

Vortex Rings in two Component Bose-Einstein Condensates

Max A. Metlitski and Ariel R. Zhitnitsky

Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada

We study the structure of the vortex core in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates. We demonstrate that the order parameter may not vanish and the symmetry may not be restored in the core of the vortex. In this case such vortices can form vortex rings known as vortons in particle physics literature. In contrast with well-studied superfluid ^4He , where similar vortex rings can be stable due to Magnus force only if they move, the vortex rings in two-component BECs can be stable even if they are at rest. This beautiful effect was first discussed by Witten in the cosmic string context, where it was shown that the stabilization occurs due to condensation of the second component of the field in the vortex core. This second condensate trapped in the core may carry a current along the vortex ring counteracting the effect of string tension that causes the loop to shrink. We speculate that such vortons may have been already observed in the laboratory. We also speculate that the experimental study of topological structures in BECs can provide a unique opportunity to study cosmology and astrophysics by doing laboratory experiments.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

With the recent controlled creation of vortices [1, 2] in trapped atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), the study of structure and dynamics of topological defects in weakly interacting superfluids has become an active subject of experimental and theoretical research.

Similar topological structures in form of vortex lines (strings) have been observed in superfluid ^3He and ^4He , conventional superconductors and high T_c superconductors. In most studied and well understood cases such as the ^4He system and the conventional superconductors, the order parameter can be represented by a single complex scalar field, and the structure of the vortex is quite simple. It can be understood in terms of spontaneous violation of the $U(1)$ symmetry, which represents the conservation of number of particles (in ^4He) or electric charge (in conventional superconductors). As is well-known,

spontaneous violation of the $U(1)$ symmetry leads to a non-zero magnitude of the order parameter, which represents the superfluid density (in ^4He) or the Cooper pair density (in conventional superconductors). The structure of the vortex core in these cases is trivial: the order parameter must vanish in the center of the core, restoring the $U(1)$ symmetry there, see e.g. [3]. If one makes a ring out of such a vortex, it would quickly shrink and decay due to the large string tension. The well-known quantized vortex rings, which have been produced and detected [4] in superfluid ^4He , were stable due to their motion that gives rise to a Magnus force equilibrating the string tension. We shall not discuss vortex ring stabilization by Magnus forces in the current work.

The subject of this work is the analysis of a less trivial and much more interesting situation when the vortex rings, dubbed vortons, can be stabilized even when they do not move. As we discuss below, such a stability

cannot occur in a system described by a single scalar field; rather such a stability may occur in more complex systems, such as the two-component BECs, which are considered in this paper.

We should note at this point that the theoretical feasibility for such a phenomenon to occur, was first discussed by E. Witten who suggested a toy model leading to existence of superconducting cosmic strings [5]. The idea of construction is simple: consider a two component system (such as a two component BEC), which is described by two complex scalar fields (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) with an approximate $U(2)$ symmetry. If the $U(2)$ symmetry between fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 is explicitly broken down to $U(1) \times U(1)$, the condensation might be energetically more favorable than condensation, and the ground state will be given by $h_1 \neq 0$ and $h_2 = 0$. As is well known, such a system allows for existence of vortices characterized by the phase of field varying by an integer multiple of 2π as one traverses a contour around the vortex core. What Witten actually has demonstrated is that if the approximate $U(2)$ symmetry is broken only weakly, the field may condense inside the core of the string, "spontaneously breaking" the corresponding $U(1)$ symmetry in the core. In the case when the field is electrically charged, such a string can support a persistent electric current along the string core, hence the term "superconducting cosmic strings". As will be discussed below, the question of existence and magnitude of field condensation in the vortex core depends solely on how strongly the $U(2)$ symmetry is broken.

One should remark here that the above idea (that the core of the string could be in a different phase without restoration of symmetry) was motivated by the grand unified theories with a typical scale of 10^{15} GeV. However, this phenomenon was experimentally observed in a very different environment with a typical scale of 10^4 eV. Here we are referring to the experimental observation of

ferromagnetic $^3\text{He-A}$ cores in superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$ vortices, for review see [6, 7]. Also, this phenomenon has been predicted to occur in the $SO(5)$ model of high-temperature superconductivity, where the cores of the conventional Abrikosov strings are in the antiferromagnetic state [8, 9]. There has been recent experimental evidence that suggests this theoretical picture may be correct [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. It has been argued [15, 16, 17] that this phenomenon may also occur in the so-called color superconducting phase of QCD that is believed to be realized when the baryon density is a few times larger than nuclear density [18].

The consequences of core-condensation are far-reaching and cannot be overseen at this point. In particular, as will be demonstrated below, one expected consequence of core-condensation is expansion of the vortex core as the magnitude of explicit $U(2)$ symmetry breaking decreases. Thus, in the limit of very weak $U(2)$ symmetry breaking the vortex cores in 2-component BECs may become large enough to allow for direct experimental study of core properties. One other known consequence of core condensation is that the interactions between vortices can be drastically altered by the presence of non-trivial cores [19]. If this indeed happens, the standard picture of Abrikosov lattices in high T_c superconductors or vortex lattices in BECs may not be necessarily always correct.

Another consequence of the phenomenon of core-condensation was discussed previously in the context of cosmic strings. Within Witten's original toy model, it has been shown [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] that condensation inside the core provides a way to stabilize a string loop against shrinking, see [28] for review. Indeed, a string loop is a topologically trivial object that normally shrinks due to string tension. However, if one allows for the field condensed in the core of a vortex to carry a winding number along the string as well as a Noether's charge associated with its $U(1)$ symmetry, then the con-

servation of both (the charge and the winding number) prevents the loop from shrinking. In fact, when both Noether's charge and winding number are present, the field carries a non-zero angular momentum perpendicular to the plane of the loop, which stabilizes the configuration. The resulting stable vortex loops, known as vortons, are called semi-topological defects since they are stabilized in part by topology and in part by energetics. One should comment here that this stability is purely mechanical in origin and to a leading approximation is independent of whether the relevant symmetry is local or global.

Up to this point, most discussion of vortons has been confined to relativistic physics (such as cosmic strings and high-density QCD), or to physics where the relevant low-energy effective Lagrangian has a relativistic form (such as the $SO(5)$ model of high T_c superconductivity). In the present work we wish to investigate whether counterparts of semi-topological defects described above exist in non-relativistic field theory, such as the one describing a mixture of two ultra-cold Bose condensed gases. In this paper we discuss the structure of BECs with two internal levels. This is equivalent to a spin-1/2 fluid: the order parameter has $U(2)$ rotational properties. We demonstrate that strings with condensation in the core do exist if the $U(2)$ symmetry is slightly broken, and we calculate explicitly under which conditions this occurs. We then go on to construct vortons in non-relativistic systems and demonstrate their stability under certain conditions.

The application to the field of Bose gases seems particularly interesting in the wake of recent theoretical and experimental achievements in this area. On the experimental side, the long sought goal of obtaining a weakly interacting Bose gas is now achieved in ultra-cold alkali metal gases such as Rb, Na and Li [29, 30, 31]. Moreover, experimentally, it is now possible [32, 33] to have a system with two hyperfine states of ^{87}Rb condensed in the

same trap; this is precisely the kind of system we consider in the present work. Also, as we already mentioned, in the past few years experiments have confirmed that rotational vortices do indeed form in the ultra-cold Bose gases [1, 2, 34]. Actually, the original experiment that produced a quantum vortex in ^{87}Rb [1] for technical reasons made use of a second condensate in the core of the vortex. Thus, we speculate that the phenomenon of core condensation described in this paper might have been already observed in BECs. However, since in the original experiment the second field component was artificially induced in the core, one cannot immediately identify the phenomenon of natural core condensation that we are discussing with the experimentally observed result. Moreover, we note that vortex rings, which are the subject of the present work, may have also been observed [35]. However, an additional analysis is required before one can convincingly argue that the observed rings are precisely vortons, which are stable due to the condensation in the core rather than due to some other factors such as nonzero velocity of the ring or due to the influence of the trap potential.

