# H eat capacity studies of C e and R h site substitution in the heavy ferm ion antiferrom agnet C eR hIn<sub>5</sub>: Short-range m agnetic interactions and non-Ferm i-liquid behavior

B E. Light, Ravhi S. Kum ar and A L. Cornelius Department of Physics, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89154-4002

P.G. Pagliuso and J.L. Sarrao

M aterials Science and Technology Division, Los A lam os N ational Laboratory, Los A lam os, NM 87545 (D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

In heavy ferm ion m aterials superconductivity tends to appear when long range m agnetic order is suppressed by chem ical doping or applying pressure. Here we report heat capacity m easurements on diluted alloyes of the heavy ferm ion superconductor C eR hIn<sub>5</sub>. Heat capacity m easurements have been performed on C eR h<sub>1</sub> y Ir<sub>y</sub> In<sub>5</sub> ( y 0:10) and C e<sub>1</sub> x La<sub>x</sub> R hIn<sub>5</sub> (x 0:50) in applied elds up to 90 kO e to study the a ect of doping and m agnetic eld on the m agnetic ground state. The m agnetic phase diagram of C eR h<sub>0:9</sub> Ir<sub>0:1</sub> In<sub>5</sub> is consistent with the m agnetic structure of C eR h In<sub>5</sub> being unchanged by Ir doping. D oping of Ir in sm all concentrations is shown to slightly increase the antiferrom agnetic transition tem perature T<sub>N</sub> (T<sub>N</sub> = 3.8 K in the undoped sam ple). La doping which causes disorder on the C e sublattice is shown to low er T<sub>N</sub> with no long range order observed above 0.34 K for C e<sub>0.50</sub> R hIn<sub>5</sub>. M easurements on C e<sub>0.50</sub> La<sub>0.50</sub> R hIn<sub>5</sub> show a coexistence of short range m agnetic order and non-Ferm i-liquid behavior. This dual nature of the C e 4f-electrons is very sim lar to the observed results on C eR h In<sub>5</sub> when long range m agnetic order is suppressed at high pressure.

PACS num bers: 71.18.+ y 71.27.+ a 75.30.K z 65.40.+ g

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Among heavy ferm ion (HF) materials, magnetically m ediated superconductivity has been observed in m any materials at the point where long range order is suppressed by alloying or applying pressure at a quantum critical point (QCP).<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup> One of these system s, CeRhIn5, is antiferrom agnetic (AF) at am bient prest 400 m J/m ol K<sup>2</sup>.<sup>6,7</sup> sure with  $T_N = 3.8$  K and The AF state is suppressed at a pressure of around 12 GPa and coexists over a limited pressure range with the superconducting (SC) state.<sup>6,8,9,10</sup> Recently, HF system s with the form ula CeM  $In_5$  (M = Co and Ir) have also been reported to becom e superconductors at am bient pressure.<sup>11,12</sup> Unlike most HF superconductors, the system CeRh<sub>1 v</sub> Ir<sub>v</sub> In<sub>5</sub> displays a coexistence of AF order and SC state over a wide range of doping (0:3 <x < 0.6).<sup>13</sup> Therm odynam ic,<sup>7</sup> NQR,<sup>14</sup> and neutron scattering<sup>15,16</sup> experiments all show that the electronic and magnetic properties of CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> are anisotropic in nature.

To better understand the m agnetic ground state out of which SC evolves, we have perform ed heat capacity m easurements on both the CeR  $h_{1,y}$  Ir<sub>y</sub> In<sub>5</sub> (y 0:10) and Ce<sub>1 x</sub> La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> (x 0:50) system s. The m agnetic eld studies for various dopings are an extension of our previous work.<sup>7,17</sup> The m easurements were performed along both the tetragonal a and c axes in applied m agnetic elds to 90 kOe. The dependence of the m agnetic transitions with respect to tem perature, m agnetic eld applied along di erent crystal directions, and doping using heat capacity m easurements allow s the determination of

the m agnetic interactions in these com plicated m aterials. P recisely determ ining the changes of m agnetic properties with di erent variables gives insight into favorable conditions for m agnetically m ediated superconductivity. Field induced transitions when the magnetic eld is applied along the a direction are seen in all sam ples that display AF order. A detailed phase diagram for  $C \in Rh_{0:9} Ir_{0:1} In_5$  show s excellent agreem ent to that of the undoped parent com pound  $C \in Rh In_5$  suggesting that Ir doping does not change the AF order from the m easured incom m ensurate spin density wave.<sup>14,15,16</sup> La doping suppresses m agnetic order with the x = 0.50 sam ple show ing no long range AF order; how ever, a coexistence of short range m agnetic order and non-Ferm i-Liquid (NFL) behavior is observed.

