Universal scaling at eld-induced magnetic phase transitions

Om id Nohadani¹_r Stefan W essel²_r B.Norm and³ and Stephan Haas¹

¹D epartm ent of Physics and Astronom y, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484

²Institut fur Theoretische Physik, ETH-Honggerberg, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

³Departem ent de Physique, Universite de Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

W e study eld-induced magnetic order in cubic lattices of dimers with antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg interactions. The therm al critical exponents at the quantum phase transition from a spin liquid to a magnetically ordered phase are determined from Stochastic Series Expansion Q uantum M onte C and simulations. These exponents are independent of the interdimer coupling ratios, and converge to the value obtained by considering the transition as a B ose-E instein condensation of magnons, $_{\rm BEC}$ = 1:5. The scaling results are of direct relevance to the spin-dimer system s T iC uC l₂ and K C uC l₃, and explain the broad range of exponents reported for eld-induced ordering transitions.

PACS num bers: 75.10 Jm , 75.40 Gb, 75.40 Cx

A quantum spin liquid is characterized by a nitem aqnetic correlation length, which is inversely proportional to the energy gap, 0, between the singlet ground state and the lowest triplet excitation. Application of an external magnetic eld causes a linear reduction of $_0$ by the Zeem an elect. At zero tem perature there is a eldinduced quantum phase transition (QPT), at a lower $g_{B}H_{c1} = 0$, from the spin liqcritical eld h_{c1} uid to a magnetically ordered state. In this phase the uniform magnetic polarization then rises continuously from zero to saturation at a second critical eld h_{c2} . Such transitions have been observed in a variety of quantum antiferrom agnets, including Cu₂ (C₅H₁₂N₂)₂Cl₄ [1], KCuCb[2, 3], and TLCuCb[2, 4, 5], which consist of weakly coupled, low -dim ensional structural units such as spin ladders or dimers. In the ordered phase, the uniform m agnetization is accompanied by a long-ranged antiferromagnetic (AF) spin ordering in the plane perpendicular to the eld, which persists up to a nite transition tem perature, T_c, determ ined by the sm allest coupling constant between the magnetic structural units.

The compounds KCuCk and TLCuCk provide an excellent illustration of the eld-induced QPT from a dimer spin liquid to a three-dimensional (3D) ordered phase. These systems consist of dimer units formed by pairs of $S = 1=2 C u^{2+}$ ions with signi cant interdimer superexchange interactions in all three spatial directions [3, 4]. Because these interactions are considerably weaker in KCuCL than in TLCuCL, the magnon modes of the form er have a narrow band and a large spin gap ($_0 =$ 2.6m eV), while the latter represents a wide-band system with a small gap ($_0 = 0.7 \text{m eV}$). The wave vector Q of the 3D AF order is the location in momentum space of the band m in im um, 0, of the triplet m agnon excitations, which are gapped in the disordered phase. The eld-induced QPT may be regarded as a condensation of magnons in the lowest triplet branch, which becomes the gapless excitation, or Goldstone mode, corresponding to rotations of the staggered m agnetic m om ent about the eld axis and re ecting the broken symmetry of the

system [6]. The continuous evolution of the ordered moments is then equivalent to a eld-dependent density of condensed m agnons, and the magnetic eld represents an experim entally accessible tuning parameter for the QPT.

The suggestion that this transition may be described as the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of hard-core bosons [7] has motivated extensive experim ental and theoretical studies of the critical properties of such system s. Particular attention is given to the scaling exponent which determ ines the power-law dependence

$$T_{c}(h) / h_{c} t^{\perp}$$
 (1)

of the ordering tem perature on the eld h in the vicinity of the QPTs at $h_c = h_{c1}$ and h_{c2} . Dierent experimental studies indicate that the precise value of this exponent at h_{c1} depends on the m aterial, as well as on the m easuring technique. The exponents 1:8 2:3 extracted from experimental studies of KCuCk and TLCuCk [2, 3, 4, 5] are all larger than the value $_{BEC} = 3=2$ obtained from the BEC scenario for 3D systems in which the magnon m odes have quadratic dispersion at the band m in im um [7]. The analytic bond-operator approach, applied with the parameters of KCuCk and TLCuCk, also yields the respective values 2.6 and 1.8 [8], while recent Quantum M onte Carlo simulations for weakly coupled dimers [9] suggest that 2:3. In both experiments and simulations, precise extraction of the critical exponent is com plicated by the fact that typically only rather few data points are available close to the QPT. In addition, the exponent m ay not re ect universal critical behavior if the tem perature exceeds an (unknown) upper bound for the validity of the BEC approach. How ever, it is also possible that the scaling behavior is simply not universal, in that the exponent depends on certain system parameters, most notably the strength of the interdimer interactions.

