## Stability of m etallic stripes in the extended one-band H ubbard m odel

G. Seibold<sup>1</sup> and J. Lorenzana<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut fur Physik, BTU Cottbus, PBox 101344, 03013 Cottbus, Germ any

 $^{2}$ Center for Statistical M echanics and C om plexity, INFM , D ipartim ento di F isica,

Universita di Roma La Sapienza, P. Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

Based on an unrestricted Gutzwiller approximation (GA) we investigate the stripe orientation and periodicity in an extended one-band Hubbard model. A negative ratio between next-nearest and nearest neighbor hopping  $t^0$ =t, as appropriate for cuprates, favors partially led (m etallic) stripes for both vertical and diagonal con gurations. At around optim al doping diagonal stripes, site centered (SC) and bond centered (BC) vertical stripes become degenerate suggesting strong lateral and orientational uctuations. We nd that within the GA the resulting phase diagram is in agreement with experiment whereas it is not in the Hartree-Fock approximation due to a strong overestimation of the stripe lling. Results are in agreement with previous calculations within the three-band Hubbard model but with the role of SC and BC stripes interchanged.

PACS num bers: 71.28.+ d,71.10.-w,74.72.-h,71.45.lr

### I. IN TRODUCTION

The existence of stripes, i.e. antiphase domain walls in an antiferrom agnet stabilized by doped holes, is now a wellestablished fact in  $cuprate^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$  and nickelate<sup>7,8,9</sup> m aterials.

D irect evidence for static stripes in cuprates has been m ore clearly established in La<sub>2 x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> (LSCO) eventually co-doped with Nd or Eu. However incommensurate inelastic neutron scattering signals (widely interpreted as evidence for dynam ical stripe uctuations) have been found in both LSCO and YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (YBCO) com pounds with a remarkably sim ilar phenom enology.<sup>10</sup> E ven m ore static charge order has been found in YBCO without the need for additions like Nd.<sup>11</sup>

In LSC 0 the inverse stripe spacing grows linearly with doping x up to x 1=8 and the orientation rotates from vertical (i.e. oriented along the Cu-O bonds) by  $45^{0}$  to diagonal for concentrations low er x  $0.05.^{4,12,13}$  M oreover, from this linear relation it turns out that in the LSC 0 com pounds stripes are characterized by one doped hole per two unit cells along the dom ain wall, that is a linear density of added holes 0.5 (hereafter called 'half-lled stripe').

Regarding the  $B_{12}Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  (BiSCCO) family it is a very di cult material to perform neutron scattering so few experiments exist [see the discussion in Ref. 14]. Interestingly this study nds a peak much broader than the momentum resolution leaving plenty of room for incommensurate elects. The existence of incommensurate scattering in BiSCCO is also supported by the experiments of Ref. 15. While most neutron scattering experiments focus on the structure of spin excitations, the inhom ogeneous charge distribution as arising from the formation of stripes has also been detected by local probes like NQR<sup>16,17</sup> and NMR.<sup>18</sup> Due to remements in the experimental technique Haase et al.<sup>18</sup> where even able to demonstrate a correlation of charge and density variations on short length scales. All these experiments suggest that stripes are a com - m on phenomena of all cuprates families and therefore may be related to superconductivity.

Concerning the theoretical aspects, stripes were predicted by the inhom ogeneous H artree Fock (HF) approximation in the three-band Hubbard model<sup>19</sup>, the oneband Hubbard<sup>20,21</sup> and the t J model.<sup>22</sup> However these pioneering studies predicted insulating stripes with a linear density of = 1 added holes per lattice constant along the stripe (instead of 0.5) which led to an early rejection of stripes.<sup>23</sup>

Recently we have presented a computation of m etallic m ean-eld stripes<sup>24</sup> within an unrestricted G utzwiller approximation<sup>25</sup> (GA) applied to the three-band H ubbard m odel. The behavior of the magnetic incom – m ensurability  $1=(2d)^{3,4,5,6}$  (d is the distance between charged stripes in units of the lattice constant), chem ical potential<sup>26,27</sup>, and transport experiments<sup>28,29</sup> as a function of doping has been explained in a param eter free way.<sup>24</sup> Addition of RPA-type uctuations within a recently developed time dependent GA<sup>31</sup> has explained<sup>32</sup> also the evolution of the optical conductivity with doping<sup>33,34</sup> in a broad frequency range.

In our previous computations<sup>24,32</sup> we xed the param eter set of the three band Hubbard model to the values derived for the LSCO fam ily within the local density approximation (LDA).<sup>35</sup> In this sense we view our previous work as the last stage of an ab inito determ ination of the electronic structure. On the contrary we would like to explore in the present paper the parameter dependence of the results. This kind of investigation is interesting not only in order to check the robustness of the results but also to obtain hints about the expected trends in the behavior among the di erent cuprates materials. The com plexity of the three band m odel, how ever, m akes it di cult to perform such study. To achieve our goals we adopt here a much simpler Ham iltonian, namely the extended one-band Hubbard model. Given the popularity of the model it is also interesting to explore, to what extent the physics found in the three band H ubbard m odel can be found in the one band counterpart. In the present work we nd that for realistic param eters a sim ilar phase diagram is found in the one-band and the three-band m odels<sup>24</sup> how ever som e im portant details about the sym m etry of stripes di er.