Though a great deal of both experim ental and theoretical work have been perform ed on heavy ferm ion system s, a general understanding of the crossover from the single in purity to the lattice lim its has been elusive. Recently, the observance of multiple energy scales<sup>18,19</sup> and the coexistence of localized and delocalized f -electrons<sup>20,21</sup> have shown the necessity of including the lattice and considering the localization of f -electrons in heavy ferm ion system s. O ur results on C e<sub>0:50</sub> La<sub>0:50</sub> R h In<sub>5</sub>; which is near the QCP, show signatures of the coexistence of short range (short range m agnetic order) and long range (non-Ferm i liquid) behavior. We nd a striking resemblance of the results on C e<sub>0:50</sub> La<sub>0:50</sub> R h In<sub>5</sub> to those on C eR h In<sub>5</sub> driven to a QCP under pressure.<sup>8</sup>

#### II. EXPERIMENT

 $CeRh_{1 y} Ir_{y} In_{5}$  and  $Ce_{1 x} La_{x}RhIn_{5}$  single crystals were grown by a self ux technique.<sup>22</sup> The samples were found to crystallize in the primitive tetragonal HoC oG a5-type structure<sup>23,24</sup> with lattice parameters, determ ined by x-ray di raction, in agreement with literature values.<sup>13,22</sup> Heat capacity measurements, using a standard therm al relaxation method, were performed in a Quantum Design PPMS system equipped with a superconducting magnet capable of generating a 90 kO e magnetic eld. The lattice heat capacity was determined by measuring LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> which has no f-electrons. The LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> data was subtracted from  $Ce_{1 x} La_{x}Rh_{1 y} Ir_{y}In_{5}$  to obtain the magnetic heat capacity  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{C}}_m$  . This makes the assumption that the speci cheat of the lattice is unchanged by the substitutions. Since the lattice constants change less than 0:6% for the studied sam ples<sup>13,17</sup> one expects that the D ebye tem perature, which is known to depend on volume, and hence the lattice contribution to the heat capacity rem ains constant for our purposes.

# III. RESULTSAND DISCUSSION

#### A. Low Temperature SpecicHeat

The low temperature specic heat measurements were performed over the temperature range 0.34 K < T < 20 K in applied magnetic elds to 90 kOe. As previously mentioned, the lattice contribution to the heat capacity is subtracted using LaRhIn<sub>5</sub> as a reference compound. The totalmagnetic specic heat can be written as

$$C_{m} = C_{elec} + C_{order} + C_{hyp}$$
(1)

where  $C_{\rm elec}$  is the electronic contribution,  $C_{\rm order}$  is from m agnetic correlations (short and long ranged) between the C e 4f-electrons, and  $C_{\rm hyp}$  is from the nuclearm on ent of the In atom s. The electronic contribution is given by T for T >  $T_{\rm N}$  and  $_0 T$  for T <  $T_{\rm N}$ , where >  $_0$ : In the magnetically ordered samples below  $T_{\rm N}$ , as done before,  $^7$  we use the form

$$C_{order} = {}_{M} T^{3} + {}_{M}^{0} e^{E_{g} = k_{B} T} T^{3}$$
 (2)

where  $_{\rm M}$  T<sup>3</sup> is the standard AF m agnon term and the second term is an activated AF m agnon term. The need for an activated term to describe heat capacity data has been seen before in other Ce and U compounds<sup>7,25,26,27</sup>, and rises from an AF SDW with a gap in the excitation spectrum due to anisotropy. The CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> m agnetic structure indeed displays an anisotropic SDW with m odulation vector  $(1/2,1/3,0.297)^{15,16}$  which is consistent with this picture. The In atom s have a nuclear m agnetic m om ent which gives rise to hyper ne contribution to the heat capacity C<sub>hyp</sub>. C<sub>hyp</sub> is given by A=T<sup>2</sup>



FIG.1: M agnetic heat capacity  $C_{\rm m}$  divided by temperature T versus T measured on CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> in an applied magnetic eld of 90 kO e with H jä. Two phase transitions  $T_{\rm N}$  and  $T_1$  correspond to the antiferrom agnetic ordering temperature and a eld induced transition. The solid line for T < 0.8  $T_{\rm N}$  represents a t to the data as described in the text.

with A given by the relation $^{28}$ 

$$A = \frac{R}{3} \frac{I+1}{I} \frac{H_{hyp}}{k_R}^2; \quad (3)$$

where I is the nuclear moment (9/2 for In), is the nuclear magnetic moment (5.54  $_{\rm N}$  for In), and H  $_{\rm hyp}$  is the magnitude of the internal eld strength at the In site that can be due to both internalH  $_{\rm int}$  and externally applied H elds.

Data for  $C \in RhIn_5$  in a 90 kO em agnetic eld applied along the tetragonal axis (H jja) is shown in Fig. 1.

Two phase transitions at  $T_N = 3.91$  K and  $T_1 = 3.09$ K are clearly seen.  $T_{\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}}$  is the transition to long range AF order, and  $T_1$  is a rst order eld-induced magnetic phase transition.<sup>29</sup> Field induced transitions have been observed before in  $C \in RhIn_5$ ,<sup>30</sup> and this topic will be discussed in detail later. The upturn at low tem peratures is due to the In nuclear Schottky term . Note that we are in the high tem perature lim it for the nuclear Schottky term and use the high temperature approximation (Eq. 3) that the nuclear heat capacity falls o  $\ \ {\rm as}\ \ {\rm T}\ \ ^2$  . The solid line in Fig. 1 is a tto Eq. 1 with  $_0 = 38$ 2 m J/m ol  $0.2 \text{ mJ/molCe } K^4$ ,  $M^0 = 310$  $CeK^{2}$ , M = 6:3 20  $m J/m olCeK^4$ ,  $E_q = k_B = 4:4$  0:2 K. Fits like this were successful for all  $C \in Rh_1$  v  $Ir_v In_5$  sam ples in all applied elds directed along either the a or c axis. However, ts to the C e<sub>1 x</sub> La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> data, at least for x > 0:03, did not give satisfactory results due to short range order and non-Fermi liquid e ects and only the x = 0 and x = 0.03data were t and will be reported.



FIG.2: Magnetic entropy  $S_m$ , found by integrating  $C_m$  =T, measured on doped CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> samples. All of the samples approach R ln 2 of entropy by 20 K consistent with a S = 1=2 doublet crystal-eld ground state.