On the theoretical side, the weakly interacting BECs provide a fascinating tool for testing theoretical ideas in various fields where experimental control is not possible (such as cosmology and astrophysics, see some comments in the Conclusion). Recently there has been a number of theoretical investigations into topological defects such as domain walls, vortices and skyrmions in Bose-Einstein condensates [36]–[48]. Most of these papers, however, are focused on the case when only a single field is present so that the effects discussed in these paper do not occur at all. In other papers [36, 42] two particle species are present in the ground state. In this case, the effect that is the subject of this paper (when the second condensate is suppressed in the bulk of the media but reappears in the core of the vortex) is not as pronounced. In [43] a configuration known as

a "dark-bright vector soliton" is discussed, consisting of a domain wall formed by one condensate with the second condensate condensed to the wall's center. This defect is just a 1-dimensional analogue of the vortex with a core condensate considered in this paper. In other related works[45, 46, 47, 48] a nontrivial vortex ring in a two-component BEC is interpreted as the skyrmion, a topologically stable solution originally introduced in particle physics literature[49]. While the analysis[45, 46, 47, 48] is mainly numerical, and therefore, it is quite difficult to make a precise correspondence with our analytical approach, we have a strong feeling that configurations described in [45, 46, 47, 48] are more similar to non-topological vortons considered in the present work rather than to topological skyrmions as claimed, see arguments in [50]. The advantage of the analytical approach advocated in the present work is the clear understanding of the structure of the solution as well as the nature of the stability of the configuration. Numerical calculations are more useful when the influence of the trapping potential (and other complications, which are always present in real experiments) cannot be neglected and should be taken into account.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II we review the properties of the conventional $U(1)$ strings and construct explicit numeric and variational solutions for the string. In Section III, we determine

the precise conditions under which string core condensation occurs and construct numerical solutions for fields in this regime. In Section IV, we describe vortons in non-relativistic physics and demonstrate their classical stability.

II. THE LAGRANGIAN AND THE CONVENTIONAL $U(1)$ STRINGS

We wish to consider a non-relativistic system with two types of particles such that two different species are very similar to each other and the number of particles of each type is conserved, i.e. the exact symmetry of the system is $U(1) \times U(1)$. We also assume that the s wave scattering lengths between like and unlike species are numerically close to each other, such that the relevant symmetry is even larger, as will be explained below. Finally, we assume here that the trapping potentials are sufficiently wide so that in what follows we neglect all the boundary effects. The last simplification is mainly motivated by our interest in effects, which may occur in very large systems (such as the systems, which appear in cosmological and astrophysical context) rather than in finite volume systems where the trapping potential plays an essential role. Thus, throughout this paper, we consider the following Lagrangian describing a system of two weakly interacting ultracold Bose-gases of same mass:

$$L = i\hbar \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \partial_t \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \nabla^2 \tilde{\psi} + \mu_1 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} + \mu_2 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} g_{11} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}^4 - \frac{1}{2} g_{22} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}^4 - g_2 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{\psi}_1; \tilde{\psi}_2)$ is a doublet of complex scalar fields¹. The chemical potentials of

¹ The original dimensionfull fields and space-time variables appearing in (1) carry a sign "tilde".

Throughout this paper we will be mostly using a dimensionless notation for the fields and space-time variables, which will be introduced below; these will carry no sign "tilde".

particles $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi})$ are $(1; 2)$ and coupling constants g_{ij} are proportional to scattering lengths a_{ij} via: $g_{ij} = \frac{4\hbar^2}{m} a_{ij}$. By varying the action $S = \int_R L d^3x dt$ with respect to $\tilde{\psi}; \tilde{\psi}$, one can derive the familiar Gross-Pitaevskii equations.

If $(\tilde{\psi}; \tilde{\psi})$ denote two hyperfine states of ^{87}Rb , the scattering lengths $a_{11}; a_{12}; a_{22}$ differ by only about 3%. We neglect this difference in what follows, and assume $a_{ij} = a$, $g_{ij} = g$, such that the Lagrangian (1) can be written in a $U(2)$ notation as follows,

$$L = i\hbar \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \partial_0 \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \nabla^2 \tilde{\psi} - \frac{g}{2} (\tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi})^2 + (\psi_2^\dagger \psi_1) \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} \quad (2)$$

In the present work we assume $\psi_1 > \psi_2$, and hence the $\tilde{\psi}$ field will condense, while the ψ field will remain uncondensed in the ground state.

It proves to be very convenient to introduce a dimensionless notation for fields, as well as for spacial (and time) coordinates. In the dimensionless notation all distances are measured in units of the correlation (healing) length $\xi = (\hbar^2/2m\psi_1)^{1/2}$, all frequencies are measured in units $\omega_1 = \hbar$, while the absolute values of the fields $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}; \psi^\dagger \psi$ are measured in units of particle density $n = \psi_1 = g$, see Appendix for precise correspondence. Throughout this paper, we use the sign "tilde" to distinguish dimensionless (without tilde) and original, dimensionfull (with tilde) variables. Although we will be primarily using the dimensionless notation, we will sometimes restore all physical units in key formulas; we hope this will not confuse the reader. With all these remarks in mind, our starting point is the following dimensionless Lagrangian,

$$L = i \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \partial_0 \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \nabla^2 \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi})^2 + (\psi_2^\dagger \psi_1) \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} \quad (3)$$

Here the Hamiltonian density $H(\tilde{\psi}; \tilde{\psi})$ has the form,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \nabla^2 \tilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi})^2 + \psi_2^\dagger \psi_1 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi} \quad (4)$$

where all derivatives are with respect to the dimensionless coordinates introduced in the Appendix. This Lagrangian is exactly equivalent to eq.(2). It now is apparent that the

only relevant parameter entering the Hamiltonian is the difference in chemical potentials of ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ fields, which is parameterized by a dimensionless factor $m^2 = (\psi_1 - \psi_2) = 1$. In expression (3), $\tilde{\psi}$ is a doublet of complex scalar fields, and the Lagrangian possesses an exact $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry with respect to independent phase rotations of the fields,

$$U(1) : \tilde{\psi} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_1} \tilde{\psi}; \quad U(1) : \psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta_2} \psi$$

The corresponding conservation laws are those of the number of particles of each specie, $N_1 = \int d^3x \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}$ and $N_2 = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \psi$. Moreover, the Lagrangian (3) possesses an approximate $U(2)$ symmetry, which is explicitly broken by the $m^2 \tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}$ term. In the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ the Lagrangian acquires an exact $U(2)$ symmetry,

$$\tilde{\psi} \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \tilde{\psi}; \quad \text{Tr}(\tilde{\psi}^\dagger \tilde{\psi}) = 2$$

with $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger$ being the Pauli matrices. All of these symmetries are global, although local $U(1)$ symmetries could also be considered without changing the results of this work qualitatively. Without loss of generality, throughout this paper, we consider the case $m^2 > 0$. Then by minimizing the potential energy, we find that the vacuum expectation value for $\tilde{\psi}$ field is non-zero, which we choose to be $\psi_1 = 1$, while $\psi_2 = 0$. Thus, the $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken, while the $U(1)$ symmetry remains an exact symmetry. Hence the vacuum manifold of

the system is a circle S^1 with points characterized by the phase of the field. As we know, spontaneous breaking of a $U(1)$ symmetry leads to formation of topological defects known as strings. Therefore, one should expect the existence of these objects for arbitrary non-zero values of parameter m^2 .

