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In this paper we extend replica bounds and free energy subadditivity argum ents to diluted spinglass m odels on graphs w ith arbitrary, non-P oissonian degree distribution. The new di culties speci c of this case are overcom e introducing an interpolation procedure that stresses the relation betw een interpolation $m$ ethods and the cavity $m$ ethod. A s a byproduct we obtain self-averaging identities that generalize the $G$ hirlanda-G uerra ones to the $m$ ulti-overlap case.

## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

$T$ he replica and the cavity $m$ ethods play a fundam ental role in the analysis ofmean eld disordered system $s$, where they suggest a low tem perature glassy phase w th a great extent of universality [1]l.
$W$ ith the technique of \replica sym $m$ etry breaking" ( $R$ SB) physicists have been since a long tim e able to describe in great detail the statistical properties of the con gurational space of $\backslash$ fully connected" disordered models, where each degree of freedom interacts $w$ ith an extensive num ber of neighbours.
$T$ he last tim es have seen im portant progresses in extending the analysis to \diluted models", where each degree of freedom interacts w th a nite num ber of random ly chosen neighbours. A though the equations describing R SB in these $m$ odels w ere well know $n$ already in the past, it is only $w$ ith the recent introduction of a population dynam ics algorithm [12 algorithm and its speci cation to zero tem perature it has been possible to analyze at the level of \one step replica sym $m$ etry breaking" (1R SB) a great variety of problem $s$ relevant for physics of glassy system $s$ or com puter science or both. These range from the $V$ iana- $B$ ray $m$ odel for spin glasses [ approxim ate result, to the diluted p-spin m odel - or X O R -SAT problem [ $\left.\underline{L}_{1}^{4}\right]$, the B iroli-M ezard lattice glass m odel [5్1] $]$, m odels for e cient error correcting codes [ at zero tem perature 1 R SB is thought to be exact.

The population dynam ic algorithm, originally thought for com puting averages over random graph disorder, has been suitably generalized to dealw ith single sam ples, and in con junction $w$ ith decim ation algorithm shas been proved to be e ective to solve random K-SAT or graph coloring in essentially linear tim e up to very close to the SAT /UNSAT transition threshold [ig $]$.

D espite these successes, together with a large wealth of im portant rigorous results on both $m$ ean eld and short range $m$ odels $[10$ replica $m$ ethods rely on a non-unique analytic continuation of the integer $m$ om ents of the partition fiunction which is di cult to controlm athem atically; on the other, the cavity $m$ ethod is based on physically sound, but unproven assum ptions on the nature of the low tem perature pure states.

A great deal of $m$ athem atical work has been devoted to the analysis of disordered $m$ odels $w$ ith rigorous -i.e., conventional probabilistic- $m$ ethods, which in $m$ any cases allow to control the RS high tem perature case, and in the rem arkable analysis of $T$ alagrand $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ for the fully connected $p$-spin for large enough $p$, even the low tem perature one. A di erent approach has been put forw ard by $G$ uerra $[1] 1]$, who was able to prove that in a large class of long range $m$ odels the free energy can be w ritten as the sum of the replica expression plus a rem inder which, by sim ple inspection, is proven to be positive. This was the rst proof that the replica/cavity A nsatz is in som e cases a variationalA nsatz, therefore justifying the replica rule that the free energy should be $m$ axim ized $w$ ith respect to the replica param eters ${\underset{L}{1}}_{11}^{1}$ $T$ he technique of uerra is based on the idea of interpolating the originalm odelw th a pure param agnet w th suitably chosen local extemal elds. In the original form ulation the interpolation was perform ed tuning the relative strengths of the couplings and the extemal elds, and relayed heavily on the G aussian and long range nature of the interactions am ong spins. This technique has been em ployed in several papers dealing $w$ ith long and short range $m$ odels [14].

[^0]In a previous paper $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{\left[15_{1}^{\prime}\right]}\end{array}\right.$, hereafter referred to as $I$, tw o of us have show $n$ how to generalize the technique of the interpolating $m$ odel to diluted $m$ odels on graphs $w$ ith $P$ oissonian degree distribution. In that case, the interpolation is done progressively rem oving addends in the H am iltonian, and com pensating in average by the introduction of appropriate extemal elds on random sites of the lattioe. T he $m$ ethod $w$ as then interpreted as a variationalversion of the cavily $m$ ethod. T he result was that the free energy could be written as a sum of a replica/cavity like contribution plus a rem ainder. For the even p diluted p-spin model and the even $K$ K -SAT problem $s$, sim ple considerations not relying on the actual solutions to the replica/cavity equations, allow ed to conclude that the rem ainder was positive. $T$ his $m$ eans that the replicas give free energy low erbounds to these $m$ odels. Speci ed to zero tem perature, this proves that replicas give an upper bound for the satis ability threshold, that is the value of the degree of connectivity below which all the term $s$ of the $H$ am iltonian can be $m$ inim ized at the same time. The cases of odd $p$ or $K$ led to an expression for the rem inder whose sign cannot be obviously decided, thus leaving as an open question if the recently obtained 1R SB solution for the random 3-SAT problem s or the odd p-spin case actually give free energy low er bounds and SAT -threshold upper bounds.

As we said, the results were con ned to models on ErdosRenyi (hyper)-graphs which have Poissonian degree distribution. H ow ever, the replica and the cavity $m$ ethods have been successfully applied for spin $m$ odels on $m$ ore general graphs, where the degree distribution is basically arbitrary.
$M$ otivated by that consideration, in this paper we generalize the analysis to random graphs with anbitrary connectivity distribution. H ere, di erently from the P oissonian case, the com pensation in average is not possible and the erasure of a term in the H am iltonian requires detailed com pensation through the introduction of proper elds on the sites belonging to the erased clause. The new di culties arising in this case can be overcom e em ploying the property of self-averaging of appropriate observables, leading to identities on $m$ ulti-overlap distributions that generalize the G hirlanda-G uerra identities [1] for the usual overlaps. This new feature is com m on both to the RS and the RSB case. For exposition sim plicity we will lim it ourselves in this paper to the discussion of the RS case.

