

# Modelling and Computer Simulation of an Insurance Policy: A Search for Maximum Profit

Muktish Acharyya<sup>1</sup> and Ajanta Bhowal Acharyya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Krishnanagar Government College,  
PO-Krishnanagar, Dist-Nadia, PIN-741101, W B, India  
E-mail: muktish@vsnl.net

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Hooghly Mohsin College,  
PO-Chinsurah, Dist-Hooghly, PIN-712101, W B, India

**Abstract:** We have developed a model for a life-insurance policy. In this model, the net gain is calculated by computer simulation for a particular type of lifetime distribution function. We observed that the net gain becomes maximum for a particular value of upper age for last premium. This paper is dedicated to Professor Dietrich Staufer on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

**Keywords:** Lifetime distribution, Insurance, premium

## 1. Introduction

The subject econophysics[1] has attracted much attention of statistical physicists due to its rich variety, applicability and utility in our socio-economic life. A great effort has already been made by the statistical physicists to develop some mathematical model for economic growth, to predict the high time for share and stock market, to study the fluctuations in financial index etc.[2] The active research is still going on in this field. The dependence of profit and loss of a marketing company on various parameters is also an interesting and important area of research in the field of econophysics. The insurance company (IC) is also a marketing company which always seeks for profit by providing various types of insurances for the common people. However, we did not find any work (in econophysics) to develop a model for any kind of insurance policy and to calculate

the profit as a function of various parameters. This type of study does not claim to be new to the insurance science but intends to introduce the econophysicists to this field by a simple example. Let us confine our attention to the life insurance only. The terms and conditions for a life insurance policy can be described simply as follows: one has to pay some amounts of money (called premium) yearly upto a certain age. If the person dies before the end of the policy his/her nominee will get some amounts of money (promised by IC) from the insurance company irrespective of the total amount of premium paid to IC by that person. Sometimes this is called sum assured value (SAV). Thus IC has some apparent loss since the person dies much before the age of last premium. If the person survives upto the end of this policy (s)he will also get some amount of money. This amount may be called the payment on maturity (POM). In this way IC provides insurance for life as well as acts as an investment sector for the common people. But naturally everybody will not die before the end of this policy. The deaths of people also obey some natural rule which is reflected in the statistical distribution of lifetimes which is called the rate of survival by demographers. The probability of survival upto an age is a nonmonotonic function having a maximum. That means, most of the persons in the society will die at that particular age due to various reasons. IC makes profit from the persons who survive at least upto the age of last premium. In this way, the IC exploits the nature of lifetime distribution and tries to get maximum profit.

In this paper we propose a model of a particular (life) insurance policy and study it by computer simulation. We calculated the net profit and its variation with the upper age (age for last premium of a person who is alive) of the insurance policy. Interestingly, we found, the existence of an upper age of last premium for which the profit will be maximum. Accordingly IC has to set the upper age of last premium to maximise the profit.

We have organised the paper as follows: In the next section we describe the model and its computer simulation method, in the third section we have shown the numerical results and the paper ends with a concluding remarks given in the last section.

## 2. The description of the model and simulation method

Before coming to the detailed description of our proposed model for life insurance, let us first explain in a bit detail what a life insurance is. A person having life insurance policy, has to pay some amount of money (fixed by the insurance company) every year starting from age  $T_i$  (fixed by the insurance company) until the age becomes  $T_f$  (also fixed by the insurance company). Here, it may be noted that this  $T_i$  is the minimum age to have insurance. One may take insurance after this age but we are considering here only those persons who took insurance at the age  $T_i$ . After paying the last premium he/she (if still alive) will get back some amount of money (with some interest), which is called payment on maturity (POM). But, if any person dies before the age ( $T_f$ ) of paying the last premium, his/her nominee will get the sum assured value (SAV) (amount promised by IC). Now if we think from the IC's point of view, every year IC is getting premiums from different persons and paying the SAV to those who are dying in that particular year.

So, there is a competition between the total premium collection and the payment of sum assured value (SAV) due to death. The difference of these two amounts is the yearly profit (Y P) of IC at that particular year.

Now, firstly, IC will invest these amounts of money (Y P) every year and at the end of the policy it will get some amount with interest. Secondly, at the end of the policy, IC has to pay the payment on maturity (POM) to the living persons. The net gain of IC, after the end of this policy, is simply the difference of these two amounts.

