# Hydrodynam ic equations for granular m ixtures

Vicente Garzo

Departam ento de F sica, Universidad de Extrem adura, E-06071 Badajoz, Spain

James W . Dufty<sup>y</sup>

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

M any features of granular m edia can be m odeled by a uid of hard spheres with inelastic collisions. Under rapid ow conditions, the macroscopic behavior of grains can be described through hydrodynam ic equations accounting for dissipation among the interacting particles. A basis for the derivation of hydrodynam ic equations and explicit expressions appearing in them is provided by the Boltzm ann kinetic theory conveniently modi ed to account for inelastic binary collisions. The goal of this review is to derive the hydrodynam ic equations for a binary mixture of sm ooth inelastic hard spheres. A norm al solution to the Boltzm ann equation is obtained via the Chapm an-Enskog m ethod for states near the local hom ogeneous cooling state. The m ass, heat, and m om entum uxes are obtained to rst order in the spatial gradients of the hydrodynam ic elds, and the set of transport coe cients are determ ined in terms of the restitution coe cients and the ratios of m ass, concentration, and particle sizes. As an example of their application, the dispersion relations for the hydrodynam ic equations linearized about a hom ogeneous state are obtained and the conditions for stability are identied as functions of the wave vector, the dissipation, and the parameters of the m ixture. The analysis shows that the hom ogeneous reference state is unstable to long enough wavelength perturbations and consequently becom es inhom ogeneous for long times. The relationship of this instability to the validity of hydrodynam ics is discussed.

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

G ranular m edia under rapid ow conditions exhibit a great similarity to molecular uids. This fact has stimulated the use of hydrodynam ic-like type equations to describe the macroscopic behavior of such systems. The main di erence from ordinary uids is the absence of energy conservation, leading to both obvious and subtle modi cations of the N avier-Stokes hydrodynam ic equations. To isolate the elects of such collisional dissipation from other important properties of granular media, an idealized microscopic model system is usually considered: a system composed by smooth hard spheres with inelastic collisions. As in the elastic case, the collisions are specified in terms of the change in relative velocity at contact but with a decrease in the magnitude of the norm allow ponent measured by a positive restitution coe cient 1. This parameter distinguishes the ideal granular uid ( < 1) from the ideal norm all uid ( = 1).

A lthough m any e orts have been devoted in the past few years in the understanding of granular uids, the derivation of the form of the transport coe cients is still a subject of interest and controversy. In the low-density regime, this problem can be addressed by using the Boltzm ann kinetic theory conveniently modi ed to account for inelastic collisions[2]. The conditions for hydrodynam ics are expected to be similar to those for normal uids. For a given initial state there are two stages of evolution . F irst, during the kinetic stage there is rapid velocity relaxation to a \universal" velocity distribution that depends on the average local density, tem perature, and ow velocity. Subsequently, the hydrodynam ic stage is described through a slower evolution of these local hydrodynam ic elds as they approach uniform ity. The solution to the Boltzm ann equation in this second stage is said to be \norm al", where all space and time dependence of the distribution function occurs through the hydrodynam ic elds. The Chapman-Enskog method [3] provides a means to construct explicitly the form of this norm al solution as a perturbation expansion in the spatial gradients of the elds. This solution is then used to evaluate the uxes in the macroscopic balance equations in terms of these gradients. To lowest order the balance equations become the granular Euler equations; to second order they are the granular Navier-Stokes equations. In carrying out this analysis, explicit form s for the transport coe cients are obtained as functions of the restitution coe cient and other parameters of the collision operator. This derivation of hydrodynamics from the Boltzm ann equation has been widely covered in the case of a m onocom ponent gas where the particles are of the sam em ass and size [1]. How ever, a real granular system is generally

E-m ail: vicenteg@ unex.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>E -m ail: dufty@ phys.u .edu

characterized by some degrees of polidispersity in density and size, which leads to phenom ena very often observed in nature and experiments, such as separation or segregation. Several attempts to apply the Boltzmann equation to derive transport doe cients for a multicomponent system began time ago [4], but the technical di culties of the analysis entailed approximations that limited their accuracy. In addition, all these works are based on the assumption of energy equipartition so that the partial temperatures  $T_i$  are made equal to the global granular temperature T. Nevertheless, kinetic theory studies [5, 6, 7, 8], computer simulations [9, 10, 11] and even real experiments [12, 13] have clearly shown the breakdown of energy equipartition. As a consequence, many of the previous results obtained for granular mixtures must be reexamined by using a kinetic theory which takes into account the elect of temperature di erences on the transport doe cients.

