M echanisms of recoverable prevalence and extinction of viruses on linearly growing scale-free networks

Yukio Hayashi
Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology,
Ishikawa, 923-1292, Japan
(Dated: January 16, 2022)

We investigate mechanisms of the typically observed recoverable prevalence in epidemic spreading. A ssuming the time-independent connectivity correlations, we analyze the dynamics of spreading on linearly growing scale-free (SF) networks, and derive the extinction condition related to the rates of network growth, infection, and immunization of viruses. The behavior is consistent with the previous results for SF networks by a mean-eld approximation without connectivity correlations. In particular, it is suggested that the growing must be stopped to prevent the spreading of infection. This insight helps to understand the spreading phenomena on communication or social networks.

PACS numbers: 87.19 Xx, 87.23 Ge, 05.70 Ln, 05.65 + b

In the world-wide communication and transportation, we are able to work on rapid consideration or decision for scienti c, technological, social, and business trends, while we are also frighten by threat to dangerous viruses for computer systems and our body itself. The needs become larger for understanding the mechanism of epidem ic spreading and for nding e ective strategies of the prevention against huge dam age in economy or life by computer viruses via e-mails [10] [21], human immunode ciency virus (H IV) [12], severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) [13], and so on. For the purposes, the study of infection disease on network models is useful. In particular, we should remark that many real networks, whose node and link are corresponding to individual (computer or person) and the (communicational, pathological, or sexual) contact between them, have scale-free (SF) structures based on natural rules of network growth with new nodes and preferential attachment for linking as rich-get-richer phenomenon [2] [4], in which the degree distribution exhibits a power-law as k , 2 < < 3, for the probability of k connections in address-books of e-m ails [8] [9] or sexual partners [12].

It is very possible that there exist a common mechanism for epidem ic spreading in realistic SF networks, and that the extremely heterogeneity consisted of many normal nodes with less connectivities and a few hubs with much connectivities is crucial for the superspreading [13] and the persistence of viruses in long-time [21]. Indeed, the important properties of SF networks are the robust and vulnerable connectivities against random and targeted attacks, respectively [1]. The property is applicable for the targeted immunization for hubs [7] [19] to prevent the spreading of infection. Thus, the universal and robust structure in growing complex networks attracts physicists, and surprising results have been recently obtained.

In striking contrast with the usual models for epidem ic spreading [3], it has been shown [17] that a susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) model on heterogeneous SF networks has no epidem ic threshold at a large network

size nearly in nity; infection can be proliferated, whatever small infection rate they have. This result is closely related to the bond percolation problem. The epidem ic threshold in a susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) model coincides with the threshold for the bond percolation problem on networks [15]. On the other hand, in a closed system of the conventional susceptible-hidden-infectedrecovered (SHIR) or SIR model, the number of infection is initially increased and saturated, nally converged to zero as the extinction. The pattern may be dierent in an open system, in fact, oscillations have been described by a determ inistic Kerm ack-McKendrik model [20]. However a constant population (equal rates of the birth and the death) or territorial competition has been mainly discussed in the model, the growth of computer network or world-wide hum an contacts is obviously more rapid, and the communications of mailing or the transportations are not competitive. Even in a new report form odeling the SARS epidem ic [13], the mechanism of widely rapid spreading is not clear enough, though further treatments for the heterogeneity in transmission through superspreaders has been pointed out and the e ectiveness of quarantine has been discussed. The strategic quarantine in both the model and experiences in Hong Kon is potentially related to prevent the typically observed recoverable prevalence [10] [21] in an open system as growing with new individuals. Fig. 1 shows an example of typically observed pattern in the prevalence of computer viruses.

Thus, we consider a growing system on heterogeneous SF networks for e-mails or human contacts, and investigate the mechanisms of recoverable prevalence and extinction of infection. In the previous paper [9], we have derived the conditions of extinction for the spreading in deterministic SIR models on SF networks by a mean-eld approximation without the connectivity correlations. Although the connectivity correlations are not found in all growing network models and real systems [18], they are at least quantitatively signicant for the spreading on heterogeneous SF networks [5] [6] [11]. In this paper, we extend the previous results to correlated cases between




FIG. 1: Typically observed pattern as recoverable prevalence of the computer virus N IM D A extracted from [21].

the degree of connections, and discuss the mechanisms of recoverable prevalence and extinction on linearly growing SF networks.

