

CHARGE-GENERATED CHANGES IN GRAPHITE STRUCTURES

I. Pócsik^{*}, M. Veres, M. Füle, S. Tóth, M. Koós

Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics,

H-1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 49, Hungary

Summary

It will be shown that a negatively charged carbon atom in a graphite sheet has an electron structure that is unusual in carbons, viz. the lone pair of electrons. This atom, similarly to the positively charged ones, is no longer able to participate in the π bond network: both pop out from the sheet because of their elongated single bonds and tetrahedral structure. The lone-pair structure localizes the extra electron. Forbidden gaps open above and below the Fermi level as a consequence of the destroyed π bonds. This model offers an explanation for many ‘anomalous’ experimental data.

Keywords: graphite, electron structure, lone pairs, intercalation

^{*}Corresponding author, E-mail address: pocsik@szfki.hu

The splendid variability of the chemical bonds of carbon – such as the simple covalent bonds in diamond, the double bonds in benzene and graphite, and the triple bond in acetylene - was successfully explained 75 years ago by the elegant *sp* hybridization theory of Pauling¹⁻³. The hybridized states form covalent σ bonds with their neighbours, and the remaining non-hybridized *p* states form additional π bonds with these, already bonded, neighbours. Concomitantly with the passage of time, the amount of contradictory data has also increased as a result of the intensive investigation of carbon structures. Possibly the most surprising is the disappearance⁴⁻⁷ of the robust graphitic Raman spectrum⁸⁻⁹ of the charged carbon electrode of the supercapacitor¹⁰. There are some other aspects relating to the electron structure of graphite intercalation compounds¹¹⁻¹³ that also need some alternative explanation.

A graphite sheet is generally accepted as an ideally planar structure by virtue of the non-hybridized p electron on each carbon atom. On the other hand, experimental data are available suggesting that this is not always the case. Quite a while ago Chow and Zabel¹⁴ concluded from X-ray data that in certain cases the carbon layer in intercalated graphite should be buckled. Raman analysis¹⁵ showed that the carbon atoms are not coplanar. It was recently demonstrated¹⁶ that a graphite sheet shows corrugation, in its hexagonal manner. The sliding friction of graphite sheets on each other depends on the relative angle between the orientations of their crystalline axes. When these crystalline axes were parallel, the friction was 16 times greater, than in the twisted case.

It is commonly believed that by intercalation the removed compensating charges of the stored ions are stored on the graphite sheets thereby increasing the graphitic character of the compound. There are some ARPES measurements¹⁷⁻²⁰ – still unexplained – showing that this is probably not correct. The electron density in caesium intercalated graphite showed an around 3 eV wide forbidden gap below the Fermi level. This strongly contradicts our present knowledge since we will show that the plain graphite sheet is a finely balanced neutral structure that will be damaged by any deposited charge.

Negatively charged carbon in a graphite sheet shows a new configuration: the non-bonding pair, or ‘lone pair’ of electrons, that does not take part in chemical bonds, but occupies a tetrahedral segment of the space around the atom. The extra electron occupies the second site of the half filled, non-hybridized *p* state. This occupation transforms the *p* state into the lone-pair state thereby creating a nitrogen analogous C⁻ electron structure - not mentioned in Pauling’s list¹⁻³ of carbon. What we have is a three-coordinated, non-planar, tetrahedral structure of carbon atom, which pops out from the graphite sheet.

A further consequence is that the deposited charge destroys the former π bond of this C⁻ atom, and its C⁰ former partner. The bond type is reduced from double to single, and its length increases from around 141 pm in the graphite sheet to around 154 pm in diamond. This length increase causes these C⁻ and C⁰ atoms to pop out from the graphite sheet in an anti-symmetrical bi-pyramidal structure (see Fig. 1), contributing to an increase in the mean bond length reported by Pietronero and Strässler²¹.

By charging or discharging a graphite sheet, no change takes place in the population of the π states, but their density changes: π states (both π and π^*) disappear. Ongoing charging/discharging leads to a gap opening in the inter-crossing region of the π and π^* bands, as can be seen in Fig. 2.

The lone-pairs usually create energy levels around the inter-crossing point, so does the neutral C^0 , or the C^+ atoms. The whole process is illustrated in Fig. 3. The band in the middle is narrow, created by the weakly interacting C^- , C^0 and C^+ carbons.

The displacement of charged atoms destroys the planarity of the sheet, and increases its corrugated character. This explains the measured Raman data¹⁵ and the relative angle dependence of the friction of the graphite sheets¹⁶.

The collapsed Raman spectra of charged ultra-capacitor electrode⁴⁻⁶ can be explained by the increasing number of sp^3 carbons in the graphite sheet. The E_{2g2} mode¹³ is an 'in plane' vibration mode of the crystal sheet of graphite, but corrugation destroys the plane along which this vibration could propagate, thus the density of this vibration state decreases⁴⁻⁶.