### B. M agnetic Entropy

The magnetic entropy  $S_m$  can be found by integrating  $C_m = T$  as a function of temperature. This has been done for all of the measured samples and the results in zero applied eld are shown in Fig. 2.

All of the measured samples approach R ln 2 by 20 K with the exception of the Ce0:50 La0:50 RhIn5 sample (which is still very close to R ln 2). Application of a magnetic eld has a nearly negligible e ect on the measured entropy for all samples with again the exception of the Ce0:50 La0:50 RhIn5 sam ple. The reason for the di erences in the behavior of the Ce<sub>0:50</sub> La<sub>0:50</sub> RhIn<sub>5</sub> sam ple relative to the others will be explained in detail later but is due, at least in part, to non negligible entropy below 0.35 K that we cannot measure. It is also possible that the stoichiom etry is slightly less than the nom inal starting value of x = 0.50. In fact a value of x = 0.47 gives an entropy of R ln 2 at 20 K. For the rest of the manuscript, we will assume that x = 0.50. However, using x = 0.47 has little e ect on the data and would not change our conclusions. The observance of R ln 2 entropy in all of the measurem ents is indicative that the m easured  $C_{\,\rm m}\,$  values are due solely to a doublet crystal-eld (CF) ground state. This is consistent with other studies which show the lowest CF level is a doublet separated by 60-80 K from the rst excited level.<sup>31,32,33</sup> Thus we are condent that our measurement of  $C_m$  are solely due to the C e 4f electrons in a S = 1=2 doublet CF ground state.



FIG.3: M agnetic heat capacity  $C_m\,$  divided by temperature T versus T m easured on CeR  $h_1\,_y\,Ir_y\,In_5$  in zero  $\,$  eld. The value of  $T_N\,$  increases as y increases.

#### C. Magnetic Order

Neutron di raction studies have shown that the substitution of10% La for C e lowers  $T_N$  from 3.8 K found in C eRhIn<sub>5</sub> to 2.7 K but does not change the SDW ground state.<sup>34</sup> A s m entioned, C eRh<sub>1 y</sub> Ir<sub>y</sub>In<sub>5</sub> displays a coexistence of AF order and SC state over a wide range of doping (0:3 < y < 0:6).<sup>13</sup> A s it has been established that 2D m agnetic ground states favor SC,<sup>35</sup> it is in portant to determ ine if the SC state arises out of the known SDW ground state of the undoped sam ple. Fig. 3

shows the zero eld data as a function of Ir doping.

The application of a magnetic eld alters the magnetic interactions. As reported before for CeRhIn<sub>5</sub>,<sup>30</sup> when H jjc,  $T_N$  decreases for all of the sam ples that show AF order as is usually seen in heavy ferm ion system s.<sup>36</sup> As shown in Fig. 1, for H jja eld-induced magnetic transitions are observed in CeRh<sub>0:9</sub>Ir<sub>0:1</sub>In<sub>5</sub>. A cumulative H T phase diagram is shown in Fig. 4.

 $T_N$  corresponds to the antiferrom agnetic ordering tem perature, and T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> correspond to eld-induced rstand second-order transitions respectively. The dashed lines are quides to the eyes. The sim ilarity to the phase diagram of the undoped  $C \in RhIn_5$  is remarkable.<sup>30</sup> This naturally leads to the conclusion that the Ir substitution does not change the magnetic structure (incom mensurate SDW) of CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> at least for x 0:10. In a manner sim ilar to the e ect of doping, recent neutron scattering results show that the incom mensurate SDW only weakly changes with pressure up to 2.3 GPa.<sup>37</sup> As stated previously, the magnetic structure in Regions I and II is a spin density wave that is incommensurate with the lattice where Region II has a larger magnetic moment on each Ce atom; Region III corresponds to a spin density wave that is com m ensurate with the lattice.38

Taken along with neutron scattering experiments on  $C\,e_{0:9}La_{0:1}R\,h\,In_5$  that show no change in the magnetic



FIG. 4: The cum ulative phase diagram s for  $C \mbox{ eR} h_{0:9} \mbox{ Ir}_{0:1} \mbox{ In}_{5}$  in various applied elds H applied along the a-axis.  $T_N$  corresponds to the antiferrom agnetic ordering tem perature, and  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  correspond to eld-induced rst- and second-order transitions respectively. The dashed lines are guides to the eyes.

structure,<sup>34</sup> substitutions of up to 10% of La for C e and 10% Ir for R h do not change the magnetic structure. This result leads one to believe that the superconductivity in the Ir doped sam ples, and NFL behavior in the La doped sam ples, evolve out of the magnetic structure of the ground state, namely an incommensurate SDW. However, recent neutron scattering results on Ir doped sam ples with y 0:30 show the appearance of a commensurate component to the magnetic order.<sup>38</sup>

