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#### Abstract

$W$ e study the rotation of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ B ose E instein condensate con ned in a m agnetic trap to which a focused, o resonant gaussian laser beam is superim posed. The con ning potential is well approxi$m$ ated by the sum of a quadratic and a quartic term which allows to increase the rotation frequency of the gas above the trap frequency. In this fast rotation regim e we observe a dram atic change in the appearance of the quantum gas. T he vortices which were easily detectable for a slow er rotation becom em uch less visible, and their surface density is wellbelow the value expected for th is rotation frequency dom ain. We discuss som e possible tracks to account for this e ect.


PAC S num bers: $03.75 \mathrm{Lm}, 32.80 \mathrm{Lg}$

The fast rotation of a m acroscopic quantum ob ject often involves a dram atic change of its properties. For rotating liquid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$, super uidity is expected to disappear for large rotation frequencies [in]. A sim ilar e ect occurs for type-II superconductors placed in a m agnetic eld, which loose their superconductivity when the eld exceeds a critical value $\left.\overline{\mathbb{E}_{1}^{\prime}}\right]$. For the last few years it has been possible to set gaseous B ose E instein condensates into rotation, by nucleating in the gas quantized vortices which arrange them selves in a triangular lattice
 erties of these fast rotating gases has led to severalpossible scenarios. Depending on the con nem ent of the gas, its dim ensionality and the strength of the interactions, theoretical predictions involve the nucleation ofm ultiply charged vortioes $\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right.$ tice $[12,1]$, ore ects closely connected to $Q$ uantum $H$ all physics 14,1 ,

The con nem ent of cold atom ic gases is generally provided by a $m$ agnetic trap which creates an isotropic transverse potentialm! ${ }_{?}^{2} r_{?}^{2}=2$ in the plane penpendicular to the rotation axis $z$ ( $w$ e set $x^{2}+y^{2}=r_{?}^{2}$ ). A s the rotation frequency varies from 0 to! ? , the num ber of quantized vortices increases [ [1] e.g. fast rotation, is singular: the con nem ent vanishes since the trapping force is com pensated by the centrifiugal force. In classical term $s$, the only rem aining force on the particle is the C oriolis force. In quantum term $s$ this corresponds to a gauge eld $A(r)=m \quad r=2$. This is analog to the physics of charged particles in a uniform $m$ agnetic eld and one expects to recover for w eak interactions an energy spectrum w th Landau levels separated by $2 \sim$ !? . H ow ever the absence of con nem ent in a pure harm onic trap rotating at $=$ ! ? m akes this study experim entally delicate [1] [1] T he B oulder group recently reached $=0: 99$ ! ? using evaporative spin-up [201].

In the present paper, follow ing suggestions in [11] w w study the rotation of a B ose E instein condensate in a trap whose potential is well approxim ated by a su-
penposition of a quadratic and a sm allquartic potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{z}^{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{?}^{2} r_{?}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} k r_{?}^{4} \quad(k>0): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can thus explore w ith no restriction the dom ain of rotation frequencies around !? . O ur results show a strong dependance of the behavior of the gasw ith. For $=!$ ? < 0:95 we observe a regular vortex lattice. This lattice gets disordered when increases. For >! ? the num ber of detectable vortioes is dram atically reduced although we have clear evidences that the gas is still ultracold and in fast rotation. W e conclude this Letter by proposing som e possible explanations for this behavior.

O ur ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ condensate is form ed by radio-frequency evaporation in a combined $m$ agnetict laser trap. The pure $m$ agnetic trap provides a harm onic con nem ent along the 3 directions w th the frequencies ! ${ }^{(0)}=2=$ $75: 5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $!_{\mathrm{z}}=2=11: 0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. W e superim pose a blue detuned laser (w avelength 532 nm ) propagating along the z direction to provide the quartic term in the con nem ent. The potential created by the laser is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(r_{?}\right)=U_{0} \exp \frac{2 r_{?}^{2}}{\mathrm{w}^{2}} \quad, U_{0} \frac{2 \mathrm{U}_{0}}{\mathrm{w}^{2}} \mathrm{r}_{?}^{2}+\frac{2 \mathrm{U}_{0}}{\mathrm{w}^{4}} \mathrm{r}_{?}^{4}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The laser's w aist is $\mathrm{w}=25 \mathrm{~m}$ and its power is 12 mW . The rst term $U_{0}\left(k_{B} \quad 90 \mathrm{nK}\right)$ in the right hand side of $(\overline{2})$ is a $m$ ere shift of the energy scale. The second term is a correction of the transverse trapping frequency; we get for the com bined m agnetic-laser trap ! ? $=2=65: 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ [21]. The last term in (2) corresponds to the desired quartic con nem ent, with $\bar{k}=2: 6$ (3) $10^{11} \mathrm{Jm}{ }^{4}$. The expansion in ( $\bar{L}_{1}$ ) is valid for $r_{\text {? }} . w=2$, which is indeed the case for all data presented here.