The paper is organized as follow s: in section 2 we de ne the m odel and introduce the notations, in section 3 we introduce the interpolating $m$ odels, which we use in sections 4 and 5 to nd representations of the free energy as m ain term splus rem ainders, suitable respectively to prove replica bounds and subadditivity. In section 6 we use the self-averaging to estim ate som $e$ term $s$ in the rem ainders and generalize the G hirlanda-G uerra identities. Section 7 is devoted to show ing the positivity of the rem ainders, and nally in section 8 we discuss som e conclusions.
II. DEFINITION OF THE MODELSAND SUMMARYOFMATHEMATICALNOTATIONS

> A. The M odels

In this paper we consider diluted spin $m$ odels on random graphs $w$ ith anbitrary degree distribution, consisting in a collection of $N$ Ising 1 spins $S=f S_{1} ;::: ; S_{N} g$, interacting through $H$ am iltonians of the kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{(M)}(S ; J)=X_{=1}^{X^{M}} H_{J}()\left(S_{i_{1}} ;::: ; S_{i_{p}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indexes $i_{1}$ are i.i.d. quenched random variables chosen in the follow ing way: one rst extracts a set of site degrees $k_{i}$, representing the num ber of clauses where $S_{i}$ appears, ( $i=1 ;:: ; \mathrm{N}$ ), as i.id. from a distribution $p_{k}$. Then the con guration of indexes $i_{1}$ are chosen uniform ly am ong all the possible ways respecting the prescribed degrees. In other words, the joint probability of all the indexes $f i_{1} g \mathrm{w}$ ill be proportional to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{p}} \\
& \text { ( } \left.\quad i_{1} ; i \quad k_{i}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{i}=1 \quad=1 \mathrm{l}=1
\end{align*}
$$

where both 's appearing in ( ( $\overline{9}$ ) denote the K ronecker sym bol. W e will concentrate on regular degree distributions where all the $m$ om ents $h k^{1} i={ }_{k} p_{k} k^{1}$ are nite. The num ber of clauses $M$ is therefore itself in principle a random variable given by $M=\frac{1}{p}{\underset{i=1}{N}}_{N}^{N} k_{i}$, its average $h M i=N$ will be proportionalto $N$, $w$ ith $=h k i=p$ and it $w$ ill have sm allo $(\bar{N})$ uctuations around its average. For this reason, we $w i l l$ often treat $M$ as a constant, $m$ aking only a O $(1=N)$ error in the free energy. A s it willbecom e soon clear, the subscript $J$ ( $)$ in the clauses indicates dependence on a single or on a set of quenched random variables.

W e will treat in a unitary way the case of the the p-spin $m$ odel $\left[1 \bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$ or random $X O R-S A T$ problem of com puter science, and the random $K$-SAT $m$ odel. In the $p$-spin $m$ odel the clauses have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{J}()\left(S_{i_{1}} ;::: ; S_{i_{p}}\right)=J S_{i_{1}} \quad::: i_{i_{p}} S \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J \mathrm{w}$ ill be taken as i.i.d. random variable $w$ ith regular sym $m$ etric distribution $(J)=(J)$. Particular attențion will be given to $V$ iana $B$ ray $m$ odel corresponding to $p=2$. In random $K-S A T m$ odel the clauses have the form [1d]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{J}(1)\left(S_{i_{1}} ;::: ; S_{i_{p}}\right)=Y_{l=1}^{Y p} \frac{1+J_{i_{1}} S_{i_{1}}}{2} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the quenched variables $J_{i_{1}}=1$ are i.i.d. $w$ ith sym $m$ etric probability ${ }_{i-1}^{21} T$ he num ber $p$ of spins appearing in a clause is usually called $K$ in the $K-S A T$ problem, but for uniform ity ofnotation wewill deviate from this convention.

In I the indexes $i_{1}$ of the spins appearing in the clauses were chosen with uniform probability, giving rise to random graphsw ith P oissonian degree statistics, and the treatm ent was based on the peculiar property of the $P$ oisson distribution. H ere we show that thanks to self-averaging of certain quantities, the validity of the results does not depend on the speci c form of the graph degree distribution.
B.N otations

Let us establish som e notations. W e w ill need several kinds of averages:
The Boltzm ann-G ibbs average for xed quenched disorder: given an observable A (S)

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(A)=\frac{P_{S} A(S) \exp (H(S ; J))}{Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z={ }^{P}{ }_{S} \exp (H(S ; J))$ and is the inverse tem perature.
Obviously, ! (A), as well as Z will be functions of the quenched variables, the size of the system and the tem perature. This dependence will be $m$ ade explicit only when needed.

The disorder average: given an observable quantity $B$ dependent on the quenched variables appearing in the $H$ am iltonian, we w ill denote as $E(B)$ its average. This will include the average $w$ ith respect to the $J$ variables and the choice of the random indexes in the clauses as well as with respect to other quenched variables to be introduced later.

W e w ill need in several occasions the \replica m easure"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{A}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=!\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right):::!\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, throughout the work we will considerm odi ed versions of the originalH am iltonians that will depend on a discrete dilution param eter $t$. B oth Boltzm ann and disorder averages $w$ ill depend accordingly on $t$. The original averages $w$ ill correspond to $t=M$.

A nother notation we will have the occasion to use is the one for the overlaps am ong l spin con gurations $\mathrm{fS}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \mathrm{~g}$, out of a population of $\mathrm{fS}{ }_{i}^{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\left(a_{1} ;::: ; a_{1}\right)}=\frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} S_{i}^{a_{1}} \quad:::{ }_{i}^{a_{i}} S \quad\left(1 \quad a_{r} \quad n \quad 8 r\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{(n)}=q^{(1 ;::: ; n)}=\frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} S_{i}^{1} \quad:::{ }_{i}^{n} S^{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Finally, we w ill denote as $T_{i}$ the set of clause indexes where the $i^{0}$ th spin appears and $V$ the set of spin indexes belonging to the clause.

In the follow ing we will need to consider averages where som e of the variables are excluded, e.g., the averages when a variable $u_{i}^{k_{i}}$ is erased. These averages $w$ ill be denoted $w$ ith a subscript $u_{i}^{k_{i}}$, e.g., if an ! average is concemed the notation willbe! $u_{i}^{k_{i}}$ ( ). O ther notations will be de ned later in the text whenever needed.

O ur interest will be con ned to bounds to the free energy density $F_{N}=\frac{1}{N} \mathrm{E} \log \mathrm{Z}$ and the ground state energy density $U_{G S}=\lim { }_{N}!11=N E\left[m\right.$ in $\left.\left(U_{N}\right)\right]$ valid in the therm odynam ic lim it, so that $O(1=N)$ will be often im plicitly neglected in our calculations.

## III. IN TRODUCING THE INTERPOLATING MODELS

W e will use the technique of interpolating $m$ odels for the purposes of show ing the existence of the in nite volum e free energy, and of proving replica bounds. For exposition reasons, we will explain the $m$ ethod rst for the replica bounds and later for the existence of the free energy.