To analyze the scaling behavior associated with eldinduced magnetic order, we study a Heisenberg model of



FIG.1: Phase diagram of 3D AF coupled dimers in a magnetic eld. The solid line represents h_{c1} and the dot-dashed line h_{c2} . Circles and triangles are zero-temperature ts obtained from SSE QMC results. Inset: one layer of coupled dimers.

coupled spin-1/2 dim ers with the H am iltonian

$$H = \begin{array}{c} X \\ J_{ij}S_{i} \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ h \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ h \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ S_{i}^{z} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (2) \end{array}$$

The AF coupling constants J and J^0 between nearestneighbor sites i and j denote respectively the intra- and interdimer superexchange interactions for the cubic geom etry of which a planar section is illustrated in the inset of F ig. 1. Because the K /T L uc l_3 structure has no m agnetic frustration, the AF cubic dimer system is expected to illustrate the same generic similarities and di erences between large- and sm all-gap system s as those obtained in the experimental studies to date.

W e take as the order param eter the component of staggered m agnetization perpendicular to the applied eld,

$$m_{s}^{?} = \frac{S_{s}^{?}}{L^{3}};$$
 (3)

where L denotes the linear system size and $S_s^?$ the perpendicular staggered structure factor, de ned as

$$S_{s}^{?} = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\text{hi;ji}}^{X} (1)^{i+j} h S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x} i:$$
(4)

This quantity is calculated using the Stochastic Series Expansion Quantum M onte Carlo (SSE QMC) method of Refs. [10] and [11]. The staggered structure factor is sampled on the run" as loop updates are constructed [12], with implementation of the directed-loop algorithm [13] to minimize bounce probabilities within a loop. This procedure yields a signic cantly higher sampling e ciency than the conventional operator-loop update: close to criticality (J⁰ 0:2J and h 0:7J), where the maximum



FIG. 2: Scaled staggered magnetization at constant eld h = 0.8J and interdimer coupling $J^0 = 0.25J$, for a range of system sizes. T_c is determined from the intersection of the four curves (main panel), and its error from the extremal intersection points (inset).

num ber of runs is required, autocorrelation tim es are reduced by factor of up to 8. Simulations were perform ed for cubic clusters of up to 8000 spins, and for tem peratures down to T = 0.03J, except at very sm all J^0 where sm aller values are readily obtainable.

The zero-tem perature phase diagram of the coupled dimer system is shown in Fig. 1. In the absence of a magnetic eld the computed quantum critical point, separating the spin-disordered, dim er valence bond solid (DVBS) phase from the ordered AF phase, occurs at $(J^0=J)_c = 0.255(5)$. Both phases are unpolarized at h = 0. Their elementary magnetic excitations have the same fundam ental di erence as for the eld-induced QPT, except that the coupling-induced ordered phase has two gapless Goldstone modes (associated with the broken 0 (3) symmetry) corresponding to the phase uctuations of the staggered m om ent. The uniform m agnetization varies continuously with applied eld from zero in h_{c1}) to fullpolarization at h theDVBSphase (h h_{c2}. In the ordered phase the staggered m om ent stincreases with h beyond h_{c1} (also for $J^0 > J_c^0$) before falling continuously to zero at h_{c2} .

The values of h_{c2} obtained from SSE QMC simulations (triangles in Fig. 1) coincide with the exact result, which is classical because quantum uctuations are entirely suppressed in the saturated regime. By contrast, quantum corrections are essential to the determation of h_{c1} , where a straightforw and perturbative expansion (dashed line) departs from the numerical data (circles) for $J^0 > 0.08J$. However, the self-consistent bondoperator solution (solid line) provides an accurate description of the numerical results at all interdimer couplings, including those close to J_c^0 . The quantitative suc-



FIG.3: Critical tem perature for ekd-induced order in 3D systems of coupled dimers for a range of values of J^0 . Sym – bols are taken directly from nite-size scaling of SSE QMC simulations, except for the T = 0 points which are extracted from ts of T_c(h). Solid lines are guides to the eye.