W e start the experim ental sequence w ith a quasi-pure condensate that we stir using an additional laser beam, also propagating along $z\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. This laser stirrer creates an an isotropic potential in the xy plane which rotates at a frequency stir. Tobring the condensate in rotation at a frequency close to !? , we use two stirring phases. First


FIG.1: P ictures of the rotating gas taken along the rotation axis after $18 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{stim} e$ of ight. W e indicate in each picture the stirring frequency ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ during the second stirring phase $(!?=2=65: 6 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The real vertical size of each im age is 306 m .
we choose ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(1)}, \quad!?={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$, so that the stirring laser resonantly excites the transverse quadrupole m ode $\mathrm{m}=+2$ of the condensate at rest $\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$. The duration of this rst excitation is 300 m s and we then let the condensate relax for 400 m s in the axisym $m$ etric trap. T his procedure sets the condensate in rotation, w ith a vortex lattice containing typically 15 vortices.

W e then apply the laser stirrer for a second tim e period, now at a rotation frequency ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ close to or even above!?. For the condensate w ith 15 vortioes prepared during the rst phase, the transverse quadrupolem ode is shifted to a higher frequency and can now be resonantly excited by a stirrer rotating at ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)} \quad!$ ? $\left.\overline{\underline{T}}, \overline{1} 2 \overline{2}\right]$. The second stirring phase lasts for 600 m s . It is follow ed by a 500 m s period during which we let the condensate equilibrate again in the axisym $m$ etric trap. At this stage the num ber of trapped atom $s$ is $31 \sigma^{\circ}$.

W e nd that this double nucleation procedure is a reliable way to produce large vortex arrays and to reach e ective rotation frequencies e around or above!?. In particular it is $m u c h m$ ore $e$ cient than the procedure which consists in applying perm anently the stirring laser while increasing continuously its frequency. It has one draw back how ever: the e ective rotation frequency
e ofthe gasafterequilibration $m$ ight di ersigni cantly from the rotation frequency ${ }^{(2)}$ stir that we apply during the second stirring phase. The role of the stirring phase is to in ject angular $m$ om entum in the system, and the rotation frequency of the atom cloud $m$ ay subsequently change if the atom distribution (hence the $m$ om ent of inertia) evolves during the nal equilibration phase.
$T$ he rotating atom cloud is then probed destructively by sw itching o the con ning potential, letting the cloud expand during $=18 \mathrm{~ms}$ and perform ing absorption im aging. $W$ e take sim ultaneously tw o im ages of the atom cloud after time of ight (TOF). O ne im aging beam is parallel to the rotation axis $z$ and the other one is perpendicular to $z$ [24].

F ig. . and obtained forvarious ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ around!? . W hen ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ increases, the increasing centrifugal potential weakens the transverse con nem ent. This leads to an increasing radius in the xy plane. A s it can be seen from the transverse and longitudinal density pro les of $F$ ig. $\overline{2}$, obtained for
${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=66 \mathrm{~Hz}$, the gas after TOF has the shape of a at pancake. T he lim it of ourm ethod for setting the gas in fast rotation is show $n$ on the im age ( h ) at the extrem e right of F ig. ${ }^{-1 \mathrm{In}}$. It corresponds to ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, far from the quadrupole resonance of the condensate after the rst nucleation. In this case we could not bring the gas in the desired fast rotation regim e.

For the pictures (e, $\mathbf{I}$ ) obtained with ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=66$ and 67 Hz , the optical thickness of the cloud has a local m inim um in the center; this indicates that the con n ing potential in the rotating fram $e, V_{\text {rot }}(r)=V(r)$ $m{ }_{e}^{2} r_{?}^{2}=2$, has a $M$ exican hat shape. T he e ective rotation frequency e thus exceeds!?. The striking feature of these im ages is the sm all num ber of visible vortioes which seem $s$ to con ict with a large value of e. The $m$ ain goal of the rem aining part of this Letter is to provide $m$ ore inform ation on this puzzling regim $e$.

In order to analyze quantitatively the pictures ofF ig . $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$, we need to m odel the evolution of the cloud during T O F. For a pure harm onic potential ( $k=0$ ), a generalization of the analysis of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 \\ 5_{1}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$ to the case of a rotating condensate shows that the expansion in the xy plane is well described by a scaling of the intial distribution by the factor $\left(1+!_{?}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{1=2}$ [2].]. W e assum e here that this is still approxim ately the case for a condensate prepared in a trap w th a non zero quartic term $\mathrm{kr}_{?}^{4}=4$.