Regarding the material dependence it has been proposed recently<sup>36</sup> that a one-band model description of the various cuprate fam ilies essentially di ers in the ratio between next-nearest and nearest neighbor hopping t<sup>0</sup>=t. In fact it is found that the transition temperature scales with  $t^0$ =t ranging from  $t^0$ =t 0:15 for the LSCO single layer compound up to t<sup>0</sup>=t 0:4 for the Tland Hq based m aterials. W e show that this param eter plays a key role for the stability and electronic structure of the stripes suggesting a possible connection between stripes and superconductivity. In particular the higher the  $t^0$ the smaller is the optimum lling of the stripe (Fig. 6). Additionally we present a thorough comparison of the stability of stripe and polaron textures upon varying  $t^0 = t$ .

The in uence of a next-nearest neighbor hopping term on the stripe form ation has been previously investigated by dynam ical mean-eld theory (DMFT)<sup>37</sup> and the HF approxim ation.<sup>38,39,40</sup> It was found<sup>40,41</sup> that increasing the ratio  $j^{0}$ -tj leads to a suppression of static stripes. This may indicate a more dynam ical character of stripes in some system s like Tl and Hg based compounds.

In case of the HF approximation<sup>43</sup> vertical stripe solutions are only favored for unrealistic small values of U=t 3:::5 whereas a ratio of U=t 10 is required in order to reproduce the low energy spectrum of the three-band model.<sup>44</sup> Therefore our investigations here are based on the unrestricted G utzw iller approximation<sup>45</sup> which provides an excellent variational Ansatz for the ground state energy of the H ubbard model. We compare our results with the HF approximation an show that HF cannot account for the site centered stripe topology as relevant in the one-band model.

In Sec. II we brie y outline ourm ethod and present the results of our calculation in Sec. III. Finally we conclude the discussion in Sec. IV.

#### II. MODEL AND FORMALISM

W e consider the two-dimensional H ubbard m odel on a square lattice, with hopping restricted to nearest ( t) and next nearest (  $t^0$ ) neighbors

$$H = t \begin{cases} X \\ c_{ij}^{y} c_{j}; \\ X \\ t^{0} \\ < ij> ; \\ x \\ < ij> ; \\ \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ c_{ij}^{y} c_{j}; \\ x \\ c_{ij}^{y} c_{j}; \\ + U \\ x \\ i \\ \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n_{ij} n_{ij\#}; \\ n_{$$

Here  $c_{i;}^{(y)}$  destroys (creates) an electron with spin at site i, and  $n_{i;} = c_{i;}^{y} c_{i;}$ . U is the on-site Hubbard repulsion which in the following is xed to the value U=t=10 as

relevant for the cuprates (see e.g. Refs. 44,46). The unrestricted G utzw iller approximation (GA) can be im plemented by either a variational Ansatz<sup>47</sup> or the K otliar-Ruckenstein slave-boson scheme<sup>48</sup> and results in the following energy functional

$$E^{GA}(;D) = t \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ z_{i;}^{GA} z_{j;}^{GA} \\ X \\ t^{0} \\ < ij> ; \\ x \\ t^{0} \\ < ij> ; \\ z_{i;}^{GA} z_{j;}^{GA} \\ ij; + U \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (2) \\ X \\ D_{i} \\ i \end{array}$$

where  $_{ij}$ ; =  $hc_{i}^{y}$ ,  $c_{j}$ ; i denotes the single-particle density m atrix, D  $_{i}$  are variational parameters related to the double occupancy of the sites and the G utzw iller hopping factors are given by

$$z_{i;}^{GA} = \frac{p}{\frac{(1 \quad ii + D_{i})(ii; D_{i}) + p}{p}} \frac{D_{i}(ii; D_{i})}{p}}{\frac{ii; (1 \quad ii; D_{i})}{ii;}}$$
(3)

The energy functional Eq. (2) has to be m inimized with respect to the double occupancy parameters D and the density matrix where the latter variation has to be constrained to the subspace of Slater determ inants. For technical aspects of this variational procedure we refer to R ef. 45.

The clusters investigated in the following have dimensions  $N = N_x$  L up to 16 16 and we use periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions in order to stabilize hom ogeneous (m etallic) stripe textures.

#### III. RESULTS

## A. Charge and spin pro le of stripes

In Fig.1 we report the typical charge-and spin proles in a cut perpendicular to the stripe for vertical site (SC) and bond (BC) centered stripes as computed with HF and GA respectively. All vertical stripe solutions investigated in this paper are metallic (i.e. hom ogeneous along the stripe) except for integer and we have suppressed 1-D instabilities by choosing appropriate boundary conditions (i.e. periodic or antiperiodic in direction of the stripes).

The total charge shows dips at the central site (sites) of the antiphase SC (BC) dom ain walls (Fig. 1a,d) corresponding to the accumulation of holes. As also found in previous studies<sup>24,45,49</sup> the GA charge modulation is much weaker as compared to HF.

Due to symmetry of the antiphase domain walls the spin density is zero along the stripe in case of SC stripes (Fig.1a-c). Therefore a large charge reduction is the only possibility to reduce the HF double occupancy on these sites. On the other hand the double occupancy within the GA can be separately tuned by the variational param eterD leading to a smaller charge modulation am plitude with respect to HF. In Fig.1c we show the smaller



FIG.1: Pro le of the charge density (a,d), staggered spin order parameter (b,e), and double occupancy (c,f) of site centered (a-c) and bond centered (d-f) stripes. Results are for  $t^0=t=0.2$  and  $n_s=4$  half-lled stripes on a 16 16 lattice corresponding to d=4 and x=1=8.

double occupancy at the core stripes sites (like site 2) for the GA whereas both HF and GA acquire approximately the same value within the AF ordered regions. In contrast, the BC stripe solution has nite spin polarization on all sites (Fig. 1e) so that in this case a large HF double occupancy is avoided (Fig. 1f). This has in portant consequences for the respective stability of BC and SC stripes within the two approximations as we will see below.