Heterogeneous SIR model. On heterogeneous SF networks, we consider a determ in istic SIR model [14] with m acroscopic equations for the number of states. The state transition is from susceptible, infected, to recovered or immune, whose numbers for each connectivity kare denoted by S_k (t) > 0, I_k (t) > 0, and R_k (t) > 0, respectively. M icroscopically, susceptible nodes stochastically become the infected by contacts with infected nodes, which are recovered by the detection or immunization. Assuming that infection sources exist in an initial small network and that both network growth and the spread of viruses are simultaneously progressed, we introduce a linear kemel [11] as N_k (t) $t_{k} N_{k} (t) = S_{k} (t) +$ a_k I_k (t) + R $_k$ (t), the growth rate $A_k = A_k$, A $_k = A_k$, A $_k = A_k$ N ote that the total N (t) = $A_k = A_k$, A $_k = A_k$) t m eans a linear growth of network size. Since the maxim um degree increases as progressing the time and approaches to in nity, it has a nearly constant growth rate $P = 1 \atop k=m = a_k = m \atop m = 1$ Ak $= \frac{A \, m^{-1}}{1}$ for large t. As shown in [11], the introduction of linear kernel is not contradiction with the preferential (linear) attachment [2] [4].

W e $\,$ rst consider a sim ple case w ith only the detection of viruses. The time evolutions of $S_k>0$ and $I_k>0$ for each class of the connectivity k are given by

$$\frac{dS_k(t)}{dt} = bkS_k(t) + a_k; \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{dI_k(t)}{dt} = {}_{0}I_k(t) + bkS_k(t) {}_{k}(t); \qquad (2)$$

where b and $_0$ denote the infection and detection rates between 0 and 1, the shadow variable R $_k$ (t) is in plic—thy de ned by $\frac{dR_k \ (t)}{dt} = _0 I_k \ (t)$. The factor $_k \ (t) \stackrel{def}{=} _1 \frac{n_{k1}}{n_k} I_1 \ (t)$ represents the expectation of infection by contacts from degree classes flg to the degree class k.

We assume the correlation between degrees n_{k1} for n_{lk} , $n_k \stackrel{\rm def}{=}^P_{1}n_{k1}$, and N_{k1} n_{k1} twhich is defined by the number of nodes with degree k attached to a node with degree latthetimet. We can easily check $\frac{dN_{k}}{dt}=a_k$ and the solution N_{k} (t) = N_{k} (0) + a_k to a_k to because of the initial small size (almost all N_{k} (0) = 0 except for a few small k). The network is keeping the power-law degree distribution P(k) k in growing. Although the growing in our model is not exactly same in K rapivsky-Render's GN model [11], the growth rate a_k is close to their $n_k = \frac{4}{k\,(k+1)\,(k+2)}$ 4k 3 in large t.

W e consider a section of $I_1=I_1$: const. for all $1 \notin k$. Fig. 2 (a) shows the nullclines of

$$\frac{dS_k}{dt} = 0 : S_k = \frac{a_k}{kb_k} = \frac{a_k n_k}{kb(n_{kk} I_k + \frac{a_k n_{kl} I_l}{k} n_{kl} I_l)};$$

$$\frac{dI_{k}}{dt} = 0 : S_{k} = \frac{0 I_{k}}{kb_{k}} = \frac{n_{k} 0 I_{k}}{kb(n_{kk} I_{k} + n_{k} I_{k})};$$

and the vector $\,$ led for Eqs. (1)(2). There exist an equilibrium point: $\rm I_k = \frac{a_k}{_0} = \frac{A}{_0\,k}$, $\rm S_k = \frac{a_k}{_bk}$

 $\frac{A_0^2}{bk^2+1}\frac{0}{1}n_{k1}$. On the state space, the viruses are not extinct by only the detection in even $I_1=0$ (1 & k), unless the growing is stopped as $a_k=0$.

E ect of im m unization. Next, we study the e ect of random and hub im m unization. W ith the random ly im – m une rate 0 < $_{\rm r}$ < 1, the tim e evolutions are given by

$$\frac{dS_k(t)}{dt} = bkS_k(t) + a_k rS_k(t);$$
 (3)

$$\frac{dI_k(t)}{dt} = {}_{0}I_k(t) + bkS_k(t) _k(t) _rI_k(t); (4)$$

where the shadow variable R $_k$ (t) is also de ned by $\frac{dR_k \; (t)}{dt} = \ _0 \, I_k \; (t) + \ _r \; (S_k \; (t) + \ I_k \; (t)) \, .$