#### D. Long-range m agnetic order

All of the C eR  $h_{1 y}$  Ir<sub>y</sub> In<sub>5</sub> data and C e<sub>0.97</sub>La<sub>0.03</sub>R hIn<sub>5</sub> were tusing Eqs. 1-3 for  $T < 0.8T_N$  . Fits were made in applied elds of 50 k0 e and 90 k0 e applied along both the a and c axis. A sum mary of the results are displayed in Table ??. The internal eld H int was found by using the measured A value and using Eq. 3. From NQR m easurem ents, it is known that in the absence of an applied eld the internal eld at the In sites in  $CeRhIn_5$  is of the order 2 k0  $e_r^{14}$  which is consistent with the data in Table ?? where H int for the 50 and 90 kO e data is slightly higher than the applied eld. For the zero eld data, a value of 2 kO e for H int gives a nearly negligible contribution to the heat capacity in our tem perature range so we have set  $H_{int} = 2 \text{ kOe for } H = 0$  in all of our ts shown in Table ?? (this assumption has a negligible e ect on the zero eld t param eters as they do not change if H int = 0 is used). For all values of y the value of 0 is seen to decrease as eld is applied as usually seen in heavy ferm ion system s.<sup>36</sup> For H kc the value of  $_{\rm M}$  is seen to increase as eld is applied while  $E_{\alpha} = k_{B}$  remains relatively constant. For AF systems where  $_{\rm M}$  / D  $^3$ 

TABLE I: Summary of the thing parameters to the Ce<sub>1 x</sub> La<sub>x</sub>Rh<sub>1 y</sub> Ir<sub>y</sub>In<sub>5</sub> data. Denitions of the various coe - cients are given in the text. The units are kO e for H , m J/m ol Ce K<sup>2</sup> for , m J/m ol Ce K<sup>4</sup> M and  $^{0}_{M}$ , K for E<sub>g</sub>=k<sub>B</sub>, m J K /m ol Ce for A. The number in parentheses is the statistical uncertainty in the last digit from the least squares thing procedure.

х	У	Н	0	М	0 M	$E_g = k_B$	H int
0	0	0	50 (3)	19(1)	510 (30)	7.0(4)	2
0	0	50 (kc)	45(2)	24(1)	580 (30)	7.4(4)	56(2)
0	0	90 (kc)	41(2)	29(1)	600 (30)	72(4)	97 (1)
0	0	50 (ka)	38 (2)	19(1)	390 (30)	5.8(4)	54(1)
0	0	90 (ka)	38 (2)	6(2)	310 (30)	4.4(2)	94(1)
0	0.05	0	48 (2)	23(1)	710(40)	8,2(4)	2
0	0.05	50 (kc)	44 (2)	26(1)	650 (40)	7.9(4)	59(2)
0	0.05	90 (kc)	42(7)	31 (5)	750 (180)	8.1(9)	98 (4)
0	0.05	50 (ka)	27(7)	30 (5)	580 (90)	7.6(7)	57(1)
0	0.05	90(ka)	32 (8)	15(7)	360 (40)	5,2 (6)	99(1)
0	0.10	0	81(4)	15 (3)	420 (60)	6.5(4)	2.
0	0.10	50 (kc)	64 (3)	27 (2)	600 (50)	8.0(4)	57 (4)
0	0.10	90 (kc)	56(3)	33 (2)	680 <b>(</b> 70)	8.1(4)	98(1)
0	0.10	50 (ka)	55 (3)	25 (2)	410(40)	6.7(4)	57 (3)
0	0.10	90(ka)	38 (2)	6(2)	310 (30)	4.4(2)	94 (2)
0.03	0	0	43 (2)	38 (1)	500 (34)	6.7(2)	2
0.03	0	50 (kc)	34 (3)	47 (2)	650 (160)	7.6(8)	60 (2)
0.03	0	90 (kc)	34 (2)	50 (2)	530 (50)	6.6(3)	99 (3)
0.03	0	50(ka)	24 (3)	38 (3)	360 (40)	5.4(4)	65 (2)
0.03	0	90(ka)	25(4)	20 (3)	330 (90)	4,2(2)	102 (3)

with D the spin wave stiness,  $^{28}$  one would expect D to decrease in an applied eld that weakens AF interactions leading to an increase in  $\ _{\text{M}}$  . For H ka in an applied eld, the values of  $_{\rm M}$  and  $E_{\rm q}$ =k<sub>B</sub> tend to decrease relative to the zero eld value. As seen in Fig. 1, the ts to the data in this direction are for T <  $T_1$  where the spin structure is believed to be a SDW that is commensurate with the lattice. As the zero eld spin structure is an incom m ensurate SDW, the com m ensurate state has a sm aller value of  $E_{q} = k_{B}$  as one would naively expect. The sm all am ount of La doping decreases the value of  $_0$  as expected. Qualitatively, applied eld a ects the tting param eters for the La doped sam ple in the sam e m anner as the Irdoped sam ples. For H kc the value of  $_{\rm M}$  is seen to increase with  $E_q = k_B$  remaining unchanged within the uncertainty of the values, while for H ka, the values of M and  $E_{\alpha} = k_{B}$  both decrease as eld increases.

#### E. Short-range m agnetic order

As shown in a previous report, La doped for Ce in  $Ce_{1 \ x} La_x Rh In_5$  suppresses  $T_N$  and leads to a QCP for x = 0.40, a value consistent with the 2D percolation threshold.<sup>17</sup> The zero eld data for various values of x (x = 0.5) are shown in Fig. 5

These results are in good agreement with previous La doped  $^{17,39}$  and Y doped  $^{40}$  reports. The value of  $T_{\rm N}\,$  is seen to decrease as x increases indicative of a weakening



FIG.5: M agnetic heat capacity  $C_{\rm m}$  divided by temperature T versus T measured on Ce $_{1~x}$  La $_{x}$ RhIn $_{5}$  in zero eld.