## A. The replica/cavity bounds: clause deletion versus elds com pensation

In order to prove the free energy bounds, we will use an iterative discrete graph pruning procedure where at each tim e step t decreasing from $M$ to 0 , we erase the clause labelled by $t$ and com pensate th is reduction by the introduction of som e auxiliary elds $u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}$ on the sites $i_{1}^{t}(l=1 ;:: ; p)$ belonging to the clause $t$ (see $F i g$. $\left.\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right)$ ). At each tim e step $t$ we have a di erent m odelw ith H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{(t)}[S]=X^{X^{t}} H_{J()}\left(S_{i_{1}} ;::: ; S_{i_{p}}\right) \quad X^{M} \quad X^{p} u_{i_{1}} S_{i_{1}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which interpolates between a sim ple param agnet $m$ ade of non interacting spins at tim 0 and the originalmodel at tim e M. The clause rem oval procedure is very sim ilar to the analogous operation of spin and clause addition usually perform ed in the cavity $m$ ethod, the di erence being that here the deletion is explicitly com pensated by the introduction of extemal elds. A key point in obtaining the free energy replica/cavity bounds is to assum e that the extemal elds are random variables obeying the statistics of the cavity elds in the cavity approach.

In order to explain this point, and to $m$ otivate the introduction of the de nitionsbelow, let us rem ind som e form ulae of the cavity approach. In that context, one singles out the contribution of the clauses and the sites to the free energy and de nes cavity elds $h_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ respectively as the local eld acting on the spin $i=i_{1}$ in absence of the clause and the local eld acting on idue to the presence of the clause only. Ifwe de ne $Z\left[S_{i}\right]$ as the partition function of a given sam ple with $N$ spins where allbut the spin $S_{i}$ are integrated, and $F_{N}$; $i$ as the free energy of the corresponding system $s$ w here the spin $S_{i}$ and all the clauses which belong to it are rem oved, in the cavity approach one assum es that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} T_{i} S_{i_{2}} ;:: ; S_{i_{p}} \\
& =e^{F_{N ; i}{ }^{Y}} \quad B^{(i)} e^{u_{i} S_{i}} \text { : } \\
& 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ otice that in general, if $\left(\underline{1} \mathbf{1}_{-1}^{-}\right)$had to represent the exact integration of $N \quad 1$ spins in in a nite $N$ system $s_{\underline{1}}$ e ective l-spin interactions ( $l=2 ;::: ; p$ ) should also be present am ong the spins explicitly appearing in the r.h $s$. of ( $10_{1}^{\prime}$ ). G iven the peculiar topology of random graphs, where loops of interacting variables have generically length $O(\log (\mathbb{N})$ ), these coupling can be expected to be sm all and are neglected in the approach. This is the reason why we wrote instead of $=$. The constant $B^{(i)}=e^{F^{(i)}}$ is interpreted as a suitable shift in the free energy due to the contribution of the clause for xed value of the spin i. We notioe that denoting $J$ as $J$, and renam ing the elds in ( $10_{1}^{-1}$ ) into $h_{1} ;::: h_{p} \quad$, Eq. (1 $\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) de nes functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{J}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad 1\right) \text { and } \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{J}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad 1\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that one can com pute explicitly using the form of the clauses of the di erent $m$ odels. For instance, in the case of the $V$ iana $B$ ray $m$ odel, where $p=2$, one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{J}(\mathrm{~h})=\frac{1}{\left.-\tanh ^{1} \tanh (\mathrm{~J}) \tanh (\mathrm{h})\right]}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{B}_{J}(\mathrm{~h})=\frac{2 \cosh (\mathrm{~J}) \cosh (\mathrm{h})}{\cosh \left(\mathrm{u}_{J}(\mathrm{~h})\right)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

A lw ays in the cavity approach one closes the set of equation im posing the self-consistent equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{(1)}=\underbrace{}_{2 f T_{i}} \mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{i})}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Follow ing the intuition based on Eq. (1-10) wew ill de ne the extemal elds $u_{i_{1}}$ in the intenpolating $m$ odelas verifying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i_{1}}=u_{J}()\left(g_{i_{1}} ;::: ; g_{i_{1} 1} ; g_{i_{1+1}} ; ;:: ; g_{i_{p}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the p 1 argum ents $g \mathrm{w}$ ill be independent variables $w$ ith suitable statistics. $W$ e do not write at this point a relation analogous to the self-consistency relation (14). This equation will be obeyed in average when the statistics of the elds $g$ is chosen in such a way to optim ize the replica bounds. T he key point of the procedure, consists in the choice of the distribution of the prim ary elds $g_{i_{1}}$. D i erent free energy bounds can be obtained assum ing the type of statistics im plied by the di erent replica solutions.


FIG.1. C lause erasure and balancing elds addition for a particular random clause. The hyper-edge interaction is drawn in the factor-graph notation w ith square nodes representing clauses and circles representing spins. D otted lines represent the added balancing elds. O nly clause and corresponding variables and elds are explicitly nam ed.

In this paper we concentrate on the replica sym $m$ etric bound, that $w$ ill be obtained considering the prim ary elds $g_{i_{1}}$ as i.i.d. variables drawn from a distribution $G(g)$. C orrespondingly the $u$ 's will be distributed according to $Q$ (u) verifying

$$
Q(u)={ }^{Z} d_{1} G\left(g_{1}\right)::: d g_{p} \quad G\left(g_{p} \quad 1\right) h\left(u \quad u_{J}\left(g_{1} ;::: ; g_{p} \quad 1\right)\right) i_{J}:
$$

W riting the free energy of the interpolating $m$ odel as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(t)=\frac{1}{N} E \log Z(t) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we observe that the average free energy $F_{N}=F_{N}(M)$ of the originalm odel can be written as

$$
F_{N}=X_{t=1}^{X^{M}} N(t)+F(0)
$$

where we de ned the discrete tim e derivative of the free energy as

$$
{ }_{N}(t)=F_{N}(t) \quad F_{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(t): ~ \tag{19}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$T$ he bound will consist in com paring $\quad \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{w}$ th the corresponding replica sym m etric expression.
The extension to 1 R SB bounds, although technically $m$ ore involved, does not present additional conceptualdi culties. In I, it has been shown how to provide 1RSB bounds in the P oissonian case. O ne needs to assum e that the elds $g_{i_{1}}$ are still independent variables, but sub ject to site and clause distributions $G_{i_{1}}$ which are them selves random and independent from one another and sub ject to the com $m$ on functional distribution $G(G)$. The appropriate de nition of interpolating free energy $w$ ill depend on a param eterm $2[0 ; 1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}(t)=\frac{1}{m N} E_{1} \log E_{2} Z(t)^{m}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e denoted by $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ the average over the elds $g_{i_{1}}$ for xed distributions $G_{i_{1}}$ and by $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ the average over the distributions $\mathrm{G}_{i_{1}}$ as well as over all the other quenched variables. For $t=M$ the $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ average is im $m$ aterial and form ula (10) holds. For generaldegree distribution one could follow the sam e procedure, but in order to keep this paperw ith in a reasonable length wewill not present here this case.