Turning to nite-tem perature properties, the transition tem peratures for the ordered phase are determ ined by nite-size scaling of the order parameter m_s^2 . Fig. 2 shows one example of this procedure: in 3D and at the critical tem perature, m $_{\rm s}^{\rm ?}$ at xed eld is expected to obey the scaling relation $m_s^2 / L^{(1 z+)=2}$, where the dynam ical exponent z is zero for a therm altransition. [14] For a system with spontaneous breaking of the residual (2) symmetry the correlation exponent can be taken from the classical 3D X Y model, for which = 0.0381(2) [5]. In the vicinity of the critical tem perature $T_{\rm c}$, the scaled m_s^2 is linear in T [16], and the intersection of the curves obtained for di erent system sizes L determines T_c at all elds h 2 $[h_{c1};h_{c2}]$ for a given interdimer coupling J^0 . Magni cation of the intersection region (inset, Fig. 2) reveals a set of intercepts within a tem perature window 0:0005J, which determ ines T $_{\rm c}$ (h) to very high ac-Т curacy. [17]

Fig. 3 shows the critical tem peratures $T_c(h)$ obtained for four interdimer coupling ratios, $(J^0=J) = 0.07, 0.15,$ 0.20, and 0.25 [17], which span the range from narrow – band, large-gap systems to the wide-band, small-gap paradigm. Each coupling ratio corresponds to a vertical section in Fig. 1, where the values of h_{c1} and h_{c2} in Fig. 3 m ark the boundaries of the phase with nite induced staggered order; h_{c1} vanishes for $J^0 > J_c^0$. The weak asymmetry of the $T_c(h)$ curve about the midpoint eld, $(h_{c1} + h_{c2})=2$, becomes more pronounced for stronger couplings due to changes at the extrem a of the magnon dispersion relations determining the transitions [6]. The values of $T_c(h)$ obtained by the system atic scaling procedure



FIG.4: Critical exponents $_1$ at h_{c1} (a) and $_2$ at h_{c2} (b), shown as a function of the tting range x (see text). Filled circles in (a) are experimental data from Ref. [5]. D ashed lines m ark the result of the BEC description.

con m, within the error bars, the location of the dips in uniform magnetization reported in previous numerical studies [9], and used to construct the experimental phase diagram of T L uC l_{3} [4].

We now focus on the scaling properties of T_c (h) close to h_{c1} and h_{c2} . The scaling exponent in Eq. (1) is obtained by thing the data shown in Fig. 3 with h_c and as free parameters. We this data within a varying

window, i.e. for given $J^0=J$ we de ne

$$x_1(h) = \frac{h - h_{c1}}{h_{c2} - h_{c1}};$$
 $x_2(h) = \frac{h_{c2} - h}{h_{c2} - h_{c1}};$ (5)

as norm alized m easures of the proximity to the low erand upper critical elds. Using a minimum of 6 data points in a given window x_1 or x_2 , the exponents $_1$ and $_2$ are then determined by non-linear curve tting. The tted exponents are crucially dependent both on the number of points and on the exact critical eld (Fig. 1). [18]

The dependence on window size x_1 of the exponent ¹ is shown in Fig. 4 (a), along with data extracted from experimental reports on T L uC l₃ [5]. A rst unam biguous conclusion from Fig. 4 is that the tted exponents become smaller as the window size is decreased. In particular, a large window leads to overestimation of 1, as is shown by performing the same varying window t to the experimental data for T_c (h) [Fig. 4 (a)]. It is also clear that the extrapolated values approach the result obtained from the BEC description, BEC = 1:5. Higher exponents suggested by previous results are seen to be a product of the tting procedure employed, and are in fact consistent with the BEC scenario at the transition [Fig. 4 (a)]. M ost remarkably, the lines for all interdimer couplings not only scale to the same limit but do so with the same slope for all x, dem onstrating that the critical behavior of the system is truly universal for all ratios of bandwidth to spin gap.

Results for $_2$ are shown in Fig. 4(b), and are again consistent with the BEC description as $x_2 \, ! \, 0$. Here the spread of data points is smaller than for $_1(x_1)$, and the slope of $_2(x_2)$ is less steep. These results may be attributed to the less signi cant role of quantum uctuations near h_{c2} , which leads to smaller deviations from a mean-eld scaling regime.

It must be emphasized that the universal scaling behavior is obtained only forvery narrow windows, meaning very low temperatures. Indeed, from Fig. 4 we are unable to determ ine a temperature below which true scaling emerges, and our results indicate that the BEC regime is approached only as T ! 0. At both critical elds, the physicalorigin of departure from universal scaling may be found in the approximations inherent to the BEC description [7]. The most important of these are temperaturedriven renormalization of the quasiparticle elective mass (i.e. reduction in curvature at the band minimum) and of the elective chemical potential (i.e. band narrowing with concomitant increase in spin gap).