We design the model in the following way: suppose there are N number of persons of same age having a particular policy for the insurance of their life. The distribution of their lifetimes  $T_L$  is given by

$$P(T_L) = A T_L^a \exp(-T_L^b/c) \quad (1)$$

It should be mentioned here that the above distribution of lifetimes differs from that obtainable from the famous Gompertz (1825) law which states that the probability that an individual, now aged  $x$ , would survive to age  $x + 1$  is  $\exp[-\int_x^{x+1} B C^x dx] = e^{-k^x}$ . We compare the chosen distribution of lifetimes (eqn-1) with that obtained from available data [3] given in table-I. For a first estimate this assumption (eqn-1) may be good though precise estimates require an extrapolation of actually observed mortalities into the future. Here, the most probable lifetime is set at 75 years. To make these most probable lifetime at  $T_m = (\frac{ac}{b})^{\frac{1}{b}} = 75$  years we have taken  $a = 4.0$ ,  $b = 7.5$  and  $c = 2.16 \times 10^{14}$ . Suppose, an insurance company came to the market to insure the life of each of these N persons. We are considering a particular policy, for which, the minimum age, to have the policy, is taken as  $T_i = 25$  years. The conditions of the policy are as follows: (i) every person having policy, will have to pay a yearly premium of amount  $P_r$  (in some arbitrary unit of currency). The duration of such an insurance policy is  $n_t = T_f - T_i$  years. That means the last premium has to be paid by the person (if alive) in his/her age of  $T_f$  years. So, the person (if alive) is giving  $P_r(T_f - T_i)$  amount of money to the insurance company (IC). This total amount obtained from personal premium is R. We fixed the value  $R = 1$  (in arbitrary currency unit). Here, throughout this paper, all the amounts of money are calculated in the unit of R. The amount of yearly premium ( $P_r$ ) is calculated as  $P_r = R/(T_f - T_i)$ . It is expected that the POM will be higher than R. But, how the value of POM ( $P_m$ ) will be decided? In this model, we have considered this as follows: Suppose, the rate of simple interest given by IC is  $r_1$ . That means if someone invests the amount  $P_r$  yearly (as premium) for  $n_t$  years to IC, he/she will receive finally the amount  $P_m = P_r n_t (1 + \frac{r_1(n_t+1)}{200})$ . (ii) whereas if any policy holder dies at the age  $T_d (< T_f)$ , the IC will return the person the amount SAV ( $S_a$ ). It may be noted that  $S_a$  is set slightly higher than  $P_m$  which is generally done by IC. In this model, we have taken  $S_a = 1.1 P_m$ .

We have studied the model taking N different persons of same age and having the same type of life insurance policy. The lifetimes of N persons are distributed according to the relation (1). We set the initial and the final ages of this policy at  $T_i$  and  $T_f$  years respectively. It (same  $T_i$ ) means that all the persons in this set of peoples started their policy at their age of 25 years. Now the time evolution starts. The unit of time is in year. We first counted the number of persons  $N_L$  living in time T. Calculated the total premium

collected, i.e.,  $N_L P_r$ . At every time step (year), we also checked the number of persons who died  $N_d$ , (from the distribution of lifetime) in that particular year, to calculate the amount  $N_d S_a$  which has to be returned by the IC to the nominee of the persons died. Then calculated the gain in that year which is  $G^0 = N_L P_r - N_d S_a$ . IC will invest this amount of money every year (with simple rate of  $r_2$  percent interest) to make the profit. For that particular year, the profit is calculated as  $G(T) = G^0 (1 + r_2 (T_f - T) 0.01)$ . At the end of the year  $T_f$  the total profit of IC is calculated as  $\int_0^{T_f} G(T) dT$ . At this stage we calculated the number of persons still alive ( $N_a$ ) and subtracted the amount  $N_a P_m$  to calculate the net gain  $G_n = \int_0^{T_f} G(T) dT - N_a P_m$  of IC for this particular type of policy. We finally calculated, the net gain per head, i.e.,  $G_n/N$  and studied it as a function of  $T_f$ .

### 3. Numerical results

We studied the model described above for  $N = 100000$  taking  $r_1 = 2.0$  and  $r_2 = 8.0$ . The amount is taken in any arbitrary currency units.  $R = 1.0$ ,  $T_i = 25$  years. The results of numerical simulation are shown in fig-1 and fig-2. Figure-1 shows the distribution of lifetimes. Here it may be noted that the most probable lifetime was taken 75 years.