## B. The therm odynam ic lim it: a cut and paste procedure.

The aim of this section is to interpolate betw een the originalsystem of $N$ interacting spins and two separate $m$ odels, respectively $w$ th $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ spins $\left(N_{1}+N_{2}=N\right)$ and to show that the free energy is subadditive [191. For notational sim plicity we consider here explicitly just the case of the $V$ iana B ray m odel, with $H$ am iltonian $H=\quad M=1 \quad J \quad S_{i_{1}} S_{i_{2}}$. Inspired by the construction of the previous section we start from the $m$ odel with $N$ spins and consider the sets of the rst $N_{1}$ spins and the one of the rem aining $N_{2}$ spins. Each of the $M$ clauses, $w$ ill either belong to the rst sub-system, if $i_{1} ; i_{2} 2 \mathrm{fl} ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{1} g$, or to the second if $i_{1} ; i_{2} 2 \mathrm{fN} \mathrm{f}_{1}+1 ;:: ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{g}$ or they w ill be \bridge" clauses if one of the indexes is less or equal than $N_{1}$ and the other is greater than $N_{1}$. Let us denote as $M_{1}(0) ; M_{2}(0)$ and $M_{b}(0)$ the num ber of clauses of the di erent types, respectively. W e de ne our interpolating $m$ odelvia an iterative \cut and paste" procedure where at each tim e step we select at random two bridge clauses, we cut them, and we reconnect the spins belonging the rst sub-system betw een them selves w ith a new random coupling, and sim ilarly for the two spins belonging to the second sub-system (see Fig. (2) ).


FIG.2. Cut and paste procedure in the $V$ iana-B ray $m$ odel. The groups of constraints not belonging to $M_{b}$ and of graph sites not participating to the constraints in $M_{b}$ are generically represented as dashed lines sets.

In such a way at each tim e step $t=1 ;::: ; M_{b}(0)=2$ (we suppose for sim plicity to choose the ordering of the spins in such a way that $M_{b}(0)$ is even) each spins conserves its original connectivity, and the number of clauses of the di erent kinds are modi ed as $M_{1}(t)=M_{1}(t \quad 1)+1, M_{2}(t)=M_{2}(t \quad 1)+1$ and $M_{b}(t)=M_{b}(t \quad 1) \quad$ 2. At the end of the procedure we have tw o separate $m$ odels $w$ ith, respectively, $N_{1}$ spins and $M_{1}(0)+M_{b}(0)=2$ clauses and $N_{2}$ spins and $M_{2 p}(0)+M_{b}(0)=2$ clauses. $N$ otioe that $M_{1} ; M_{2}$ and $M_{b}$ are random variables $w$ ith average proportional to $N$ and sm allo ( $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ ) uctuations. A s in the case of M , we w ill neglect these harm less uctuations in our analysis. It is easy to realize that the graphs associated to the resulting non-interacting $m$ odels are chosen $w$ ith uniform probability am ong the ones having the correct connectivities for each spin. This can be explicitly checked by an elem entary induction calculation. E ach step of the cut and paste procedure transform sthe uniform distribution on the graphs $w$ ith prescribed connectivities $k_{i}$ of the sites and num ber of clauses of the three types $M_{1}(t), M_{2}(t)$ and $M_{b}(t)$, into the uniform distribution $w$ ith the sam e connectivities but $w$ th clause num bers $M_{1}(t)+1, M_{2}(t)+1$ and $M_{b}(t) \quad 2$.
$T$ herefore, in analogy w ith (18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}=\frac{N_{1}}{N} F_{N_{1}}+\frac{N_{2}}{N} F_{N_{2}} \quad{ }^{M} X^{(0)=2}{\underset{N}{N}=1}_{0}(t) ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int_{N}^{0}(t)$ is the discrete tim e derivative along the cut and paste procedure. A $s w$ illbe shown in section $5,{ }_{N}^{0}$ ( $t$ ) is non-negative for the $m$ odels we are considering, as long as $p$ is even, thus im plying subadditivity of the free energy, and therefore the existence of its in nite volum e lim it.

## IV.THERS BOUND

Let us start w ith a few observations independent of the chosen statistics of the prim ary elds, and therefore valid both for the RS and for the RSB estim ate.

In order to com pare the free-energies $F(t)$ and $F\left(\begin{array}{ll}t & 1) \text {, let us consider } Z(t \quad 1) \text { and isolating the term s containing }\end{array}\right.$ the elds $u_{i_{1}}^{t}$ observe that this can be w ritten as

$$
Z\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 1
\end{array}\right)=Z \quad u^{t}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 1
\end{array}\right)!_{u^{t}}^{(t \quad 1)}\left(e^{P} \begin{array}{l}
p  \tag{22}\\
=1=1 \\
u_{i+1}^{t} S_{i, t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

 the $H$ am iltonian at tim et 1 in absence of the elds $u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}$. In the sam eway, isolating the $t$-th clause term in $Z(t)$ and noticing that $Z_{J(t)}(t)=Z_{u^{t}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}(1) \text { and }!{ }_{J(t)}^{(t)}()=!_{u^{t}}^{1(t)}() \text { we can write }\end{array}\right.$

$$
Z(t)=Z_{u^{t}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 1 \tag{23}
\end{array}\right)!_{u^{t}}^{(t)}\left(e^{H_{J}(t)\left(S_{i_{1}^{t}} ;:: ; ; S_{i_{p}^{t}}\right)}\right): ~
$$

$U$ sing these relation in the de nition of the RS free energy time derivative ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})$ we nd:

N ow we com pare this term with the one obtained in the cavity approach (supposing that the statistics of the cavity elds $h$ coincide w ith the one of our extemalprim ary elds $g$ ):
and in the sam eway

This leads to the expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E \sum_{l=1}^{X^{p}} \log \left(\cosh \left(u_{i_{1}}^{t}\right)\right)+\log \left(1+\tanh \left(h_{i_{1}}^{t}\right) \tanh \left(u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}\right)\right) \quad: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The replica sym $m$ etric approxim ation to this expression consists in assum ing that the statistics of the cavity elds $h_{i_{1}}^{t}$ coincide with the one of the extemal elds $g_{i_{1}}^{t}$, and we call $\quad(t)$ the expression corresponding to $\left[2 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, once these substitutions have been $m$ ade.

