; x}=\frac{\left({ }^{s}\right)\left({ }^{n+1} x\right)}{()\left(p^{n+1}\right)} \frac{p_{+}^{s}}{k} ; \quad s<x ; \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.+=\left[1+=\mathrm{p} \overline{\left(1 \quad 4 p_{+} p\right.}\right)\right]=2$. Substituting the expression for $p_{+}$and $p$ into the expressions for $+=$, we obtain $+==p_{+}=$, which when used in Eq. (C 2) results in Eq.(12). The summ ation of each of the term $s$ in Eq.(C1) is straight forw ard. The rst term yields

$$
I=X_{s=1}^{1}\left(1 \quad y^{s}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \tag{C3}
\end{array} \frac{1}{1} y^{x} y\right)
$$

where $y=p=p_{+}$and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p_{+}^{x}\left(p^{n+1} x\right)}{(p)\left(p^{n+1}\right) k}: \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in Eq.(C1) is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I I=e^{X^{n}} \quad\left(p^{n+1}{ }^{s}\right) p^{s} x=e p^{n+1} x \\
& \chi^{n} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1 y^{n+1} x}{1 y} \quad(n+1 \\
& \text { x) ]; (C 5) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{\left(p^{x}\right)}{(p)\left(p^{n+1}\right) k}: \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining I and II and rearranging term $s$ results in Eq.(13).

Rew riting Eq.(13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{k} \quad(\mathrm{p})} \frac{(\mathrm{n}+1)\left(1 \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)}{\mathrm{k} \quad(\mathrm{p})\left(1 \quad \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)} \text {; } \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd that for $V=V_{6}>1$, the second term in Eq. (C 7) vanishesasy ${ }^{n}{ }^{x}$. K eeping the rst term in the expression for ${ }^{-}$, Eq. (C 7), and expanding to rst order in $V=V_{C}$ the explicit form of (p)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(p)}=\frac{1+e^{\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}+1}}{1 e^{\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}+1}} \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad 1} \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(14) is obtained.
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