The goal of this paper is to derive the hydrodynam ic equations of a granular binary mixture from the Boltzmann kinetic theory. These equations are derived by applying the Chapman-Enskog expansion about a local hom ogeneous cooling state (LHCS) that is analogous to the local equilibrium state for a gas with elastic collisions. In the storder of the expansion, the inteversible parts of the mass, heat, and momentum uxes are calculated and the eight transport coe cients identi ed. These coe cients are expressed in terms of the solutions to a set of coupled linear integral equations [14]. The analysis carried out here is more complete than previous studies [4]; it is exact to leading order in the dissipation but not limited to weak dissipation. However, for practical purposes, the above integral equations are solved approxim ately by using the leading term s in a Sonine polynom ialexpansion. Such approach com pares quite well with the results obtained from num erical solutions [9, 15] of the Boltzm ann equation by m eans of the D irect Sim ulation M onte C arb (D SM C) m ethod [16]. The explicit know ledge of the transport coe cients allow s quantitative application of the nonlinear hydrodynam ic equations to a number of interesting problem s for mixtures, such as segregation and separation. Here, the simplest example of an application is considered, sm all perturbations of a spatially hom ogeneous state. The dispersion relations for the hydrodynam ic modes are obtained from the hydrodynam ic equations linearized about the hom ogeneous form of the LHCS. Linear stability analysis shows two shearm odes and a heat m ode to be unstable for long wavelength excitations. This means that sm all perturbations or uctuations about the hom ogeneous state will grow. The implications of this for the derivation of the hydrodynam ic equations are discussed here as well.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we review the Boltzm ann equation and associated macroscopic conservation laws. We also give a brief survey of the application of the Chapman-Enskog method around the LHCS. This state is analyzed in Section III while in Sec. IV we give the form of the Navier-Stokes hydrodynamic equations. Theoretical results are compared with simulation data for the temperature ratio and the shear viscosity coe cient. Section V deals with an analysis of the stability of the linearized hydrodynamic equations. We close the paper in Section VI with a discussion of the results presented.

#### II. BOLTZMANN EQUATION AND CONSERVATION LAW S

We consider a binary mixture of smooth hard spheres of masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , and diameters  $_1$  and  $_2$ . The inelasticity of collisions among all pairs is characterized by three independent constant coe cients of normal restitution  $_{11}$ ,  $_{22}$ , and  $_{12} = _{21}$ , where  $_{ij}$  is the restitution coe cient for collisions between particles of species i and j. In the low-density regime, the distribution functions  $f_i(r;v;t)$  (i = 1;2) for the two species are determined from the set of nonlinear Boltzm ann equations [2]

$$(\theta_{t} + v_{1} r) f = \int_{j}^{X} J_{ij} [v_{1} j f_{i}(t); f_{j}(t)]; \qquad (1)$$

where the Boltzm ann collision operator  $J_{ij}$  [ $v_1 j f_i; f_j$ ] describing the scattering of pairs of particles is

$$J_{ij} [v_1 j f_i; f_j] = {2 \atop ij} {dv_2 \ db \ (b \ g_2) (b \ g_2)} {2 \atop ij} f_i (r; v_1^0; t) f_j (r; v_2^0; t) \ f_i (r; v_1; t) f_j (r; v_2; t) ;$$
(2)

where  $_{ij} = (_i + _j) = 2$ , b is a unit vector along their line of centers, is the H eaviside step function, and  $g_{12} = v_1 - v_2$ . The primes on the velocities denote the initial values  $fv_1^0; v_2^0g$  that lead to  $fv_1; v_2g$  following a binary collision:

$$v_1^0 = v_1 \qquad ji \ 1 + \ ij \qquad (b \ g_2)b; \quad v_2^0 = v_2 + \ ij \ 1 + \ ij \qquad (b \ g_2)b;$$
(3)

where  $ij = m_i = (m_i + m_j)$ .

The relevant hydrodynam ic elds are the num ber densities  $n_i$ , the ow velocity u, and the \granular" tem perature T. They are de ned in terms of m om ents of the distributions  $f_i$  as

$$n_{i} = dv f_{i}(v); \quad u = \begin{cases} X & Z \\ dv m_{i} v f_{i}(v); \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$nT = p = \int_{i}^{X} dv \frac{m_{i}}{3} V^{2} f_{i}(v) ; \qquad (5)$$

where  $n = n_1 + n_2$  is the total number density,  $= m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2$  is the total mass density, p is the hydrostatic pressure, and V = v u is the peculiar velocity. At a kinetic level, it is convenient to introduce the kinetic tem peratures  $T_i$  for each species de ned as

$$\frac{3}{2}n_{i}T_{i} = \frac{Z}{dv}\frac{m_{i}}{2}V^{2}f_{i}:$$
(6)

The collision operators conserve the particle number of each species and the total momentum but the total energy is not conserved:

$$Z X Z dv J_{ij} [v \neq_{i}; f_{j}] = 0; dv m_{i} v J_{ij} [v \neq_{i}; f_{j}] = 0;$$
(7)

$$X = \frac{1}{2} dv \frac{1}{2} m_{i} V^{2} J_{ij} [v j f_{i}; f_{j}] = \frac{3}{2} nT ; \qquad (8)$$

where is idential as the cooling rate due to inelastic collisions among all species. The macroscopic balance equations follow from the Boltzmann equation (1) and Eqs. (7) and (8). They are given by

$$D_{t}n_{i} + n_{i}r \quad u + \frac{r_{i}j}{m_{i}} = 0;$$
 (9)

$$D_t u + {}^1 r P = 0;$$
 (10)

$$D_{t}T = \frac{T}{n} \frac{X}{m_{i}} + \frac{r_{i}j}{m_{i}} + \frac{2}{3n} (r - q + P : ru) = T :$$
(11)

In the above equations,  $D_t = \theta_t + u$  r is the material derivative,

$$j_i = m_i \quad dv V f_i(v)$$
(12)

is the mass ux for species i relative to the local ow,

$$P = \int_{i}^{X} dv m_{i} V V f_{i}(v)$$
(13)

is the total pressure tensor, and

$$q = \sum_{i}^{X} dv \frac{1}{2} m_{i} V^{2} V f_{i}(v)$$
(14)

is the total heat ux.

