# A tom ic and E lectronic Structures of Unreconstructed Polar M gO (111) Thin Film on A g (111)

Manabu Kiguchi, Shiro Entani, Koichiro Saiki

Department of Complexity Science & Engineering, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo,

7–3–1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku,Tokyo 113–0033, Japan

TakayukiGoto,AtsushiKoma

D epartm ent of C hem istry, G raduate School of Science, T he U niversity of Tokyo, 7-3-1 H ongo, B unkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

(M arch 22, 2024)

A tom ic and electronic structures of a polar surface of M gO form ed on Ag (111) was investigated by using re ection high energy electron di raction (RHEED), A uger electron spectroscopy, electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), and ultraviolet photoem ission spectroscopy (UPS). A rather at unreconstructed polar M gO (111) 1 1 surface could be grown by alternate adsorption of M g and O<sub>2</sub> on Ag (111). The stability of the M gO (111) surface was discussed in terms of interaction between A g and M g atom s at the interface, and charge state of the surface atom s. EELS of this surface did not show a band gap region, and nite density of states appeared at the Ferm i level in UPS. These results suggest that a polar M gO (111) surface was not an insulating surface but a sem iconducting or m etallic surface.

PACS numbers: 79.60 Jv, 61.14 Hg, 68.55.-a

I. IN TRODUCTION

Polar surfaces have attracted wide attention not only for fundam ental science but also for technological applications, because several interesting properties, such as novel catalytic activity, two dimensional electron system , are expected for the polar surface. Figure 1 shows the atom ic geom etry of non-polar (100) and polar (111) faces of rocksalt crystals schematically. The (111) surface consists of only one atom ic species, either cations or anions, while the (100) surface consists of the same number of cations and anions. In a rocksalt structure crystal, each atom is surrounded by six atom s of di erent species. The coordination number decreases to ve for atom s on a (100) surface, while it changes drastically to three for atom s on a (111) surface. Therefore, surface energy of the (111) face is much higher than that of the (100) face, which m akes the (111) surface unstable [1]. In other words, alternate stacking of cation and anion layers form sa dipole layer along the [111] direction (Fig. 2a). A ccum ulation of the dipole layers would produce a m acroscopic electric eld, which causes appearance of a

at (111) surface to be quite unpreferable in the rocksalt structure compounds and the at (111) surface does not occur in nature.

From a theoretical view point, the macroscopic electric eld in the polar surface can be cancelled either by surface reconstruction or by reduction of electrice charge of the surface layer. According to W olf, the polar (111) surface of rocksalt crystals would be stable under an octapole term ination, which leads to form ation of a 2 2 reconstruction on the (111) surface [1] (Fig.2-b). On the other hand, T sukada and H oshino pointed out that the change in charge state of surface atom s could stabilize the (111) surface of rocksalt structure com pounds [2]. If the charge of top atom s is reduced to half of the bulk atom s, the m acroscopic electric eld would not appear.

Under these backgrounds, several attempts to grow the polar surface of rocksalt structure compounds have been performed so far [3]. Metal oxides have been extensively studied to create crystals or thin Im shaving (111) surfaces. For a N iD (111) surface, p (2 2) reconstruction was found, and the surface was determined to be a single N item ination with double steps by the measurement of grazing-incidence X-ray di raction [4]. The unrecostructed surface is stabilized by some adsorbates. Langell and Berrie reported that the N iD (111) surface is stabilized by OH adsorbates and that desorption of the hydroxylchanges the surface to a therm odynam ically m ore stable N iD (100) [5]. Stabilization by im purities was found also for Pb and Sion N iD (111) [6].

In contrast with the surface of single crystals, the electrostatic energy does not reach exorbitant values for the sm all thickness of a lm (Fig. 2-c). When the thin lm is grown on a metal substrate, further reduction of energy could come from the image charge induced in the supporting metal surface. Ventrice et al. have studied the growth of N iO on Au (111) by LEED and STM, and obtained a 6 M L thick stable p (2 2) reconstructed N iO (111) lm [7]. For a FeO (111) thin lm, interesting results were reported by K oike and Furukawa [8]. They obtained the FeO (111) p (2 2) surface by oxidizing

Fe (110) and m easured the polarization of secondary electrons em itted from the FeO (111) surface. Ferrom agnetic ordering was found for the FeO (111) p (2 2) surface, although FeO itselfwas an antiferrom agnetic m aterial with the Neel tem perature of 198 K. They thought that the ferrom agnetic ordering com es from the reconstruction of a polar FeO (111) surface to reduce the large electrostatic surface energy. On the other hand, the relaxation, that is, the decrease in interlayer spacing, was observed for the FeO (111) grown on Pt (111) [9]. In this case, the layer by layer grow th was limited to a maximum of 2.5 M L.