Table-I

| Age group   | Number of deaths |
|-------------|------------------|
| 1-4 years   | 5287             |
| 5-14 years  | 7647             |
| 15-24 years | 30,909           |
| 25-44 years | 1,30,886         |
| 45-64 years | 3,92,916         |
| 65-74 years | 4,53,411         |
| 75-84 years | 6,99,143         |
| 84 above    | 6,46,333         |

Table-I. The available data for mortality rates collected from ref. [3].




Fig.1. The unnormalised distribution of lifetimes (used in the simulation) is represented by symbol (generated by Monte Carlo method for  $N = 100000$ ). The solid smooth curve indicates the functional form of the distribution function  $P(x) = Ax^a \exp(-x^b/c)$ , taking  $a = 4.0$ ,  $b = 7.5$  and  $c = 2.16 \times 10^{14}$ . The histogram drawn by using the data given in table-I.

We studied the net gain  $G_n = N$  (per head) as a function of  $T_f$ . We found the upper age (upto which a person is liable to pay the premium)  $T_f$  for which the IC can get maximum gain. We found it is at 57 years. This result is plausible since it will be below the most probable lifetime  $T_m$ . Another important thing is the upper age limit for which the gain is negative i.e. loss. This we find (in fig-2) is around 77 years. It is also plausible since it is above the most probable lifetime ( $T_m = 75$  yrs). If any IC sets  $T_f$  much above  $T_m$  it definitely has to pay lots of money for deaths and cannot make the profit.

From these results, we observed that the upper age for maximum gain (per head) and the age for loss are independent of number of policy holders. This was shown in fig-2. The value of maximum gain per head is also independent of the number of policy holders. We have studied this for  $N = 1000, 10000$  and  $100000$ . For  $N = 1000$ , the gain is slightly lower than that obtained for  $N = 10000$  and  $100000$ . Figure-2 shows that the data for  $N = 10000$  and that for  $N = 100000$  are marginally distinguishable. That means the data for  $N = 100000$  do not suffer from finite size effect.




Fig.2. The variation of  $G_n/N$  with respect to  $T_f$ . The curve shows  $G_n/N$  will be maximum for  $T_f = 57$  and it will be negative for  $T_f = 77$ . Different symbols represent different number of persons.  $N = 1000$  (○),  $N = 10000$  (+) and  $N = 100000$  (□).

#### 4. Summary

We proposed a model insurance (life) policy and studied it by computer simulation. For large number of policy holders ( $N = 100000$ ), we have considered a nonmonotonic statistical distribution of lifetimes. The insurance company came to the market and give insurance to all people having same age. We studied the net gain (per head) of IC as a function of the upper age of last premium. Interestingly, we found that the gain becomes maximum for a particular upper age of last premium. For this results the IC can get some idea about the upper age of last premium. Another important thing is the upper age limit of last premium for marginal profit. We observed that if  $T_f$  is quite high, so that a large number of policy holders die before their age of last premium the company can not get profit. This information is also important to the IC.

We have some plan to study the net gain as function of  $T_i$ . There are several important studies have to be done. The dependence of net gain on the rates of interest  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . The model is a simplified model. One has to consider the other factors like, laps of policy, the various values of sum assured for different types of death (accidental, natural etc.). It will also be important to know how this profit depends on the halfwidth of the lifetime distribution. We are trying to modify the model considering these factors to make it as realistic as possible. Our work is going on and the details will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgments: The library facility provided by Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta, is gratefully acknowledged. We would like to thank the referee for bringing ref.[3] into our notice and for important suggestions.

## References

1. D. Staufer, Econophysics—A new area for computational statistical physics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C, 11 (2000) 1081 and the references therein, R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, Introduction to econophysics: correlation and complexity in finance, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000), H. Levi, M. Levi and S. Solomon, Microscopic Simulation of Financial Markets: From investor behaviour to Market phenomena, Academic press, (2000), J-P. Bouchaud and M. Potters, Theory of Financial risks: From statistical Physics to risk management, Cambridge University press (2000)
2. J. P. Bouchaud, Physica A 313 (2002) 238.
3. Berkeley Mortality Data Base, Data for mortality rate in USA in the year 1999, See table-309, page-1,  
Visit [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/mortabs/gm wk309\\_10.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/mortabs/gm wk309_10.htm) ;  
J. Wilmoth, Population Studies, 49 (1996) 281