# Anom abus di usion of a particle in an aging medium

#### Noelle POTTER

Federation de Recherche CNRS 2438 \M atiere et System es Com plexes" and

G roupe de Physique des Solides, CNRS UMR 7588, Universites Paris 6 et Paris 7, 2, place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

and

Alain MAUGER

Laboratoire des Milieux Desordonnes et Heterogenes, CNRSUMR 7603, Universite Paris 6, Tour 22, Case 86, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France.

### Abstract

We report new results about the anom alous di usion of a particle in an aging medium. For each given age, the quasi-stationary particle velocity is governed by a generalized Langevin equation with a frequency-dependent friction coe cient proportional to j! j<sup>1</sup> at sm all frequencies, with 0 < < 2. The aging properties of the medium are encoded in a frequency dependent e ective tem perature  $T_e$ :(!). The latter ism odelized by a function proportional to j! j at sm all frequencies, with

< 0, thus allow ing for the medium to have a density of slow modes proportionally larger than in a therm al bath. U sing asymptotic Fourier analysis, we obtain the behaviour at large times of the velocity correlation function and of the mean square displacement. As a result, the anom alous di usion exponent in the aging medium appears to be linked, not only to as it would be the case in a therm al bath, but also to the exponent characterizing the density of slow modes.

PACS num bers:

05.40.-a Fluctuation phenom ena, random processes, noise and Brownian motion 02.50 Ey Stochastic processes

KEYW ORDS:

Corresponding author: Noelle POTTER Fax num ber: + 33 1 46 33 94 01 E-m ail: npottier@ccr.jussieu.fr

### 1. Introduction

The question we want to address in the present paper is that of the di usion (possibly anom alous) of a particle in an out of equilibrium environment. Generally speaking, the medium in which a di using particle evolves may be, or not, in a state of therm odynamic equilibrium. For instance, when it is constituted by an aging medium such as a glassy colloidal suspension of Laponite [1]-[3], the environment of a di using particle is not in therm al equilibrium. This renders the analysis of the particle motion much more involved, as compared with the therm al equilibrium case.

A swell-known, the motion of a di using particle in a stationary medium is properly described by a generalized Langevin equation, in which the e ect of the environment is encoded in a friction term and a noise term. When the environment is a thermal bath, the noise spectral density and the real part of the friction coe cient are related by the uctuation-dissipation theorem of the second kind [4],[5]. Thermalizing particle variables such as the particle velocity then satisfy the uctuation-dissipation theorem of the rst kind i.e. the Einstein relation [4],[5]. In both theorems the temperature of the bath plays the central role.

None of these theorems is valid when the environment ages, since then it is out of equilibrium. It has been proposed to associate to an aging system a violation factor of the uctuation-dissipation theorem, or an elective temperature [6],[7]. This latter quantity is considered as a function  $T_{e_{12}}(!;t_w)$  of the angular frequency ! of an external perturbation and of the age  $t_w$  of the system.

In the present paper we analyze in details how this concept allows for the description of di usion in an aging medium . We propose to modelize  $T_e$ :(!;t<sub>w</sub>) by an inverse power-law of ! at small !, in accordance with the fact that, in an aging medium, the density of slow modes is proportionally enhanced as compared to what it is in a therm all bath (for the same real part of the friction coe cient). We show that this modelization leads to an anom alous di usion exponent which depends, not only on the exponent characterizing the real part of the friction coe cient, as it is the case at equilibrium, but also on the exponent characterizing  $T_e$ : This constitutes the main new result of the paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we brie y recall the main features of the di usion of a particle in a stationary medium. In particular, we

carefully separate out the properties valid at them al equilibrium (namely, the two uctuation-dissipation theorem s and the regression theorem ) from those which only require stationarity. In Section 3, we consider the general situation of a particle di using in an out of equilibrium environment. A ssum ing a bilinear coupling between the particle and the environm ent, we derive an equation of m otion for the particle. This equation involves an environm ent response function and a uctuating force. In contrast to the equilibrium case, there is no speci c relation between these two quantities. In Section 4, we show on general grounds how, when there exists two well separated time scales respectively characterizing the times pertinent for the measuring processes and the waiting time or the age of the system, a quasi-stationary regime can be de ned, as pictured by frequency-dependent generalized susceptibilities (parametrized by  $t_w$ ). We then focus the study on the environm ent response function and on the particle velocity response function. We show how, in the quasi-stationary regime which takes place when  $!t_w$ 1, an e ective tem perature T<sub>e</sub>:(;;t<sub>w</sub>) can be de ned, which modi es in a consistent way both the E instein relation and the relation between the noise spectral density and the real part of the friction coe cient. In Section 5, we propose a modelization of Te : by an inverse power-law of ! at small !, which corresponds to an enhanced density of slow modes with respect to equilibrium. Using asymptotic Fourier analysis, we compute the anom alous di usion exponent. As a result, it depends on both exponents characterizing the real part of the friction coe cient and the e ective tem perature. This result shows that independent measurem ents of the real part of the particle m obility and of the mean square displacem ent will in turn allow for the determ ination of the exponent associated with the sm all ! behaviour of  $T_{e}$ ; , which describes the density of slow modes in the aging medium. Finally, Section 6 contains our conclusions.