On the section by assuming $9I_1 > 0$ for $1 \in k$, the nullclines are

$$\frac{dS_k}{dt} = 0 : S_k = \frac{a_k}{a_k + kb_k};$$

$$\frac{dI_k}{dt} = 0 : S_k = \frac{(0 + r)I_k}{bk_k};$$


for Eqs. (3)(4). At the intersection of nullclines, from $I_k = \frac{a_k}{_0+} \frac{_rS_k}{_r} = \frac{a_k}{_0+} \frac{_r}{_r} \quad 1 \quad \frac{_r}{_r+kb} _k \quad \text{, the self-consistent solution is given by}$


$$_{k} = \frac{P}{n_{P}^{1}} \frac{n_{k1}}{n_{k}} I_{1} = \frac{1}{n_{k} (r + 0)}$$


$$_{1 \in k} a_{1} n_{k1} 1 \frac{r}{r + 1 b_{1}} + a_{k} n_{kk} 1 \frac{r}{r + k b_{k}}$$
(5)

When the right hand side of (5) is denoted by f ($_k$), for 9 $_k > 0$, $\frac{\text{df (}_k))}{\text{d}_k}$ j $_{k=0} > 1$ is necessary. The condition is

$$\frac{\mathrm{df}(_{k})}{\mathrm{d}_{k}}_{\mathrm{k}=0} = \frac{\mathrm{ka}_{k}\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{n}_{kk}}{\mathrm{n}_{k}_{\mathrm{r}}(_{\mathrm{r}}+_{0})} > 1:$$
 (6)










FIG. 2: Nullclines and the vector elds near an equilibrium point. (a) damping oscillation on a section \mathbf{I}_1 : const. for all l\u00e9 k, (b) nearly extinction on a section $\mathbf{I}_1=0$, (c) divergence from a saddle, and (d) convergence to the extinction \mathbf{I}_k ! 0 on a section $\mathbf{I}_1=0$.

This means the growth and infection rates should be larger than the immune and detection rates according to the connectivity correlation of degree k.

On the other hand, we assum e $I_1 = 0$ for $l \in k$ to discuss the extinction. The necessary condition of extinction

is given by that the point $(0; \frac{a_k}{r})$ on the hyperbolic null-cline of $\frac{dS_k}{dt} = 0$ is below the line $S_k = \hat{S}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(0+r)n_k}{kbn_{kk}}$: const. of $\frac{dI_k}{dt} = 0$. From the condition

$$\frac{a_k}{r} < \frac{(0 + r)n_k}{kbn_{kk}}; \tag{7}$$

we obtain

$$_{r} > p \frac{p}{0 + p \frac{2}{0} + 4ka_{k}b n_{kk} = n_{k}}}{p + 2ka_{k}b n_{kk};}$$
 (8)

for $n_k \ \ a_k$ asym ptotically. From $\ _{\rm r}$ < 1, we further derive

$$A < \min_{k = m} \frac{(1 + 2_0)n_k m^{-1}}{bn_{kk}}$$
;

as a limitation of growth rate or a weak correlation

$$n_{kk} < \frac{1+2_0}{4kb};$$

for the extinction. In this extinction case, there exists a stable equilibrium point, otherwise a saddle and a stable equilibrium point as shown in Figs. 2 (c) (d). Note that $_{\rm r}>0$ is in portant for the extinction. When no growing $a_k=0$ (as a closed system) is applied to the above results, the condition (6) for damping oscillation is not satisfed, while the extinction condition (8) is satisfed. In other words, it is suggested that a growing network causes the recoverable prevalence of infection.

By replacing $a_k=A\,k$ with the Kirapivsky-Render's n_k [11] and using their $n_{kk}=\frac{(k-1)\,(5k+2)}{k^2\,(k+1)^2\,(2k+1)\,(2k-1)}$, k-2, we can check that $n_{kk}<\frac{1+2\,0}{4kb}$ is satis ed for any 0< b; 0<1. The reason why viruses tend to be extinct in their GN m odel by even random imm unization is that the infected parts are disconnected by recovered nodes on the tree structure, while our model generally has other bypass links than the links of tree in the difference of the degree distributions $a_k t$ and their $n_k t$ for small k, therefore infection can be spreading.

M oreover, for the hub im m unization [7], $_{\rm r}$ is replaced by 0 < $_{\rm h}$ k < k , > 0. Then, the necessary condition of extinction in (8) is relaxed to larger

$$A < \min_{k = m} \frac{(m + 2_0)n_k m^{(+ 1)}}{bn_{kk}}$$
;

$$n_{kk} < \frac{m (m + 2_0)}{4kb}$$
:

However this is under the assumption of $I_1=0$. If the general case for a section $I_1\not=0$ is similar to Fig. 2 (a), then viruses in the class of degree k are not extinct unless the growing is stopped as $a_k=0$, otherwise they approaches to the extinction as shown from Fig. 2 (b)

to (d). In fact, at the point $(:::;I_k;S_k;:::;I_1;S_1;:::) = (:::;0;\frac{a_k}{r};:::;0;\frac{a_1}{r};:::)$, the eigenvalues of Jacobian

```
·. :::
               ::: ::: :::
                                  ::: :::<sub>C</sub>
BBBBBBBBBBBB
                q_{kk} ::: 0
   :::
                                   q_{k1} ....
        0
   :::
         0
                C_{lk}
                     :::
         0
                      ::: 0
                                  d_{11}
               ::: ::: :::
                                 :::
   ::: :::
```

are = $_{\rm r}$ < 0 and d_{kk} < 0 from the condition (7), where d_{kk} $\stackrel{\rm def}{=}$ ($_{\rm 0}$ + $_{\rm r}$) + $\frac{ka_kbn_{kk}}{rn_k}$ and $c_{k1} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \frac{ka_kbn_{k1}}{rn_k}$ $\frac{kbn_{k1}}{rn_k}$, if c_{k1} and c_{lk} with respect to the cross correlations

are negligibly weak. A nother extinction route is given by $b \cdot 0$, in which case the condition (8) is satisfied for any A and n_{kk} . It is consistent with the result of no epidem ic threshold [17].

These dynam ics are qualitatively same to the previous results by a mean-eld approximation without the connectivity correlations [9]. In sum mary, we have investigate mechanisms of recoverable prevalence and extinction of viruses in SIR models with the connectivity correlations on linearly growing SF networks, and derived the necessary condition of extinction related to the growth, infection, detection, and immune rates. The results suggest that we must stop the growing of network or close it by quarantine to prevent the spreading of infection. A more general case in linearly growing SF networks on no assumption of correlation N $_{\rm k1}$ $_{\rm nk1}$ t is further study.

- [1] R. Albert, H. Jeong, and A.-L. Barabasi. \Error and attack tolerance of complex networks," nature, vol.406, pp.378-382, 2000.
- [2] R.A lbert, and A.H.Barabasi. \Statistical Mechanics of Complex Networks," arX iv cond-mat/0106096v1, 2001.
- [3] R. M. Anderson, and R. M. M. ay. Infectious D iseases of Humans, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [4] A.-L.Barabasi, R.A. lbert, and H. Jeong. \M ean-eld theory for scale-free random networks, Physica A, vol. 272, pp. 173-187, 1999.
- [5] M. Boguna, and R. Pastor-Satorras. \Epidem ic spreading in correlated complex networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 64, 047104, 2002.
- [6] D S.Callaway et al. \A re random ly grown graphs really random ?," Physical Review E, Vol. 64, 041902, 2001.
- [7] Z.Dezso, and A.L.Barabasi. \Halting viruses in scale-free networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 65, 055103, 2002.
- [8] H. Ebel, L.-I. M ielsch, and S. Bomholdt. \Scale-free topology of e-m ail networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 66, 035103(R), 2002.
- [9] Y. Hayashi, and M. M. inoura. \Recoverable prevalence in growing scale-free networks and the elective immunization," arX iv cond-mat/0305549, 2003.
- [10] J.O. Kephart, and S.R. White.\M easuring and Modeling Computer Virus Prevalence," Proc. of the 1993 IEEE Comp. Soc. Symp. on Res. in Security and Privacy, pp. 2-15, 1993.
- [11] P.L.K rapivsky, and S.R ender. \O rganization of growing

- networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 63, 066123, 2001.
- [12] F. Liljeros et al. \The web of hum an sexual contacts," Science, Vol. 411, 907, 2001.
- [13] M. Lipstich et al. \Transmission Dynamics and Control of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome," Sciencexpress, Report, 23 May 2003. http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/rapidpdf/1086616v1.pdf
- [14] Y. Moreno, R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani. \Epidem ic outbreaks in complex heterogeneous networks," Euro. Phys. J., Vol. 26, 521, 2002.
- [15] M E J. Newman. \Spread of epidemic disease on networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 65, 016128, 2002.
- [16] M E J. Newm an, S. Forrest, and J. Balthrop. \Em ail networks and the spread of com puter viruses," Physical Review E, Vol. 66, 035101, 2002.
- [17] R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani. \Epidem ic dynam ics and epidem ic states in complex networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 63, 066117, 2001.
- [18] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vazquez, and A. Vespignani. \Dynamical and Correlation Properties of the Internet," Physical Review Letters, Vol. 87, 258701, 2001.
- [19] R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani. \Im munization of complex networks," Physical Review E, Vol. 65, 036104, 2002.
- [20] N. Shigesada, and K. Kawasaki. Biological Invasions: Theory and Practice, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [21] http://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/