N ow, we go back to our approach where, it is im portant to em phasize, we are not assum ing the validity of approxi$m$ ations like (25, id . In order to get a control of the free energy we add and subtract
(t) from the expression (24) of ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$. It is also useful to add and subtract the term

Rearranging term $S$ in $N$ and taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(0)=\frac{1}{N} E_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \log \left(2 \cosh \left({ }_{2 T_{i}}^{X} u_{i}\right)\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rew rite the free energy as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{N}=F_{\operatorname{var}}[G]+\frac{1}{N}_{t=1}^{X^{M}} R[G ; t]+\frac{1}{N}_{t=1}^{X^{M}} R[G ; t]+O(1=N): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have isolated the \variational term " $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{var}}[\mathrm{G}]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {var }}[G]=\frac{1}{N} E^{X^{N}} \log \left(2 \operatorname { c o s h } \left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & \left.\left.u_{i}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \begin{array}{rll}
i=\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 2 T_{i}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{1}{N}_{t=1}^{X^{M}}{ }^{4} E \log C_{2} p_{S_{1} ;:: ;: S_{p}}^{X} e^{H_{J(t)}\left(S_{1} ;::: ; S_{p}\right)} Y_{l=1}^{Y^{p}}\left(1+S_{1} \tanh \left(g_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}\right)\right)^{A} \\
& E^{X^{p}} \quad \log \left(\cosh \left(u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}\right)\right)+\log \left(1+\tanh \left(g_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}\right) \tanh \left(u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

from the rem ainders
and

Expressions ( $M$ oreover, we can sim plify them observing that

A ll the $u$ and $g$ elds appearing are statistically independent and one can therefore assign them anbitrary indexes.

Them arginalof the index probability ${ }_{(1)}^{\prime}(\underline{y})$ w ith respect to the indexes appearing in the $t$-th clause is the uniform


W e nally nd:


$D$ irect inspection upon optim izing over the $G$ function show $s$ that $F_{v a r}[G]$ coincides $w$ ith the free energy found w ith the replica/cavity $m$ ethod in the replica sym $m$ etric approxim ation. The rst rem ainder term $R[G ; t]$ is analogous to the one one nds on Poissonian degree graphs and $w$ ill be dealt $w$ ith in section 7 . C onversely, $R$ was absent in the Poissonian case thanks to the possibility of com pensating in average the clause rem oval procedure and represents a new di culty for graphs of arbitrary connectivity. At a rst sight it would seem di cult to say anything in general about its behaviour. H ow ever, we will see in the next section that, thanks to the self-averaging property of extensive quantities, this term becom es vanishingly $s m$ all in the them odynam ic lim $i t . T$ his is the only point, besides trivial O $(1=\mathrm{N})$ term s neglection where the large N lim it enters in our estim ates.

> V.THERMODYNAM IC LIM IT

In order to analyze the cut and paste algorithm let us introduce $Z\left(M_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ as the partition fiunction of the interpolating $m$ odel when the num ber of various kind of clauses are given by $M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b}$, and $!_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b}\right)() \text { as the }}$ corresponding $G$ ibbs average. A step of the algorithm, at generic timet, sends $Z\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b}\right)$ to $Z\left(M_{1}+1 ; M_{2}+\right.$ $1 ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad 2$ ). Let us consider s consecutive steps. If we denote as $i_{1}^{r} ; i_{2}^{r}$ and $j_{1}^{r} ; j_{2}^{r}, r=1 ;::: ;$ s the indexes of the bridge clauses we cut and as $J_{r}^{1}$ and $J_{r}^{2}$ the corresponding couplings, we can w rite:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(M_{1}+s ; M_{2}+s ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right)=Z\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right)!\left(M_{1 ; M_{2} ; M_{b}} \quad 2 s\right)\left(e^{\left(J_{r}^{1} S_{i_{1}^{r}} S_{j_{1}^{r}}^{r}+J_{r}^{2} S_{i_{2}^{r}} S_{j}^{r}\right)}\right): \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

The total average free energy change ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ after the s steps is given therefore by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\log !M_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b}\right.} 2 s\right) \underbrace{Y}_{r}\left(1+\tanh \left(J_{r}^{1}\right) S_{i_{1}^{r}} S_{j_{1}^{r}}\right)\left(1+\tanh \left(J_{r}^{2}\right) S_{i_{2}^{r}} S_{j_{2}^{r}}\right)): \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& N \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{s})}=2 \mathrm{SE} \quad \log !\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(1+\tanh \left(\mathrm{J}^{1}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}\right) \\
& \text { SE log! } \left.{ }_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} 2 s\right)}\left(1+\tanh \left(J^{1}\right) S_{i_{1}} S_{j_{1}}\right) \quad \text { SE } \quad \log !_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b}\right.} 2 s\right)\left(1+\tanh \left(J^{2}\right) S_{i_{2}} S_{j_{2}}\right)+T^{\prime} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for sym $m$ etry reasons, we have om itted the index $r$. Since we have factored the $G$ ibbs averages, the rem ainder $T$ w ill contain term $s$ of the type

$$
\begin{align*}
& E \log !\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} 2 s\right) \underbrace{Y}_{r}\left(1+\tanh \left(J_{r}^{1}\right) S_{i_{1}^{r}} S_{j_{1}^{r}}\right)\left(1+\tanh \left(J_{r}^{2}\right) S_{i_{2}^{r}} S_{j_{2}^{r}}\right)) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \# }
\end{aligned}
$$

As we will brie y discuss in section 6, the same kind of self-averaging properties that w ill ensure the vanishing of $R$ in the therm odynam ic lim it, will also guarantee that $T$ ! 0 . At this point, as in $I$, one expands the logarithm $s$ in absolutely convergent Taylor series of the variables tanh ( ). Recalling the fact that the distribution of the $J$ variables is even, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \quad \underset{N}{(s)}=s_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{h \tanh ^{2 n} J i}{2 n} E \quad\left(!_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right)}\left(S_{i_{1}} S_{j_{1}}\right)\right)^{2 n} \quad 2\left(!_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right)}\left(S_{i_{1}} S_{i_{2}}\right)\right)^{2 n}+\left(!_{\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right)}\left(S_{i_{2}} S_{j_{2}}\right)\right)^{2 n}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D e$ ne $I_{1}, I_{2}$ as the set of $2 s$ indices in the $r$ st and second sub-system, respectively, corresponding to the deleted bridge clauses. Then it is easy to realize that, conditionally only on all the non-deleted clauses, the random indices $i_{1} ; j_{1}$ and $i_{2} ; j_{2}$ are independently and uniform ly distributed on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, respectively, apart from an error term of order $O(1=s)$. This error term arises because of the constraints $i_{1} i_{2}, j_{1} j_{2}$, which becom es weak fors large, since the num ber of non-diagonalcon gurations is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$, while that of the diagonalones is O ( s ). Introducing, in analogy with section 2, ( $\left.M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} 2 s\right)$ as the replicated version of the $m$ easure! $\left.M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} 2 s\right)$ and $G_{1}^{(2 n)} ; G_{2}^{(2 n)}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}^{(2 n)}=\frac{1}{2 s}^{X} S_{i}^{1}::: S_{i}^{2 n}  \tag{42}\\
& q_{2}^{(2 n)}=\frac{1}{2 s}^{X}{ }_{i 2 I_{2}} S_{i}^{1}::: S_{i}^{2 n} ; \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }_{N}^{(s)}$ can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N{ }_{N}^{(s)}=s_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{h t a n h^{2 n}(J) i^{2}}{2 n} E \quad\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} ; M_{b} \quad 2 s\right) \quad\left(q_{1}^{(2 n)} \quad G_{2}^{(2 n)}\right)^{i}+O(1): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term is clearly positive. A s for the term $O$ (1), it becom es negligble in the lim it of large $s$, since the sum in (211) contains $O(\mathbb{N}=\mathrm{s})$ term s of th is $k$ ind and has a pre-factor $1=\mathrm{N}$.
$\bar{N}$ otice that this expression is di erent from the one found in the procedures used in the Sherrington-K irkpatrick [19 $\left.{ }_{1} \underline{1}_{1}\right]$ and in the P oissonian [15] cases where, in the analogous expansions, one nds polynom ials in the usualm ulti-overlaps $q_{1}^{(2 n)} ; q_{2}^{(2 n)}$ de ned in section 2, depending explicitly on the ratio $N_{1}=N$.