The utility of the balance equations (9) { (11) is limited without further speci cation of the uxes and the cooling rate, which in general have a complex dependence on space and time. However, for su ciently large space and time scales, one expects that the system reaches a hydrodynamic regime in which all the space and time dependence is given entirely through a functional dependence on the hydrodynamic elds  $n_i$ , u, and T. The corresponding functional dependence of  $j_i$ , P, and q on these elds are called constitutive equations and de ne the transport coe cients of

the mixture. The primary feature of a hydrodynamic description is the reduction of the description from many microscopic degrees of freedom to a set of equations involving only velocal elds. At a kinetic level, the constitutive equations are obtained when one admits the existence of a normal solution to the Boltzmann equation where the velocity distribution function depends on r and t only through its functional dependence on the elds, namely,

$$f_{i}(r;v_{1};t) = f_{i}[v_{1}\dot{p}_{1}(r;t);T(r;t);u(r;t)]:$$
(15)

The Chapman-Enskog method [3] generates this norm alsolution explicitly by means of an expansion in gradients of the elds:

$$f_{i} = f_{i}^{(0)} + f_{i}^{(1)} + {}^{2}f_{i}^{(2)} + ;$$
(16)

where is a form all parameter measuring the nonuniform ity of the system. The local reference state  $f_i^{(0)}$  is chosen to give the same enstmoments as the exact distribution  $f_i$ . The time derivatives of the elds are also expanded as  $\theta_t = \theta_t^{(0)} + \theta_t^{(1)} + \dots$ . The coecients of the time derivative expansion are identified from the balance equations (9) { (11) after expanding the uxes, and the cooling rate in a similar series as (16). We close this section with some comments about the partial temperatures  $T_i$  introduced in Eq. (6). In contrast to the global temperature T these partial temperatures are not hydrodynamic elds and do not appear directly in the balance equations (9) { (11) and in the normal solution (15). However, as indicated in the next section, these partial temperatures characterize di erences in the velocity distributions for the di erent species and therefore are important for their quantitative description. Ultimately, the transport coecients obtained depend on the ratio T  $_1=T_2$  which is expressed in terms of the mechanical properties of the particle collisions, through the requirement that the solution be normal.

## III. LOCAL HOM OGENEOUS COOLING STATE

To zeroth order in , the kinetic equations (1) become

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{t}^{(0)} \mathfrak{f}_{i}^{(0)} = \int_{j}^{X} J_{ij} [\mathfrak{f}_{i}^{(0)}; \mathfrak{f}_{j}^{(0)}]:$$
(17)

The norm alform of  $f_i^{(0)}$  requires that all its time dependence occurs only  $n_i$ , u, and T. Consequently,  $f_i^{(0)}$  must be of the form

$$f_{i}^{(0)}(v) = n_{i}v_{0}^{3} \quad i (V = v_{0});$$
(18)

where  $v_0^2$  (t) = 2T (t) (m<sub>1</sub> + m<sub>2</sub>) = (m<sub>1</sub>m<sub>2</sub>) is a therm alvelocity de ned in term s of the tem perature T (t) of the m ixture. The balance equations (9) { (11) to this order become  $\theta_t^{(0)} x_i = \theta_t^{(0)} u = 0$ ; T<sup>1</sup>  $\theta_t^{(0)} T = {}^{(0)}$ . Here,  $x_i = n_i = n$  is the mole fraction of species i and the cooling rate  ${}^{(0)}$  is determined by Eq. (8) to zeroth order. Hence, all the time dependence to this order is through the tem perature and so, in dimensionless form, Eq. (17) becomes the following time independent equation for i:

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@v_1} \quad (V_1 \quad i) = \begin{cases} X \\ j \end{cases} \quad J_{ij}[i; j];$$
(19)

where  $V = V = v_0$ ,  $= \frac{1}{12}v_0$ , and  $J_{ij} = v_0^2 J_{ij} = nn_i \frac{2}{12}$ . Since the distribution functions are isotropic, it follows from Eqs. (12) { (13) that the zeroth order mass and heat uses vanish while, for the same reason, the momentum us is diagonal with a coe cient that is just the sum of the partial pressures, i.e.,

$$q_{i}^{(0)} = 0; \quad q^{(0)} = 0; \quad P^{(0)} = p :$$
 (20)

In sum m ary, Eq. (18) provides the norm alsolution to lowest order in the gradients and use of Eq. (20) in the balance equations gives the corresponding Euler level hydrodynam ics. Note that  $^{(0)}$  is determined self-consistently in the solution to Eq. (19).