# B. E ect of $t^0$ on the band structure

In order to understand the role of  $t^0$  in the context of stripe form ation we proceed by investigating the inuence of this parameter on the electronic structure. W ithin our variational (m ean-eld) approach the undoped system is characterized by a hom ogeneous spindensity wave state which band structure is characterized by a lower (lled) and an upper (empty) Hubbard band, separated by a single particle energy gap . Due to the incorporation of correlation e ects already on the m ean-eld level, <sup>G A</sup> is signi cantly sm aller than within the HF approximation where it is simply given by <sup>H F</sup> = U m and m denotes the m agnetic m om ent.

The stripe state at nite doping induces the form ation of two bands within the M ott-H ubbard gap. These are almost at perpendicular to the stripes but som e dispersion develops when the stripes become closer, sim ilar to what is found in the 3-band m odel.<sup>24</sup> In Fig.2 we show the dispersion for both bands in the stripe direction (y) for various values of  $t^0=t$ .

Doped holes occupy states in the lower band (here-



FIG. 2: Dispersion of the mid-gap bands along vertical d = 4 stripes in a 16 16 lattice computed within the GA.  $t^0=t=0; 0.2; 0.5.$  a) Site centered stripes; b) Bond centered stripes



FIG. 3: Sketch of the amplitudes  $_{i}$  (k) along site centered stripe sites i for selected k-states as indicated in the band structure of Fig. 2a. 1.) k = (0;0) of the active band; 2.) k = (=2;0); 3.) k = (0;0) of the upper band. Empty (fill) circles correspond to positive (negative) amplitudes which value is indicated by the radius. C rosses m ark  $_{i}$  (k) = 0.

after called the active band) and a half-led stripe corresponds to a half-led active band. Furtheron the bandstructure of the BC solution (Fig. 2b) displays a gap between both bands which is due to a AF spin-density m odulation along the BC stripe. For both, SC and BC stripes, Fig. 2 reveals a signi cant broadening of the active band upon increasing  $\pm^0$ j. This broadening has a strong in uence on the kinetic energy of holes in the stripe and therefore also on the density of states at the Ferm ienergy and, as we will show below, on the value of the optim um

The broadening can be understood by looking at the

single particle wave functions (WF) of selected k-points of the active band as shown in Fig. 3 for the case of SC stripes. The k = 0 W F is characterized by having opposite sign at the core of the stripe than on the adjacent (AF ordered) legs. As a consequence a positive next-nearest neighbor hopping (i.e. negative  $t^0$ , cf. Eq. (1)) leads to a low ering of this state in rst order perturbation theory. By the same argument one can show that the zone boundary state of the active band is not a ected by t<sup>0</sup> since next-nearest neighbor hopping along the stripe always connects to a site i with a node in the single particle wave function (the shift in energy in Fig. 2 is due to the fact that  $E_F$  shifts downwards with  $t^0$ ). For a sizeable SDW gap on the adjacent legs of the stripe the broadening of the active band can be evaluated as  $B = 36tt^2 = 2$ . Since HF > GA as already mentioned above, the  $t^0$  band width renormalization is expected to be larger in the GA as compared to HF.

For completeness we mention that similar considerations also hold for the upper band in the M ott-H ubbard gap. From the structure of the k = (0;0) wave-function (cf. Fig. 2) it turns out that a negative  $t^0$  induces a shift of this state to lower energies (in Fig. 2 this shift is alm ost compensated by the change in the chem icalpotential). Correspondingly we nd a narrow ing of the upper band  $B = 28t^0t^2 = {}^2$  which for large  $t^0$  leads to the at structure as observed in Fig. 2.

### C. Param etrization of $e_h\,;\,\text{GA}$ vs. HF

To determ ine the more stable solution among dierent textures we need to compare the total energies per atom, E = N, for the same doping,  $x = N_h = N$ . Equivalently we can compare the excess energy per hole with respect to the undoped antiferrom agnet (AF), hereafter the \energy per hole":

$$e_{h} = \frac{E(N_{h}) E_{AF}}{N_{h}}$$
(4)

where E (N<sub>h</sub>) is the total energy of the inhom ogeneous state (generally a stripe state) with N<sub>h</sub> holes counted from half-lling and  $E_{\rm AF}$  is the AF solution on the same cluster. The minimum of  $e_{\rm h}$  at low doping (non-interacting stripes) has the following meaning: it determines the optimum lling that stripes should have in order to accommodate a given number of holes in a variable number of stripes.

In Fig. 4 we report the energies per hole for di erent con gurations in the HF and in the GA approximations as a function of the stripe lling =  $N_h = (n_s L)$ . Here L is the linear dimension in the y direction of the cluster which coincides with the stripe length,  $n_s$  denotes the number of stripes and  $N_h$  is the total number of holes (counted from half-lling) in the system. M ore detailed results for vertical SC and diagonal stripes in the GA are reported in Fig. 5.