of the magnetic interactions. For x=0.50, the uptum at low temperatures is not due to magnetic order but can be t quite well for T<0.7~K to a non-Fermi-liquid form C=T =  $$\rm ln\,T$ =T $.^{41,42}$  In all samples, a signi cant portion of the entropy ( $R~\ln 2$  as shown in Fig. 2) is found above  $T_N$ . This is consistent with neutron scattering results that show short range magnetic correlations at temperatures on the order of  $2T_N$ .  $^{43}$  The contribution to the heat capacity from these short range correlations can be seen as a  $\hum\,p"$  in the heat capacity data that becomes apparent as  $T_N$  is suppressed and is consistent with our previous report that speculated the hum p was due to short range magnetic interactions.^17

To further discuss the data in terms of short range m agnetic interactions, we consider the results of M cC oy and W u for a 2D Ising model on a square lattice with two magnetic interaction energies  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .<sup>44</sup> W e identify  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  as  $E_{in}$  and  $E_{out}$  (in and out of plane directions) that correspond to the magnetic interaction energies along the two orthogonal directions. W here this mapping is completely rigorous of some concern as the model only takes into account nearest neighbor interactions on a 2D lattice, and the inclusion of both a magnetic interaction in the c-direction along with nextnearest neighbors would lead to multiple magnetic interaction strengths. However, to a rst approximation, it seem s reasonable to qualitatively describe our data using  $E_{in}$  as the interaction in the basalplane and  $E_{out}$  as the out of plane (interlayer) interaction. We  $x E_{in} = 2 = T_N$ and vary E<sub>out</sub> with the results shown in Fig. 6.

The E  $_{\rm in}$ =E  $_{\rm out}$  = 10 curve boks rem arkably sim ilar to the CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> data shown in Fig. 5 showing a peak in C  $_m$ =T at  $T_N$ . Neutron scattering results on CeRhIn<sub>5</sub> show the magnetic correlation lengths above  $T_N$  are only about a factor of 2–3 di erent for measurements along and perpendicular to the c axis, and magnetic susceptibility measurements show a factor of 2–3 di erence in the in-plane and out-ofplane susceptibility.  $^{31,32,33,40}$ 



FIG.6: M agnetic heat capacity  $C_{\rm m}$  divided by temperature T versus T as calculated for the 2D Ising model described in the text. E  $_{\rm in}$  and E  $_{\rm out}$  correspond to the magnetic interaction energies in orthogonal directions. E  $_{\rm in}$  =2 has been xed to the value of  $T_{\rm N}~=~3.72$  K of CeR hIn<sub>5</sub>. The curves represent various values of E  $_{\rm out}$ :

However, as there are more near neighbors (4) in plane than out of plane (2) using the value of 10 for  $E_{in} = E_{out}$ seem reasonable from a qualitative point of view. Keeping E in xed and reducing E out is seen to have a dram atic e ect on  $C_m = T$  as  $T_N$  m oves to low er tem peratures and a Schottky-like maximum (or hum p) appears. In the calculations, the heat capacity for the case  $E_{in} = E_{out} = 1$ shows no long range order and is identical to that of a two-state Schottky anom aly with an energy di erence of E in between the two levels. The evolution of the 2D Ising calculation displays the same system atics as the data taken on  $Ce_{1 x} La_x Rh In_5$  shown in Fig. 5. In this scenario, as La is doped for Ce, short range in-planem agnetic correlations remain while those along the c axis are weakened considerably by the disorder. This culm inates in the observance of no long range order for x = 0.50.

#### F. Non-Ferm i-liquid behavior

As mentioned, the heat capacity appears to display NFL behavior in the x = 0.50 sam ple that is near the QCP. This is in agreement with the La doped results of K im et al.<sup>39</sup> and Y doped results of Zapf et al.<sup>40</sup> who nd NFL behavior near the QCP in the magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity and resistivity (Y only) data. To further investigate the NFL behavior in the La doped system, data in num erous magnetic elds were collected, and som e of the results are displayed in Fig. 7.

As mentioned previously, the zero eld data can be t quite well for T < 0.7 K to a NFL logarithm ic dependence. The application of an applied eld moves the NFL feature to higher tem peratures eventually appearing to merge with the \hum p" centered around 2.5 K in zero



FIG. 7: M agnetic heat capacity  $C_m$  divided by temperature T versus T m easured on  $Ce_{0:50}La_{0:50}RhIn_5$  in various applied elds. The dashed line shows the non-Ferm i liquid contribution to the heat capacity for the zero eld data as described in text. The inset shows the measured entropy up to 20 K at 0 and 90 kO e.

eld (note that the uptum at the low est tem perature for the 90 kO e applied eld is due to the In nuclear term). The inset to Fig. 7 shows the m easured entropy up to 20 K at 0 and 90 kO e. Note that there is a small increase in the entropy which approaches the expected R ln 2 (5.76 J/m olC eK) as eld is increased. This \m issing" entropy is due to the large increase in  $C_m = T$  at low tem peratures due to the NFL behavior that we do not m easure; if we could m easure to low er tem perature, we would expect to nd all of the R ln 2 entropy observed for other sam ples (see Fig. 2).

In heavy ferm ion systems, there is a natural competition between single site and intersite interactions. This has lead to a scenario of two types of coexisting f-electrons: a local  $\K$  ondo gas" and a global  $\K$  ondo liquid." $^{20,21,45}$  In a sim ilar fashion, we can separate the data in Fig. 7 into two components: a \localized" term due to short range order  $C_{SRO}$  (the \hum p") and a NFL term  $C_{N F L}$  that can be attributed to intensite e ects. It is important to note that the  $C_m = T$  data in Fig. 7 is nearly eld independent above 5 K. Above 5 K, we would expect the NFL contribution to be negligible as T in the equation  $C_{NFL} = T =$ ln T = T is of the order of 5 K. Therefore, we assume that there is a eld independent term C<sub>SRO</sub> which we nd by subtracting o the zero eld NFL contribution. The resulting  $C_{SRO}$  is displayed in Fig. 8.