W e have analyzed a series of 60 im ages such as those of $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 11$, assum ing an initial atom ic distribution given by the Thom asFerm ilaw :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{4 \sim^{2} \mathrm{a}}\left(\quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rot}}(\mathrm{r})\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=5: 2 \mathrm{~nm}$ is the scattering length characterizing the atom ic interactions and the chem icalpotential. The optical thickness for the im aging beam propagating along $z$, proportional to the colum $n$ atom ic density in the xy plane, varies as $\left(+r_{?}^{2}+r_{?}^{4}\right)^{3=2}$, where ; ; can be expressed in term $s$ of the physical param eters of the problem $\left[2 \bar{T}_{1}\right]$. An example of the $t$, which takes and e as adjustable param eters, is given in Fig. I'Ia. T he agreem ent is correct, though not as good as for condensates con ned in purely harm onic traps. This may be a consequence of the approxim ate character of the scaling transform that we use to describe the TOF evolution. $T$ he resulting values for $e$ as a function of ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ are


F IG . 2: Optical thickness of the atom cloud after tim e-ofight for ${ }_{\text {stix }}{ }^{(2)}=2=66 \mathrm{~Hz}$. (a) R adial distribution in the xy plane of $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 1$ distribution (3). (b) D istribution along the z axis averaged over $\mathrm{k} j<20 \mathrm{~m}$ (im aging beam propagating along y).
 cies 68 Hz . N ote that for ${ }_{\text {stir }}{ }^{(2)}=2=68 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (im age $F$ ig. $\left.1_{1}^{1} 9\right)$ the qually of the $t$ is com paratively poor due to local inhom ogeneities of the atom cloud.

From the value of given by the $t$, we recover the atom num ber [8] $[\underline{1}]$. For the largest $m$ easured rotation frequency, the $T$ hom asFerm idistribution ( $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ ) corresponds to a nearly spherical atom cloud before TOF (diam eter 29 m in the xy plane and length 34 m along z$)$.

A second determ ination of the e ective rotation frequency e of the condensate is provided by the vortex surface density after T O F. A ssum ing that the vortex pattem is scaled by the sam e factor as the condensate density, we deduce the vortex density v before TOF, hence the rotation frequency $e=\sim{ }_{v}=m$ [181]. For
stir $<$ !? , the value of $e$ deduced in this way and plotted in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 3_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is in fair agreem ent $w$ ith the one deduced from the $t$ of the im ages. O $n$ the contrary, for
${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=66 \quad 68 \mathrm{~Hz}$ the num ber of distinguishable vortices is m uch too low to account for the rotation frequencies determ ined from the ts of the TOF im ages.

In order to gather $m$ ore inform ation on the rotational properties of the gas, we now study the tw o transverse quadrupole modes $m=2$ of the gas. W e recall that these tw o m odes have the sam e frequency for a non rotating gas, due to sym $m$ etry. For a rotating gas in the hydrodynam ic regim e, the frequency di erence ! + 2 ! 2 is proportional to the ratio betw een the average angular m om entum of the gas and itsm om ent of inertia, which is nothing but the desired e ective rotation frequency e [231]. T he strength of this approach is that it does not $m$ ake any assum ption on the expansion during TOF.

T o study these transverse quadrupolem odes, webrie y illum inate the rotating gas using the laser stirrer, now $w$ ith xed axes [20 ${ }^{2}$ ]. This excites a supenposition of the


FIG. 3: E ective rotation frequency e as a function of the stirring frequency ${ }_{\text {stir }}{ }^{(2)} .2$ : values deduced from the $t u s i n g$ the $T$ hom asFerm i distribution (31). : values obtained by $m$ easuring the vortex density in the TOF pictures. N : values obtained using surface w ave spectroscopy. T he bars indicate standard deviations.
$m$ odes $m=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith equal am plitudes. W e then let the cloud evolve freely in the trap for an adjustable duration and we perform the TOF analysis. From the tim e variation ofboth the ellipticity of the cloud in the xy plane and the inclination of its eigenaxes, we deduce! 2 , hence the e ective rotation frequency $e=\left(!+2,!{ }_{2}\right)=2$. T he corresponding results are plotted in F ig. $\mathbf{N D}_{1} \mathbf{1}$. T hey are in good agreem ent $w$ th the results obtained from the ts of the im ages: e ${ }^{\prime} \quad{ }^{(2)}$ stir. C onsequently they con ict w ith the rotation frequency that one derives from the vortex surface density when ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=66 \quad 68 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