# 2. D i usion in a stationary medium

To begin with, we recall in this Section som e known results about the di usion (possibly anom alous) of a particle in a stationary medium. For further purpose, we will separate out the results valid for any stationary medium from those which are only valid for a therm albath.

The motion of a di using particle of mass mevolving in a stationary medium

is usually described by the generalized Langevin equation [4], [5],

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = m^{2}_{1} \sim (t t) v(t^{0}) dt^{0} + F(t); \quad v = \frac{dx}{dt};$$
 (2:1)

in which F (t) is the Langevin random force acting on the particle and  $\sim$  (t) is a retarded friction kernel. In Eq. (2.1) it is assumed that the di using particle and the surrounding medium have been put in contact in an in nitely remote past, as pictured by the lower integration bound 1 in the retarded friction term. Both F (t) and the solution v (t) of the generalized Langevin equation (2.1) can be viewed as stationary random processes. Their spectral densities are linked by

$$C_{vv}(!) = j(!) f_{C_{FF}}(!);$$
 (2.2)

where

$$(!) = \frac{1}{m [(!) i!]}$$
(2:3)

denotes the frequency-dependent particle mobility ( (!) is the Fourier transform of ~ (t), as de ned by (!) =  $\frac{R_1}{1} \sim (t) e^{i!t} dt$ .

### 2.1. R elaxation of the average velocity

As shown by the expression (2.3) of the mobility, the function (!) characterizes the relaxation of the average particle velocity. For instance, with a power-law behaviour of (!) (namely <e (!) /  $j! j^1$  with 0 < < 2), one has [8]:

$$v(t) = v(t = 0) E_2$$
 (! t)<sup>2</sup> : (2:4)

In Eq. (2.4), E (x) denotes the M ittag-Le er function  $^1$  of index [9],[10], and the -dependent frequency ! acts as an inverse relaxation time. For = 1,

<sup>1</sup> The M ittag-Le er function is de ned by the series expansion

E (x) = 
$$\frac{X^{n}}{n=0} \frac{x^{n}}{(n+1)}$$
; > 0;

where is the EulerG amma function. The M ittag-Le er function E (x) reduces to the exponential  $e^x$  when = 1. The asymptotic behaviour at large x of the M ittag-Le er function E (x) is as follows:

E (x) ' 
$$\frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{(1)}$$
; x 1:

the function  $E_2$  (! t)<sup>2</sup> reduces to a decreasing exponential ( $E_1$  ( !<sub>1</sub>t) = e<sup>! 1t</sup>), while, for all other values of , it decays algebraically at large times ( $E_2$  (! t) <sup>2</sup> ' (! t) <sup>2</sup> = ( 1)) [10].

2.2. Velocity correlation function and mean square displacement

Applying the W iener-K hintchine theorem, one obtains the velocity correlation function as the inverse Fourier transform of  $C_{vv}$  (!), that is, in terms of the noise spectral density  $C_{FF}$  (!):

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \frac{1}{m^{2}} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t t^{0})} C_{FF}(!) \frac{1}{(!) i!} \frac{1}{(!) + i!}$$
(2:5)

Eq. (2.5) can be rew ritten as:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \frac{1}{m^{2}} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t t^{0})} \frac{C_{FF}(!)}{2 < e(!)} \frac{1}{(!) i!} + \frac{1}{(!) + i!}$$
(2:6)

From the velocity correlation function, one can compute the mean square displacement of the di using particle (a quantity which cannot be written under the form of a Fourier integral) as a double integral over time:

$$x^{2}(t) = [x(t) \quad x(0)]^{2} = 2 dt_{1} dt_{2} v(t_{1})v(t_{2}) :$$
(2:7)