In sum m ary, we have used an unbiased num erical technique to study eld-induced magnetic ordering transitions in cubic systems of antiferrom agnetically coupled dimers. The phase diagram contains two quantum critical lines, h_{c1} (J⁰=J) and h_{c2} (J⁰=J). Both transitions are continuous, as is the variation in physical properties of the interm ediate AF phase, as a function of applied m agnetic eld. The critical scaling exponents extracted for the transition temperature close to h_{c1} and h_{c2} are found to be independent of the interdim er coupling ratio, dem onstrating that, despite large quantitative di erences in their magnon dispersion relations, the physical properties of the coupled-dim er system sKCuCh and TLCuCh display the sam e universal scaling behavior. The values of are consistent with a description of the ordering transitions as the Bose-E instein condensation of magnon excitations, BEC = 1.5. However, this regime is obtained only in the lim it of tem peratures very sm all com pared to the interdimer coupling, and thus is dicult to access experimentally. Finally, the ts to the exponents were shown to depend sensitively on the window size, with the consequence that is easily overestim ated. This result o ers an explanation for the wide range of scaling exponents reported in the literature.

W e thank F.Alet, A.Honecker, A.Lauchli, M.M atsum oto, T.Roscikle, A.Sandvik, M.Sigrist, H.Tanaka, and M.Troyer for helpful discussions. W e acknow ledge nancial support from the NSF, under Grant No.DMR-0089882, and from the Swiss NSF.Computational support was provided by the USC Center for High Perform ance Computing and Communications, by the NER-SCC, and by Asgard at ETH Zurich.

4

E lectronic address: O . Nohadani: nohadani@usc.edu

- [1] G. Chaboussant, P.A. Crowell, L.P. Levy, O. Piovesana, A.M. adouri, and D.M. ailly, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3046 (1997); M. B. Stone, Y. Chen, J. Rittner, H. Yardim ci, D. H. Reich, C. Broholm, D. V. Ferraris, and T. Lectka, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064423 (2002); M. M. ito, H. Akama, T. Kawae, K. Takeda, H. Deguchi, and S. Takagi, Phys. Rev. B 65, 104405 (2002).
- [2] W. Shiram ura, K. Takatsu, H. Tanaka, K. Kam ishim a, M. Takahashi, H. M itam ura, and T. Goto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1900 (1997).
- [3] T. Kato, K. Takatsu, H. Tanaka, W. Shiram ura, M. Mori, K. Naka jim a, and K. Kakurai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 752 (1998); A. Oosawa, T. Takam asu, K. Tatani, H. Abe, N. Tsu jii, O. Suzuki, H. Tanaka, G. Kido, and K. Kindo, Phys. Rev. B 66, 104405 (2002).
- [4] A. Oosawa, M. Ishii, and H. Tanaka, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 11, 265 (1999); N. Cavadini, G. Heigold, W. Henggeler, A. Furrer, H.-U. Gudel, K. Kramer, and H. Mutka, Phys. Rev. B 63, 172414 (2001); Ch. Ruegg, N. Cavadini, A. Furrer, H.-U. Gudel, K. Kramer, H. Mutka, A. Wildes, K. Habicht, and P. Vorderwisch, Nature (London) 423, 62 (2003).
- [5] H. Tanaka, A. Oosawa, T. Kato, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi,
 K. Kakurai, and A. Hoser, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 70, 939 (2001).
- [6] M. M atsum oto, B. Norm and, T. M. Rice, and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 077203 (2002).
- [7] T.Giam archiand A.M.T svelik, Phys. Rev. B 59, 11398 (1999); T.Nikuni, M.Oshikawa. A.Oosawa, and H. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5868 (2000).
- [8] M . M atsum oto, private com m unication.
- [9] S.W essel, M. O Ishanii, and S.Haas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 206407 (2001).
- [10] A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. B 59, R14157 (1999).
- [11] A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. B 56, 11678 (1997).
- [12] A. Domeich and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. E 64, 066701 (2001).
- [13] O.F. Syljasen and A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. E 66, 046701 (2002). Because the Ham iltonian is isotropic in spin space and non-vanishing magnetic elds are considered, these loops are not entirely bounce-free.
- [14] M. Troyer and M. Im ada, Computer Simulations in Condensed Matter Physics X, eds. D. P. Landau et al, (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997).
- [15] M. Hasenbusch and T. Toeroek, unpublished (cond-m at/9904408).
- [16] A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5196 (1998).
- [17] We have performed simulations for system sizes L 20. However, data obtained for L = 20 neither improves the results nor reduces the error bars appreciably, and we have restricted production runs to system sizes L 16.
- [18] The errors 1 in Fig. 4 (a) are due to uncertainties in h_{c1}, which dem and a two parameter t. Because h_{c2} is known, 2 can be obtained from a single parameter t, resulting in correspondingly smaller uncertainties 2, which are smaller than the symbols of Fig. 4 (b). The error quoted for the measured critical eld [5], H_{c1} = 5:7 (1) T, yields a 25% error in 1, which is not shown in Fig. 4.