## VI.CONSEQUENCESOFA SELf-AVERAGING PROPERTY.

Form ulae ( 34 least for even $p$, the rem ainder $R[G ; t]$ is non negative for all distributions $G$ for which it $m$ akes sense. This is not enough to prove that $F$ is lim ited from above by the replica free energy; a control of the term $R[G ; t]$ is also required. A s we show below, this control is guaranteed by the self-averaging property of suitable extensive quantities, which follows from general them odynam ical convexity argum ents, rst em ployed in the context of m ean eld spin glasses by G uerra in $\left.{ }^{[2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

A s a prelim innary fact, notice that, as it is clear from ( $3 \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathbf{0}_{1}$ ), in order to establish the low er bound for the free energy it is su cient to show that $R[G ; t]$ vanishes fort $M \quad N$, for arbitrary $>0$ which willbe let tend to zero in the end.

Let us consider the interpolating $m$ odel at timet 1 , and rew rite its $H$ am iltonian ( $(\underline{9})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ contains the clauses indexed $1 ;::: ;$ t $\quad$. Let us now consider the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{1}{N}_{=t l=1}^{X^{M}} X^{p}\left(u_{i_{1}}\right)^{k} S_{i_{1}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be expected to be self-averaging w ith respect to the Boltzm ann and the quenched averages, for any integer k . Indeed, one has that generically

$$
L(k ; l)=\lim _{N!} L_{N}(k ; l)=\lim _{N!1} E\left(!\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1 \tag{47}
\end{array}\right) \quad!(k)!(1)\right)=0 ;
$$

where what we m ean by \generically" w illbe clari ed below. For the m om ent, let us explore the consequences of this. N otice that we can w rite
$T$ hanks to the average $E$ the sum is $\mathrm{im} m$ aterial and we can w rite that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(M \quad t)^{2}}{N^{2}} E \quad\left(u_{i_{r}}\right)^{k}\left(u_{i_{s}}\right)^{1} \frac{@}{@ u_{i_{r}}} \frac{@}{@ u_{i_{s}}} \log !u_{i_{i_{r}}} u_{i_{s}}\left(e^{\left(u_{i_{r}} s_{i_{r}}+u_{i_{s}} s_{i_{s}}\right)}\right)!\quad \text {; } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where or r . N otice that the pre-factor is of order 1. N ext we notice that, conditionally only on the clauses $1 ;::: ;$ t 1 which have not been rem oved, the random variables $i_{r} ; i_{s}$ are independent and identically distributed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(i_{1}=i\right) /\left(k_{i} \quad k_{i}(t)\right) ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k_{i}$ is the degree of the site $i$ in the originalsystem, and $k_{i}(t)$ is its degree at tim et 1.0 fcourse, $k_{i} \quad k_{i}(t) \quad 0$ is just the num ber of deleted clauses which involved the $i^{\prime}$ th spin. In particular, choosing $=1, r=1$; $s=2$, one can write

O bserving that this identity has to be valid for all $k$ and $l$, we nd that $w$ ith probability 1 w ith respect to the distribution of $u_{\mathrm{it}_{1}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $u_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}^{\mathrm{t}}$,
$T$ his im plies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& +E \underset{u_{i 1}^{t}}{u_{i_{2}^{t}}^{t}} \log !u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{u_{i_{2}^{t}}^{t}}\left(e^{u_{i_{2}^{t}}^{t} S_{i_{2}^{t}}}\right) \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E \underset{u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t}}{u_{i \frac{1}{t}}^{t}} \log !u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{u_{i \frac{1}{t}}^{t}}{ }^{u^{t}}\left(e^{u_{i_{1}^{t}}^{t} S_{i_{1}^{t}}}\right) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the equivalence sign $m$ eans in the previous form ulae and in the rest of the section that both quantities on the tw o sides of an equation tend to the sam e value in the them odynam ic lim it. It is easy to realize that these identities can be generalized to ones involving arbitrary num ber $j$ of elds:
 the them odynam ic lim it.

Sim ilarly, to prove that the rem ainder $T$ of section 5 vanishes, one has to consider a $H$ am iltonian of the form

$$
H=H_{0} \quad \mathrm{X}^{M} \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}
$$

and to exploit self-averaging of the quantities

$$
k=\frac{1}{N}^{X} w^{k} S_{i_{1}} S_{i_{2}} ;
$$

Repeating the steps which led from $\left(\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ to $\left(\overline{5} \overline{5}_{1}\right)$, one nally nds that $T$ vanishes for $N$ ! 1 .
W e are now left w ith the task of show ing that relations like ( $4 \bar{T}_{1}$ ) generically hold. The strategy we use has been

 $H$, $k$ being realnum bers decreasing su ciently fast $w$ ith $k$, so that the in nite volum e free energy rem ains bounded. ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{\beta}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ In the end, we w ill be interested in the case where all the 's vanish. Then, as in [21], convexity of the free energy w th respect to param eters k im plies that, for alm ost every choice for their values, the second derivative $@^{2}{ }_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is
nite, also in the them odynam ic lim it. Since this derivative can be w ritten as

$$
@^{2}{ }_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{NE} \quad!\quad\binom{2}{\mathrm{k}} \quad!\quad(\mathrm{k})^{2} ;
$$

it follows that equations ( $4 \overline{\bar{T}_{1}}$ ) hold, for alm ost every choice of the 's, if the Gibbs averages are understood to correspond to the $m$ odi ed $m$ odel. In order to conclude the argum ent and show that $R!0$, we have to rem ark two
 the end since we can take the $k$ arbitrarily $s m a l l$. $N$ ext, the rem ainder term $R$ of section 4 rem ains positive, so that Eq. (3-1 ${ }^{\prime}$ ) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{var}}[\mathrm{G}]+\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{N})+\mathrm{O}() ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here both the replica-sym $m$ etric and the true free energy are those corresponding to the $m$ odi ed system. Finally, one em ploys the fact that the free energy is alw ays a continuous function, as a consequence of its convexity, to deduce that ( ${ }^{5} \mathbf{\sigma}_{1}$ ) holds also for the original system w ith all 's set to 0 . It is im portant to em phasize that we have not used anyw here assum ptions of continuity of $G$ ibbs averages $w$ ith respect to the param eters, which we cannot prove in general, but just sim ple positivity properties and the continuity of the free energy, which holds as a general fact.