2.3. Case of a therm albath: uctuation-dissipation theorem s

Let us now consider the particular case of di usion in a therm al bath. The linear response theory, applied to the particle velocity considered as a dynam ical variable of the isolated particle-plus-bath system, allows to express the mobility in terms of the equilibrium velocity correlation function. Since the mobility (!) is nothing but the generalized susceptibility  $v_x$  (!), one has

$$(!) = \frac{1}{kT} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} v(t)v e^{i!t} dt; \qquad (2.8)$$

where T is the bath temperature [4], [5]. The result (2.8) constitutes the rst uctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT). A coordingly, the velocity spectral density is given by:  $Z_1$ 

$$C_{vv}(!) = \int_{1}^{1} v(t)v e^{i!t} dt = kT 2 < e (!):$$
 (2:9)

Introducing the frequency-dependent di usion coe cient D (!) as de ned by

$$D(!) = v(t)v \cos ! tdt;$$
(2:10)

one can rew rite Eq. (2.9) as the well-known E instein relation between the di usion coe cient and the real part of the mobility:

$$\frac{D(!)}{(2:11)$$

Since (!) can be extended into an analytic function in the upper complex half plane (= m ! > 0), Eq. (2.8) on the one hand, and Eqs. (2.9) or (2.11) on the other hand, constitue two equivalent form ulations of the rst FDT.

Then, using the expression of  $C_{vv}$  (!) as given by the rst FDT (2.9), that is

$$C_{vv}(!) = \frac{kT}{m} \frac{2 < e (!)}{j (!) i!^{2}j}; \qquad (2:12)$$

one gets from Eq. (2.2) the noise spectral density,

$$C_{FF}$$
 (!) = m kT 2 < e (!); (2:13)

a relation from which one deduces the expression of (!):

$$(!) = \frac{1}{m \, kT} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} F(t)F e^{i!t} dt: \qquad (2:14)$$

Eq. (2.14) is known as the second FDT [4],[5]. Eq. (2.13) on the one hand, and Eq. (2.14) on the other hand, are two equivalent form ulations of the second FDT. The second FDT can also be established directly by applying the linear response theory to the force exerted by the bath on the particle, this force being considered as a dynam ical variable of the isolated particle-plus-bath system. W e will com e back to this point in Section 3.

Thus, when the particle environm ent is a therm albath, the two uctuationdissipation theorems are valid. In these two theorems the bath temperature T plays an essential role. Under its form (2.9) or (2.11), the rst FDT involves the spectral density of a dynam ical variable linked to the particle (namely its velocity), while, under its form (2.13), the second FDT involves the spectral density of the random force, which is a dynam ical variable of the bath.

#### 2.4. Regression theorem

W hen the particle environment is a therm albath, we may introduce in the expression (2.6) of hv (t)v (t<sup>0</sup>) i the value of the ratio  $C_{FF}$  (!)=2<e (!) as given by the second FDT (2.13). One gets:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \frac{kT}{m} \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{i!}{2}(t t^{0})} \frac{1}{(!) i!} + \frac{1}{(!) + i!} : (2:15)$$

In the above integral, the function 1=[(!) i!] is analytic for =m ! > 0, while the function 1=[(!) + i!] is analytic for =m ! < 0 [5]. Computing hv(t)v(t<sup>0</sup>) i for t  $t^{0} > 0$ , one chooses as an integration contour the sem i-circle of large radius in the lower complex half plane. The second term does not contribute. One thus has:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \frac{kT}{m} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t t^{0})} \frac{1}{(!) i!}; \quad t t^{0} > 0:$$
 (2:16)

Form ula (2.16) displays the fact that, when di usion takes place in a therm albath, the velocity correlation function is characterized by the same law as the average velocity [8]. This result constitutes the regression theorem, valid at equilibrium for any (!).

In particular, with the model for (!) considered before (< e (!) / j! j  $^{1}$  with 0 < < 2), one has:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \frac{kT}{m}E_{2}$$
 (! j. lj : (2:17)

In the particular case  $t = t^0$ , one gets from Eq. (2.17) the equipartition result :

$$v^2 = \frac{kT}{m}$$
: (2:18)

## 3. Out of equilibrium environment

The fully general situation of a particle di using in an out of equilibrium environm ent is much more di cult to describe. Except for the particular case of a stationary environm ent, the motion of the di using particle cannot be described by the generalized Langevin equation. A more general equation has to be used. The uctuation-dissipation theorem s are a fortiori not valid. However, one can try to extend these relations with the help of an age and frequency dependent e ective tem perature, such as proposed and discussed for instance in [6],[7]. An equation of motion will be derived in the present Section for the case of a bilinear coupling between the particle and the environment. As for the out of equilibrium extension of the linear response theory, it will be discussed in the next Section.

