

Meingast et al. reply: In this Reply we address a Comment by R.S. Markiewicz [1] on our Letter [2].

In classical superconductors Cooper-pair formation and phase coherence occur simultaneously as the temperature is lowered below T_c . In high-temperature superconductors (HTSC), on the other hand, the small superfluid density and low associated phase stiffness of the superconducting condensate are expected to lead to a separation of the Cooper-pair formation and the phase-coherence temperatures, especially in underdoped materials [3]. The only real phase transition in this scenario is the 3d-XY phase-ordering transition at T_c [3,4]. In our Letter [2] we showed that T_c in underdoped and optimally doped YBCO is just such a phase-ordering temperature, and then the question naturally arises - where do the Cooper pairs form? The observed 3d-XY scaling of our thermal expansion data over a wide temperature range [2] suggests that pairing occurs at temperatures considerably above T_c , and it thus appeared quite natural for us to associate the opening of the pseudogap at T^* with Cooper-pair formation [2]. We did not provide a temperature scale for T^* , because we do not directly observe it in our data. Our T_c^{MF} , which quantifies the strength of the fluctuations, should not be confused with T^* .

Markiewicz [1] criticizes the above scenario because he *incorrectly* assumes that superconducting fluctuations should extend all the way up to T^* . The mere presence of a pairing amplitude is, however, not sufficient for superconducting fluctuations, because these also require some phase coherence. *In fact it is the phase-coherence temperature, i.e. T_c , and not T^* , which sets the temperature scale for superconducting fluctuations.* For example, in superfluid ^4He there are no signs of superfluidity considerably above T_λ even though there exists a full amplitude of 'preformed pairs' (bosons) up to much higher temperatures. The onset of phase fluctuations ($T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$) for YBCO as a function of doping (see Fig. 1) can be estimated using the simple anisotropic 3d-XY model, in which $T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$ is roughly $1.5 \times T_c$ and $2 \times T_c$ for the isotropic (3d) and nearly 2-dimensional (2d) cases, respectively (see Fig. 2b of Ref. [2]). In Fig. 1 we have assumed that YBCO is in the 2d limit in the strongly underdoped region ($T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}} = 2 T_c$), then smoothly starts to cross over to 3d behavior somewhat below optimal doping, becomes 3d at optimal doping ($T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}} = 1.5 T_c$), and finally crosses over to mean-field like behavior in the overdoped region ($T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}} = T_c$). Fig.1 shows that $T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$ in the 3d-XY approach essentially follows T_c and not T^* , as claimed in Ref. [1]. T_c^{MF} , as determined in our

Letter [2], should always lie between T_c and $T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$. In the 3d-XY approach, the phase transition is due to the unbinding of vortex loops [4], and one expects vortex-like correlations to persist above T_c in the phase-fluctuation region bounded by $T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$. Such correlations have been observed using the Nernst effect [5], and the doping dependence of the onset of this vortex-like Nernst signal is very similar to our $T_{\text{fluct.}}^{\text{onset}}$, providing further support for 3d-XY scaling in underdoped HTSC. Finally, recent theoretical works [6] indicate that much of the anomalous 'normal-state' properties of HTSC can be understood in the framework of this precursor superconductivity scenario.

C. Meingast,¹ V. Pasler,¹ P. Nagel,¹ A. Rykov,² S. Tajima,² and P. Olsson³

¹Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Festkörperphysik, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

²Superconductivity Research Lab-ISTEC, 10-13 Shinonome 1-chome, Koto-ku, Tokyo, Japan

³Department of Theoretical Physics, Umeå University, S90187 Umeå, Sweden

- [1] R.S. Markiewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [2] C. Meingast et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1606 (2001).
- [3] V.J. Emery and S.A. Kivelson, Nature (London) 374, 434 (1995).
- [4] A.K. Nguyen, et al., Phys. Rev. B60, 15307 (1999); Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B59, 6449 (1999).
- [5] Y. Wang, et al., Phys. Rev. B64, 224519 (2001).
- [6] see e.g. Z. Tesanovic, et al., Phys. Rev. B65, 180511R (2002); P. Pieri et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 127003 (2002); and References within.




Fig. 1. Onset of phase fluctuations, $T_{\text{onset}}^{\text{fluct.}}$, T_c and the pairing (or pseudogap) temperature T^* for YBCO within a simple 3d-XY model. (see text for details)