The data can be t reasonably well by the 2D Ising m odel with a value of E  $_{\rm in}$  =2 = 2:64 K. and only around 2/3 of the Ce spins being involved. These num bers are similar to those obtained on Y doped sam ples.<sup>40</sup> How ever Zapfet al.<sup>40</sup> interpret their data in term sof crystal elds that also display Schottky-like behavior. As mentioned



FIG.8: M agnetic heat capacity due to short range m agnetic order  $C_{SR0}$  divided by temperature T versus T m easured on  $Ce_{0.50} La_{0.50} Rh In_5$ . The solid line is a t to the data involving 65.8% of the Ce spins as described in the text.

previously, the heat capacity in the 2D Ising model for the case  $E_{in} = E_{out} = 1$  is identical to that of a two-state Schottky anom aly.<sup>44</sup> The fact that the data is broader than the t is likely due to disorder. M cC oy and W u have indeed shown that disorder broadens m easured features in heat capacity measurements.<sup>44</sup> The value of E  $_{\rm in}$  =2 is less than the value of  $T_N$  for the undoped sample that is used in Fig. 6. As already discussed, doping non magnetic La atom s should not only reduce E out as was done in Fig. 6, it should also reduce E<sub>in</sub> as we observe. The short range order scenario also gives a natural explanation of the absence of magnetic eld e ects on the  $C_{SRO}$ data because the spins align in the basal plane and the magnetic eld is applied perpendicular to the spins. The magnetic eld would be expected to have an e ect on E<sub>out</sub> while leaving E<sub>in</sub> unchanged. Since E<sub>out</sub> E in the ratio of E in = E out will be quite large and the heat capacity data is very insensitive to changes in E out as long as the ratio is large, and C<sub>SRO</sub> appears Schottky-like in nature peaking at the same tem perature since  $E_{in}$  is not changing. The C<sub>SRO</sub> data is also similar to that seen by others near the QCP for La doping,<sup>39</sup> Y doping,<sup>40</sup> and applied pressure.8

The case of high pressure is of particular interest, because the \hum p" like feature is seen in the undoped stoichiom etry near the QCP when pressure is applied.<sup>8</sup> Though F isher et al. attribute the \hum p" to the K ondo e ect,<sup>8</sup> the feature is much too narrow to be t by a spin 1/2 K ondo in purity model.<sup>46</sup> In fact the feature due to superconductivity is seen below a maximum in C that is eld independent as is our  $C_{SRO}$  data. In this interpretation, the long range 3D m agnetic order is nearly destroyed while short range 2D m agnetic correlations are still present near the QCP. This could easily be envisioned by the m agnetic correlation length becom ing sm aller along the c-axis than the nearest neighbor Ce-Ce separation which is much greater than the basalplan Ce-



FIG. 9: Measured non-Fermi-liquid heat capacity  $C_{N \ F \ L}$  divided by temperature T versus T measured on  $C e_{0.50} La_{0.50} Rh In_5$  in various applied elds. The zero eld data is given by  $ln \ T = T \ w \ ith = 353 \ m \ J/m \ ol \ Ce \ K^2$  and  $T = 5.7 \ K$ .

Cedistances leading to very large values of E in = E out. For the 16.5 kbar data of Fisher et al. that is very near the QCP, the data is tfairly well assuming that 65% of the C e spins are involved in the magnetic heat capacity with an energy of  $E_{in} = 2 = 2.45 \text{ K}$ . These num bers are rem arkably sim ilar to the Ce0:5 La0:5 RhIn5 data that is near the QCP. The sm all feature near 2.5 K in the pressure data could be explained by AF order with the t parameters listed. This would mean that the superconducting transition would be at even lower tem peratures (perhaps the very small looking feature in the data at 1 K).<sup>8</sup> Recent NQR results at 16 kbar are interpreted in term s ofm icroscopic regions of AF order below around 2.8 K and AF and superconducting states below 1.3 K .10 This scenario is in excellent agreem ent with the above analysis. The application of higher pressure would be expected to increase the anisotropy of the magnetic interactions while reducing the m agnitude of E in =2. This is exactly what is found at 19 kbar where the data is t reasonably well by  $E_{in}=2 = 1:88 \text{ K}$  with  $E_{in}=E_{out}=1$ : Unlike the crystal eld interpretation,<sup>40</sup> the universality of the \hum p" like feature near the QCP in both pressure and doping experim ents, the agreem ent with the 2D Ising calculations, and the fact that the crystal eld levels are found not to change with La doping in  $C \in Co In_5$  compounds<sup>20</sup> lead us to con dently conclude that the data we have labelled  $C_{\,S\,R\,O}\,$  is indeed due to short range m agnetic order.

The remaining 34% of the entropy for the x = 0.50 sample is found in a NFL  $\ln T$  =T term . A fler the nu-

clear In term is estimated using Eq. 3 with H <sub>int</sub> = H and subtracted along with the eld independent  $C_{SR0}$  =T contribution, the remaining data is  $C_{NFL}$  =T. The  $C_{NFL}$  =T data is plotted for various elds in Fig. 9.

