M odulational Instability and C om plex D ynam ics of C on ned M atter-W ave Solitons

L.Salasnich¹, A.Parola² and L.Reatto¹

¹ Istituto N azionale per la F isica della M ateria, U nita di M ilano, D ipartim ento di F isica, U niversita di M ilano,

V ia Celoria 16, 20133 M ilano, Italy

² Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia, Unita di Como, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Universita dell'Insubria,

Via Valeggio 11, 23100 Como, Italy

We study the form ation of bright solitons in a Bose-E instein condensate of ⁷Liatom s induced by a sudden change in the sign of the scattering length from positive to negative, as reported in a recent experiment (Nature 417, 150 (2002)). The numerical simulations are performed by using the 3D G ross-P itaevskii equation (GPE) with a dissipative three-body term. We show that a number of bright solitons is produced and this can be interpreted in term softhem odulational instability of the tim e-dependent m acroscopic wave function of the Bose condensate. In particular, we derive a simple form ula for the number of solitons that is in good agreement with the numerical results of 3D GPE. By investigating the long time e-colution of the soliton train solving the 1D GPE with three-body dissipation we nd that adjacent solitons repeleach other due to their phase di erence. In addition, we nd that during the motion of the soliton train in an axial harm onic potential the num ber of solitons the density of individual peaks shows an interm ittent behavior. Such a com plex dynam ics explains the \m issing solitons" frequently found in the experiment.

03.75 Fi; 32.80 Pj; 42.50 V k

The recent experimental observation of dark [1] and bright [2,3] solitons in Bose-E instein condensates has renew ed the interest in the intriguing dynam ical phenom – ena of nonlinear matter waves [4]. In the experiment of Strecker et al. [3] soliton trains have been formed by making a stable condensate of ⁷Li atoms with a large positive scattering length a_s using a Feshbach resonance and then switching a_s to a negative value. The form ation of the soliton train has been interpreted as due to quantum mechanical phase uctuations of the Bosonic eld operator [5]. By imposing a suitable space dependent pattern in the initial phase of the Bose condensate and then using the 1D time-dependent G ross-P itaevskii equation (GPE), AlK haw a ja et al. [5] have reproduced the form ation of the soliton train.

In this paper we show that the form ation and subsequent evolution of a soliton train can be adequately investigated by using the classical (mean-eld) timedependent 3D GPE with a dissipative term which takes into account the three-body recombination process that is crucial during the collapse of the condensate [6]. The multi-soliton con guration is obtained without im printing the initial wave function with a uctuating phase. We show that the soliton train is produced by the modulational instability (MI) of the evolving classical phase of the Bose condensate (see also [7]). M I is a nonlinear wave phenom enon in which an exponential grow th of small perturbations results from the interplay between nonlinearity and anom alous dispersion. M I has been previously studied for waves in uids [8], in plasm a physics [9], in nonlinear optics [10], and also in the context of the super uid-insulator transition of a Bose-E instein condensate trapped in a periodic potential [11]. Here we nd that the num ber of bright solitons induced by M I is given by a simple analytical form ula which reproduces our num erical simulations. By investigating the long time evolution of the soliton train under the action of a harm onic

potential of frequency $!_z$ in the travelling axial direction we nd that the center of mass motion is periodic with frequency $!_z$ but the density of each solitonic peak strongly changes in time.

At zero temperature the macroscopic wave function (r;t) of a Bose-Einstein condensate made of ^{7}Li atom s can be modeled by the following dissipative timedependent G ross-P itaevskii equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\theta}{\theta t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}r^2 \quad U \quad gNjj^2 + iN^2jj^4 = 0; (1)$$

where $g = 4 h^2 a_s = m$, a_s is the swave scattering length, m the atom ic m ass, N is the number of condensed atom s and is the strength of the dissipative three-body term [6]. At t = 0 the condensate wave function is norm alized to one. Following the experiment of Strecker et al. [3], the external potential U (r) is given by

U (r) =
$$\frac{m}{2}$$
 !²_? (x² + y²) + ²!²_zz² + V_L (z) (2)

where V_L (z) is the box optical potential that initially connes the condensate in the longitudinal direction. The harm onic connem ent is an isotropic with $!_2 = 2$ 800 Hz and $!_z = 2$ 4 Hz. is a parameter that modiles the intensity of connem ent in the axial direction.

To investigate the formation of the train of bright solitons, we rst calculate the ground-state wave function of the condensate with a positive scattering length $a_s = 100a_B$, where $a_B = 0.53A$ is the Bohr radius, by using Eq. (1) with imaginary time and = 0. The numerical code implements in cylindric symmetry (z;r) a nite-di erence splitting method (spatial grid with 400 100 points) with a predictor-corrector algorithm to treat the nonlinear term [12]. We choose a condensate with longitudinal width L = 284.4 m and $N = 10^4$ atom s. Then we use the ground-state wave function as initial condition for the time-evolution of Eq. (1) with

 $a_s = 3a_B$, $V_L(z) = 0$ and = 0. Following [6] we choose $= 1.77 \ 10^{11}$ ($h!_z$)= a_z^6 . is important during the collapse, because is xes the critical density at which the compression ceases.