Direct proof of the forbidden gap opening is given by angle resolved photoemission spectra¹⁷⁻²⁰ measured on intercalated graphite. The π electron system dominates the electron spectrum of the graphite in the upper ~ 8 eV range, but the band

intensity drops to zero in the upper ~ 3 eV range proving, that π bonds disappeared from this energy range. A sharp electron density peak at the 'Fermi energy' shows the increasing C^- and C^0 concentration.

Graphite, generally accepted as being ideally flat, is the archetype of layered structures. Charges deposited on a graphitic sheet break up π bonds thereby creating three-coordinated carbons with tetrahedral electron structure, which seems to be the most characteristic and frequent defect in the graphitic sheet, transforming the flat sheet to a corrugated one. The broken π bonds are missing from the density of electron states, and a gap opens above and below the Fermi energy.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NATO; the Hungarian National Research and Development Program; and by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund.

References

- 1 L. Pauling, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **14**, 359 (1928).
- 2 L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **53**, 1369 (1931).
- 3 L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed., Cornell University Press, Ithaca. 1960.
- 4 F. Bonhomme, *Spectroelectrochimie par microspectroscopie Raman confocale*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bordeaux 2000.
- 5 D.A. Trotir and D.A. Scherson, Electrochemical and *In Situ* Raman Studies of Embedded Carbon Particle Electrodes in Nonaqueous Liquid Electrodes, Electrochem. and Solid-St. Lett., **3**, 263 (2000).
- 6 F. Bonhomme, J.C. Lassegues and L. Servant, Raman Spectroelectrochemistry of a carbon supercapacitor, J. Electrochem. Soc. **148**, E450-E458 (2001).
- 7 Yu Luo, Wen-Bin Cai and D. A. Scherson, *In situ* Raman spectroscopy of single particle electrodes, Electrochem. and Solid-St. Lett., **4**, A101-A104 (2001).
- 8 W. H. Weber and R. Merlin, *Raman Scattering in Materials Science*, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- 9 I. Pócsik, M. Hundhausen, M. Koós and L. Ley, Origin of the D peak in the Raman spectrum of microcrystalline graphite, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **227-230**, 1083-1086 (1998).
- 10 B. E. Conway, *Electrochemical Supercapacitors*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 1999.

- 11 M.S. Dresselhaus and G. Dresselhaus, Intercalation compounds of graphite, *Advances in Physics*, **30**,139-326 (1981).
- 12 M. S. Dresselhaus (Editor) *Intercalation in Layered Materials*, NATO ASI Series B, Vol. **148**, Plenum Press, New York, 1986.
- 13 N. B. Brandt, S.M. Chudinov and Ya. G. Ponomarev, *Semimetals I. Graphite and its Compounds*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- 14 P.C. Chow and H. Zabel, The structure of ternary alkali graphite intercalation compounds; in Ref. 12. pp.301-304 (1986).
- 15 Y. Kawashima, G. Katagiri, Evidence for non-planar atomic arrangement in graphite obtained by Raman spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 104109 (2002).
- 16 M. Dienwiebel, *Atomic Scale Friction and Super-Lubricity*, Thesis, University of Leiden, The Netherlands, 2003.
- 17 M. Lagues, D. Marchand and C. Fretigny, Band-structure of cesium graphite intercalate compounds from angle resolved photoemission, *Synthetic Metals*, **12**, 263 (1985).
- 18 C. Fretigny, D. Marchand and M. Lagues, Cesium graphite intercalation compound study from angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy, *Physical Review B* **32**, 8462-8464 (1985).
- 19 M. Lagues, J.E. Fisher, D. Marchand and C. Fretigny, Angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy of csc8 - evidence for a charge-density wave localized at the surface, *Solid State Comm.* **67**, 1011-1115 (1988).
- 20 G. Dresselhaus and M. Lagues, Electron spectroscopies, in Ref. 12. pp. 271-290 (1986).

- 21 L. Pietronero and S. Strässler, Bond-length change as a tool to determine charge transfer and electron-phonon coupling in graphite intercalation compounds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 593-596 (1981).
- 22 G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller and J. Hafner; Europhys. Lett. **32**, 729-734 (1995).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Displacement of C^- and C^0 atoms from their position in the graphite sheet. Dashed lines show the original positions and bonds, arrows represent displacements.

Fig. 2. The π and π^* electron energy dispersion in the graphite sheet along highly symmetrical lines in the Brillouin zone of graphite, after Kresse et al.²². The thin line represents the pure single crystal, the thick one the electrically charged one, when gap (E_g) opened as a consequence of the broken π bonds.

Fig. 3. Gap opening (shown by arrows) in the electron density of state of ongoing charged graphite.




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.