Let us review here the elementary properties of $U(1)$ strings, which will be used later in the text. Until further notice, we will consider all the fields to be uniform in the 3rd (string) direction and, thus, constrain our attention to a plane crossing the string at a right angle. A string is a time-independent configuration, which exhibits a non-trivial mapping of the plane boundary S^1 into the vacuum manifold. In particular, for the $U(1)$ string, as one traverses the circle out at infinity in real space, the phase of the field makes an integer number of windings l in the vacuum manifold S^1 . Explicitly,

$$l = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C d\arg(\phi(x)) \quad (5)$$

where C is some large circle in the plane crossing the string. Such configurations are absolutely stable since it is impossible to continuously deform configurations with different values of topological charge l into each other without leaving the vacuum manifold. The most important feature of this configuration is that if $l \neq 0$, ϕ must vanish at some point in order to be properly defined, see e.g. [51]. This implies that ϕ leaves the vacuum manifold somewhere and the configuration certainly possesses a non-zero energy. Our next remark is that if the $U(2)$ symmetry between ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 fields was exact (i.e. $m^2 = 0$) then the vacuum manifold would have been given by $|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 = 1$, rather than $|\phi_1|^2 = 1$. As is well known, there are no topologically stable strings possible in this case as there is always a direction in the configurational space through which any windings of the field can be continuously removed. An important consequence of the above formal fact is that in two component BECs the only reason for the existence of vortices is an explicit

violation of the $U(2)$ symmetry. Without this violation, the strings would immediately decay. Thus, we expect the typical characteristics of strings such as the core size to be ultimately related to the magnitude of violation of the $U(2)$ symmetry, see the detailed discussion in the next section.

Let us now construct explicit variational and numerical string configurations, which will be used later in the text. Formally, we wish to find a solution to the following equations of motion,

$$r^2 \nabla^2 \phi(x) = (j(x)^2 - 1) \phi(x); \quad \phi = 0: \quad (6)$$

such that the field satisfies the string boundary conditions, i.e. it carries a winding number l . Here we have assumed that in the lowest energy state possessing the string boundary conditions, the field nowhere leaves its vacuum expectation value (we will see in the next section that this need not be the case). The standard cylindrically symmetric ansatz corresponding to the string configuration is,

$$\phi(x) = f(r) e^{il\theta};$$

$$f(r \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0; \quad f(r \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 1 \quad (7)$$

where (r, θ) are the standard polar coordinates, and $f(r)$ is real. It is instructive to estimate the string tension (energy per unit vortex length) before presenting any numerical or variational calculations. By definition, from eq. (4), the string tension is,

$$T = \int d^2x H = \quad (8)$$

$$= \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (j^2 - 1)^2 \right] \quad (9)$$

For large r , the leading contribution to H comes from the gradient part associated with the phase variation of the field, $H \approx \frac{1}{2} r^2$, and is not sensitive to the specifics of interactions. Now, performing the integration over a disc of radius R , we estimate $T \approx \frac{1}{2} R^2 \log(R)$, where R is a typical distance between vortices. In physical units (see Appendix) the

string tension is given by

$$\tilde{m} \sim \sqrt{\frac{h^2}{2m}} n \sqrt{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{m}}\right); \quad (10)$$

where $n = j^2(r=1)/f^2$ is the density of the BEC measured far away from the vortex core. From this simple estimate it is clear that vortices with winding number $l > 1$ are not stable with respect to decay into l vortices of unit winding number. Thus, throughout this paper we focus on simple vortices with $l=1$.

Now we want to construct an explicit variational solution to a vortex with $l=1$ to be used later in the text. Following [21] we take a variational ansatz that satisfies our boundary conditions:

$$f(r) = 1 - e^{-r/\tilde{m}} \quad (11)$$

where \tilde{m} is a free variational parameter. Substituting this into the energy density (4), integrating over 2-dimensional space and minimizing with respect to \tilde{m} we find:

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{\sqrt{89}}{12}; \quad \tilde{m} = \sqrt{\frac{h^2}{2m}} n \sqrt{2} \log(\tilde{m}) + \frac{3}{4} \quad (12)$$

where we restored the physical dimensional units. Thus, we notice that in the absence of the second condensate ($\phi = 0$), the radius of the string core is of order \tilde{m} – the typical correlation length in the theory.

In order to test our variational solution (11) we have also solved the exact eq.(6) with appropriate boundary conditions (7),

$$f'' + \frac{1}{r}f' - \frac{f^2}{r^2}f - (f^2 - 1)f = 0$$

$$f(r \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0; \quad f(r \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1 \quad (13)$$

numerically. We find an excellent agreement between our variational and numerical solutions. This gives us confidence that one can use either of these solutions for a more complicated problem when the configuration is unstable with respect to formation of a ϕ condensate in the core of the vortex, which is the subject of the next section.

III. CONDENSATION IN THE STRING CORE

As we know from the previous section, if the parameter m^2 is zero, the Lagrangian is invariant under the symmetry group $SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ (broken down to $U(1)$). From topological arguments we know that such a Lagrangian does not allow for existence of vortices since the vacuum manifold is a 3-sphere and, therefore, does not have non-contractible loops. In the opposite limit, when m^2 is relatively large, the residual symmetry group is $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ and the vacuum manifold is a circle S^1 , leading to formation of stable vortex solutions. From these two limiting cases, it is clear that there should be some intermediate region that somehow interpolates (as a function of m^2) between the two cases. Here is a possible scenario, which as we shall see in a moment turns out to be correct. For large values of m^2 nothing interesting happens: conventional vortices of ϕ field do exist, the symmetry is restored in the string core, the core size is fixed by the standard correlation length and the ϕ field vanishes everywhere in space. However, when m^2 starts to decrease, at some finite magnitude of m^2 , an instability arises through the condensation of ϕ field inside the vortex core. As the magnitude of m^2 further decreases, the strength of the ϕ condensate in the core grows and the size of the core, where both ϕ and ψ are far away from their vacuum expectation values, becomes larger and larger. Finally, at $m^2 = 0$ the core of the string fills the entire space, in which case the meaning of the string is completely lost, and we are left with the situation when the $U(2)$ symmetry of the Lagrangian is exact: no stable strings are possible as we know from topological arguments.

Actually, it is of little surprise that there is a tendency for the ϕ field to condense in the vortex core. Indeed, if $0 < m^2 < 1$, the potential energy of the system has a global minimum at $\phi \neq 0$; $\psi = 0$, and a local min-

in um at $\phi = 0$; $\phi \neq 0$. Thus, the vacuum structure of the theory is given by condensation of ϕ rather than ψ . Yet recall that in the core of the vortex ψ must vanish, and thus the system is driven in the core towards the local minimum where the ψ field condenses. However, the energetic benefit of condensing ψ in the core competes with the tensional energy needed for ϕ to relax back to its 0 vacuum expectation value at infinity. As was hinted in the previous section, the question of whether ϕ indeed condenses in the core is determined by the magnitude of $U(2)$ symmetry breaking parameter m^2 .

After the above presentation of some intuitive arguments, we proceed with the quantitative discussion. Let us take the conventional string configuration described in the previous section by $\phi_{\text{string}} = (\psi(x) = f(r)e^{i\theta}; \phi = 0)$ and formulate the question of whether this configuration is stable with respect to any small fluctuations. We must stress that for $m^2 > 0$ topology guaran-

tees that small fluctuations cannot destroy the string, in the sense of removing the windings of ψ field. However, topology makes absolutely no predictions regarding the internal structure of the string – in particular, a priori, there is no reason for $\phi = 0$ to be a stable solution to equations of motion in the background of the string.

We go along the standard procedure and expand the energy per unit length of the system (4) in the ϕ_{string} background to quadratic order in $\delta\psi$ and $\delta\phi$ modes:

$$E(\psi = \phi_{\text{string}} + \delta\psi; \phi = \delta\phi) = E_{\text{string}} + E_{\text{fluct}}; \quad (14)$$

with ϕ_{string} given by (8). We know that the string itself is a stable configuration so the ϕ modes cannot possess negative eigenvalues, which would correspond to an instability. Therefore, we concentrate only on "dangerous modes" related to ψ fluctuations, in which case E is given by:

$$E_{\text{fluct}} = \int_0^Z d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \delta\psi)^2 + (f(r)^2 - 1) (\delta\psi)^2 + m^2 \delta\psi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \delta\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\phi^2 \right) \quad (15)$$

$$\hat{O} = -\nabla^2 + (f(r)^2 - 1)$$

where $f(r)$ is the solution of eq.(13), and we keep only the second order terms in $\delta\psi$ assuming that the fluctuations are small. If E_{fluct} is a positive quantity, then the ϕ_{string} is an absolutely stable configuration and ϕ modes do not destroy the solution discussed in the previous section. On the other hand, if E_{fluct} is negative, this means that the corresponding ψ is a direction of instability in the configurational space and the structure of the stable vortex differs from the simple ϕ_{string} solution. Thus, the question of whether a negative mode exists can be answered by determining whether the Hermitian operator $\hat{O} + m^2$ possesses a negative eigenvalue. So we have to solve the eigenvalue problem $\hat{O} \psi = -\lambda \psi$, or