Just as for the one component gas case [17, 18], the exact form of  $_{i}$  has not yet been found, although a good approximation for thermal velocities can be obtained from an expansion in Sonine polynomials[5]. In the leading order,  $_{i}$  is given by

$$_{i}(V)! \xrightarrow{i} e^{iV^{2}} 1 + \frac{c_{i}}{4} iV^{4} 5iV^{2} + \frac{15}{4} :$$
 (21)



FIG.1: Plot of the coe cients  $c_1$  versus for 1 = 2 = 1,  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ . The solid line and the circles refer to  $c_1$  while the dashed line and the squares correspond to  $c_2$ . The dotted line and the triangles refer to the common value in the monocom ponent case. The lines are the theoretical results and the symbols are the simulation results.

Here,  $_{i} = (m_{i} = _{i})^{P} _{j} m_{j}^{-1}$  and  $_{i} = T_{i} = T$ . The coe cients  $c_{i}$  (which measure the deviation of  $_{i}$  from the reference M axwellian) are determined consistently from the Boltzm ann equation. An important observation is that the partial temperatures have been introduced here since they provide the actual mean kinetic energies of the distributions through Eq. (6). This would appear to contradict the assumption of a normal solution by introducing additional variables in the distribution functions. To see that this is not the case, the time evolution for the temperature ratio =  $T_{1}=T_{2}$  is calculated to be

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}^{(0)} \ln = {}^{(0)}_{2} {}^{(0)}_{1}$$
(22)

where we have introduced the cooling rates  $_{i}^{(0)}$  for the partial tem peratures  $T_{i}$  as

$${}_{i}^{(0)} = \frac{2}{3n_{i}T_{i}} \int_{a}^{X} dv \frac{1}{2} m_{i} V^{2} J_{ij} [v j f_{i}^{(0)}; f_{j}^{(0)}]:$$
(23)

The total cooling rate  $^{(0)}$  can be expressed in terms of the coolings  $_{i}^{(0)}$  as

$${}^{(0)} = T^{1} X_{i} T_{i} {}^{(0)}_{i} :$$
(24)

The fact that  $f_i$  depends on time only through T (t) necessarily in plies that the tem perature ratio must be independent of time and so, Eq. (22) gives the condition  $f_1^{(0)} = f_2^{(0)} = f_2^{(0)}$ . In the elastic case, where  $f_i^{(0)}$  is a local M axwellian, the above condition yields  $T_1$  (t) =  $T_2$  (t) = T (t) and the energy equipartition applies. However, in the inelastic case, the equality of the cooling rates leads to di erent values for the partial tem peratures, even if one considers the M axwellian approximation to  $f_i^{(0)}$ . Nevertheless, the constancy of assures that the time dependence of the distribution is entirely through T (t), and in fact the condition of equal cooling rates assures that the partial tem peratures can be expressed in term s of T.

The explicit form of the approximation (21) provides detailed predictions for the temperature ratio  $T_1=T_2$  (through calculation of the cooling rates) and for the cum ulants  $c_i$  [from Eq. (19)] as functions of the mass ratio, size ratio, composition and restitution coe cients [5]. This completely was the approximate distribution function. In Fig. 1, we show the dependence of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  on the (common) restitution coe cient  $i_j$  for  $i_1 = i_2 = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ . We also present the corresponding results obtained from a numerical solution [9] of the Boltzmann equation by means of the DSM C method [16]. The agreement between the simulation data and the theoretical results is excellent. Further, the small values of the coe cients  $c_1$  supports the assumption of a low-order truncation in the polynomial expansion of the distribution functions. One of the main indings of our theory is that, except for mechanically equivalent particles, the partial temperatures are in general dimensioned in the polynomial expansion of the distribution functions.



FIG.2: Plot of the tem perature ratio  $T_1=T_2$  versus for  $_1=_2=_1$ ,  $x_1=_2^2$  and three dimensional event values of the mass ratio: m  $_1=m_2=_0:1$  (dotted line and triangles), m  $_1=m_2=_2$  (dashed line and squares), and m  $_1=m_2=_10$  (solid line and circles). The lines are the theoretical results and the symbols are the simulation results.

contrasts with all previous results [4] derived for granularm ixtures, where it was in plicitly assumed the equipartition of granular energy between both species (i.e., = 1). The breakdown of the energy equipartition has been con med by computer simulations [6, 7, 10, 11] and by real experiments of vibrated mixtures in two [12] and three [13] dimensions. For the sake of illustration, in Fig. 2 we plot the temperature ratio versus for  $_1 = _2 = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{2}{3}$ , and several values of the mass ratio as given by the rst Sonine approximation and by M onte C arb simulations. The comparison between theory and simulation data shows an excellent agreement, even for strong dissipation. We also observe that for large di erences in the mas ratio, the temperature di erences are quite important.