As eld increases, the low temperature data appears to go to a nearly constant Ferm i-liquid like value. This eld dependent behavior is remarkably similar to that seen in one of the prototypical NFL system  $CeCu_{5:9}Au_{0:1}$ ;<sup>41</sup> and also to recent results on  $CeCoIn_5$ .<sup>47</sup> These ndings lead to the conclusion that the 4f-electrons in  $Ce_{0:5}La_{0:5}RhIn_5$  display both short range (short range m agnetic order) and long range (non-Ferm i liquid) behavior consistent with an evolving picture of coexisting short and long range correlations in the K ondo lattice.<sup>20,21,45</sup>

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have measured the heat capacity on both the CeR  $h_1$  v Irv In<sub>5</sub> (y 0:10) and Ce  $_{x}$  La<sub>x</sub>RhIn<sub>5</sub> 0:50) systems. Field induced transitions when (x the magnetic eld is applied along the a direction for  $C \in Rh_{0:90} Ir_{0:10} In_5$  are very similar to those observed in  $CeRhIn_5$  suggestive that Ir doping up to 10% does not change the AF order from the measured incommensurate spin density wave in the undoped sam ple.<sup>15</sup> La doping suppresses magnetic order with the x = 0.50 sam ple showing no long range AF order. The La doped data shows excellent agreem ent to calculation on a 2D square Ising lattice with La doping weakening the out of plane m agnetic interactions. In  $Ce_{0:50}La_{0:50}RhIn_5$ , a coexistence of short range m agnetic order and non-Ferm i-Liquid behavior is observed that is rem arkably sim ilar in nature to the pressure induced superconductivity at a QCP in undoped CeRhIn<sub>5</sub>.<sup>8</sup> This \dual" nature of the 4f-electrons in Ce-based and other heavy ferm ion system s shows the importance of including the e ect of the lattice when studying these system s.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

Work at UNLV is supported by DOE EPSCOR-State/National Laboratory Partnership Award DE-FG 02-00ER 45835 and DOE Cooperative A green ent DE-FC 08-98N V 1341 Work at LANL is perform ed under the auspices of the U.S.Department of Energy.

P resent address: Instituto de F sica "G leb W ataghin "-UN ICAM P, 13083-970, C am pinas, B razil

<sup>1</sup> F.Steglich, J.Aarts, C.D.Bredl, W.Lieke, D.Meschede, W.Franz, and H.Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1892 (1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D. Jaccard, K. Behina, and J. Sierro, Phys. Lett. A 163,

475 (1992).

- <sup>3</sup> R.Movshovich, T.Graf, D.Mandrus, J.D.Thompson, J.L.Smith, and Z.Fisk, Phys.Rev.B 53, 8241 (1996).
- <sup>4</sup> F.M. Grosche, S.R. Julian, N.D. Mathur, and G.G. Lonzarich, Physica B 223-224, 50 (1996).
- <sup>5</sup> N.D.M athur, F.M.G rosche, S.R.Julian, I.R.W alker, D.M.Freye, R.K.Haselwimmer, and G.G.Lonzarich, Nature 394, 39 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup> H. Hegger, C. Petrovic, E. G. Moshopoulou, M. F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, Z. Fisk, and J. D. Thompson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4986 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup> A.L.Comelius, A.J.Arko, J.L.Sarrao, M.F.Hundley, and Z.Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 62, 14181 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup> R.A.Fisher, F.Bouquet, N.E.Phillips, M.F.Hundley, P.G.Pagliuso, J.L.Sarrao, Z.Fisk, and J.D.Thompson, Phys.Rev.B 65, 224509 (2002).
- <sup>9</sup> T.M ito, S.K awasaki, G.q.Zheng, Y.K awasaki, K.Ishida, Y.K itaoka, D.A oki, Y.Haga, and Y.O nuki, Phys.Rev.B 63, 220507 (R) (2001).
- <sup>10</sup> T.M ito, S.K awasaki, Y.K awasaki, G.Q.Zheng, Y.K itaoka, D.Aoki, Y.Haga, and Y.Onuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 077004 (2003).
- <sup>11</sup> C.Petrovic, P.G.Pagliuso, M.F.Hundley, R.Movshovich, J.L.Sarrao, J.D.Thompson, Z.Fisk, and P.Monthoux, J.Phys.Condens.Matter 13, L337 (2001).
- <sup>12</sup> C. Petrovic, R. Movshovich, M. Jaime, P. G. Pagliuso, M.F. Hundley, J.L. Sarrao, Z. Fisk, and J.D. Thom pson, Europhys. Lett. 53, 354 (2001).
- <sup>13</sup> P.G. Pagliuso, C. Petrovic, R.M ovshovich, D.Hall, M.F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thom pson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 64, 100503 (R) (2001).
- <sup>14</sup> N.J.Curro, P.C. Hammel, P.G. Pagliuso, J.L. Sarrao, J.D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 62, R 6100 (2000).
- <sup>15</sup> W. Bao, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thom pson, Z. Fisk, J.W. Lynn, and R.W. Erwin, Phys. Rev. B 62, R14621 (2000).
- <sup>16</sup> W. Bao, P.G. Pagliuso, J.L. Sarrao, J.D. Thom pson, Z.Fisk, J.W. Lynn, and R.W. Erwin, Phys. Rev. B 67, 099903 (E) (2003).
- <sup>17</sup> P.G. Pagliuso, N.O. Moreno, N.J. Curro, J.D. Thom pson, M.F. Hundley, J.L. Sarrao, Z.Fisk, A.D. Christianson, A.H. Lacerda, B.E. Light, et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 054433 (2002).
- <sup>18</sup> A.L.Cornelius, J.M. Lawrence, T.Ebihara, P.S.Riseborough, C.H. Booth, M.F. Hundley, P.G. Pagliuso, J.L. Sarrao, J.D. Thompson, M.H. Jung, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 117201 (2002).
- <sup>19</sup> T.Ebihara, E.D.Bauer, A.L.Comelius, J.M.Law rence, N.Harrison, J.D.Thompson, J.L.Sarrao, M.F.Hundley, and S.U j, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 166404 (2003).
- <sup>20</sup> S. Nakatsuji, S. Yeo, L. Balicas, Z. Fisk, P. Schlottm ann, P. G. Pagliuso, N. O. Moreno, J. L. Sarrao, and J. D. Thom pson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 106402 (2002).
- <sup>21</sup> S. Nakatsuji, D. Pines, and Z. Fisk (2003), condmat/0304587.
- <sup>22</sup> E. G. Moshopoulou, Z. Fisk, J. L. Sarrao, and J. D. Thom pson, J. Solid State Chem. 158, 25 (2001).
- <sup>23</sup> Y.N.Grin, Y.P.Yam olyuk, and E.I.G ladyshevskii, Sov.Phys.Crystallogr.24, 137 (1979).
- <sup>24</sup> Y.N.Grin, P.Rogl, and K.Hiebl, J.Less-Common Met.