Thus, although the (111) surface of rocksalt structure oxides has been studied by m any groups, there have been few studies on unreconstructed adsorbate-free (111) surfaces of a rocksalt structure until now. Furtherm ore, the electronic structure of the polar surface has not been clear. In the preset study, we have examined growth of a M gO thin lm on A g (111) by supplying M g and O, alternately. M qO has a rocksalt structure with a lattice constant of 4.21 A, while Ag has a fcc structure with a lattice constant of 4.09 A. As the lattice m is t of M qO to Ag is only -2.9 %, the st Mg layer is expected to become a tem plate for the grow th of the MgO lm along the [111] direction. The commensurate bonding between Mg and Ag atoms at the interface m ight help alternate stacking of M g and O layers, leading to a at (111) surface. A ctually, we have observed rather streak RHEED pattern, in plying form ation of a at (111) M gO surface. W e have characterized the grown surface by using EELS and ultraviolet photoem ission spectroscopy (UPS) and discussed stabilization mechanism of the polar surface.

#### II.EXPERIM ENTAL

The experim ents were perform ed in a custom -designed ultrahigh-vacuum (UHV) system with a base pressure of 2 10<sup>8</sup> Pa. A mechanically and electrochem ically polished Ag(111) surface was cleaned by repeated cycles of A r<sup>+</sup> sputtering and annealing at 900 K . A fter repeated preparation cycles, a sharp RHEED pattern was observed, and no contam ination was detected by AES.A M q0 In was grown by alternate adsorption of M g and  $O_2$  on Ag(111) at substrate tem perature of 300 K .F irst, 1 M L (2 A) M g was deposited by evaporating high purity (99.98 % ) M g onto Ag(111). The growth rate wasmonitored using a quartz crystal oscillator. The Mg lm was dosed with 10 L O2. Real-time observation of the crystallinity and orientation of lm s was done by RHEED. Surface compositions and the electronic structure of the grown Im swere investigated in situ by AES, EELS, and UPS. The analyses were performed with a double pass CMA (PHI15-255G) in the analysis chamber. A doption of a pulse counting detector reduced the probing electron

current down to 1 nA, which reduced surface dam age as much as possible.

### III. R E SU LT S

#### A.H eteroepitaxial grow th of M gO on Ag(111)

Figure 3 shows the Auger spectrum of the 10 M L thick Im grown on Ag (111). D isappearance of the Ag M qO LMM Auger peak (351 eV) indicated that the grown МqО In covered the Ag (111) substrate com pletely because the inelastic mean free path of 351 eV electron was around 1 nm . The chem ical state of M g atom s of the M gO lm was known by the energy of the M g LM M Auger peak, because the energy of the Mg LMM Auger peak is 45 eV and 32 eV form etallic M g and M gO, respectively [10]. The energy of the Mg LMM Auger peak was 32 eV for the grown M gO lm, show ing that M g was com pletely oxidized. The stoichiom etry of the MgO lm was also exam ined by the ratio of the M g K LL A uger peak intensity to the O KLL Auger peak intensity. Considering the Auger electron em ission probabilities [11], the ratio of the amount of Mg to that of 0 was 1:0.92 0.18 [12], supporting the idea that a stoichiom etric M gO lm was grown on Ag(111).

Figure 4 shows the typical sequence of RHEED pattern during the growth at a substrate temperature of 300 K. The incident electron beam was parallel to the [110] azim uth of the substrate. The result of RHEED patterns indicated that the MgO lm grew heteroepitaxially on Ag(111). The epitaxial orientation of the MgO lm was determ ined to be  $(111)_{M gO} //(111)_{Ag}$  and  $[110]_{M gO} //[110]_{Ag}$ . The half-order streaks did not appear during the growth, showing that the (1 1) unreconstructed MgO (111) lm was grown on Ag(111). Streaks in RHEED patterns indicated that a rather at (111) surface could be obtained. The RHEED pattern became blurred with increasing lm thickness, suggesting that a thick MgO (111) lm was unstable.