more explicitly:

$$[-\nabla^2 + (f(r)^2 - 1)] \psi(x) = -\lambda \psi(x); \quad (16)$$

Notice that this is just the 2-dimensional Schrodinger equation in a cylindrically symmetric potential $V(r) = f(r)^2 - 1$, which is everywhere negative and tends to 0 at infinity. It is well known that such a potential always possesses a bound state with $\lambda < 0$ [52]. Therefore, if $\lambda + m^2 < 0$ then a negative mode exists and the string obtains a non-trivial core. This implies that the $U(1)$ symmetry gets "spontaneously broken" in the core. The above formal fact has very important consequences such as the existence of a Goldstone mode capable of propagating

along the core of the string. Otherwise, if $m^2 > 0$, the operator $\hat{O} + m^2$ is positive definite and no core condensation occurs, in which case the conventional string solution ψ_{string} discussed in the previous section is valid, and both $U(1)$ symmetries are restored in the center of the core.

We want to determine precisely the critical value $m_c^2 = j^2$ below which the vortices obtain cores. We approach this problem numerically. Since $V(\psi)$ is cylindrically symmetric, we assume that the lowest energy eigenfunction $\psi(r)$ has no angular dependence. Then the operator \hat{O} reduces to,

$$\hat{O} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{d}{dr} \right) + (f(r)^2 - 1): \quad (17)$$

We discretize the operator \hat{O} using our numerical solution for $f(r)$ computed in the previous section. We then compute the lowest eigenvalue of the discretized operator. This turns out to be 0.25 , which implies that the critical value for m^2 where the transition occurs is $m_c^2 = (1 - 2) = 1 - 0.25$. It is quite obvious that the condensation of the ψ field becomes even more pronounced when m^2 becomes smaller. Therefore, the condensation of the ψ field in the core of the string occurs for $0 < m^2 < 0.25$. In other words, for this range of m^2 the $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken in the core of the string.

One should remark here that for m^2 close to its critical value $m_c^2 = 0.25$, the condensation in the core is small, the fourth order terms in (15) can be neglected and the eigenfunction of \hat{O} , which is well localized in the vortex core, is a good approximation to $\psi(r)$, while the term $\frac{1}{2} j^2$ in eq.(15) can be used in a variational calculation to determine the normalization of this eigenfunction. However, when m^2 becomes sufficiently smaller than m_c^2 , the condensation in the core becomes large, and the shape of profile function

$\psi(r)$ is influenced by the fourth order terms. Moreover, when m^2 becomes very close to 0, the magnitudes of ψ and ψ' fields in the core

become comparable (as expected from symmetry considerations) and the ψ field can no longer be treated as a weak perturbation to the background ψ field. In this regime, $\psi(r)$ and $\psi'(r)$ can be found through a full variational calculation or by solving a coupled system of boundary value problems for ψ and ψ' fields. We want to obtain explicit solutions for the fields; since they will tell us how the vortex evolves as we approach the topologically unstable limit $m^2 \rightarrow 0$ by varying the external parameters j_1, j_2 . It will turn out that by answering this apparently theoretical question we will find a way to test the system for core condensation experimentally by probing the internal structure of the vortex.

In this paper we adopt the numerical approach to treat the above problem. The full Euler-Lagrange equations for fields (ψ, ψ') are:

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 \frac{d^2 \psi}{dr^2} + (j^2 - 1) \psi &= 0 \\ r^2 \frac{d^2 \psi'}{dr^2} + (j^2 - 1) \psi' &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Assuming the simplest solution, $\psi(r) = f(r)e^{i\theta}$, $\psi'(r) = f'(r)$, equations (18) reduce to:

$$f'' + \frac{1}{r} f' - \frac{1}{r^2} f (f^2 + f'^2 - 1) = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$f'' + \frac{1}{r} f' - (f^2 + f'^2 - 1 + m^2) f = 0 \quad (20)$$

The boundary conditions on f and f' are $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f'(0) = 0, f'(1) = 0$. The condition $f'(0) = 0$ is necessary for r^2 at the origin to be finite. Before we demonstrate the explicit numerical solutions to equations (19,20), it is instructive to calculate the asymptotic behavior of $f(r)$ at large r , which provides us with a qualitative picture of the core size. In this case, $1 - f(r)^2$ as well as $(f')^2$ are small so that eq. (20) can be linearized to obtain:

$$f'' + \frac{1}{r} f' - m^2 f = 0: \quad (21)$$

Solution of eq.(21) is known to be:

$$f(r) = K_0 (m r) e^{-m r}; \quad (22)$$




FIG. 1: Vortex profile function $j(r)j$ (solid) and core condensate profile function $n(r)$ (dashed) for different values of asymmetry parameter $m^2 = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\mu_1$. Green, red and blue curves correspond to $m^2 = 0.25; 0.15$ and 0.05 respectively. The quantities plotted are square roots of particle densities (in units of bulk density n) as a function of distance from the vortex core center r (in units of correlation length ξ).

where K_0 is the modified Bessel function. The behavior of solution (22) at large r suggests that the distance scale (in physical units), over which n condenses is of order $\xi = m$ rather than the correlation length ξ . Hence we expect that as $m^2 \rightarrow 0$ the width of n condensate in the core grows. But n and j particles always try to minimize their common territory. Thus, we expect that the region of the string where $j \neq 1$ also has the length scale $\xi = m$ rather than the typical correlation length ξ . As we will demonstrate below, our numerical results confirm this intuitive reasoning. We conclude that as $m^2 \rightarrow 0$, the core of the string expands and eventually fills the whole space. So the string disappears in the limit $m^2 = 0$ in accordance with topological arguments.

Let us now explicitly test the above predictions. We solve numerically the system (19,20) for different values of m^2 . Fig. 1 displays the numerical solutions $f(r)$ and $n(r)$ for $m^2 = 0.25; 0.15$ and 0.05 . Essentially,

Fig. 1 demonstrates how the densities of condensed particles $n(r) = n_2(r)$ and $j(r) = j_1(r)$ vary near the vortex core with distance r measured in units of the correlation length ξ . From Fig. 1 it is evident that as m^2 decreases, the magnitude and width of condensation in the core increases considerably, and the core of the vortex expands. Thus, the numerical calculations support our intuitive explanation given above. The expansion of the vortex core due to core condensation of the second component may have very important practical consequences. Typically, the core size in BEC experiments cannot be directly observed because $\xi \ll 1 \mu\text{m}$, which usually is below the resolution limit. We speculate at this point that one can adjust the asymmetry of the system in such a way that the core condensation occurs. Further decreasing the asymmetry by adjusting $\mu_1; \mu_2$, one can make the core large enough (larger than the resolution limit) to allow direct detailed experimental measurements of vortex core properties, such as the core radius and the density of the second component filling the core.

Summarizing the results of this section, we have demonstrated that in the case when the $U(2)$ symmetry of Lagrangian (3) is broken only weakly, the strings might obtain non-trivial cores. Inside of these cores, the field is condensed and the $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken. We have found that the necessary and sufficient condition for core condensation is $0 < m^2 < 0.25$, which implies that the effect takes place even if the difference in chemical potentials of the two components is as large as 25%. The described effect becomes much more pronounced if the asymmetry parameter is small. When the asymmetry $m^2 \rightarrow 0$, the string core expands and eventually fills the whole space, destroying the topological defect.

IV . VORTONS

A . Stable String Loops

The most important result of the previous section is that under certain conditions a condensate will be trapped inside the string. In this case, according to Goldstone's theorem, a new degree of freedom corresponding to the phase of the field becomes a massless Goldstone boson, which can travel along the core of the string with arbitrarily low energy cost.