1. Generalization to m ulti-overlaps of the replica equivalence identities

It is interesting to investigate the consequences ofE q. (49-1) on the distribution ofm ulti-overlaps. To that scope, one can perform an expansion in powers of $\tanh \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\tanh \left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ in (4, $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) . Om itting tedious but conceptually sim ple calculations one nds that in the them odynam ic lim it, for all r and $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{mir}_{\mathrm{l}=0}^{[2 r ; 2 s]} \frac{(1)^{(l+1)}(2 r+2 s \quad 1}{(2 r} 1\right)!(2 \mathrm{~s} \quad 1)!1!!\left(q^{(2 r)} \quad q^{2 s)}\right)_{1} i=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where the $q^{(2 r)}$ and $q^{(2 s)}$ arem ulti-overlaps involving respectively $2 r$ and 2 s replicas, and $w$ th the notation $\left(q^{(2 r)} \quad q^{\text {s }}\right)_{1}$ we $m$ ean that am ong the tw o groups of replica lare in com $m$ on. N otice that the relations ( 5.1 ) generalize to the case ofm ulti-overlaps the G hirlanda-G uerra identity [1] ]
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2} h q_{12} q_{34} i+2 h q_{12} q_{23} i \quad \frac{1}{2} h q_{12}^{2} i=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and reduce to it for $r=s=1$. M ore general relations can be obtained from (5-5) or, in analogy with [1] ], considering considering self-averaging properties of $m$ ulti-spin perturbations in the $H$ am iltonian. W hile we will not pursue this route in this paper in fullgenerality, it is clear that p-spin perturbations to the $H$ am iltonian $w$ ill give rise to equations sim ilar to ( $5 \underline{T}_{1}$ ) involving $m$ ulti-overlaps raised to the $p$-th power.
$W$ e notice that -as it happens in the G hirlanda-G uerra case- w ithin the replica m ethod, identities ( $5 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ ) can be derived from the requirem ent of \replica equivalence". W thin replica $m$ ethod one introduces $m$ ulti-overlap order param eters $Q_{a_{1} ;:: ;: a_{r}}$, and self-consistently nds that the $m$ ulti-overlap averages are given by

$$
h^{(r)} i=\lim _{n!} \frac{1}{n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1):::\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & r+1) \\
a_{1} ;::: ; a_{r}
\end{array} Q_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{r}} ;\right. \tag{59}
\end{array}{ }^{1} ; n\right.}
$$

where all the indexes in ${ }^{P}$ ore di erent. Sim ilarly, the averages $h\left(q^{(r)} \quad \oint^{(s)}\right)_{1}$ i are given by replica sum $s$ of $Q_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{r}} Q_{b_{1} ;::: ; ; b_{s}} w$ th $l$ a-indexes coinciding $w$ ith $l$ b-indexes, norm alized to the num ber of term $s$ in the sum. For


$$
\mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{x}^{n}} \quad Q_{a_{1} ;::: ; a_{r}}:
$$

takes the sam e value. A s a consequence, for n! 0

Singling out in the sum term $s w$ ith coinciding indexes in the overlaps and $m$ aking use of ( 5 "did), after som e algebra one nds Eq. $\left.{ }^{[5} \bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Eq. $\left.{ }^{(4)} \overline{9}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ can be seen as the generating fiunction of these identities.

## VII. THEREMAINDER R[G;T].

$W$ hile the control of the rem ainder $R$ was obtained, in the previous section, $w$ ithout $m$ aking reference to a speci c form for the $H$ am iltonian (11), in the present section we restrict ourselves for sim plicity to the p-spin case, where $H_{J}\left(S_{i_{1}} ;:: ; S_{i_{p}}\right)=J S_{i_{1}} \quad:::{ }_{i_{p}} S$. Substituting in Eq. $\left.3 \underline{d}\right)$, using the independence am ong the extemal elds and the relation (16) one nds for the rst rem ainder term:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (p 1) E } \quad \log \left(1+\tanh (J)^{Y^{p}} \tanh \left(g_{1}\right)\right) \quad \text { : }  \tag{62}\\
& 1=1 \quad \mathrm{~J}
\end{align*}
$$

Through this expression we can establish that the rem ainder is positive for even $p$.
N ow, we expand the logarithm of the three term s into (absolutely convergent) series of tanh ( J), and notioe that thanks to the parity of the $J$ and the $g$ distributions, they $w i l l$ just involve negative term $s . W$ e can then take the expected value of each term and write


In analogy w ith section 2, we introduce (t 1) as the replicated version of the measure! (t ${ }^{1)}$. Next we notice that, as in section 6 , conditionally only on the clauses $1 ;::: ;$ t 1 which have not been rem oved, the random variable $i_{1}^{t}$ is distributed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(i_{1}^{\mathrm{t}}=i\right) /\left(k_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})\right): \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{a}}=e^{u_{i}^{1} S_{i}^{a}} \frac{Z(t \quad 1)}{\left.Z_{u_{i}^{1}}^{(t r} 1\right)} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{(2 n)}=\frac{P_{i}\left(k_{i} p_{i}(t)\right) S_{i}^{1} \wedge_{i}^{1}::: S_{i}^{2 n} \wedge_{i}^{\wedge n}}{i\left(k_{i} k_{i}(t)\right)}=\frac{P{ }_{i}\left(k_{i} k_{i}(t)\right) S_{i}^{1} \wedge_{i}^{1}::: S_{i}^{2 n} \wedge_{i}^{\wedge n}}{p(M 1+1)} ; \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to realize that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p \operatorname{spin}}[G ; t]=\underline{1}_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{{h t a n h^{2 n}}^{2 n} i_{J}}{2 n} E \quad(t)^{h}\left(r^{(2 n)}\right)^{p} \operatorname{pr}^{(2 n)} \operatorname{htanh}^{2 n} g_{i_{g}^{p}}{ }^{1}+(p \quad 1) h \tanh ^{2 n} g i_{g}^{p}+O(1=N): \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observable ${ }^{\wedge}$ can be seen as the operator which anninilates one of the extemal elds $u_{i}$ from site $i$. Indeed, for any observable A one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\left(\mathbb{A}^{\wedge}\right)=!u_{i}^{1}(\mathbb{A}): \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The harm less error term $O(1=N)$ arises because, in order to reconstruct $\left(r^{(2 n)}\right)^{p}$, we added \diagonal" term $s$ where at least two indexes $i_{1}^{t}$ and $i_{1^{0}}^{t}$ are equal. Since M $t>N w i t h>0$, as in section 6 , these term $s$ give altogether a vanishing contribution in the in nite volum e lim it. It is interesting to notioe that, as found in $I$, for $m$ odels $w$ ith Poissonian connectivity degree the expansion of $R[G ; t]$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the usualm ulti-overlap $q^{(2 n)}$ de ned in section 2.