The in-plane lattice constant of the MgO (111) In was calculated from the spacing between streaks in the RHEED pattern. For the 10 ML thick MgO (111)/Ag (111), the in-plane lattice constant was determ ined to be 3.28 0.03 A [12], which was + 10 % larger than that of bulk one (2.97 A). The in-plane lattice constant was 3.25 0.03 A for the 2 ML thick Im and did not change with Im thickness, indicating that the expansion was uniform throughout the epitaxial layer. The increase of the in-plane lattice constant leads to reduction of the surface electron density, which might help a decrease in the electrostatic energy of the MgO (111) Im.

Having established the existence of the (1 1) unreconstructed M gO (111) In on Ag (111), we should discuss why this structure is stable in spite of the previously mentioned arguments on the instability of a polar surface of ionic crystals [1]. We think that the stabilization of the (1 1) unreconstructed M gO (111) In can be explained in term s of interaction between Ag and M g atom s at the interface and charge state of surface atom s.

In the previous study, a single crystalline M gO (100) In grew heteroepitaxially on Ag(100) by evaporating M gO congruently from an electron beam evaporator (EB) [13]. On Ag (111), however, a MgO Im could not grow heteroepitaxially by EB.Heteroepitaxial grow th of a sin-In was achieved by alternate adgle crystalline M gO sorption of M g and  $O_2$  on A g (111). These facts indicate that the strong interaction between Mg and Ag atoms plays a decisive role in stabilizing the (1 1) M gO (111) In . The free energy of the interface  $(E_{inter})$  is smaller for the case with coherent Mg-Ag bonds as compared with the case, in which ne particles with therm odynam ically more stable f100g faces grow on Ag(111) without coherent M g-A g bonds. The structure of the grown lm is determined to minimize the sum of the electrostatic energy of the Im (E<sub>electro</sub>) and E<sub>inter</sub>. Here, we should notice that E<sub>electro</sub> of a (111) In does not reach exorbitant values for ultrathin Im s (see Fig. 2-c), because E<sub>electro</sub> is proportional to the lm thickness. Therefore, the gain in interaction between M g and A g overcom es the disadvantage of the electrostatic energy, and the (1 1) unreconstructed M gO (111) Im is grown on A g (111). In addition to the strong interaction with Mg atoms, the Aq substrate plays another in portant role for stabilization of the MgO (111) lm. The MgO lm was prepared by alternate adsorption of M g and  $O_2$ . Therefore, the

In is unstable due to breakdown of charge neutrality (Fig. 2-d), when the Im thickness is odd number (M g top). On the m etal substrate, how ever, the im age charge is induced in a m etal, helping stabilization of the Im with odd layers.

Besides the strong interaction between Mg and Ag atom s at the interface, the stabilization of MgO (111) can be explained in terms of charge state. Due to the reduction of the coordination number, M adelung potential largely decreases for the surface  $O^2$  on the (111) surface. The binding energy of the 2p band, thus, decreases for the surface  $O^2$ , and the Ferm i levelm ight be located in the 2p band. In such a case, electrons ow out of the upper valence states of the surface  $O^2$ , and the charge of the surface  $O^2$  and the charge of the surface  $O^2$  and the charge of the surface  $O^2$ . The decrease to keep the lm neutral (Fig. 2-e). The decrease in the charge reduces a macroscopic electric eld, and the instability of the lm should be remedied. That is the second point to stabilize the

polar M gO (111) In on A g (111). Here we should notice that the reduction in charge of surface  $O^2$  leads to reduction of M adelung potential. Therefore, the am ount of the charge transfer is determ ined under the condition, in which both M adelung potential and the charge of surface  $O^2$  are self consistent. T sukada and H oshino studied the O-term inated M gO (111) surface by DV-X calculations, and revealed that the charge of the top and bottom atom s is reduced to half of the bulk atom s [2]. When the charge of the surface atom is reduced to half of the bulk atom s, the m acroscopic electric eld would not appear, irrespective of the In thickness. In the present study, a thick M gO (111) Im could not be grown on A g (111), im plying that the charge of the topm ost O atom s did not reduce to half of the bulk one.

Finally, we would discuss the surface reconstruction of the M gO (111) Im. Since the surface energy of a f100g face is lower than that of a f111g face in rocksalt structure compounds, f100g faces are expected to appear for growth normal to the (111) face. In case of N aC 1/G aA s(111)A, triangular pyram ids with three exposed f100g faces grow on the substrate [3,14]. As discussed in Introduction, ap (2 2) reconstructed N iD (111)

In is grown on Au (111) [7], and the p (2 2) structure corresponds to the smallest triangular pyram ids surrounded by f100g faces. Here, we should notice that both Im swere grown by congruent evaporation of N iO or N aC 1 at the substrate tem peratures higher than 420 K. Therefore, the grown Im form s in a therm odynam ically stable structure. In the present case, how ever, we have grown a metastable at M gO (111) Im by alternate adsorption of M g and  $O_2$  at 300 K. O nce a at (111)

In is form ed on Ag(111), activation energy is needed to change the m etastable (111) In into stable triangular pyram ids surrounded by f100g faces. Therefore, the at unreconstructed (111) M g0 In remains in a m etastable form on Ag(111).