3.1. Equation of motion of a particle linearly coupled to an out of equilibrium environment

The validity of the generalized Langevin equation (2.1) is restricted to the case of a stationary medium. In other cases, for instance when the di using particle evolves in an aging medium such as a glassy colloidal suspension of Laponite [1]- $\beta$ ], another equation of motion has to be used. We shall derive such an equation by assuming a bilinear coupling, of the form x, between the particle and its environment. W ith such a coupling, the particle equation of motion reads

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = (t); \qquad (3:1)$$

where (t) denotes the global force exerted by the environment. In turn, the latter is perturbed by the coupling with the particle. The linear response relation yielding the average force exerted by the environment is of the form

(t) = 
$$\sum_{0}^{Z_{t}} (t;t^{0}) x (t^{0}) dt^{0};$$
 (3.2)

where the causal function ~  $(t;t^0)$   $(t > t^0)$  is a linear response function of the surrounding medium (in Eq. (3.2), it is assumed that the particle and the environm ent have been put in contact at time t = 0). The particle equation of motion (3.1) takes the form

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = \int_{0}^{Z_{t}} (t;t^{0}) x (t^{0}) dt^{0} + E(t); \qquad (3:3)$$

where  $_{\rm E}$  (t) is a uctuating force of zero m can generated by the environment. When the latter is out of equilibrium, there is no speci c relation between the response function ~ (t;t<sup>0</sup>) and the correlation function h  $_{\rm E}$  (t)  $_{\rm E}$  (t<sup>0</sup>)i. In the most general situations, neither  $_{\rm E}$  (t) norv(t) can be viewed as stationary random processes. Before considering these situations, let us come back brie y on the particular cases, rst, of a stationary medium, second, of a therm albath, in order to see how the properties described in Section 2 can be retrieved.

#### 3.2. Stationary medium case

Let us consider again the particular case of a particle di using in a stationary medium, in order to see how the generalized Langevin equation (2.1) can be deduced from the more general Eq. (3.3). When the medium is stationary, ~  $(t;t^0)$  reduces to a function of t  $t(-(t;t^0) = -(t-t))$ . Introducing then the causal function ~ (t) as defined by

~ 
$$(t) = m \frac{d^{-}(t)}{dt};$$
 (3:4)

and integrating by parts, one gets from Eq. (3.3):

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = m \sum_{0}^{Z_{t}} (t + t) v(t^{0}) dt^{0} + E(t) + m \sim (0) x(t) m \sim (t) x(0): (3.5)$$

Let us now denote by  $t_i$  (instead of 0) the initial time at which the particle has been put in contact with its environment. Eq. (3.5) then writes:

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = m \sum_{t_i}^{Z_t} (t t_i) v(t^0) dt^0 + E(t) + m (t_i) v(t t_i) m (t t_i) v(t_i)$$
(3:6)

Eq. (3.6) can be identified with the generalized Langevin equation (2.1) by letting  $t_i ! 1$  (one has then ~ ( $t_i ) = 0$ ), and assuming that the term  $m \sim (t_i t) \propto (t_i)$ , which depends on the initial position of the particle, is negligible in this limit. The uctuating force E(t) then identifies with the Langevin force F(t). The uctuating force and the particle velocity can be viewed as stationary random processes. When equilibrium is not realized, the uctuation-dissipation relations (2.8) and (2.14) and the regression theorem (2.16) are not valid.

#### 3.3. Therm albath case

W hen equilibrium is realized, the stationary medium constitutes a thermal bath. The linear response theory, applied to the bath dynamical variable  $_{\rm E}$  (t), yields

~ 
$$(t t^{0}) = (t t^{0}) \frac{\theta}{\theta t^{0}} F(t) F(t^{0}); = (kT)^{1};$$
 (3:7)

that is, using Eq. (3.4) and the causality property, and the fact that at equilibrium  $_{\rm E}$  (t) is identical with the Langevin force F (t):

~ 
$$(t \quad t) = \frac{1}{m \, kT}$$
  $(t \quad t^0) \, F \, (t)F \, (t^0)$  : (3.8)

Eq. (3.8), through Fourier transform ation, yields the second FDT (Eq. (2.14)).