FIG.1. Axial density pro le (z) of the Bose-Einstein condensate m ade of 10⁴ ⁷Liatom s obtained by solving dissipative 3D GPE.Fort < 0 the scattering length is $a_s = 100a_B$, while for t 0 we set $a_s = 3a_B$ with a_B the Bohr radius and $V_L = 0$. External harm onic potential given by Eq. (2) with = 0. Length z in units $a_z = (h=m!_z)^{1=2}$, density in units $1=a_z$ and tim e t in units $!_z^{-1}$.

In Figure 1 we plot the probability density in the longitudinal direction $(z) = dxdyj(x;y;z)^2$ of the evolving wave-function. The initially hom ogeneous condensate shows the formation of 5 peaks. Note that initially the phase of the condensate is set equal to zero. After the formation, the peaks start to separate each other showing a repulsive force between them. Eventually each peak evolves with small shape oscillations but without appreciable dispersion. This means that strictly speaking the train is not m ade of shape-invariant waves. We will use the word \solitons" to indicate the peaks of the train because they remain spatially localized during the time evolution. The form ation of these solitons can be explained as due to the modulational instability (MI) of the time-dependent wave function of the Bose condensate, driven by in aginary Bogoliubov excitations [5]. The Bogoliubov elementary excitations k of the static Bose condensate (r) can be found from Eq. (1) by looking for solutions of the form (r;t) = e ^{i t=h} (r) + u_k (r) $e^{i_k t = h}$ + v_k (r) $e^{i_k t = h}$; and keeping terms linear in the complex functions u (r) and v (r). Neglecting the dissipative term , in the quasi-1D lim it [13] one nds $_{k}$ = $h^{2}k^{2}=(2m) h^{2}k^{2}=(2m) + 2g_{1D}n$; where $g_{1D} = g = (2 a_2^2)$ with $a_2 = \frac{p}{h = (m!_2)}$, n = N = Lis the linear density and L is the length of the condensate. By suddenly changing the scattering length as to a negative value, the excitations frequencies corresponding to $k < k_c = 16$ jg_{1D} jn become in aginary

and, as a result, sm all perturbations grow exponentially in time. It is easy to nd that the maximum rate of growth is at $k_0 = k_c = 2$. The wavelength of this mode is $_0 = 2 = k_0$ and the ratio $L = _0$ gives an estimate of the number N_s of bright solitons which are generated: N_s = $\frac{N}{N}$ ja_s jL = (a₂):




FIG.2. Axial density pro le (z) of the Bose-Einstein condensate m ade of 10⁴ ⁷Liatom s at t = 4.6 obtained by solving dissipative 3D GPE for three values of the nal scattering length a_s . External harm onic potential given by Eq. (2) with = 0. Scattering length a_s in units of the Bohr radius a_B . Units as in Figure 1.

As predicted by this formula, Figure 2 shows that the number of peaks grows with the scattering length. The predicted number N_s of solitons is in very good agreement with the numerical results: N_s = 2:60 for $a_s = 1a_B$, N_s = 4:51 for $a_s = 3a_B$ and N_s = 5:82 for $a_s = 5a_B$. The period $_0 = h=Im$ (γ_{k_0}) associated to them ost unstablemode is given by $_0 = m L^2 = (hN_s^2)$; in rough agreement with the numerically estimated formation time of the strongest uctuations in the number of peaks belonging to the soliton train.

In the experiment of Strecher et al. [3] there are initially about 10^5 atom s. In this case our numerical (see below) and analytical results predict the formation of about N_s = 15 solitons, that is twice the number experimentally detected. We have veried that the number of solitons does not depend on the dissipation constant

of Eq. (1) but increasing the densities of solitons are reduced and their widths are increased. Note that in this system recombination processes have not been investigated experimentally and these phenomena can a ect the imaging process of soliton train densities.

We have also veri ed that the number of solitons increases by increasing t, the delay time between the removal of endcaps and the change of scattering length (in gure 1 and 2 it is t = 0). For instance, with the data and units of Figure 2, N_s = 6 for t = 0.3 and N_s = 7 for t = 0.6. That is a simple consequence of the enlargement of the axial width of the Bose-E instein condensate, in full agreement with the experimental results [3].