In the case of the $K-S A T$, using de nition (4, $\overline{4}^{\overline{1}}$ ) for the clause $H_{J}$, we nd relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{J}\left(g_{1} ;::: ; g_{p} 1\right) \quad u_{J}\left(f J_{1} g ; f g_{1} g\right)=\frac{J}{-} \tanh ^{1} 4 \frac{\sum_{2}^{Q_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{1} \frac{1+J_{1} \tanh \left(g_{1}\right)}{2}}{1+\sum_{2}^{\sum_{l=1}^{1}} \frac{1+J_{1} \tanh \left(g_{1}\right)}{2}} 5 ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where e $1<0$. Therefore, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{K} S^{\text {SAT }}[G ; t]=\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} E^{2} 4 \log 1+(e \quad 1)!\left(Y_{l=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{1+J_{1} S_{i_{1}^{t}}}{2}\right) \\
*
\end{array}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (p 1) } \log 1+\sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{1+J_{1} \tanh \left(g_{1}\right)}{2}{ }_{\mathrm{fg}_{1} g ; \mathrm{JJ}_{1} g}{ }^{*} \text { : } \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding in series the logarithm $s$, exploiting the sym $m$ etry of the probability distribution functions and taking the expectation of each term of the absolutely convergent series we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{K} S A T[G ; t]={\underset{n}{1}}^{X} \frac{()^{n}}{n} h^{h}\left(1+R_{n}\right)^{p} p\left(1+R_{n}\right) h(1+J \tanh (g))^{n} \dot{J}_{J}^{p} ;{ }^{1}+(p \quad 1) h(1+J \tanh (g))^{n} \dot{j}_{J ; g}^{p}{ }^{i} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$


$W$ e notice that both in the p-spin and in the $K$-SAT problem the rem ainder can be written as a series of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
R[G ; t]={ }_{n=1}^{X_{n}} C_{n} E \quad\left[f_{p}\left(X_{n} ; Y_{n}\right)\right] ; \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{p}(x ; y)=x^{p} \quad p x y^{p}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \tag{73}
\end{array}\right) y^{p} ;
$$

$C_{n} \quad 0$ for any tem perature, $X_{n}$ 's are suitable com binations of overlaps and $Y_{n}$ 's averages of $g$ - elds hyperbolic tangents $m$ om ents that correctly calculate the overlaps in the corresponding replica sym $m$ etric approxim ation. M ore speci cally, for the p-spin

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n} & =\frac{h \tanh ^{2 n}(\mathrm{~J}) \mathrm{i}}{2 \mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\mathrm{r}^{(2 \mathrm{n})} \\
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\mathrm{htanh}^{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{i} \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

while for the $K-S A T$

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n} & =\frac{()^{n}}{n} \\
X_{n} & =1+R_{n} \\
Y_{n} & =h(1+J \tanh (g))^{n} i: \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

A s notioed in $I$, for even $p$ the function $f_{p}(x ; y)$ is positive for all real $x$ and $y$ thus ensuring the positivity of the rem ainder. For odd $p$ this is not the case as $f_{p}(x ; y)$ at $x e d y$ becom es negative for $x$ negative and large enough. A lthough physically one expects that for odd $p$ negative values of $X_{n}$ appear w ith probability exponentially sm all in N , we have not been able to prove this property in full generality.
$T$ he odd $p$ case is how ever interesting. In particular, one would like to be able to control the rem ainder in the case of the 3-SAT problem, recently solved w ithin 1R SB schem e [ $\left[_{1}^{1}\right.$. W hen explicit solutions of the replica equations exist, one can try to phug them into the expression of the rem ainder, to check positivity. Results in this directions, in the RS case at zero tem perature for $K$-SA $T$ and $p$-Spin $m$ odels, $w$ ill be reported in R ef. [23]].

## VIII. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have show $n$ that the free energy of diluted spin glass m odels $w$ ith arbitrary random connectivity can be w ritten as the sum of a term identical to the ones got in the cavity/replica plus an error term. The expression has been obtained through the introduction of an auxiliary $m$ odel interpolating between the original $m$ odel and a pure param agnet. The intenpolation can be though of as a discrete tim e dynam ical process in which the term s of the $H$ am ittonian are progressively rem oved, while the rem oval e ect is com pensated by the introduction of som e extemal elds. The procedure generalizes the previous w ork $\left[1 \bar{L}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ on $P$ oisson ian graphs, w here the com pensation could be perform ed in average: at each step one adds there a random number of elds on random sites. In the present case, on the other hand, a detailed com pensation where one puts a eld on each site involved in the erased clause is necessary. A s a consequence, a new term in the rem ainder appears. $W$ e have show $n$ that, thanks to self-averaging of suitable extensive quantities, this new term gives a vanishing contribution in the therm odynam ic lim it. T he rest of the rem ainder is $m$ anifestly positive for even $p$.

It is also possible to show, as it was done in [2] for models w ith Poissonian random connectivity, that the free energy and the ground state energy are self-averaging quantities, and one can obtain upper bounds, exponentially sm all in the system size, for the probability of large uctuations.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ C ases are know in replica theory where the right free energy extrem um is a saddle point, but this does not happen in the $m$ entioned cases.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}$ hile the assum ption of a sym $m$ etric distribution $(J)=(J) w i l l$ play an im portant role in establishing the free energy bounds, the precise form of the distribution will not be essential.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Results sim ilar to those described below could be obtained considering perturbations of the kind $\mathrm{N}^{1}$
    $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ $0 \ll 1=2$, which even for nite 'smodify the free energy or equations ( 481 ) only by 0 ( $\mathbb{N}$ ) term $s$.