T o explain the absence ofvisible vortices in the regim e ${ }_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}=2=6668 \mathrm{~Hz}$, one could argue that the gasm ight be relatively hot, hence described by classical physics. T he equilibrium state should then correspond to rigid body rotation. H ow ever all experim ents shown here are perform ed in presence of radio-frequency (rf) evaporative cooling. The rf is set 24 kHz above the value which em pties the trap and it elim inates all atom s crossing the horizontalplane located at a distance $x_{e v} 19 \mathrm{~m}$ below the center (one-dim ensional evaporation). >From evaporative cooling theory $[2 \underline{1}, 13 \mathrm{l}$ ] we know that the equilibrium tem perature $T$ of the rotating gas is a fraction of the evaporation threshold $V_{\text {rot }}\left(x_{\mathrm{ev}}\right) \quad 32 \mathrm{nK}$ for $\mathrm{e}=2=67 \mathrm{~Hz}$ [131. This indicates that T is in the range of 515 nK , well below the critical tem perature at this density (180 nK for an estim ated density $310^{3}$ am ${ }^{3}$ ) [32]. This low tem perature is also conm ed by the $s m$ alldecay rates of the quadrupole m odes ( $20 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ ), characteristic of $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$.
$T$ he estim ated tem perature $T$ is of the order of the splitting betw een Landau levels $2 \sim!?=k_{\mathrm{B}}=6: 3 \mathrm{nK}$, so
that only the rst two or three levels are appreciably populated. For each Landau level, the rf evaporation elim inates states $w$ ith an angylar $m$ om entum $L_{z}=\sim ~>$ $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ev}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}\right)^{2} \quad 200$, where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}=\overline{\sim=(m!?)}$ is the ground state's size of the harm onic oscillatorw ith frequency!? .

A rst possibility to intenpret our data consists in assum ing that the whole gas show in Fig. that it is in the degenerate regim $e$, and that it cannot be described by a single $m$ acroscopic $w$ ave function since the num ber of distinguishable vortioes is too low to account for the $m$ easured rotation frequency. In this point ofview, the state of the system is therefore $m$ ore com plex than a single $H$ artree state. A theoretical analysis along this line, involving the form ation of com posite bosons, has been very recently proposed in [3]_1].

A second possible explanation is that the vortioes are still present for ! ? , but that the vortex lines are strongly tilted or bent, so that they do not appear as clear density dips in the im ages of F ig. be for exam ple a consequence of the residual anisotropy of the trapping potential in the xy plane $\left[21_{1}^{1}\right]$. In an experim ent perform ed at $=0: 95$ ! ?, the B oulder group has show $n$ that a static anisotropy of $4 \%$ reduces considerably the vortex visibility over a tim e scale of $0: 8 \mathrm{~s}$ [33']. H ow ever the residualanisotropy of our trap is < 1\% and this e ect should be lim ted, unless the vortex lattice becom es notably $m$ ore fragile as approaches ! ? . $T$ he distortion of the vortex lattice could also result from a strong increase of the cristallization tim e for !? which would then exceed the 500 m s equilibration tim e eq. To check this e ect, we have increased eq up to 2 s $w$ ithout noticing any qualitative change in the atom distribution (for larger eq the gas slow s dow n signi cantly).

A third possibility is that a sm allfraction of the gas has stopped rotating during the standard 500 m s equilibration tim e, and can therefore be at a higher tem perature [32']. This sm all fraction could decrease the visibility of the vortioes present in the condensed part of the cloud. The existence of such a fraction could be tested by a quantitative analysis of the density pro les of $F$ ig. $\overline{\underline{Z}} \mathrm{Cl}$ b. Such an analysis should take into account the TOF evolution of an interacting atom ic cloud initially con ned in a non harm onic potential.

To sum $m$ arize we have presented in this Letter a direct evidence for a qualitative change in the nature ofa degenerate B ose gas when it is rotated around and above the trapping frequency. $W$ e plan to com plem ent this study by an analysis of other $m$ odes of the rotating gas, such as the transverse breathing $m$ ode [ $\left.{ }^{[3} \overline{3}_{2}\right]$. Several other interesting phenom ena have been predicted and rem ain to be investigated experim entally for this type of potential: existence of severalphases involving either a vortex array w ith a hole, or a giant vortex $[1,1,1$ extension of the present w ork consists in transposing the experim entalschem eto a 2 D geom etry, where the $m$ otion along z w ould be frozen. T he situation w ould then be the
bosonic analog of the situation leading to the quantum H all e ect, provided the e ective rotation frequency of the gas is precisely adjusted to the trap frequency.
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