### IV. NAVIER-STOKES HYDRODYNAM IC EQUATIONS

Im plem entation of the Chapman-Enskog method to the rst order in has been carried out and without approximation recently [14]. Technical details of these calculations will not be repeated here and only the main results are o ered. The solution of the Boltzmann equation to rst order in the spatial gradients can be written as

$$f_i^{(1)} = A_i \quad r_{\mathbf{X}} + B_i \quad r_{\mathbf{P}} + \mathcal{Q} \quad r_{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{D}_{ik} \cdot \mathbf{r}_k \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{i}$$
(25)

The coe cients A <sub>i</sub>, B<sub>i</sub>, C<sub>i</sub>, and D<sub>i,k</sub>, are functions of the peculiar velocity and the hydrodynamic elds. These coe cients obey inhom ogeneous integral equations involving the linearized Boltzm ann operators. Solubility conditions for the existence of solutions has been also proven. The corresponding constitutive equations found to this order are

$$j_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}n}{p} Dr x_{1} - \frac{p}{p} D_{p}rp - \frac{1}{T} D^{0}rT; \quad j_{2}^{(1)} = -j_{1}^{(1)}; \quad (26)$$

$$q^{(1)} = T^2 D^{(0)} r x_1 L r p r T;$$
 (27)

$$P_{k'}^{(1)} = r_{k}u_{k} + r_{k}u_{k} - \frac{2}{3}k_{k}r_{k}u_{k}$$
 (28)

Furtherm one, there is not contribution to the cooling rate at this order, i.e.,  $^{(1)} = 0$ . This is a consequence of the sym metry of the distribution function  $f_1^{(1)}$  since the latter does not contain any contribution proportional to the divergence of the ow velocity eld. This property is special to the low density Boltzm ann kinetic theory and such term s occur at higher densities [19]. The transport coe cients appearing in Eqs. (26) { (28) are the di usion coe cient D , the therm all di usion coe cient D  $^0$ , the pressure di usion coe cient D  $_p$ , the D ufour coe cient D  $^{00}$ , the therm all

7

conductivity , the pressure energy  $\infty$  cient L, and the shear viscosity . These transport  $\infty$  cients can be easily written in term sofA<sub>i</sub>, B<sub>i</sub>, C<sub>i</sub>, and D<sub>i,k</sub>. A s in the elastic case [3], an accurate estimate of the transport  $\infty$  cients can be obtained by using the leading term s in a Sonine polynom indexpansion. Thus, the transport  $\infty$  cients are known as explicit functions of the restitution  $\infty$  cients and the parameters of the mixture (m asses, sizes, and  $\infty$  position) and their expressions are not limited to weak inelasticity.

These expressions for the mass ux, the pressure tensor, the heat ux, and the cooling rate provide the necessary constitutive equations to convert the balance equations (9) { (11) into a closed set of six independent equations for the hydrodynam ic elds. Since Eqs. (26) { (28) have been represented in terms of the gradients of the mole fraction  $x_1$ , the pressure p, the temperature T, and the ow velocity u, it is convenient to use these as the independent hydrodynam ic variables. This means that, apart from the balance equations (8) and (9) for u and T, we need to get the corresponding balance equations for  $x_1$  and p. These equations can be easily obtained from (9) and (11) and are given by

$$D_{t}x_{1} + \frac{1}{n^{2}m_{1}m_{2}}r_{1}j = 0;$$
 (29)

$$D_{t}p + pr \quad u + \frac{2}{3} (r \quad q + P : r u) = p:$$
 (30)

Therefore, when the expressions of the uxes (26) { (28), and the cooling rate ! <sup>(0)</sup> are substituted into the balance equations (8), (9), (29), and (30) one gets a closed set of hydrodynam ic equations for  $x_1$ , u, T, and p. These are the N avier-Stokes equations for a mixture, which are given by

$$D_{t}x_{1} = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}n}{n^{2}m_{1}m_{2}}r \qquad \frac{m_{1}m_{2}n}{p}Drx_{1} + \frac{-D_{p}rp}{p}rp + \frac{-D_{p}rp}{T}O^{0}rT ; \qquad (31)$$

$$(D_{t} + )p + \frac{5}{3}pr \quad u = \frac{2}{3}r \quad T^{2}D^{00}r x_{1} + Lrp + rT + \frac{2}{3}r \cdot u_{k} + r_{k}u \cdot \frac{2}{3}k \cdot r \quad ur \cdot u_{k};$$
(32)

$$(D_{t} + )T + \frac{5}{3}pr \quad u = \frac{T}{n} \frac{1}{m_{1}} \frac{1}{m_{2}} r \frac{m_{1}m_{2}n}{m_{2}}Drx_{1} + \frac{1}{p}D_{p}rp + \frac{1}{T}D^{0}rT + \frac{2}{3n}r T^{2}D^{0}rx_{1} + Lrp + rT + \frac{2}{3n}rru_{k} + r_{k}u_{k} \frac{2}{3}rru_{k}r uru_{k};$$
(33)

$$D_{t}u_{v} + r_{y}p = {}^{1}r_{k} r_{v}u_{k} + r_{k}u_{v} \frac{2}{3}k_{v}r_{k}u_{k}$$
(34)