FIG.3. Ratio $N_R = N$ as a function of time for two neighboring solitons with an initial phase di erence and spatial separation $2z_0 = 6$. $N = N_R + N_L$ is the sum of the number N_R of atoms in the right soliton and the number N_L of atoms in the left soliton. N $ja_s j=a_1 = 10$. Results obtained by solving conservative NPSE. External harm onic potential given by Eq. (2) with $!_2 = !_z = 10$ and = 0. Units as in Figure 1.

In order to clarify the role of phases in the interaction between bright solitons we consider a simpler model: two solitons without dissipation. It has been found that the e ective interaction between two bosonic matter waves depends on their phase di erence , being proportional to $a_s \cos()$ [5,14]. The axial wave function f(z) of a bright soliton under transverse harm onic con nem ent can be analytically determined [14] by using the nonpolynom ial Schrodinger equation (NPSE), an e ective 1D equation we have recently derived from the 3D GPE [15]. In [14,15] we have shown that 1D GPE reproduces bright solitons of 3D GPE only when the Bose condensate is strongly cigar-shaped. Instead, NPSE always reproduces 3D GPE solitons with great accuracy. As initial condition for the num erical solution of the tim edependent NPSE (spatial grid of 10^4_{D} points) we choose $(z) = f(z z_0) + f(z + z_0)e^i = 2$, where is the phase di erence of the two neighboring solitons centered in z_0 and z_0 .

In Figure 3 we plot the time evolution of N_R = N, where N_R is the num ber of atom s in the right soliton, for some values of with N $j_{a_s} j_{=a_?} = 1 = 10$, $!_? = !_z = 10$ and = 0. For = 0 the two solitons are attractive and eventually form a static peak which radiates sm all waves. For = =4 the centers of the two solitons do not change with time but they exchange atoms as in a Josephson junction. O byiously the amount of atom exchange will depend on the details of the two solitons at initial time (widths and separation distance). We nd such a com plex exchange of atom s for 0 << =2, while for =2 the two solitons are weakly repulsive, their = shapes change with time and eventually two solitons of di erent density appear because the Josephson exchange cannot continue when the two solitons separate due to their repulsive interaction. Note that with = =2 the densities of the two peaks are inverted. For larger values of the dynamics is quite similar to the $= = 2 \operatorname{case}$, with two solitons of di erent shapes getting away from

each other. The fraction of exchanged atom s between the two solitons goes to zero as approaches due to the increase of repulsive interaction and the decrease of interaction time. Exactly at = parity symmetry of the problem inhibit atom exchange.



FIG.4. Moving soliton train m ade of Bose condensed ⁷Li atom s obtained by solving the dissipative 1D GPE. Initially there are N = 10^5 atom s. Three fram es: t = 0.8 (top), t = 1.6 (m iddle), and t = 3.8 (bottom). External harm onic potential given by Eq. (2) with = 1. Red color corresponds to highest density. Units as in Figure 1.

In the soliton train shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 the phase di erence of adjacent solitons is not im posed a priori at t = 0, like in Ref. [5], but is self consistently determ ined by the GPE.As a consequence, its value is not exactly equal to and it changes with time. It is interesting to study the long time evolution of the soliton train under axial harm onic con nem ent as done in the experim ent of Strecker et al. using 10^5 ⁷Liatom s [3]. Instead of 3D GPE in this num erical simulation we use the 1D GPE (spatial grid of 10⁴ points) with rescaled effective interaction and dissipative term [15], which allow s to extend our calculations to long times. As explained in [15], 1D GPE is obtained from 3D GPE by imposing a Gaussian wave function of width a₂ in the transverse direction. Note that we have veri ed that the 1D GPE results are in good agreem ent with 3D GPE ones for the set of param eters of Streker et al. experim ent [3].

In Figure 4 we show three fram es of the traveling train as color contour-plots of the density. In each pannel the orizontal axis is the z coordinate and the vertical axis is the x coordinate. The center of m ass of the soliton train oscillates with the frequency of the harm onic connem ent. M oreover, the solitons spread out in the middle and bunch at the turning points in very good agreem ent with the experimental results under similar conditions β].

It is interesting to observe that during the time evolution the densities of bright solitons oscillate in an irregularway and, at certain instants, a few solitons practically disappear and reappear after a while. Such interm ittent behavior is related to the com plex dynam ics of the bright soliton phases due to the presence of axial harm onic connem ent. As con rm ed in Figure 5, in absence of axial con nem ent (= 0), after a transient the peaks, separating each other, becom e true solitons. In particular, the num ber N $_{\rm p}$ of peaks in the train gets constant and equal to the analytically estimated number N $_{\rm s}$ of bright solitons. Instead, in presence of axial harm onic con nem ent (= 1), N $_{\rm p}$ changes in time. O by iously, in this case the peaks never become true solitons.