# 4. Out of equilibrium linear response theory

W hen the environm ent is not stationary, response functions such as ~  $(t;t^0)$ and  $\sim_{vx} (t;t^0)$  (response function of the particle velocity) depend separately on the two times t and  $t^0$  entering into play, and not only on their dierence = t f. However, the time dierence , also called the measure time or the observation time, continues to play an essential role in the description. For this reason, it has been proposed to de ne time and frequency dependent response functions as Fourier transforms with respect to of the corresponding two-time quantities [6],[7],[11]. The time t, which represents the waiting time or the age of the system, then plays the role of a parameter.

Let us st brie y recall these de nitions and examine in which conditions the age and frequency dependent response functions share the analytic properties of the corresponding stationary quantities.

4.1. A ge and frequency dependent response functions

Considering the response function  $\sim (t;t^0)$  as a function oft and of the measure time = t t (i.e.  $\sim (t;t^0) = \sim_1 (t;$ )), one introduces the Fourier transform of  $\sim_1$  with respect to (for a xed t):

$$\sum_{1}^{Z} (!;t) = \sim_{1} (t; ) e^{i!} d :$$
 (4:1)

Due to the causality of ~  $(t;t^0)$ , the lower integration bound in form ula (4.1) is 0. As for the upper integration bound, it is equal to t m inus the lower bound over  $t^0$ . If one assumes that the perturbation is applied at  $t^0 = 0$ , the upper integration bound is equal to t. One then writes

$${}_{1}(!;t) = {}_{0}^{Z} {}_{t}(t; ) e^{i!} d;$$
(4.2)

that is:

$${}_{1}(!;t) = \int_{0}^{Z} (t;t^{0}) e^{i! (t t^{0})} dt^{0}:$$
(4:3)

The Fourier relation (4.1) can be inverted, which yields:

$$Z_{1} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i! (t t^{0})} _{1} (!;t) = (t^{0}) (t t^{0}) \sim (t;t^{0}):$$
(4:4)

The time t can be interpreted as the waiting time or the age  $t_w$  of the system under study. In other words, one has introduced [6], [7], [11]:

$${}_{1}(!;t_{w}) = \sum_{0}^{Z_{t_{w}}} \sim (t_{w};t_{w}) \stackrel{i!}{e} d :$$
 (4:5)

Note that the stationary regime is obtained, not only by assuming that  $\sim (t;t^0)$  is invariant by time translation ( $\sim (t;t^0) = \sim_1 ()$ ), but also, moreover, that the age of the system tends towards in nity:

$$(!) = \lim_{t_w ! 1} \sum_{0}^{Z_{t_w}} \sim_1 () e^{i!} d :$$
(4:6)

However, from the point of view of linear response theory, the denitions (4.1) or (4.5) suller from several drawbacks. A ctually, the function  $_1(!;t_w)$  as dened by Eq. (4.5) is not the Fourier transform of the function  $\sim_1(t_w; )$ , but a partial Fourier transform computed in the restricted time interval  $0 < t_w$ . As a consequence, it does not possess the same analyticity properties as the generalized susceptibility (!) dened by Eq. (4.6). While the latter, extended to complex values of !, is analytic in the upper complex half plane (=m ! > 0), the function  $_1(!;t_w)$  is analytic in the whole complex plane. As a very simple example, consider the exponentially decreasing response function

$$\sim$$
 (t;t<sup>0</sup>) = (t t<sup>0</sup>) e (t t<sup>0</sup>) : (4:7)

 $0 \text{ ne has}^2$ :

$$_{1}(!;t_{w}) = \frac{1}{1!} = 1 e^{(-i!)t_{w}} :$$
 (4:8)

The corresponding generalized susceptibility is obtained by taking the limit  $t_w \ ! \ 1 \ in Eq. (4.8)$ :

$$(!) = \frac{1}{\underline{i}!}$$
: (4:9)

In this example, (!) as given by Eq. (4.9) has a pole in the lower complex half plane (! = i). This pole can be traced back to an elim inable singularity in the rhs. of Eq. (4.8), so that  $_1$  (!;  $t_w$ ) is analytic everywhere. This is an important

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D espite of the time translational invariance of ~  $(t;t^0)$ , the partial Fourier transform  $_1(!;t_w)$  e ectively depends on  $t_w$ , due to the fact that the perturbation is applied at time 0 and not 1.

draw back, since the inform ation about the nature of the modes of the unperturbed system, which is contained in the poles of the generalized susceptibility, is not contained in the partial Fourier transform  $_1(!;t_w)$  as de ned by Eq. (4.5).