In general, the in uence of dissipation on the transport coe cients is quite in portant even form oderate values of the restitution coe cient (say ' 0:9). Further, the e ect of the additional contributions to the transport coe cients coming from the partial temperature di erences can be quite signi cant, even for weak dissipation. These new contributions were not taken into account in previous work on granularm ixtures[4]. The accuracy of the approxim ate Sonine solution to the resulting integral equations has been recently tested [15] at the level of the shear viscosity coe cient. Speci cally, the DSM C m ethod has been used to solve the Boltzm ann equation in the special hydrodynam ic state of uniform shear ow. An external therm ostat is introduced to compensate for the energy lost in collisions so that the shearing work still heats the system. In the long time lim it, the N avier-Stokes shear viscosity coe cient can be measured from simulations and compared with the predictions given by the Chapman-Enskog m ethod. In Fig. 3, we plot the ratio () = (1) versus the mass ratio m  $_1$ =m  $_2$  for  $_{1-2}^{1-2} = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , and three di erent values of the (common) restitution coe cient. Here, = =nT, with =  $n \frac{2}{12}v_0$  and (1) refers to the elastic value of the shear viscosity coe cient. A gain, the symbols represent the simulation data while the lines refer to the theoretical results obtained from the Boltzm ann equation in the rst Sonine approxim ation. We see that in general the deviation of () from its functional form for elastic collisions is quite important. This tendency becomes more signi cant



FIG.3: Plot of the ratio ()= (1) as a function of the mass ratio  $m_1=m_2$  for  $1=2 = n_1=n_2 = 1$  and three di erent values of the restitution coe cient := 0:9 (circles), = 0:8 (squares), and = 0:7 (triangles). The lines are the theoretical predictions and the symbols refer to the results obtained from the DSMC method.



FIG.4: Plot of the ratio ()= (1) as a function of the size ratio  $_{1}=_{2}$  for  $m_{1}=m_{2}=4$ ,  $n_{1}=n_{2}=1$  and three di erent values of the restitution coe cient := 0:9 (circles), = 0.8 (squares), and = 0:7 (triangles). The lines are the theoretical predictions and the symbols refer to the results obtained from the DSMC method.

as the mass disparity increases. The agreement between the rst Sonine approximation and simulation is seen to be in general excellent. This agreement is similar to the one previously found in the monocomponent case [20, 21]. At a quantitative level, the discrepancies between theory and simulation tend to increase as the restitution coecient decreases, although these dimensions are quite small (say, for instance, around 2% at = 0.7 in the disparate mass case m<sub>1</sub>=m<sub>2</sub> = 10). The in unce of the size ratio on the shear viscosity is shown in Fig. 4 for m<sub>1</sub>=m<sub>2</sub> = 4 and x<sub>1</sub> =  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We observe again a strong dependence of the shear viscosity on dissipation. However, for a given value of , the in unce of  $_{1}=_{2}$  on is weaker than the one found before in Fig. 3 for the mass ratio. The agreement for both = 0.9 and = 0.8 is quite good, except for the largest size ratio at = 0.8. These discrepancies become m ore signi cant as the dissipation increases (say = 0:7), especially for m ixtures of particles of very di erent sizes. In sum m ary, according to the com parison carried out in F igs. 3 and 4, one can conclude that the agreem ent between theory and simulation extends over a wide range values of the restitution coe cient, indicating the reliability of the rst Sonine approximation for describing granular ows beyond the quasielastic limit.

#### V. LINEARIZED HYDRODYNAM IC EQUATIONS AND STABILITY

The simplest application of the hydrodynamic equations is to small initial perturbations about the strictly hom ogeneous state for a large system. Then the nonlinear equations can be expanded to linear order in the deviations of  $x_1$ , u, T, and p about their hom ogeneous values. This leads to partial di erential equations with coe cients that are independent of space but they depend on time since the reference state is cooling. As in the monocom ponent case[1], this time dependence can be eliminated through a change in the time and space variables, and a scaling of the hydrodynamic elds. Let y(r;t) = y(r;t)  $y_H$  (t) denote the deviation of  $fx_1;u;T;pg$  from their values in the hom ogeneous state. We introduce the following dimensionless space and time variables:

$$= \int_{0}^{Z} t^{0} dt^{0}_{H} (t^{0}); \quad ' = \frac{H}{v_{0H} (t)} r; \quad (35)$$

where  $v_{0H}$  (t) and  $_{H}$  (t) has been introduced before. The superscript H indicates that the quantity is evaluated in the hom ogeneous cooling state. This means that

7.

$$Q_t x_{1H} = 0; \quad u_H = 0; \quad Q_t \ln T_H = Q_t \ln p_H = H$$
(36)

A set of Fourier transform ed dim ensionless variables are then de ned by

$$y_k$$
 () = d'e<sup>ik</sup> 'y (';); (37)

$$_{k}() = \frac{x_{1k}()}{x_{1H}}; \quad w_{k}() = \frac{u_{k}()}{v_{0H}()};$$
(38)

$$_{k}() = \frac{T_{k}()}{T_{H}()}; \quad _{k}() = \frac{p_{k}()}{p_{H}()}; \quad (39)$$

In terms of the above variables the linearized hydrodynam ic equations for the set f  $_k$ ;  $w_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ g separate into a degenerate pair of equations for the transverse velocity components  $w_{k?}$  (orthogonal to k)