In order to analyze these results we expand the excess energy per unit length as a Taylor series in :

$$\frac{E(N_h)}{L} = A + B + C^{-2}; \qquad (5)$$

The energy per hole in a stripe thus follows the relation

$$e_h = A = + B + C \tag{6}$$

which provides an excellent t to the data points as shown in Figs. 4b, 5. The minimum of  $e_h$  is given by

$$m in = \frac{p}{A = C}$$

and the second derivative of the energy per hole at the minimum reads as

$$e_{h}^{00}(m_{in}) = 2C^{p} \overline{C = A}$$
: (7)

Note, however, that the single particle spectrum has a gap for = 1. Therefore the energy has a cusp-like singularity at this lling which causes the = 1 state to be the minimum for a wide range of parameters. We can take this into account by modifying the above form ula to:

$$m_{in} = m in \left( \begin{array}{c} p \\ \overline{A = C}; 1 \right)$$
(8)

In the dilute lim it, corresponding to large stripe separation, the coe cients can be interpreted as follows: A is the energy cost per unit length to create an antiphase domain wall in the stoichiom etric (otherwise AF ordered) system. The parameter B can be de ned as the chem - ical potential to add one hole into the \empty" stripe. Finally the parameter  $C = C_K + C_I$  is related to the kinetic ( $C_K$ ) and interaction ( $C_I$ ) energy of the system.

In order to separate the two contributions  $C_K$ ,  $C_I$  to the parameter C we have adopted the following procedure. For a small value of we have optimized the variational parameters of the GA. Then the energy as a function of has been computed without changing the variational parameters, i.e. by solely lling the frozen bands. The resulting quadratic contribution to the energy gives the  $C_K$  parameter and since C is known we also obtain  $C_I$ . Within mean-eld the latter is due to readjustments of the charges and double occupancies in response to the added holes.

For the param eters under consideration we nd that C<sub>I</sub> is approximately 2 3 times larger than C<sub>K</sub>. However, it is important to note that C<sub>I</sub> is almost independent from the next-nearest neighbor hopping, whereas from Fig. 2 it is obvious that the kinetic energy of the holes moving along the stripe will have a signi cant dependence on t<sup>0</sup>. To see more explicitly how the active bandwith relative band with relative proximate the active band density of states per spin by a rectangular shape of height 1=W (t<sup>0</sup>). Here W (t<sup>0</sup>) represents an elective bandwith which is roughly given by the width of the lower (active) band in Fig. 2. One nds that the kinetic energy



FIG. 4: (a) HF energy per hole  $e_n$  for vertical stripes as a function of the stripe lling and for various values of  $t^0=t$ . Results in (a) are for 2 d = 5 stripes on a 10 10 lattice. (b) GA energy per hole for 4 site centered (SC) and bond centered (BC) stripes on a 12 12 lattice (symbols). The lines correspond to ts obtained with Eq. (6)

is quadratic in and  $C_K \quad W \ (t^0). Below we discuss in detail how <math display="inline">t^0$  determ ines the stability of stripes via this mechanism .

To estim ate A we need an estim ate of the energy to create an empty dom ain wall. Since this is related to an excitation of the undoped system we can use the H eisenberg model with a magnetic interaction  $J = 4t^2=U$  in the limit of U >> t. The estimate is easily done in the case of BC stripes which have a core with ferrom agnetic alignment along the dom ain wall. In the N eel limit one obtains: A = J=2.

The case of SC stripes is more subtle. Indeed for SC stripes one has a core without perm anent m om ents which, contrary to the BC case, does not have a classical analog within a Heisenberg description of the undoped state. A non classical state will consist of a spin liquid along the core which in any case will give A J.W ithin the HF approximation of the Hubbard model the corresponding solution has a non-magnetic core with maximum double occupancy (1/4). This is at odds with the Heisenberg picture of a spin liquid core which, by construction, im plies sm all double occupancy for the original ferm ions. Therefore the HF approxim ation introduces an error in A which in the large U limit is of the order of U=4. This is due to the fact that moment form ation is the only mechanism within HF to reduce double occupancy. On the other hand the GA allows an unpolarized state with sm all double occupancy (D  $t^2 = U^2$ ) thanks to the additional variational parameters D<sub>i</sub> as shown in Fig. 1c,f. Due to this mechanism we obtain A within the GA of the correct order A UD J. We see in Fig. 4 that the curves for SC and BC stripes are sim ilar in the GA whereas in HF m etallic SC stripes are energetically unfavorable with respect to BC (and diagonal) ones.

C learly the latter faultiness of the HF approach is due to the overestim ation of the parameter A mentioned above [c.f. Eq. 8] which leads to an unphysical large value of  $_{\rm m\ in}$ . For this reason we conclude that HF theory is not an adequate approach for the description of SC stripes.

W ithin the HF approximation it is known<sup>43</sup> that for U=t > 3:6 vertical stripes are less stable than diagonal ones. Moreover spin polarons become the ground state when U=t > 8. Fig. 4a demonstrates that similar results hold when one includes next-nearest neighbor hopping. As we will show below the situation is quite di erent in the GA approximation which also allows a comparison between SC and BC stripes on an equal footing.

It is seen from Fig. 4b that within the Gutzwiller approach SC stripes become degenerate with BC for large and small lling factors . Especially it turns out that BC empty stripes are slightly lower in energy than SC em pty stripes. However, SC stripes tend to have larger bandwith than BC ones (cf. Fig. 2) which leads to a larger C , larger curvatures of  $e_h$  vs. Eq. (7)] and hence smaller values of . The di erence in curvature allows for the two intersections between the eh curves for BC and SC solutions in Fig. 4b. We will show below that the crossing at large corresponds to optim aldoping and that in the underdoped case SC stripes are more stable than BC ones. The stability of SC solutions with respect to BC ones at low doping as well as the increased degeneracy for larger concentration is in agreem ent with DMFT calculations in the one-band model.<sup>52</sup> Therefore it seems to be a robust feature of the model and not to depend on the approximation. On the other hand it is opposite to what is observed in the 3-band  $m \text{ odel}^{24}$ where BC stripes constitute the most stable low doping structure.

