# A Second Supersymmetry in ThermoField Dynamics

X erxes D.A rsiwalla
Physikalisches Institut der Universitat Bonn,
Nu allee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany.

#### A bstract

This article is an extension of the work done in [1] by R. Parthasarathy and R. Sridhar. There they consider supersym metry in an enlarged them alsystem (in a them o eld dynamic formulation) and show that this supersym metry is not broken at nite temperature. Here we show, using an SU (1;1) R-sym metry, that this system obeys a second supersym metry. In addition, we proceed to see that this new supersym metry also remains unbroken at nite temperatures.

## 1 Constructing a second supersym metry

As in [1], the components of the ferm ionic supercharges of the rst supersymmetry are

$$Q_{+} = af^{y}; q_{+} = af^{y}; Q_{-} = a^{y}f; q_{-} = a^{y}f^{y}$$
 (1.1)

These are nilpotent operators acting on the enlarged Fock space  $j_{n_B}$ ;  $n_F$ ;  $n_F$  i, and satisfy a  $Z_2$  -graded Lie algebra (that is, the structure f0;0g = E, [0;E] = 0, [E;E] = E for even (E) and odd (O) operators).

W riting the above components in a vector form, we identify the supercharge and its conjugate as  ${\sf vector}$ 

$$Q_+$$
 and  $Q$  (1.2)

Let us consider a SU (1;1) transform ation acting on our vector supercharge. This can be thought of as a R-sym m etry transform ation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Q_{+} & = & Q_{1} \\
Q_{1} & & Q_{2}
\end{array}$$
(1.3)

(; 2 C and  $j \neq j \neq 1$ ) where

$$Q_1 Q_+ + q_+ (1.4)$$

$$Q_2 Q_+ + q_+ (1.5)$$

Sim ilarly

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & = & Q_1 \\
Q & Q_2
\end{array} \tag{1.6}$$

w here

$$Q_1 \qquad Q + q \qquad (1.7)$$

$$Q_2 Q + q (1.8)$$

G etting a new set of ferm ionic supercharge components  $Q_1;Q_2;Q_1;Q_2;$  we now show that they indeed satisfy the extended superalgebra. In addition, the H am iltonian is left invariant under the R-symmetry.

U sing the superalgebra relations of the rst supersymmetry (as stated in [1]), we see that the operators  $Q_1;Q_2;Q_1;Q_2$  are indeed nilpotent; thereby converting bosons and ferm ions into each other when acting on the enlarged

Fock space. Proceeding to calculate the algebra generated by these operators, we obtain

$$fQ_{1};Q_{1}q = j f(N_{B} + N_{F}) + j f(N_{B} + N_{F})$$
 (1.9)

$$fQ_{2};Q_{2}q = j f(N_{B} + N_{F}) + j f(N_{B} + N_{F})$$
 (1.10)

$$fQ_{1};Q_{2}g = (N_{B} + N_{F}) + (N_{B} + N_{F})$$
 (1.11)

$$fQ_1;Q_2g = fQ_1;Q_2g = 0$$
 (1.12)

$$[Q;(N_B + N_F)] = Q;(N_B + N_F) = 0$$
 (1.13)

where Q denotes any of  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ . Hence, the operators  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_2$ ;  $Q_1$ ;  $Q_2$ ;

M oreover, we can also see the anti-com m utators between the two generations of supercharges:

$$fQ_1;Q_+q = fQ_1;q_+q = fQ_2;Q_+q = fQ_2;q_+q = 0$$
 (1.14)

and similarly their corresponding conjugate relations. These could be interpreted as the absence of central charges.

Note also the relations

$$fQ_1;Q_g = (N_B + N_F)$$
 (1.15)

$$fQ_1; q g = (N_B + N_F)$$
 (1.16)

$$fQ_2;Q_g = (N_B + N_F)$$
 (1.17)

$$fQ_2; q q = (N_B + N_E)$$
 (1.18)

and their corresponding conjugates. In the speci c case of  $Z_2$  - graded Lorentz algebras, eqs. (1.15), (1.16), (1.17), (1.18) would have been zero on the R H S. In other types of  $Z_2$  Lie gradings that need not be so.

However, at the moment, we do not have a de nite interpretation of these  $R\ H\ \mathcal{S}$  term s.

Finally, putting together the full algebra, including both generations, we indeed have a super Lie algebra. It still remains to show, that the thermal system under consideration, obeys this second supersymmetry.