4.2. Quasi-stationary regime: introduction of an elective temperature

However, if  $!t_w = 1$ , one can assume that  $_1(!;t_w)$  varies very slow by with  $t_w$  in the range of values of ! of interest [6],[7]. It exists then two well separated time scales, respectively characterizing the times pertinent for the measuring process, and the waiting time or the age of the system. In this case,  $_1(!;t_w)$  describes a quasi-stationary regime taking place for a given waiting time. Considered as a function of !,  $_1(!;t_w)$  is assumed to have the analytic properties required from a generalized susceptibility (i.e. analyticity in a complex half plane, namely the upper one with our de nition of the Fourier transformation). From now on, we will drop out the parameter  $t_w$  for simplicity, being it understood that the analysis is valid for a given  $t_w$ .

Let us now come back to the specier problem of the dision of a particle in an out of equilibrium environment. In a quasi-stationary regime, the particle velocity obeys the generalized Langevin equation (2.1) The generalized susceptibilities of interest are the particle mobility  $(!) = v_x (!)$  and the friction coefficient  $(!) = v_x (!)$ 

(1=m !) (!) (this latter formula being a consequence of the relation (3.4) between ~ (t) and ~ (t)). The results of the linear response theory as applied to the particle velocity, namely the rst FDT (2.8) and the Einstein relation (2.11), are not valid out of equilibrium. One can then try to extend the linear response theory with the help of an elective temperature [6],[7]. More precisely, we shall write the relation between the velocity spectral density  $C_{vv}$  (!) =  $\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  hv (t) vie<sup>i! t</sup> dt and the real part of the mobility as

$$C_{vv}(!) = kT_{e}(!) 2 < e(!);$$
 (4:10)

which amounts to assume a modied Einstein relation:

$$\frac{D(!)}{e(!)} = kT_{e(!)} = kT_{e(!)}$$
(4:11)

Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11) de ne a frequency dependent e ective tem perature  $T_{e}$ : (!). Note, how ever, that it is not possible to rewrite the rst FDT under a form similar

to Eq. (2.8) with  $T_{\rm e}$  :(!) as de ned by Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11) in place of T :

$$(!) \in \frac{1}{kT_{e}} \left( \frac{1}{v} \right)_{0}^{Z_{1}} v(t) v e^{i!t} dt:$$
(4:12)

Nevertheless,  $T_{e}$ :(!) can consistently be used in the relation between the noise spectral density  $C_{FF}$  (!) =  $\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  hF (t)F)ie<sup>i!t</sup>dt and the real part of the friction coe cient. Indeed, from Eq. (2.2), valid in any stationary regime, and Eq. (4.10), one deduces:

$$C_{FF}$$
 (!) = m kT<sub>e</sub> : (!) 2 < e (!): (4:13)

But, as for the rst FDT, it is not possible to rewrite the second FDT under a form similar to Eq. (2.14) with  $T_{e:}(!)$  in place of T:

$$(!) \in \frac{1}{m \, kT_{e} \, (!)} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} F(t) F(t) e^{i!t} dt: \qquad (4:14)$$

Given <e (!) and  $T_e$  :(!), it is possible to compute by Fourier analysis the out of equilibrium velocity correlation function  $hv(t)v(t^0)i$ , and to deduce from it the associated mean square displacement  $x^2(t)$ . The results can be compared with the ones obtained at equilibrium  $(T_e:(!) = T)$  for the same <e (!) [8]. Such a program will be carried out in the following Section.

## 5. Anom abus di usion in an aging medium

In terms of the elective temperature  $T_{e_{\pm}}(!)$  as defined by Eq. (4.10) and Eq. (4.11), one has:

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) = \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t t^{0})} \frac{kT_{e}(!)}{m} 2 \le (!):$$
(5:1)

Form ula (5.1) displays the fact that, when di usion takes place in an aging medium as pictured by a frequency-dependent e ective tem perature  $T_{e}$ : (!), the velocity correlation function is not characterized by the same law as the average velocity. In other words, the regression theorem (2.16) is not valid. A coordingly, the equipartition result (2.18) is not valid either.