# D . E ect of $t^0$ on the stability of stripes

We now proceed to discuss in detail the role of t<sup>0</sup> on  $e_h$ . Due to the dependence of  $C_K$  on  $t^0$  and the constancy of the parameter A we observe in Fig. 5 a shift of optim al stripe lling to sm aller values and a steeper m in im um upon increasing  $t^0$ j. Hence, the larger is  $t^0$ j the more stable are partially led stripes with respect to their lled (insulating) counterpart in agreem ent with the estim ate  $C_K$ W  $\mathbf{j}^{0}$ jand Eq. (8). O ne can understand the reason for this behavior by com paring the two cases = 1 (insulating) and = 1=2 (m etallic), rem embering that the form er structure has twice as much holes per stripe. For the sake of simplicity let us neglect for the m om ent the interaction part  $C_{I}$ . Then, if the cost to create a stripe dom inates (A > C or roughly)speaking J > W ), = 1 stripes are more convenient because this m in in izes the num ber of stripes that have to be created to accom m odate a given num ber of holes. On the other hand for = 1 the Pauli exclusion principle forces to 11 the higher kinetic energy states of the active band, which for broad bands becom es energetically unfavorable. As a consequence it is more convenient for systems with broad bands (W > J) to pay the energy J in order to create more stripes, thus reducing the lling per stripe and avoiding the occupancy of high kinetic energy states of the active band. Clearly the relevant parameter which controls this behavior is  $J=j^{0}j$  J=W A=C with small ratio A=C favoring partially lled stripes in agreement with Eq. (8) (see also Fig. 6 below). We note that this is in agreement with our notings in the three-band H ubbard m odel where the role of  $t^{0}$  was played by the oxygen-oxygen hopping.<sup>24</sup>

The energy of (vertical) m etallic stripes has to be com pared with other saddle-points of the GA energy functional. It should be noted that especially at large doping (but depending also on t<sup>0</sup>=t) there exists a huge variety of inhom ogeneous charge and spin textures which are rather close in energy and compete with the vertical stripe solution. Am ong this variety we have selected diagonal stripes and spin polarons which energy per hole is reported in Fig. 5b. This choice is motivated by the idea that with increasing kinetic energy the stripes should undergoe som e kind of melting process which re ects in substantial lateral and orientiational uctuations and an eventualdecay into individualseqments. However, in our static approach we can only access som e 'snapshot' congurations of this melting process and dem onstrate the increased degeneracy in energy of these structures with doping.

Two major points result from Fig. 5: i) For xed stripe periodicity d vertical solutions are in general low er in energy than diagonalones.<sup>42</sup> However, for larger stripe separation (d = 5;6) and sm all ratio  $j^0=tj^<$  0:1 one observes from Fig. 5 that diagonal and vertical structures are rather close in energy. ii) For moderate values of  $t^0$ =tj < 0:4 spin polarons are always higher in energy than (vertical) stripe structures but the polaron solution becom es m ore stable for larger  $t^0=t_j$  depending on the stripe separation. At low doping where the stripes are alm ost noninteracting (d > 5) the critical value for (vertical) stripe stability is  $t^0=t$ 0:4. In this region of param eters the ground state will have contributions from both stripes and dissociated holes. We can speculate that in this case, going beyond m ean-eld, stripes will have a m ore dynam ical character. In this sense our nding is in agreem ent with previous investigations40,41 which found a suppression of static stripe form ation upon increasing  $t^0$ =tj. Note that for nite doping the polaronic energy naturally depends on the special arrangem ent of the selftrapped charge carriers on the lattice. How ever, for the selected large value of U = t = 10 the spin-polarons are quite localized and therefore their energy is alm ost independent of doping up to hole concentrations x 02.

Fig. 6 displays the optim al lling  $_{opt}$  (corresponding to the curve minima in Fig. 5) versus the stripe separation for di erent next-nearest neighbor hoppings. For stripe distances d > 4 the vertical stripes are alm ost noninteracting and therefore the lling fraction versus t<sup>0</sup>=t is the same as can be seen from Fig. 6a. For sm aller dis-



FIG.5: GA excess energies perhole  $e_h$  for vertical SC (a) and diagonal (b) stripes as a function of the stripe lling and for various values of  $t^0$ =t. The stripe separation (in lattice constants) is d = 3;4;5;6 (from top to bottom). We also report the energy of the polaron solutions in the right panel.



FIG.6:0 ptim al stripe lling as a function of periodicity for (a) vertical and (b) diagonal stripes.

tances stripes start to repel, resulting in a shift of  $e_n$  to higher values (cf. Fig. 5a). However, the increased inter-stripe interaction leads also to a larger dispersion perpendicular to the stripes which in the  $e_n$  curves of Fig. 5 re ects as an increase in curvature (i.e. in the 'kinetic' constant C) upon going from d = 4 to d = 3 stripes. As a result one therefore observes a shift of <sub>opt</sub> to lower values for the d = 3 solution.

The situation for diagonal stripes is qualitatively similar, i.e. upon increasing  $t^0$ -tj the m inim um of  $e_h$  shifts to lower values of . Since the kinetic energy in diagonal direction (and thus the parameter  $C_{diag}$ ) is naturally sm aller than along the bonds we nd the optim al

lling at larger values as compared to vertical stripes. Moreover, diagonal stripes are more extended so that a sizeable inter-stripe interaction is present even for periodicities d = 5;6. In Fig. 5b this rejects as a continuous decrease of the  $e_h$  curves upon increasing d. For the same reason opt continuously increases with d for xed t<sup>0</sup>=t as can be seen from Fig. 6b.