#### C.Electronic structure of M gO (111)

As we could prepare the unreconstructed polar M gO (111) surface, the electronic structure of this surface was investigated by EELS and UPS, com paring with that of a non-polar M gO (100) surface [13,15]. Absence of A g LM M Auger peak in the 10 M L M gO (111)/A g (111) assures that EELS and UPS probe the M gO lm with inuences of the substrate negligible, because their probing depth is sm aller than or equal to that of AES.

Figure 5 shows UPS spectrum for the M gO (111) surface measured with a He I (21.2 eV) source. For com – parison, the spectrum of the 10 M L thick M gO (100) Im on Ag (100) is included in the same gure. The main features for M gO (111) were alm ost sim ilar to those for M gO (100) except around the Ferm ilevel. For M gO (100), there were no density of states (DOS) near the Ferm i level, while an appreciable DOS appeared in the region from 2 eV to the Ferm i level for M gO (111). These nite DOS at the Ferm i level did not originate from m etallic M g, since component of m etallic M g was not observed in AES.Furtherm ore, we have revealed that the nite DOS at the Ferm i level disappeared even for the incom pletely oxidized lm in the previous study [16]. Therefore, the

nite DOS at Ferm i level did not originate from m etallic M g or the incom pletely oxidized M g, the surface states of M gO (111). The UPS results suggested that the polar M gO (111) surface was a m etallic surface.

Figure 6 shows the EELS of the MgO (111) surface measured with primary electron energy of 60 eV. For com parison, spectrum of the M gO (100) surface is also shown in the gure. The structure above 7 eV for M gO (111) was alm ost sim ilar to that of M gO (100), show ing that a stoichiom etric M qO Im grew on Ag(111) [13,15]. On the other hand, di erence appears in the structure below 5 eV between M gO (100) and (111). In contrast with M gO (100), the clear band gap region was not observed for M gO (111). In addition, the tail of the elastic peak becam e larger and a new peak (p1) appeared at 1.2 eV, indicating existence of the inelastically scattered electrons with sm all loss-energy [17]. Since the AES signal indicative of M g m etalw as not observed at all, the tailor the new peak did not originate from M g aggregates in the MgO lm.

Due to the low grow th tem perature and the signi cant m ism atch between the substrate and the oxide lattice, the lm may contain a signi cant concentration of defects. Therefore, the spectroscopic features could be due to emission from defect states in the MgO (111) lm.Defective M gO surfaces have been studied by a variety of techniques such as UPS, EELS, theoretical calculation, etc. In EELS of defective MgO surfaces, sharp peaks are observed at 2.3 eV and 5 eV, which are attributed to M g vacancy (V center) and O vacancy (F center), respectively. Sharp structures are also observed for M qO powders by di use re ectance spectra, and these structures at 5.8 and 4.6 eV have been attributed to ions with four and three-fold ligand coordination [18]. On the other hand, such sharp peaks were not observed in the EELS of MgO (111) below 5 eV. Recently, we have revealed that m etal induced gas states (M IG S) were form ed at the insulator-m etal interface [19]. Since the new states of the M gO (111) In were observed independently of the

In thickness, the states did not originate from M IGS. Therefore, the structure below 5 eV could be assigned to the excitation of electrons in the un led band derived from 0 2p, or the excitations of new ly appearing electronic states on the (111) surface.

The UPS and EELS results suggested that the M gO (111) surface was not an insulating surface but a sem iconducting orm etallic surface. This electronic structure of the M gO (111) surface can be explained in terms of M adelung potential as discussed in the previous sec-

tion. C om pared to the binding energy of isolated ions, the binding energy of M  $g^{2+}$  3s orbital decreases, and the binding energy of O<sup>2</sup> 2p orbital increases by the M adelung potential in a crystal phase. Because of the decrease in the coordination number, the M adelung potential largely decreases for the (111) surface, com pared with the (100) surface. Therefore, the band gap is reduced and the M gO (111) surface changes into a sem i-conductor or m etallic surface. How ever, a possibility of certain new electronic state characteristic of the (111) surface cannot be excluded.