121,497 (1986).

- <sup>25</sup> C.D.Bredl, J.M agn.M agn.M ater. 63-64, 355 (1987).
- <sup>26</sup> N.H.van Dik, F.Bourdarot, J.P.K laasse, I.H.Hagmusa, E.Bruck, and A.A.Menovsky, Phys. Rev. B 56, 14493 (1997).
- <sup>27</sup> S. M urayam a, C. Sekine, A. Yokoyanagi, and Y. O nuki, Phys. Rev. B 56, 11092 (1997).
- <sup>28</sup> M.R.Lees, O.A.Petrenko, G.Balakrishnan, and D.M. Paul, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1298 (1999).
- <sup>29</sup> The software used to measure the heat capacity uses average values to t the therm al relaxation data. From the raw traces, the transitions which we have assigned as rst order are clearly rst order in nature. Experience tells us the averaging m ethod underestim ates the value of the heat capacity near the peak of the rst order transition by a factor of four or ve.
- <sup>30</sup> A.L.Comelius, P.G.Pagliuso, M.F.Hundley, and J.L. Sarrao, Phys. Rev. B 64, 144411 (2001).
- <sup>31</sup> T.Takeuchi, T. Inoue, K. Sugiyam a, D. Aoki, Y. Tokiwa, Y.Haga, K.Kindo, and Y.O nuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 877 (2001).
- <sup>32</sup> P.G. Pagliuso, N.J. Curro, N.O. Moreno, M.F. Hundley, J.D. Thom pson, J.L. Sarrao, and Z.Fisk, Physica B 320, 370 (2002).
- <sup>33</sup> A.D.Christianson, J.M. Law rence, P.G. Pagliuso, N.O. Moreno, J.L. Sarrao, J.D. Thom pson, P.S. Riseborough, S.Kem, E.A.Gorem ychkin, and A.H. Lacerda, Phys.Rev.B 66, 139102 (2002).
- <sup>34</sup> W .Bao, A.D.Christianson, P.G.Pagliuso, J.L.Sarrao, J.D.Thompson, A.H.Lacerda, and J.W.Lynn, Physica B 312-313, 120 (2002).
- <sup>35</sup> P. Monthoux and G. G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054529 (2001).
- <sup>36</sup> G.R.Stewart, Rev.M od.Phys.56, 755 (1984).
- <sup>37</sup> A. Llobet, J. S. Gardner, E. G. Moshopoulou, J.M. Mignot, M. Nicklas, W. Bao, N.O. Moreno, P.G. Pagliuso, I. N. Goncharenko, J. L. Sarrao, et al. (2003), condmat/0307055.
- <sup>38</sup> W .Bao (2003), private Communication.
- <sup>39</sup> J. S. K in , J. A lwood, D. M ixson, P. W atts, and G. R. Stewart, Phys. Rev. B 66, 134418 (2002).
- <sup>40</sup> V.S.Zapf, N.A.Frederick, K.L.Rogers, K.D.Hof, P.-C.Ho, E.D.Bauer, and M.B.Maple, Phys.Rev.B 67, 064405 (2003).
- <sup>41</sup> H. v. Lohneysen, T. Pietrus, G. Portisch, H. G. Schlager, A. Schröder, M. Sieck, and T. Trappmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3262 (1994).
- <sup>42</sup> G.R. Stewart, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 797 (2001).
- <sup>43</sup> W. Bao, G. Aeppli, J. W. Lynn, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, M. F. Hundley, J. D. Thom pson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 65, 100505 (R) (2002).
- <sup>44</sup> B.M.M.C. oy and T.T.Wu, Two Two-D im ensional Ising M odel (Harvard University Press, C am bridge, 1973).
- <sup>45</sup> N.J.Curro, J.L.Sarrao, J.D.Thompson, P.G.Pagliuso, S.Kos, A.Abanov, and D.Pines, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 227202 (2003).
- <sup>46</sup> V.T.Rajan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 308 (1983).
- <sup>47</sup> A.Bianchi, R.Movshovich, I.Vekhter, P.G. Pagliuso, and J.L. Sarrao, cond-m at/0302226.