Comparing Fig. 6a with the experim ental situation in the LSCO high-T  $_{\rm c}$  compound it thus turns out that the phenom ena of half-lled vertical stripes for d 4 requires for the ratio between next-nearest and nearest neighbor hopping t<sup>0</sup>=t 0.2. For this value Fig. 7 reports the corresponding en curves but now plotted as a function of the hole doping. Since the charge modulation of vertical stripes has a width of about 4-5 lattice constants the inter-stripe interaction is vanishingly small for d 5 and thus the energy depends only weakly on distance. M oreover, from Fig. 5 we have seen that in this regime the ground state solution has always lling close to = 1=2and thus the incom m ensurability

$$=\frac{x}{2}:$$
 (9)

behaves as x in agreem entwith experim ent.<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> For d 4 (x 1=8) stripes overlap (see Fig. 1) which leads to a vertical shift of the curves as shown in Fig. 7a. Hence it is unfavorable for the system to increase the density of stripes from d = 4 to d = 3, the incom m ensurability gets locked at = 1=8 (d = 4) and the lling of the stripes starts to increase. This feature favors the degeneracy between bond and site centered vertical stripes as can be deduced from Fig. 4b suggesting the importance of lateral stripe uctuations in this doping range. D isregarding for the moment the polaron solution we observe a further transition toward d = 3 stripes at x 02.A sim ilar result has been found also in the 3-band m odel.24

D iagonal stripes are m ore extended so that the corresponding e, curves start to shift for d 5 already. In the low doping regime they are less stable than vertical stripes, however, the energy di erence becom es rather sm all for x > 0.2. M oreover, both vertical and diagonal stripe solutions approach the energy of the polaron solution at this particular doping. This means that for concentrations x > 0.2 the energy is alm ost independent of the charge topology. The GA landscape is not dom inated by a well de ned saddle point and an associated Slater determ inant but a large number of energy minin a with very di erent charge topologies com pete. In this regime the ground state will thus have contributions from all Slater determ inants corresponding to the different charge con gurations with equivalent energy. We expect a state which has lost the orientational order inform ation.<sup>51</sup>

In the low doping regime neutron scattering experiments on LSCO observe a change of the incommensurability vector below x 0:05 from the vertical to the diagonal direction.<sup>4,12,13</sup> From the results as shown in Fig. 7 we cannot infer a crossover towards diagonal solutions at low doping. However, the closeness in energy of vertical and diagonal structures suggests that the orthorom bic distortion, which continuously increases upon underdoping in LSCO may lead to a stabilization of the diagonal stripe phase at small hole concentrations (see Norm and et al.<sup>53</sup> for a detailed discussion of the relation between stripe orientation and lattice distortion). M oreover, we believe that other e ects, not included in our model, are important in this regard. Indeed the contribution of long-range C oulom b interactions is expected to become important at low doping. A point charge estim ate shows that this tends to favor diagonal con gurations over vertical ones.

To summarize the results for  $t^0 = 0.2$ : We obtain half-lled vertical stripes and = x up to hole concentrations x = 1=8 and increasing and = 1=8 for 1=8 < x < 0.2 in agreement with experiment<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> and with our previous 3-band computations.<sup>24</sup> The behavior for the lling in plies that the chemical potential

will be approximately constant for x < 1=8 and decrease with doping for x > 1=8 which is also supported by experiment.<sup>26,27</sup> However, it should be noted that the theoretically obtained shift in exceeds the experimen-



FIG. 7: Energies per hole for vertical (a) and diagonal (b) stripes as a function of doping.  $t^0=t=0.2$  as appropriate for LSCO. The inset displays the incom mensurability versus doping as deduced from (a). The diagonal pattern indicates the degeneracy with diagonal stripes.

tal one by a factor of 2:::3 which m ay be attributed to nite size e ects. A sim ilar discrepancy is also observed in the 3-band m odel and we refer to R ef. 24 for a further discussion of this point.

Finally, in Fig. 8 we show the phase diagram calculated for  $t^0 = t = 0$ :4 which is considered to be the appropriate ratio for the T  $_{2}Ba_{2}Ca_{2}Cu_{3}O_{1}O$  and H gB  $a_{2}CaCu_{2}O_{6}$ compounds.<sup>36</sup> In this case low doping vertical stripes have a lling fraction of 0:4 so that the incom m ensurability 125x is expected to grow faster than for the LSCO compounds. However, already the d = 4structures are unstable with respect to the spin polaron solution and thus the incom m ensurability starts to saturate for x 0.08 corresponding to the d = 5 structure. M oreover since the polaron solution is rather close in energy a static stripe phase is quite unlikely to exist in T |- (H g-) based cuprates. It is rather m ore m eaningful to have in m ind a picture where stripes appear as snapshots in the dynam ical evolution of the system . In this context it is interesting to observe that for all dopings diagonal stripes are higher in energy than the polaron structure. The low doping phase may resemble that of a 'stripe nem atic'51 where orientational symmetry is broken but quantum uctuations mainly lead to a restoration of translational sym m etry.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

W ithin the inhom ogeneous GA we have investigated m etallic stripe states in the one-band extended Hubbard m odel for a xed value of the on-site repulsion U=t=10as appropriate for the cuprates. M etallic stripes were shown to be favored by a negative  $t^0=t$  and the physics of this behavior has been clari ed in terms of the com – petition between the kinetic energy along the stripe and



FIG.8: Energies per hole for vertical (a) and diagonal (b) stripes as a function of doping.  $t^0=t = 0.4$  as appropriate for B i2212. The inset displays the incom mensurability versus doping as deduced from (a).

the creation energy of a stripe. In this regard the HF approximation has been shown to lead to gross quantitative and often qualitative errors.