Now, we follow Witten [5], who has constructed his superconducting string by making a loop out of the vortex with a second condensate in the core. Therefore, let us consider bending the string with a core into a loop of large radius R . In order to ignore curvature effects, we take R to be much greater than the width of the string core. Such a configuration is certainly unstable from the topological point of view since the change of argument of ϕ along a large circle surrounding the loop is always 0 (so the loop belongs to the trivial topological class). From another point of view, the energy of the loop is $E = 2\pi R$, where π is the string tension computed in Section II. Thus, the energy E is minimized when $R = 0$. The fact that a simple string loop shrinks with time in the relativistic setting has been confirmed by numerical simulations [26], and the same behavior should be expected in the non-relativistic case provided the loop does not move and the Magnus force does not equilibrate the string tension.

Nevertheless, as was originally proposed for the case of cosmic strings [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28], a string loop can be stabilized by a persistent current carried by the phase of the core condensate along the loop. Here we discuss this original idea applied to our non-relativistic system. Indeed, suppose that the phase of the field varies by $2\pi N$, as one traverses the string loop, where N is constrained to be an integer since ϕ is single

valued. Then N acts as a conserved number: in order for N to change, the field has to vanish somewhere on the string core, which is energetically unfavorable by the results of the previous section. N is said to be a semi-topological charge since it is conserved in part due to topological reasons and in part due to energetics. We can explicitly parameterize the field of this configuration as $\phi(x) = \phi(r)e^{ikz}$, where r is the distance to the core center in the plane perpendicular to the string direction, so that $\phi(r)$ is the same as in the previous section, and z is the spatial variable running along the string core. Then the variation of the phase of ϕ along the string loop is $2\pi kR$, so we can identify, $k = \frac{N}{R}$.

In a non-relativistic system, there is always present another conserved number—the Noether's charge associated with the $U(1)$ symmetry, which is simply the total number of particles. This is given by,

$$Q = \int d^3x j^0 \quad (23)$$

As we demonstrated previously, it is unfavorable for particles to leave the string, so the conserved charge Q will be confined to the string core, being effectively trapped by the dynamics rather than by some external forces.

Notice that the variation of the phase of ϕ along the string induces the energy of the configuration through the term j_z^2 in the energy density. When integrated over 3-dimensional space, this term contributes the following amount into the energy of the system,

$$E = k^2 \int d^3x j_z^2 = \frac{N^2}{R^2} Q \quad (24)$$

Thus, ignoring other contributions for a moment (detailed analysis of this problem will be presented in section IV C), the energy of the loop becomes,

$$E = 2\pi R + \frac{N^2}{R^2} Q \quad (25)$$

Thus, as the charges N and Q are conserved within the configuration, the energy of the vortex loop has a non-trivial minimum with respect to the loop radius at $R_0 = \sqrt[3]{N^2 Q} = R_0^v$. Therefore, it is expected that the loop will stop shrinking at the above radius and a classically stable configuration known as vorton will form. The stability is purely mechanical in origin and can be thought to be due to the angular momentum $M = QN$ carried by the vorton (see section IV D).

The formula (25) is quite important, so we want to restore all dimensional factors to represent the final result as follows,

$$E(R_0) = 3\pi R_0^v; \quad R_0^v = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\hbar^2 N^2 Q}{2m}} \quad (26)$$

where \sim is determined by expression (9) and Q is the physical number of trapped particles. The most striking feature of the vorton is that its size could, in principle, be arbitrarily large. Practically, the size is mainly limited by the boundary conditions specific to a given experiment and by our ability to produce a configuration with a large winding number N and charge Q during the formation period.

It is important to note that the vortons described here have nothing in common with vortex loops (observed in the superfluid ^4He , see [4]) stabilized by Magnus force, which is the interaction of a moving string with its environment. String rings discussed in the present work can be stable even if they do not move as a whole object. The non-relativistic configuration bearing some similarities to the one described here is the twisted vortex ring (vorton) discussed in the superfluid ^3He B system at low temperature and pressure, see [6] and references therein. Although it was originally hoped that vortons can become stable in this system, numerical estimates have shown [6] that it is unlikely to happen, and such rings have never been observed in ^3He B. Actually, vortex ring formation and dynamics in BECs were discussed earlier [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. However,

in most cases the analysis of ring stabilization (as opposed to formation) was done for a one-component BEC, where the stability (or meta-stability) is due to the combination of ring motion and details of trapping potential. In contrast, our main objective is the analysis of vortons, which can be stable in an infinitely large volume without moving; this is the kind of system, which might be of interest for cosmology and astrophysics. A close analogue of a vorton is the full 3D skyrmion, which was recently discussed in the context of 2-component BECs [45, 46, 47, 48]. Similarly to a vorton, the skyrmion can be represented as a vortex ring in one component with the second component carrying an integer quanta of circulation around the ring. Numerical simulations [46, 48] of the skyrmion solution in BECs resemble very much the analytical construction of vortons discussed here. We suspect that the stability of configurations considered in [46, 48] is due to the energetics rather than topology, which is claimed to be the main source of stability, see comment [50]. We must stress that these simulations were performed for small values of topological charge only, thus, producing point-like objects of small size. On the other hand, the size of vortons is determined by quantum numbers Q and N and could, in principle, be arbitrarily large as explained below.

B. Relativistic Springs and Vortons

The detailed construction of vortons in non-relativistic physics will be discussed in the next subsection. However, we believe that a historical aside will be instructive here: we now make a detour to briefly describe stable vortex loops, which were first considered in the context of cosmic strings [20, 21] (for a full review of the subject see [28]). Later on, the ideas developed in the above works have been applied to systems with high baryon density [17] and to high T_c superconductors [9]. The lessons learned from

these papers prove to be very useful for our discussion of vortons in non-relativistic systems.

In this subsection, we consider the phenomenon of string core condensation and vorton stability in the relativistic system defined by the following Lagrangian,

$$L = \int_0^1 j^2 H; \quad (27)$$

where H is the same as before (4). Here we use the relativistic notation with $\hbar = c = 1$. The Noether's charge associated with the $U(1)$ symmetry in the relativistic case is:

$$Q = \frac{i}{2} \int d^3x (\partial_0 \phi - \phi): \quad (28)$$

It is important to note that in the relativistic setting, the condensate in the core of the string does not necessarily carry a $U(1)$ Noether's charge. In particular, all static solutions satisfy $Q = 0$.

The first attempts to stabilize the string loops were based on the introduction of a winding number density $k = N/R$ of the core condensate, exactly as described in the previous section. These relativistic objects, dubbed springs, were characterized by $N \neq 0$ and $Q = 0$. It was soon realized, however, that such objects, in general, are not stable: persistent current related to the winding number N cannot stabilize the string loops against shrinking. Fortunately, a related mechanism which makes string rings stable with respect to classical decay was found by Davis and Shellard [22, 23, 24, 25]. The idea is to provide the configuration with a nonzero charge (28) trapped in the string core along with the winding number N ; such a configuration carries an angular momentum QN , hence its name—"vorton". According to (28), the presence of charge Q automatically implies time dependence of the field, which can be parameterized as $\phi(\mathbf{x};t) = e^{i(kz + t)} \psi(r)$, where

$\psi(r)$ is the profile function similar to one discussed previously. Naively, one could think that time dependence of a classical solution

brings in additional energy into the system, which usually further undermines stability. However, as one can show, the stability is enforced by conservation of charge Q . In a sense, the time-dependent configuration becomes the lowest energy state in the sector with given quantum numbers: non-zero charge Q and winding number N . A similar time-dependent ansatz for a different problem was discussed by Coleman in [53] where he introduced the so-called Q -balls: macroscopically large stable objects with time-dependent fields. It is currently believed that similarly to Q -balls, relativistic vortons are classically stable objects. The recent, full scale numerical simulations [27] support this statement. In the non-relativistic models to which our paper is devoted, a Noether's charge Q is always present when the field is condensed in the core, so the vorton stabilization mechanism is very similar to the one suggested by Davis and Shellard.