## IV . C O N C LU S IO N S

H eteroepitaxial growth of M gO on A g (111) has been investigated by RHEED, AES, UPS and EELS. The at unreconstructed polar M gO (111) surface was obtained on A g (111) by alternate adsorption of M g and O<sub>2</sub> at substrate tem perature of 300 K. The EELS and UPS of the (111) surface were di erent from those of the (100) surface. For M gO (111), a clear band gap region was not observed in EELS, while nite density of states appeared in UPS, im plying that the M gO (111) surface was a sem i-conducting or m etallic surface.

#### ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the nancial support of the Grant-in-A id from M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

- [1] D.W olf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 3315.
- [2] M. Tsukada and T. Hoshino, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51 (1982) 2562.
- [3] K. Saiki, A. Goda, and A. Kom a, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 36 (1997) L55.
- [4] A. Barbier, C. Mocuta, H. Kuhlenbeck, K. F. Peters, B. Richter and G. Renaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 2897.
- [5] M A. Langell and C. L. Berrie, Surf. Sci. 320 (1994)25.
- [6] PA.Cox and AA.W illiam s, Surf. Sci. 152/153 (1985) 791.
- [7] C A. Ventrice, T. Bertram s, H. Hannem ann, A. Brodde, and H. Nedderm eyer, Phys. Rev. B 49 (1994) 5773.
- [8] K.Koike and T.Furukawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3921.
- [9] W. Ranke, M. Ritter and W. Weiss, Phys. Rev. B 60 (1999) 1527.
- [10] SA. Flodstrom, CW B. Martinsson, Surf. Sci. 118 (1982) 513.
- [11] Handbook of Auger electron spectroscopy, 2nd Ed., Perkin-Elmer Corporation, 1976.

- [12] Error is estim ated from the assumed precision of the technique (atom ic ratio determined by AES: 20 %, lattice constant determined by RHEED:1%).
- [13] M. Kiguchi, T. Goto, K. Saiki, T. Sasaki, Y. Iwasawa, and A. Kom a, Surf. Sci. 512 (2002) 97.
- [14] K.Saiki, Y.Nakamura, and A.Koma, Surf.Sci.269/270 (1992) 790.
- [15] V.E.Henrich, G.Dresselhaus, and H.J.Zeiger, Phys. Rev.Lett. 36 (1976) 158.
- [16] K. Nishita, K. Saiki, and A. Koma, Appl. Surf. Sci. 169/170 (2001) 180.
- [17] K. Ueno, Y. Uchino, K. Lizum i, K. Saiki, and A. Kom a, Appl. Surf. Sci. 169/170 (2001) 184.
- [18] The Surface Science of Metal Oxides, edited by V E Henrich and P A Cox, (C am bridge University Press, 2000), p136
- [19] M. Kiguchi, R. Arita, G. Yoshikawa, Y. Tanida, M. Katayama, K. Saiki, A. Koma and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, (2003) 196803.



FIG.1. A tom ic geom etry of the non-polar(100) and polar(111) faces of a rocksalt crystal.



FIG.3. A uger electron spectra for the 10 M L thick M gO Im on Ag(111). The primary-electron energy is 3 keV .



FIG. 4. A typical sequence of RHEED patterns and schematic models during the growth of the MgO lm on Ag(111) at a substrate temperature of 300 K.a) Ag(111), b) 1 ML Mg/Ag(111), c) 2 ML MgO/Ag(111), d) 10 ML MgO/Ag(111). The incident beam was parallel to the [110] azim uth of the Ag(111).



FIG.2. Charge distribution and resulting dipole m om ents (p) for (111) surfaces discussed. The numbers in the layers refer to the typical charge per atom . (a) Thick (111) lm, (b) Reconstructed surface, only 1/4 and 3/4 of the lattice positions are occupied for the rst and the second layer, respectively, (c) Neutral 4 M L thick (111) lm, and (d) 3 M L thick (111) lm on a metal substrate neutralized by an image charge, (e) Neutral 4 M L thick (111) lm, the charge of the top and bottom atoms reduces to x of the bulk atoms (1/ x 1). The dipole moment of (e) case is smaller than that of (c) case.



FIG.5. UPS of the polar M gO (111) surface taken with H e I source. Spectra of the M gO (100) surface is shown for comparison.



FIG.6. EEL spectra of the polar M gO (111) surface (line). The prim ary electron energy was 60 eV. Spectra of M gO (100) surface is shown for comparison (dot line).