G enerally the present results are in agreement with our previous notings in the 3-band model, in particular the behavior of the incommensurability and the chemical potential, showing that our previous results in the 3-band model are quite robust<sup>24</sup>. On the other hand there are also important di erences. In the present model SC stripes are more stable at low doping as compared to BC stripes. This is in agreement with DMFT computations<sup>37</sup> and therefore seems to be a robust feature of the one-band model and not to depend on the approximation.

One should keep in mind that e ective models, like the one-band Hubbard model, are in principle designed for the description of electronic excitations in a diluted, uniform phase. U sually it is not guaranteed that they will give correct results when one com pares the relative stability of dense non-uniform phases.55 Therefore we consider the 3-band result for the stripe state symmetry as m ore reliable for the description of real cuprates than the one-band calculation. Further support to this conclusion com es from the analysis of transport data.28,29 Indeed within the 3-band Hamiltonian where BC stripes constitute the most stable low doping state we have  $explained^{24}$ an anom alous vanishing of the transport coe cients 28,29 as due to the particular band structure of BC stripes which turns out to be particle-hole symmetric close to the Ferm i level. Since the band structure of half-lled SC stripes is not particle-hole symmetric around the Fermi level (cf. Fig. 2) the one-band m odel cannot account for the observed vanishing of transport coe cients. 28,29

W hen the next-nearest neighbor hopping is xed to  $t^0=t=0.2$  stripes turn out to be half-led at low doping. The behavior of the incommensurability and chem-ical potential is in good agreement with experiment in LSCO. Our investigation therefore supports the LDA

based one band-m apping of R ef. 36 where a similar value for the next-nearest neighbor hopping has been reported for LSCO m aterials.

As doping increases the energy becomes independent of the charge topology. SC vertical, BC vertical, diagonal stripes and a polaron lattice all become degenerate. We associate this with a transition from a state with orientational order to an isotropic state.<sup>51</sup> This quantum melting of the stripe state for x > 0.2 is also rem in iscent of the existence of a quantum critical point in the slightly overdoped regime as has been proposed by Castellani et al.<sup>54</sup>

Since stripes are charged the lateralm otion of stripes is optically active. Therefore the decrease in the energy gap between SC and BC stripes should re ect in the softening of an optically active electronic mode. We have explicitly shown this behavior in a GA+RPA computation in the three-band model where the softening of a stripe phason<sup>32</sup> can explain the shift of the mid-infrared peak in optical conductivity experiments on LSCO.<sup>33,34</sup> We expect a similar behavior in the charge response of

- <sup>1</sup> J.M. Tranquada, B.J. Stemlieb, J.D. Axe, Y. Nakamura and S.Uchida, Nature 375, 56 (1995).
- <sup>2</sup> J.M. Tranquada, J.D. Axe, N. Ichikawa, Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, and B. Nachumi, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7489 (1996).
- <sup>3</sup> J.M. Tranquada, J.D. Axe, N. Ichikawa, A.R. Moodenbaugh, Y.Nakamura, and S.Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 338 (1997).
- <sup>4</sup> K.Yam ada, C.H.Lee, K.Kurahashi, J.W ada, S.W akim oto, S.Ueki, H.K im ura, Y.Endoh, S.Hosoya, G.Shirane, R.J.Birgeneau, M.G reven, M.A.Kastner, and Y. J.K im, Phys.Rev.B 57, 6165 (1998).
- <sup>5</sup> M.Arai, T.N ishijim a, Y.Endoh, T.Egam i, S.Tajim a, K. Tom im oto, Y.Shiohara, M.Takahashi, A.G arrett, and S. M.Bennington, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 608 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup> M.Arai, Y.Endoh, S.Tajima, and S.M.Bennington, Int. J.M od.Phys.B 14, 3312 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup> S.M. Hayden, G.H. Lander, J. Zarestky, P.J. Brown, C. Stassis, P.M etcalf, and J.M. Honig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1061 (1992).
- <sup>8</sup> C.H.Chen, S-W.Cheong and A.S.Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2461 (1993).
- <sup>9</sup> J. M. Tranquada, D. J. Buttrey, V. Sachan, and J. E. Lorenzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1003 (1994).
- <sup>10</sup> P.Dai, H.A. Mook, R.D. Hunt, and F.D ogan, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054525 (2001).
- <sup>11</sup> H.A.Mook, P.D ai, and F.D ogan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 097004 (2002).
- <sup>12</sup> M. Matsuda, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, H. Hiraka, Y. Endoh, S. Wakimoto, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 62, 9148 (2000).
- <sup>13</sup> M.Fujita, K.Yam ada, H.Hiraka, P.H.Gehring, S.H.Lee, S.W akim oto, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064505 (2002).
- <sup>14</sup> H. He, Y. Sidis, P. Bourges, G. D. Gu, A. Ivanov, N. Koshizuka, B. Liang, C. T. Lin, L.P. Regnault, E. Schoenherr, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1610 (2001).
- $^{\rm 15}$  H . A . M ook, F . D ogan, and B . C . C hakoum akos, cond-

the one-band m odel.