C. Non-relativistic Vortons

Let us now demonstrate in detail how the string loop shrinks and how the vorton stability is achieved in a non-relativistic system. We are interested in configurations characterized by two quantum numbers,

$$N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C d\arg \phi(\mathbf{x}); \quad Q = \int d^3x j^t \quad (29)$$

where C is the path along the core of the string loop. N is the topological charge, and Q is a $U(1)$ Noether's charge, which is just the total number of type ϕ particles. Fixing the charges Q, N , and also for now fixing the loop radius R , we wish to determine the corresponding fields. We can adopt an ansatz for the field motivated previously,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x};t) = e^{i(kz + t)} \psi(r) \quad (30)$$

where $\psi(r)$ is the radial profile of the core condensate in the plane perpendicular to the string direction. As already explained, k acts

as a winding number density, and $k = N/R$. As we will see shortly, λ can be identified with the Lagrange multiplier for conservation of charge Q within the configuration under discussion. At this point, λ is still a free parameter; it will be later eliminated by solving the equations of motion using the ansatz (30) and substituting the solution into the constraint for conservation of charge Q (29). A more precise physical meaning of λ will be given later in the text.

Substituting the ansatz (30) into the action corresponding to Lagrangian (3), we obtain:

$$S[\lambda] = \int dt \int d^3x j(x) \dot{\lambda} - \int d^3x H[\lambda] \quad (31)$$

where H is the full Hamiltonian density of the system defined by eq. (4). The Lagrange multiplier nature of λ is now evident – the energy of the system is minimized subject to constraint $\int d^3x j(x) \dot{\lambda} = Q$. In terms of the core condensate profile $\psi(r)$ (see eq. 30), the above constraint requires,

$$\int_0^R dr r^2 \psi^2(r) \dot{\lambda} = \frac{Q}{2\pi R} \quad (32)$$

The physical meaning of the parameter λ introduced above is quite obvious: it is the number of particles trapped in the core per unit length of the string. In physical units,

$$e_2 = \frac{Q}{2\pi R} = \int_0^R dr r^2 \psi^2(r) \dot{\lambda} = n^2 \lambda_2$$

where Q is the physical total number of trapped particles and λ_2 is the dimensionless number in eq. (32).

We want to construct an effective Lagrangian describing the "dynamics" of the field $\psi(r)$ on the two-dimensional xy plane perpendicular to the string axis, which points in the z direction, see (30). The effective Lagrangian is obtained by substituting the ansatz (30) into the Lagrangian (3),

$$L_{\text{eff}} = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{\psi}^2 - \psi^2) - \lambda \psi^2 \right]; \quad (33)$$




FIG. 2: Number of particles trapped in the vortex core per unit vortex length, λ_2 (measured in units of n^2) as a function of the effective asymmetry parameter $d_m^2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}) = \lambda_1$.

where the gradient is with respect to two coordinates $(x; y)$ perpendicular to the axis of the string. Hence the dynamics of the system on the xy plane are determined by the new effective parameter d_m^2 defined as follows:

$$d_m^2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k^2 \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2; \quad (34)$$

where we restored in this expression all dimensional factors to stress its physical significance. This parameter plays the same role as the $U(2)$ asymmetry m^2 in section III: the equations of motion for profile functions $\psi(r)$ and $\lambda(r)$ imposed by the Lagrangian (33) are the same as eq. (18), but with m^2 replaced by d_m^2 :

$$r^2 \left(\dot{\psi}^2 + \psi^2 - 1 \right) = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$r^2 \left(\dot{\psi}^2 + \psi^2 - 1 \right) - d_m^2 = 0 \quad (36)$$

where all derivatives are with respect to coordinates $(x; y)$ in the plane perpendicular to string axis.

Following the procedure of section III, for each value of d_m^2 , we numerically solve the

equations of motion (35,36) subject to string boundary conditions, to determine the profile functions $\phi(r)$ and $\psi(r)$. We then use the solution $\phi(r)$ to find ρ_2 (see eq. (32)) as a function of d_m^2 . Fig. 2 shows how the particle density per unit vortex length, $\rho_2 = e_2/(n^2)$, depends on the effective asymmetry parameter d_m^2 . As one can see from this plot, the magnitude of ρ_2 increases drastically when d_m^2 decreases. This is due to the combination of two effects. First, the magnitude of the field in the core increases when d_m^2 decreases, as shown in Fig. 1. Second, the core size itself increases with decrease in d_m^2 . Also, as Fig. 2 demonstrates, ρ_2 vanishes when $d_m^2 > m_c^2 \approx 0.25$, since as was demonstrated in section III, the condensation of the field in the core becomes unfavorable for large values of $U(2)$ asymmetry parameter.

Now we wish to understand the physical meaning of the parameter ρ , which was originally introduced in a formal way as a Lagrange multiplier. We define our configuration by fixing the quantum numbers Q, N and the loop radius R . To possess these quan-

tum numbers the fields must satisfy the constraints (29), which fix the values of $k = N/R$ and $\rho_2 = Q/2R$. Yet, as we have shown above, there is a one-to-one correspondence between ρ_2 and the effective symmetry breaking parameter d_m^2 (see Fig. 2). Thus, the value of d_m^2 is also fixed by the external parameters $(Q; N; R)$. This, in turn, implies that ρ is fixed via eq. (33) and hence ρ is completely determined by initial assignments of $(Q; N; R)$. Now trading external parameters $(Q; N; R)$ for $(Q; N; k)$, we speculate that $\rho(k)$, implicitly determined by eq. (33), is just a complicated dispersion relation describing how the Goldstone mode propagates along the string loop in the presence of charge Q and winding number N .

Our next task is the analysis of energetics of the vortex loop, with the goal of finding how the ring energy depends on its radius R . In what follows we apply the technique developed in [28] to the analysis of our non-relativistic system. Starting from the Lagrangian (3), we switch to a Hamiltonian formalism and represent the total energy of the configuration in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} E &= 2\pi R + \int_0^{2\pi} d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (1 + m^2) \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^4 \right) \\ &= 2\pi R + 2\pi R \int_0^1 d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{r}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 - 1 + m^2 + k^2) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^4 \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $2\pi R$ term is the conventional contribution (9) due to the field, and in the last step we have performed an integration by parts. The laplacian in the last equation is taken with respect to the $(x; y)$ variables perpendicular to the string axis. The above expression can be simplified via the Euler-Lagrange equation for the field $\phi(r)$ (36). Multiplying (36) by the complex conjugate $\phi^*(r)$ and then inserting the result into (37),

we find:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= 2\pi R + 2\pi R \int_0^1 d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^4 \right] \\ &= 2\pi R + 2\pi R \int_0^1 d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^4 \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where $\int_0^1 d^2x \dot{\phi}^4$. A similar expression for the relativistic vortons was derived in [28]. As we discussed earlier, ρ in this expression can be computed by inverting the constraint (32,33) producing a complicated

implicit function of k , which is difficult to analyze in general. However, for relatively large Ω , such that $\Omega > m^2; q_m^2$ (which are smaller than $m_c^2 \approx 0.25$) one can use eq. (33) to approximate $\Omega = k^2 = N^2/R^2$. The physical meaning of this simplification is that the typical energy scale of the field excitations along the string is larger than the typical scale of excitations perpendicular to the string. To further simplify our discussion, we would like to consider the simple case when the core condensation is not very large and, therefore, the back reaction of the field onto the vortex can be neglected, so that one can use the unperturbed expression (12) for the string tension (9). Also, one can check that in this regime $\Omega \gg \Omega_c$, so the last term in equation (38) can be dropped, and the total energy of the configuration becomes,

$$E = 2\pi R + \frac{N^2}{R^2} Q; \quad (39)$$

where we replaced $\Omega = k^2 = N^2/R^2$. It is interesting to note that the equation (39) exactly coincides in form with the one (25) derived with a number of simplifications mainly for demonstrative purposes. Thus, as was originally pointed out, conservation of charges Q and N guarantees that the loop energy E has a non-trivial minimum with respect to radius R at,

$$R_0 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{N^2 Q}{2}}; \quad E(R_0) = 3\pi R_0 \quad (40)$$

The corresponding expressions with restored dimensional parameters were presented earlier in (26). As we argued above, we expect that at this radius the string loop will become stabilized against further shrinking, forming the so-called "vorton". The winding number density k and the particle number density ρ_2 corresponding to the radius R_0 are:

$$k = \sqrt[3]{\frac{N}{Q}}; \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{Q}}{2N}; \quad (41)$$

Due to the importance of these expressions as explicitly measurable quantities, we would like to present them with all dimensional factors included,

$$k = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2m}{h^2}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{N}{Q}}; \quad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2m}{h^2}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{Q}{N}}; \quad (42)$$

One immediate observation is that if one neglects a somewhat weak $\ln R$ variation of ω with R , see (12), the local parameters such as k and ρ_2 depend solely on the ratio Q/N . Hence, the local parameters are approximately scale-invariant under the transformation $(Q; N) \rightarrow (\lambda Q; \lambda N)$, where λ is an arbitrary transformation parameter. Conversely, the global characteristics such as the radius R_0 and the energy E are extensive parameters and transform as $(R; E) \rightarrow (\lambda R; \lambda E)$. Thus, one should expect the existence of stable vortons of arbitrarily large size. This statement, of course, should be corrected when finite volume effects, such as the trapping potential, are taken into consideration.