FIG.5. Number N_p of peaks in the train of Bose condensed ⁷Li atom s as a function of time t. N is the initial number of atom s. Simulation performed by using the dissipative 1D GPE (dotted line). The solid line is obtained from a smoothed nite-resolution density pro le of the train. External harm onic potential given by Eq. (2). Units as in Figure 1.

In the experim ent of Strecker et al. $[\beta] \mbox{ missing solitons"}$ are frequently observed during the tim e evolution of the train [16]. Our results strongly suggest that the phenom enon of $\mbox{ missing solitons"}$ is related to the interm ittent dynam ics of individual peaks in the train under axial harm onic con nem ent.

To simulate the nite resolution of the experimental detection and imaging process, we calculate the covolution $(z) = \frac{R}{dz^0G} (z z^0) (z^0)$ of the axial density prole (z) with a Gaussian G (z) having the width of a single

soliton. As shown in Figure 5, for = 1 the number N_p of peaks of the sm oothed density (z) oscillates in time around a mean value sm aller than N_s. In fact, many peaks of the train aro too close to be seen by using a nite resolution of the density: that becomes dramatic at the turning points. Thus, the e ect of nite resolution could explain the disagreement between the experimentally observed number of solitons and its analytical estimate based on m odulational instability.

In Figure 5 it is also shown the behavior of N_p with initially 10^4 atom s. Remarkably, in this case the largest values of N_p are obtained when the train is in the middle of the trap: contrary to the case with initially 10^5 atom s, the solitons bunch in the middle and spread out at the turning points. Such a phenom enon can be qualitatively explained observing that the energy of the repulsive interaction between solitons decreases by reducing the num ber of atom s [5,14]. It follows that below a critical threshold in the num ber of atom s the repulsive interaction between

solitons is overcom e by the potential energy of solitons and the solitons cross in the m iddle of the trap. We have veri ed that to get spreading solitons in the m iddle of the trap with initially 10^4 atoms it is necessary to strongly reduce the axial frequency (= 1=4).

In conclusion, we have successfully explained and num erically simulated the dynam ical process of soliton train formation induced by modulational instability in the fram ework of the tim e-dependent G ross-P itaevskii equation with a three-body dissipative term. Contrary to the claim of Al K haw a ja et al. [5], it is not necessary to include quantum phase uctuations to trigger the formation of the soliton train. We have also investigated the soliton-soliton interaction and found a novel phenom enon: the interm ittent dynam ics of individual peaks during the time evolution of the soliton train in an axial harm onic potential. Signatures of this phenom enon can be extracted from the data of the experim ent of Strecker et al. [3]. Because of the intim ate connection between atom optics with Bose-Einstein condensates and light optics we believe that the interm ittent dynam ics in soliton trainsmay be also observed with optical solitons in bres. L.S. thanks R.G. Hulet for m any useful e-discussions.

- [1] S. Burger et al., Phys. Rev. lett. 83, 5198 (1999); J.
 Denshlag et al., Science 287, 97 (2000).
- [2] L.K haykovich et al., Science 296, 1290 (2002).
- [3] K E. Strecker, G B. Partridge, A. Truscott, and R G. Hulet, Nature 417, 150 (2002).
- [4] W P.Reinhardt and C W. Clark, J. Phys. B 30, L785 (1997); V M. Perez-Garcia, H.M ichinel, and H.Herrero, Phys.Rev.A 57, 3837 (1998); Th.Busch and J.R.Anglin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 010401 (2001).
- [5] U.AlKhawaja, H.T.C.Stoof, R.G.Hulet, K.E.Strecker, and G.B.Partridge, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 200404 (2002).
- [6] Yu.Kagan, A E.M uryshev, and G V.Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev.Lett. 81, 933 (1998).
- [7] L D .Carr, C W .Clark, and W P.Reinhardt, Phys.Rev. A 62,063611 (2000).
- [8] T.B. Benjam in and J.E. Feir, J. Fluid. Mech. 27, 417 (1967).
- [9] T. Taniuti and H. W ashim i, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 209 (1968); A. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 1165 (1970).
- [10] LA.O strovskii, Sov.Phys.JEPT 24, 797 (1969); K.Tai,
 A.Hasegawa, and A.Tom ita, Phys.Rev.Lett. 56, 135 (1986).
- [11] A.Smerzi, A.Trom bettoni, P.G.Kevrekidis, and A.R. Bishop, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 170402 (2002).
- [12] L.Salasnich, A.Parola, and L.Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 64, 023601 (2001).
- [13] F.Dalfovo, S.G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [14] L.Salasnich, A.Parola, and L.Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043603 (2002).
- [15] L. Salasnich, Laser Physics 12, 198 (2002); L. Salasnich, A. Parola, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043614

(2002).

[16] It is in portant to stress that in the experiment the imaging process is destructive: to follow the time evolution of the train the authors of [3] had to repeat m any times the experiment with the same initial conditions.