O ur present results allow for predictions for other cuprate fam ilies. For larger values of  $t^0$  we obtain low er values of . Therefore according to Eq. (9) we predict a steeper curve of vs. x for sm all x in Tl and Hg based com pounds. As  $t^0$  increases we also nd that the relative stability of stripes with respect to isolated polarons decreases. This loss of stability m ay indicate that stripes have a m ore dynam ical character in these m aterials.

H aving in m ind the di erences and sim ilarities between the one-band and the three-band description one can still obtain very useful qualitative inform ation from the oneband description. Since within the present work we have set up the relevant parameter set and stable stripe saddlepoints for the LSCO compounds this represents the rst step for an investigation of the associated charge and spin dynamics. Furtheron the one-band m odel allows for the investigation of larger clusters and thus the structure of these excitations in q-space can be investigated in m ore detail than possible within the 3-band H am iltonian. W ork in this direction is in progress.

mat/9811100, Stripes and Related Phenomena, eds. A. Bianconi and N.L.Saini, Kluwer Academic (2000).

- <sup>16</sup> S. K ram er and M. M ehring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 396 (1999).
- <sup>17</sup> P.M. Singer, A.W. Hunt, and T. Im ai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 047602 (2002).
- <sup>18</sup> J. H aaæ, C. P. Slichter, and C. T. M illing, J. Supercond. 15, 339 (2002).
- <sup>19</sup> J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7391 (1989).
- <sup>20</sup> K.Machida, Physica C 158, 192 (1989).
- <sup>21</sup> H.J.Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1445 (1990).
- <sup>22</sup> D.Poilblanc and T.M.Rice, Phys.Rev.B 39, 9749 (1989).
- <sup>23</sup> S-W . Cheong, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, H. Mook, S. M. Hayden, P.C. Can eld, Z. Fisk, K. N. Clausen, and J.L. Martinez Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1791 (1991).
- <sup>24</sup> J. Lorenzana and G. Seibold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 136401 (2002).
- <sup>25</sup> M.C.Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. 137, A 1726 (1965).
- <sup>26</sup> A. Ino, T. M. izokawa, A. Fujim ori, K. Tamasaku, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, T. K im ura, T. Sasagawa, and K. Kishio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2101 (1997).
- <sup>27</sup> N.Harima, J.Matsuno, A.Fujimori, Y.Onose, Y.Taguchi, and Y.Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 64, R 220507 (2001).
- <sup>28</sup> T.Noda, H.Eisakiand S.Uchida, Science 286, 265 (1999).
- <sup>29</sup> Yayu W ang and N. P. Ong, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. U.S.A 98, 11091 (2001).
- <sup>30</sup> J. Lorenzana and C. Castellani and C. DiCastro, Europhys. Lett. 57, 704 (2002).
- <sup>31</sup> G. Seibold and J. Lorenzana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2605 (2001).
- <sup>32</sup> J.Lorenzana and G.Seibold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 066404 (2003).
- <sup>33</sup> S.U chida, T. Ido, H. Takagi, T. A rim a, Y. Tokura, and S. Tajim a, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7942 (1991).
- <sup>34</sup> M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 39, 2312 (1989).
- <sup>35</sup> A.K.M dM ahan, J.F.Annett, and R.M.M artin, Phys.

Rev.B 42,6268 (1990).

- <sup>36</sup> E. Pavarini, I. Dasgupta, T. Saha-Dasgupta, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 047003 (2001).
- <sup>37</sup> M arcus Fleck, Eva Pavarini and Ole Krogh Andersen, cond-m at/0102041.
- <sup>38</sup> K.Machida and M. Ichioka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 2168 (1999).
- <sup>39</sup> B.Valenzuela, M.A.H.Vozm ediano, and F.Guinea, Phys. Rev.B 62, 11312 (2000).
- <sup>40</sup> B.Norm and and A.P.K am pf, Phys. Rev. B 65, 020509 (2001).
- <sup>41</sup> M .Fleck and A .I.Lichtenstein and A .M .Oles, Phys.Rev. B 64, 134528 (2001).
- <sup>42</sup> Note that we have de ned the distance d for diagonal stripes as the spacing in vertical direction.
- <sup>43</sup> M. Inui and P. B. Littlewood, Phys. Rev. B 44, 4415 (1991).
- <sup>44</sup> M.S.Hybertsen, E.B.Stechel, W.M.C.Foulkes, and M. Schluter, Phys. Rev. B 45, 10032 (1992).
- $^{45}$  G.Seibold, E.Sigm und, and V.Hizhnyakov, Phys.Rev.B

57,6937 (1998).

- <sup>46</sup> E.D agotto, Rev. M od. Phys. 66, 763 (1994).
- <sup>47</sup> F.Gebhard, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9452 (1990).
- <sup>48</sup> G.Kotliar and A.E.Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1362 (1986).
- <sup>49</sup> A.Sadoriand M.Grilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5375 (2000).
- <sup>50</sup> I.M artin, G.O rtiz, A.V. Balatsky, and A.R.Bishop, Int. J.M od.Phys. B 14, 3567 (2000).
- <sup>51</sup> S.A.Kivelson, E.Fradkin, and V.J.Emery, Nature 393, 550 (1998).
- <sup>52</sup> M.Fleck, A.I.Lichtenstein, Eva Pavarini, and A.M. Oles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4962 (2000).
- <sup>53</sup> B.Norm and A.P.Kampf, Phys.Rev.B 64, 024521 (2001).
- <sup>54</sup> C.Castellani, C.DiCastro, and M.Grilli, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 4650 (1995).
- <sup>55</sup> J.van den Brink, M.B.J.M einders, J.Lorenzana, R.Eder, and G.A.Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 4658 (1995).