One can present the arguments showing that the back-reaction of the field onto the vortex is negligible for vortons in a large region of $(Q; N)$ parameter space, where, in particular, the parameter Ω is large compared to $m^2; q_m^2$. Thus, both assumptions made in our discussion of energetics of vortex loops with core condensates are justified a-posteriori. One should note that when either of these two assumptions is not valid, we still expect that stable vortons will generally exist. However, the analysis of vorton stability becomes very involved in this case as the string tension and the frequency ω become very complicated functions of $(Q; N; R)$, and no analytical control is possible. We have not discussed this case because our main goal was to demonstrate the fact of vorton existence in a large region of the parameterical space rather than a complete analysis of the allowed region of parameterical space where

vortons are stable.

V. CONCLUSION

D. Vortons and Angular Momentum

In this section we demonstrate that the non-relativistic vorton carries an angular momentum. From Lagrangian (3), the total angular momentum of the system is:

$$\vec{M} = \int d^3x \, \vec{r} \times [\vec{p} \times \vec{a}] : \quad (43)$$

When the field forms a vorton, there is no net circulation of particles and the only contribution to the angular momentum comes from the field condensed in the core. We can re-parameterize the ansatz (30) as:

$$(\vec{x}) = e^{iN\phi} (\vec{r}; z) \quad (44)$$

where $(\vec{r}; z)$ are the polar coordinates with respect to the center of the vortex loop. Substituting this into (43) gives:

$$\vec{M} = N \hat{z} \int d^3x \, j_\phi^2 = QN \hat{z}; \quad (45)$$

which is a very simple relation that can be easily understood on the intuitive level in terms of classical physics.

Therefore, the vorton carries an angular momentum perpendicular to the string loop plane. The magnitude of the angular momentum is proportional to classically conserved charges Q and N . Thus, as originally suggested in the relativistic context by [24], the stability of vortons can be understood in terms of conservation of angular momentum. Let us note that due to the scaling properties of eqs. (39, 45) it is energetically favorable for two identical vortons, each with an angular momentum M , to merge into one vorton with an angular momentum $2M$, thereby increasing the vorton radius (this statement is subject to the assumption that the number of particles trapped in the ring core is conserved in this merge). So we expect that a typical vorton will possess, in general, the largest possible size constrained only by the boundary conditions and/or by the properties of the trapping potential.

The main goal of this work is to demonstrate that in a non-relativistic system, such as a two component BEC, with a $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry and an approximate $U(2)$ symmetry, stable strings with a non-trivial core may exist. Inside this core the $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken allowing a Goldstone boson to travel along the string axis. We have explicitly demonstrated that within our model the condensation inside the core occurs even for relatively large values of $U(2)$ symmetry breaking parameter, $m^2 < 0.25$. The effect described becomes much more pronounced when the $U(2)$ asymmetry becomes even smaller. We should note that the phenomenon when a string core possesses a condensate of a different field/phase is by no means a completely new situation in physics. A similar phenomenon takes place in ^3He and in high T_c superconductors where it has been studied experimentally quite extensively. Nevertheless, it would be very interesting to study this very nontrivial phenomenon experimentally in two component BEC systems, with the specific focus on the dependence of the effect on the asymmetry parameter m^2 . In particular, we believe that with decrease in asymmetry, the vortex core will expand above the resolution constraints, therefore, providing an opportunity to experimentally study the core in detail.

In the second part of the paper we applied the idea of $U(1)$ symmetry breaking inside the core to construct stable string loops known as vortons. These vortons are stabilized by a winding number carried by the core condensate field around the loop as well as a Noether's charge associated with the $U(1)$ symmetry trapped in the string core. We have seen that the energy of such a string loop will have a non-trivial minimum with respect to the loop radius where the loop will become stabilized against further shrinking. We have also shown that non-relativistic vortons carry an angular momentum, which can be seen as

the source of their classical stability.

It is puzzling that although, in general, the vorton is expected to be a fairly common configuration in quantum field theory, as far as we can tell, it has never been observed in nature. Indeed, at this point, discussions of cosmic strings [5] in cosmology and vortons [17] in high baryon density systems should be considered as no more than beautiful speculations. Some systems proposed earlier to test the existence of vortons are high T_c superconductors [9] and liquid ^3He . However, currently no direct observations can be presented to support the existence of vortons in high- T_c superconductors, while in the ^3He system, estimates suggest that vortons cannot be stabilized [6, 7]. Thus, the BEC system might provide us with the first true experimental opportunity to prove the existence and stability of vorton configurations that have been the subject of intense theoretical discussions for the past 20 years.

It is tempting to identify the vortex rings observed in the BEC system [35] with vortons, which are the subject of this work. However, such an identification would be premature. Actually, in the original experiment, a two-component system was initially constructed, but the second component was removed before the rings were created. Hence the experimental results were compared by [35] to a simulation of a one component BEC [37], where vortons cannot exist, as there is no second component capable of condensing in the vortex core. If, indeed, the observed rings are due to one field component only then they may be analogous to the vortex rings in ^4He [4], where the ring stability is due to the Magnus force. In this case, the rings must move in order to equalize the string tension that causes them to shrink. Ring stability might also be due in part to the artificial trapping potential [40, 41]; this situation is not addressed in our work.

However, granted that some population of the second component remained in the original experiment, the vortex rings observed

in [35] could be also real vortons, which are stable due to the condensation of the second field in the core. In this case it would be the first ever observation in nature of such objects. There is a "smoking gun" signature, which distinguishes between conventional vortex rings, similar to the ones observed in ^4He , and vortons, which have never been previously observed. This signature is the angular momentum (45), which is always present for vortons and absent for conventional vortex rings². We do not know whether the angular momentum of the ring (or equivalently the circulation of the second component in the core of the ring) can be measured in experiments similar to [35]. Another vorton signature is its ability to stay still for a relatively long period of time. This distinguishes vortons from the conventional vortex rings, which shrink and decay in the absence of motion. We do not know whether the rings, which typically move when they are formed, can be stopped to observe their behavior. However, if either of the two experiments described above can be performed, it would be the "smoking gun" evidence demonstrating the existence of vortons stabilized due to core condensation.

Finally, if vortons can, indeed, be formed and studied in BEC experiments, it would provide a unique opportunity to study not only condensed matter physics, atomic physics and optics, but also astrophysics and cosmology by doing laboratory experiments. In particular, one would finally be able to test mathematical ideas pioneered by Witten, Tumer, Kibble, Davis, Shellard and many others. Over the last few years several experiments have been done to test ideas drawn from cosmology (see the review papers [6, 7, 54, 55] for further details). One can further speculate on a possibility to study the formation, evolution and stability of different

² The angular momentum of a conventional ring is due to the contribution of the field into M in eq.(43), which is identically zero.

topological objects (including vortons) relevant for cosmology by doing controlled experiments with BEC systems as discussed in the recent workshop [56].