To characterize at best the properties of  $hv(t)v(t^0)i$  in an aging medium, we shall take for < e (!) the same function than in our equilibrium study [8], namely a function behaving like a power-law characterized by the exponent 1:

\frac{j! j}{k}^{1}; 
$$j! j !_{c}$$
: (5.2)

We lim it ourselves to the case 0 < < 2, in which an initial uctuation of the particle velocity relaxes towards zero at large times, as displayed by Eq. (2.4). In Eq. (5.2),  $!_c$  denotes a cut-o frequency typical of the environment, and  $!_c$  is a reference frequency allowing for the coupling constant = m to have the dimension of a viscosity for any [12]. Since < 2, the real part of the mobility (!) = 1 = (m [(!)]) varies like  $!^1$  for  $!_c$ 

Provided that the behaviour of  $T_e$  :(!) at small ! is known, the Fourier relation (5.1) allows for a simple asymptotic analysis of the large time behaviour of the out of equilibrium velocity correlation function.

5.1. M odelization of the function  $T_{e}$  :(!)

Let us assume the following behaviour of  $T_{\rm e}_{\rm :}(!)$  at small !:

$$T_{e:}(!) T \frac{j! j}{!_0}; j! j!_0; < 0:$$
 (5:4)

In Eq. (5.4), both the exponent and the characteristic frequency  $!_0$  ! may depend on the waiting time  $t_w$  ( = ( $t_w$ ),  $!_0 = !_0$  ( $t_w$ )). The frequency  $!_0$  is a decreasing function of  $t_w$  [13],[14]. It separates low frequencies (i.e. slow modes), for which the modelization (5.4) applies, from high frequencies (i.e. fast modes), for which one has:

$$\Gamma_{e}(!)$$
 T; j! j !<sub>0</sub>: (5:5)

The chosen modelization of the elective temperature in an aging medium insures that the density of slow modes in the noise is proportionally larger than in a thermal bath at temperature T [14]. Note that the equilibrium situation would correspond to  $!_0 = 0$ , since then  $T_{e_{\pm}}(!) = T$  for any !. It can also form ally be retrieved by taking the limit ! 0 in the low frequency modelization (5.4).

#### 5.2. A sym ptotic analysis

Eq. (5.2), together with the low frequency expression (5.4) of  $T_{e}$ : (!), shows that the velocity correlation function is the Fourier transform of a function varying like ! <sup>+1</sup> at small ! (i.e. j! j !<sub>0</sub> ... ). The Fourier integral (5.1) converges provided that the condition

>

is fulled. A coording to the usual properties of the Fourier transform ation, the correlation function  $hv(t)v(t^0)$  ibehaves like t for large values of t for large values values of t for large values of t for large values of t for large values values of t for large values v

$$v(t)v(t^{0}) \quad \frac{kT}{m} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} !_{0}t \quad t^{0}j \quad t^{0}t \quad t^{0}j^{2} \quad \frac{1}{(1-1)} \frac{\sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}}{\sin\frac{(1-1)}{2}}: (5:7)$$

A fler two integrations over time (see form ula (2.7)), one obtains the large time expression of the mean square displacement:

$$x^{2}$$
 (t)  $2\frac{kT}{m}\frac{1}{k}$  (!<sub>0</sub>t) (!<sub>t</sub>t)  $\frac{1}{(m+1)}\frac{\sin^{2}\frac{1}{2}}{\sin\frac{(m+1)}{2}}$ : (5:8)

At this stage, it is convenient to introduce the - and - dependent frequency ! , as de ned by

$$!_{j}^{2} = !_{0} \frac{1}{t^{-1}} \frac{\sin \frac{()}{2}}{\sin^{2} \frac{1}{2}} :$$
 (5:9)

In term s of ! ; , one has:

x<sup>2</sup>(t) 
$$2\frac{kT}{m}\frac{1}{!^{2}},\frac{(!,t)}{(+1)};$$
 !,t 1: (5:10)

Out of equilibrium, the anom alous di usion exponent, as de ned by  $x^{2}$  (t) t, is:

Note that the condition (5.6) insures that < 2, as required since the motion must not be kinematical.

The equilibrium value  $_{eq:} = 0$  of the di usion exponent is recovered, as it should, by making = 0 in Eq. (5.8). Moreover, the full equilibrium expression of the mean square displacement, that is [8]

$$x^{2}$$
 (t)<sub>eq:</sub>  $2\frac{kT}{m}\frac{1}{!^{2}}\frac{(! t)}{(+ 1)}$ ; !t 1; (5:12)

with the -dependent frequency ! as de ned by

$$!^{2} = \frac{1}{!^{1}} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (5:13)$$

is also retrieved from Eq. (5.7) in this lim it, since

$$! = \lim_{! 0} !; :$$
 (5:14)

5.3. D iscussion

The value (5.11) of the anom abus di usion exponent results from the frequency dependence of both the real part of the friction coe cient and the e ective tem perature.