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho} = \frac{1}{2} + k^2 \quad w_{k?} = 0; \tag{40}$$

and a coupled set of equations for  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ , and the longitudinal velocity component  $w_{k\,jj}$  (parallel to k)

$$\frac{0}{0} \frac{y_k}{y_k} = M^{(0)} + ikM^{(1)} + k^2M^{(2)} y_k$$
(); (41)

where now  $y_k$  ( ) denotes the four variables  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ;  $_k$ ,  $_{kjj}$ . The matrices in this equation are

$$M^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ B & x_1 & \frac{\theta}{\theta x_1} & & \frac{\pi}{p} & \frac{2}{2} & & 0 & C \\ & x_1 & \frac{\theta}{\theta x_1} & & \frac{\pi}{p} & \frac{2}{2} & & 0 & A \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & C \\ B & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & A & i \\ & & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{x_1 + x_2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(42)

In these equations,  $= m_1 = m_2$ ,  $x_i = n_{iH} = n_H$ ,  $= \frac{(0)}{H} = H$ . Moreover, we have introduced the reduced N avier-Stokes transport coecients

$$D = \frac{{}_{H} D}{n_{H} v_{0H}^{2}}; \quad D_{p} = \frac{{}_{H}^{2} {}_{H} D_{p}}{m_{1} m_{2} n_{H}^{2} v_{0H}^{2}}; \quad D^{\circ} = \frac{{}_{H}^{2} {}_{H} D^{\circ}}{m_{1} m_{2} n_{H}^{2} v_{0H}^{2}}; \quad (45)$$

$$= \frac{H}{H v_{0H}^2};$$
(46)

$$D^{\infty} = \frac{H^{2} T_{H} D^{0}}{n_{H} v_{0H}^{2}}; \quad L = \frac{H^{2} L}{v_{0H}^{2}}; \quad = \frac{H}{n_{H} v_{0H}^{2}}:$$
(47)

E quation (40) is decoupled from the rest and can be integrated directly leading to

$$w_{k2}$$
 () =  $w_{k2}$  (0) exp (s<sub>2</sub>); (48)

where

$$s_{?} = \frac{1}{2}$$
  $k^{2}$ : (49)

This identi es two shear modes. The remaining modes have the form  $\exp(s_n)$  for n = 1;2;3;4, where  $s_n$  are the solutions of the corresponding quartic equation. A detailed study on the dependence of the hydrodynam ic modes on the inelasticity and the parameters of the mixture will be given elsewhere. The linear hydrodynam ic equations characterize the stability of the hom ogeneous cooling state. We see from Eq. (49) that  $s_2$  for the two shear modes is positive for  $k < k_2^c$ , where

$$k_{2}^{c} = \frac{1}{2}$$
: (50)

Thus, initial long wavelength perturbations of the HCS that for instance, excite the shearm ode will grow exponentially, representing an instability of the reference state.

The wave vector dependence of the remaining four modes is more complex. However, the long wavelength stability can be obtained from the eigenvalues of M $^{(0)}$  which are easily found to be

$$s_n = \frac{1}{2};0;0;\frac{1}{2}$$
: (51)

Hence, there is another unstable mode. In sum mary, at asymptotically long wavelengths the spectrum of the linearized hydrodynamic equations is comprised of a decaying mode at =2, a two-fold degenerate mode at 0, and a three-fold degenerate unstable mode at =2.

## VI. DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this review has been to obtain the hydrodynamic description of a binary mixture of granular gases from the Boltzm ann kinetic theory. The Chapman-Enskog method is used to solve the Boltzm ann equation up to the Navier-Stokes order and the associated transport coe cients are given in terms of the solutions of a set of linear integral equations. A practical evaluation of these coe cients is possible by taking the leading terms in a Sonine polynom ial expansion. In contrast to previous studies [4], our results apply for arbitrary degree of dissipation and they are not restricted to speci c values of the parameters of the mixture. The explicit know ledge of the transport coe cients and the cooling rate allows one to perform an study of the linearized hydrodynamic equations around the

hom ogenous cooling state. The stability analysis shows that the hom ogeneous cooling state is unstable to long enough wavelength perturbations.

The reference state in our Chapman-Enskog expansion has been taken to be an exact solution of the uniform Boltzm ann equation. An interesting and important result of this solution is that the partial temperatures of each species must be dierent. This does not mean that there are additional hydrodynam is degrees of freedom since their cooling rates are equal and consequently, the partial temperatures can be expressed in terms of the global temperature. How ever, the relationships between these partial temperatures are functions of the mole fractions (composition) and lead to new contributions (not considered in previous works) to the transport coe cients. The consequences of this new elect on transport are quite signi cant.

The dependence of the transport coe cients on the full parameter space has been explored in the case of the shear viscosity . In addition, these theoretical predictions have been compared with the results obtained from a numerical solution of the Boltzm ann equation by means of the DSM C method. The theory and simulation clearly show that in general, the in uence of dissipation on is quite important since there is a relevant dependence of the shear viscosity on the restitution coe cient. With respect to the accuracy of the C hapman-Enskog results we see that the

rst Sonine approximation exhibits an excellent agreement with the simulation data. This supports the idea that the Sonine polynomial approximation for granular under has an accuracy comparable to that for elastic collisions. Exceptions to this agreement are extrement as ratios and strong dissipation. These discrepancies are due basically to the approximations introduced in applying the Chapman-Enskog, and more specifically in using the rst Sonine approximation.