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Kirk Madison for his talk on vortices in BECs given at UBC, which strongly motivated this study. We are also grateful to him for sharing with us his vision of many experiments, which have already been performed (or can be done in the nearest future) in the laboratory. We are also thankful to Grisha Volovik, Janne Ru-

ostekoski, Shou-Cheng Zhang and other participants of the COSLAB workshop [56] for their insights and useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the ESF Program "Cosmology in the Laboratory" (COSLAB).

APPENDIX: PHYSICAL SCALES

In this Appendix, we reduce the Lagrangian (2) to a dimensionless form (3). In order to do this, we introduce scaled coordinates and fields,

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{t}{t_0}; \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{x_0}; \quad \tilde{\psi} = \frac{\psi}{\psi_0}; \quad \tilde{\phi}_0 = \frac{\phi_0}{\phi_0}; \quad \tilde{\phi} = \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}; \quad \tilde{n} = \frac{n}{n_0}; \quad \tilde{m}^2 = \frac{m^2}{m_0^2} \quad (46)$$

We remind the reader that the original (dimensionful) variables carry a "tilde", while the introduced dimensionless variables carry

no "tilde". In the above notation, the action S takes the form,

$$\frac{S}{\hbar} = (n_0^3)^Z \int dt d^3x \left(i \tilde{\psi} \partial_t \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\mathbf{j}}^2 - \tilde{\phi}^2 - \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{\psi}^2) \right) \quad (47)$$

where all derivatives are with respect to dimensionless coordinates $(\tilde{t}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$. This is exactly (up to a multiplicative factor) the action corresponding to the dimensionless Lagrangian (3) used throughout this paper. The physical distance corresponding to one unit of dimensionless variable x is just the

correlation length ξ , the physical time corresponding to one unit of dimensionless variable t is t_0 and the physical density corresponding to one unit of j^2 is just the bulk BEC density n . The typical values of parameters in experiments on ^{87}Rb are $t_0 \approx 0.1 \text{ ns}$, $n \approx 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\phi_0 \approx 5 \text{ kHz}$

[1] M. R. Matthews, B. P. Anderson, P. C. Haljan, D. S. Hall, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2498

(1999).

[2] K. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 806

- (2000).
- [3] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000),
M. B. Hindmarsh and T. W. Kibble, "Cosmic strings," *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 58, 477 (1995) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9411342](#)].
- [4] G. W. Ray and F. Reif, *Phys. Rev.* 136, A1194 (1964).
- [5] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 249, 557 (1985).
- [6] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2003).
- [7] G. E. Volovik, V. B. Eltsov, M. Krusius (2000) [[arXiv:cond-mat/0012350](#)].
- [8] S. C. Zhang, *Science* 275, 1089 (1997).
D. Arovas, J. Berlinsky, C. Kallin, and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 2871 (1997) [[arXiv:cond-mat/9704048](#)].
- [9] K. B. W. Buckley, A. R. Zhitnitsky *Phys. Rev. B* 67 174522 (2003), [[arXiv:cond-mat/0211245](#)].
- [10] D. Vaknin, J. L. Zarestky, and L. L. Miller, *Physica C* 329, 109 (2000).
- [11] B. Lake et al., *Science* 291, 1759 (2001) [[arXiv:cond-mat/0201347](#)].
Nature (London) 415, 299 (2002) [[arXiv:cond-mat/0201349](#)].
- [12] P. Dai et al., *Nature* (London) 406, 965 (2000).
- [13] V. F. Mitrovic et al., *Nature* (London) 413, 501 (2001). V. F. Mitrovic et al., [[arXiv:cond-mat/0202368](#)].
- [14] R. I. Miller et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 137002 (2002) [[arXiv:cond-mat/0111550](#)].
- [15] D. B. Kaplan and S. Reddy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 132302, (2002) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0109256](#)].
- [16] K. B. W. Buckley and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *JHEP* 0208, 013 (2002) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0204064](#)].
- [17] K. B. W. Buckley, M. A. Metlitski, A. R. Zhitnitsky (2002) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0212074](#)].
- [18] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Lett. B* 422, 247 (1998) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9711395](#)].
- R. Rapp, T. Schafer, E. V. Shuryak, and M. Velkovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 53 (1998) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9711396](#)].
- K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, "The Condensed Matter Physics of QCD", *At the Frontier of Particle Physics / Handbook of QCD*, Chapter 35, ed. M. Shifman, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0011333](#)].
- [19] R. M. Ackenize, M. A. Vachon and U. F. Wichoski (2003) [[arXiv:hep-th/0301188](#)].
- [20] E. J. Copeland, N. Turok and M. Hindmarsh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 58, 1910 (1987).
- [21] C. T. Hill, H. M. Hodges, and M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D* 37, 263 (1988).
- [22] R. L. Davis, *Phys. Rev. D* 38, 3722 (1988).
- [23] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Phys. Lett. B* 207, 404 (1988).
- [24] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Phys. Lett. B* 209, 485 (1988).
- [25] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Nucl. Phys. B* 323, 209 (1989).
- [26] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63, 20212024 (1989).
- [27] Y. Lempereire and E. P. Shellard, [[arXiv:hep-ph/0305156](#)].
- [28] Y. Lempereire and E. P. S. Shellard, *Nucl. Phys. B* 649, 511 (2003) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0207199](#)].
- [29] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Science* 269, 198 (1995).
- [30] K. B. Davis, M. O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kum and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75, 39693973 (1995).
- [31] C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, *Interactions Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75, 1687 (1995).
- [32] C. J. Myatt, E. A. Burt, R. W. Ghrist, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. Wieman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 586 (1997).
- [33] D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Conden-*

- sates Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1539 (1998).
- [34] F. Chevy, K. M. Madison, V. Bretin, J. Dalibard, (2001) [arXiv:cond-mat/0104218].
 - [35] B. P. Anderson et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2926 (2001) [arXiv:cond-mat/0012444].
 - [36] E. J. Mueller and T. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 180403 (2002).
 - [37] D. L. Feder, et al, Phys. Rev. A 62, 053606 (2000).
 - [38] B. Jackson, J. F. McCann and C. S. Adams, Phys. Rev. A 60, 4882 (1999) [arXiv:cond-mat/9901087].
 - [39] B. Jackson, J. F. McCann and C. S. Adams, Phys. Rev. A 61, 013604 (2000) [arXiv:cond-mat/9907325].
 - [40] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 070402 (2002) [arXiv:cond-mat/0202182].
 - [41] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou (2003) [arXiv:cond-mat/0304123].
 - [42] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063621 (2002).
 - [43] Th. Busch and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 010401 (2001).
 - [44] T. L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
 - [45] J. Ruostekoski and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3934 (2001) [arXiv:cond-mat/0103310].
 - [46] C. M. Savage and J. Ruostekoski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 010403 (2003) [arXiv:cond-mat/0306112].
 - [47] H. T. C. Stoof, [arXiv:cond-mat/0002375].
 - [48] R. A. Battye, N. R. Cooper and P. M. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 080401 (2002) [arXiv:cond-mat/0109448].
 - [49] T. H. R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 260, 127 (1961).
 - [50] Indeed, the stability of configurations in refs.[45, 46, 48] is due to the asymmetry of the interaction potential expressed by the parameter $\epsilon \neq 0$ in [48]. It is quite obvious that if the stability of a configuration is based on a nonzero value of asymmetry parameter ϵ , the topological arguments are irrelevant in the explanation of stability. Besides, topologically stable configurations are typically characterized by a scale which is fixed by the parameters of the Lagrangian, while configurations discussed in refs.[45, 46, 48] may possess an arbitrarily large size. This is another strong hint of the non-topological nature of configurations considered in refs.[45, 46, 48].
 - [51] S. Coleman, Aspects of Symmetry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1988).
 - [52] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum mechanics, non-relativistic theory, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1977).
 - [53] S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B 262, 263 (1985); Erratum *ibid.* B 269, 744 (1986).
 - [54] T. W. Kibble, arXiv:cond-mat/0111082 cond-mat/0211110.
 - [55] G. E. Volovik, Phys. Rept. 351, 195 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0005091].
 - [56] THIRD COSLAB workshop, July 10-16, 2003, Bilbao, Spain, <http://tp.lc.ehu.es/ILE/bilbaoconslab.html>