The out of equilibrium noise spectral density is given by Eq. (4.13), that is, with the real part of the friction coe cient as given by Eq. (5.2) and the e ective tem perature as m odelized by Eq. (5.4):

$$C_{FF}(!) = 2m kT \qquad \frac{j! j}{!_0} \qquad \frac{j! j}{!_1} \qquad ^1; \qquad j! j \qquad !_0 \qquad !_1 \qquad (5:15)$$

As indicated above, for a given < e (!), the negative value chosen for signiles that, in the out of equilibrium medium under study, the weight of slow modes in the noise is increased with respect to the value it would have in a thermal bath at temperature T. A coordingly, the anomalous dilusion exponent is enhanced :

> <sub>eq:</sub>.

From the experimental side, the di usion exponent can be deduced from mean square displacement measurements, while the value of can be obtained independently from mobility measurements. From both types of results, the exponent characterizing the low frequency dependence of  $T_{\rm e}$ : (!) and thus describing the density of slow modes in the aging medium can be deduced.

### 6. Conclusion

We have studied the anom abusdi usion of a particle in an aging medium. In a quasi-stationary regime, the particle velocity is governed by a generalized Langevin equation. The medium being out of equilibrium, the uctuation-dissipation theorem s are not valid. Both the E instein relation and the relation between the real part < e (!) of the friction coe cient and the noise spectral density can be written in a modi ed way which involves an age and frequency dependent e ective tem – perature  $T_{e}$ :(!). We have rst carefully made precise the conditions under which

such an e ective tem perature can be used. Then, we have studied the velocity correlation function and the mean square displacement by means of asymptotic Fourier analysis.

Twomain parameters enter into play, and , which characterize the low frequency behaviours of < e (!) and  $T_{e}$  (!), assumed to be respectively proportional to j! j<sup>1</sup> (0 < < 2) and to j! j ( < 0).

Our main new results are as follows. In an aging medium, the velocity correlation function is di erent from its equilibrium form. The regression theorem is not valid. The anomalous di usion exponent is given by = . Since < 0, the di usion exponent is enhanced as compared to the value it would have, with the same < e (!), in a therm all bath. This is due to the fact that the weight of slow modes in the noise is increased.

Several questions remain to be solved. In particular, it will be interesting to extend the present study so as to obtain a complete analytic description of the velocity correlation function and of the mean square displacement at any times, i.e. not only in the asymptotic regime. We have previously solved this question at equilibrium, in which case we have shown that M ittag-Le er functions play a central role in this description [8]. The out of equilibrium extension is left out for future work.

## A cknow ledgem ents

W e w ish to thank B.Abou, J.B.Fournier and F.G allet for helpfuldiscussions on several aspects of this problem.

## References

1.A.Knaebel, M.Belbur, J.P.Munch, V.Viasno, F.Lequeux and J.L.Harden, Europhys. Lett. 52, 73 (2000).

2.B.Abou, D.Bonn and J.M eunier, Phys. Rev. E 64, 021510 (2001).

3.L.Bellon and S.Ciliberto, Physica D 168-169, 325 (2002).

4.R.Kubo, Rep. Prog. Phys. 29, 255 (1966).

5. R. Kubo, M. Toda and N. Hashitsum e, Statistical physics II : nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

6.LF.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan and L.Peliti, Phys.Rev.E 55, 3898 (1997).

7. LF. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Physica A 263, 242 (1999).

8.N.Pottier, Physica A 317, 371 (2003).

9. A. Erdelyi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 3, M cG raw -H ill, N ew -Y ork (1955).

10.R.G oren o and F.M ainardi, InternationalW orkshop on the Recent A dvances in Applied M athem atics, State of Kuwait, M ay 4-7, 1996. Proceedings, Kuwait U niversity, D epartm ent of M athem atics and C om puter Science, 193 (1996).

11.G JM.Koper and HJ.Hilhorst, Physica A 155, 431 (1989).

12.U.W eiss, Quantum dissipative systems, Second edition, W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1999.

13. L.F. Cugliandolo, Lecture Notes, Les Houches (July 2002), preprint condm at/0210312.

14. L. Buisson, S. Ciliberto and A. Garcimart n, preprint cond-m at/0306462.