A lthough the utility of a hydrodynam ic description for granular media under rapid ow conditions has been recognized for many years, there are some doubts about the possibility of going from a kinetic theory to a hydrodynam ic level of description by using a C hapman-Enskog expansion around the hom ogeneous cooling state. G iven that the search for exact solutions of the B oltzm ann equation is far beyond the present perspectives, an alternative to get som e insight into the above question is to num erically solve the kinetic equation by using for instance the D SM C method and com pare these results with the corresponding solution obtained by assuming the validity of a hydrodynam ic description. The good agreement obtained here for the tem perature ratio and the shear viscosity coe cient shows a clearly the direct veri cation of hydrodynam ics and the quantitative predictions for transport coe cients from kinetic theory for states with small spatial gradients but including strong dissipation.

One of the main limitations of the results obtained here from the Boltzmann equation is its restriction to the low-density regime. In this regime, the collisional transfer contributions to the uxes are negligible and only their kinetic contributions are taken into account. Possible extension in both aspects, theory and simulation, of the present hydrodynam ic description to higher densities can be carried out in the context of the revised Enskog theory. In this case, many of the phenomena appearing in dense granular uids (such as spontaneous formation of dense clusters surrounded by regions of low-density [22]) could be studied.

The instability of the HCS found in the previous section may also seem to represent a limitation on the validity of hydrodynamics. However, it should be noted that the Chapman-Enskog expansion is not an expansion about the HCS but rather its local form, parametrized by the exact hydrodynamic elds. These elds obey the nonlinear hydrodynamic equations which are not unstable, but rather they describe the correct subsequent evolution of the unstable linear equations. The linearized hydrodynamics is indeed limited to time scales short compared to the growth of the linear perturbations. Nevertheless, these equations describe correctly the nature of the onset of the instability. Since it is a long wavelength phenomenon, the instability can be suppressed completely for su ciently small system sizes.

A cknow ledgm ents

V.G. acknowledges partial support from the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnolog a (Spain) through Grant No. BFM 2001-0718. The research of JW D.was supported by Department of Energy Grants DE-FG03-98DP00218 and DE-FG02ER54677.

<sup>[1]</sup> J.J.Brey, J.W .Duffy, C.S.K in, and A.Santos, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4638 (1998).

<sup>[2]</sup> J.J.Brey, J.W .Duffy, and A.Santos, J.Stat. Phys. 87, 1051 (1997).

<sup>[3]</sup> S.Chapman and T.G.Cowling, The Mathematical Theory of Nonuniform Gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970).

- [4] J.T. Jenkins and F.M ancini, Phys. Fluids A 1,2050 (1989); P.Zam ankhan, Phys. Rev. E 52,4877 (1995); B.A marson and J.T.W illits, Phys. Fluids 10,1324 (1998); J.T.W illis and B.A marson, Phys. Fluids 11, 3116 (1999); M.A lam, J. T.W illits, B.A marson, and S.Luding, Phys. Fluids 14,4085 (2002).
- [5] V.Garzo and J.W .Dufty, Phys.Rev.E 60, 5706 (1999).
- [6] A.Barrat and E.Trizac, Granular Matter 4, 57 (2002).
- [7] U.M.B.M arconi and A.Puglisi, Phys. Rev. E 65, 051305 (2002); Phys. Rev. E 66, 011301 (2002).
- [8] E.Ben-Naim and P.L.Krapivsky, Eur. Phys. J.E 8, 507 (2002).
- [9] J.M. Montanero and V. Garzo, Granular Matter 4, 17 (2002).
- [10] S.R.Dahl, C.M. Hrenya, V.Garzo, and J.W. Duffy, Phys. Rev. E 66, 041301 (2002).
- [11] R.C lelland and C.M. Hrenya, Phys. Rev. E 65, 031301 (2002).
- [12] R.D.W ildm an and D.J.Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 064301 (2002).
- [13] K.Feitosa and R.Menon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 198301 (2002).
- [14] V.Garzo and J.W. Dufty, Phys. Fluids 14, 1476 (2002).
- [15] J.M.Montanero and V.Garzo, Phys. Rev. E 67, 021308 (2003).
- [16] G.A.Bird, Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation Monte Carlo of Gas Flows (Clarendon, Oxford, 1994).
- [17] A.Goldhstein and M.Shapiro, J.Fluid Mech. 282, 75 (1995).
- [18] T.P.C. van Noije and M.H.Emst, Granular Matter 1, 57 (1998).
- [19] V.Garzo and J.W .Duffy, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5895 (1999).
- [20] J.J.Brey, M.J.Ruiz-Montero, and D.Cubero, Europhys. Lett. 48, 359 (1999).
- [21] V.Garzo and J.M.Montanero, Physica A 313, 336 (2002).
- [22] I.Goldhirsch and G.Zanetti, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70, 1619 (1993).