In term s of the rst supersym m etry, the total H am iltonian is given by [1]

$$\hat{H}_1 = fQ_+; Q g fq_+; q g$$
 (1.19)

Under the R-transform ation of the supercharges, the above Ham iltonian takes the form

$$\hat{H}_{2} = fQ_{1}; Q_{1}g \quad fQ_{2}; Q_{2}g$$

$$= jj^{2} jj^{2} (N_{B} + N_{F}) \quad (N_{B} + N_{F})$$

$$= \hat{H}_{1} \qquad (1.20)$$

thus remaining invariant under the R-symmetry transformation of the supersymmetry generators. Also, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
h & i \\
Q; \hat{H}_2 &= 0
\end{array} \tag{1.21}$$

m eaning that the supercharges of this second supersym m etry are all conserved quantities.

This concludes our argument that our thermal system confers to a second supersymmetry.

### 2 Supersym m etry at nite tem peratures

In this section, we check how our second supersymmetry behaves at nite temperatures. The method followed is essentially the same as in [1].

First we look at the zero temperature case, where we get

$$h0 + \hat{h}_2 + \hat{b}_1 = 0$$
 (2.1)

and 
$$Q \mathcal{D}i = 0$$
 (2.2)

in plying that at zero tem perature there is neither explicit nor spontaneous breakdown of the second supersymmetry.

At nite tem peratures, the therm alvacuum j() i has to be considered, which is annihilated by the Bogoliubov transformed annihilation operators.

In [1], three m ethods are considered. In the rst m ethod, the Fock space state vectors are taken to be tem perature dependent, while the operators are not. U sing this m ethod for our second supersym m etry, we get sim ilar results as in [1]:

$$h0() \hat{H}_2 \hat{D}() i = 0$$
 (2.3)

and 
$$Q \uparrow 0$$
 (2.4)

there is no explicit breaking, but only spontaneous breaking of the second supersymmetry.

The second method involves a temperature dependence for both; the state vectors, as well as the operators acting on them. From our point of view, this method seems more appropriate. Now the creation/annihilation operators have a — dependence given by the Bogoliubov transformations [2]. The canonical quantization relations still remain valid. Therefore, the super Lie algebra too retains its form. The Ham iltonian can be seen to take the form

$$\hat{H}_{2}() = fQ_{1}();Q_{1}()g \quad fQ_{2};()Q_{2}()g$$
 (2.5)

The invariance of the Ham iltonian under the Bogoliubov transform ation

$$\hat{H}_2() = \hat{H}_2 \tag{2.6}$$

can also be veri ed. M oreover, the Bogoliubov transformed supercharges  $\mathbb{Q}$  ( ) too are constants of m otion.

The following results are obtained

$$h0() #_2() p() = 0$$
 (2.7)

and 
$$Q() \mathcal{D}() i = 0$$
 (2.8)

giving an explicitly as well as spontaneous unbroken second supersym m etry at nite tem peratures. [1] and [3] obtain  $\sin$  ilar results for the rst supersym m etry.

The third method in [1] neglects the tilde operators, hence restricting to a sub-algebra of the super Lie algebra. Such a sub-algebra could still be chosen to contain one of the two supersymm etries. The SU (1;1) R-symm etry is now reduced to a U (1) phase. In a sense, this means, booking at a sub-system of the full (mathematically) thermal system. Therefore, xing to a sub-system comes at the price of losing some of the symmetry contained in the system as a whole.

#### 3 Discussion and conclusions

The central idea of this article is to show that the supersymmetric system given in [1] also contains a second supersymmetry. In order to do this, one has to not the appropriate R-symmetry, which maps the supercharges of one generation to that of another. At the same time, the R-action on the supercharges should leave the Hamiltonian invariant. We have shown that the SU (1;1) R-symmetry does precisely that.

Note that the supersym m etry considered here and in [1] is not the usual space-time  $Z_2$  -graded supersym m etry of the Lorentz algebra. The Lorentz superalgebra acts on Lorentz-covariant quantum elds. In fact, the approach taken here, is far more modest. In our case, the superalgebra is actually a  $Z_2$  -grading of the canonical commutator/anti-commutator algebra. The Hamiltonian, is that of a free oscillator, with bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. The supercharges are dened at a given frequency!. Their action on the Fock space converts bosons and fermions (at!) into each other, thus maintaining supersymmetric partner states at the same frequency. In a sense, this can be likened to the situation in supersymmetric quantum mechanics.

In addition, for this second supersymmetry, we simply check its behaviour at nite temperatures, using the procedure in [1]. The corresponding

results are sim ilar. At this stage, it is noteworthy to point out that at nite tem perature, the supersym m etry constructed on M inkowski space quantum eld theory [4], [5] shows interesting di erences from the supersym m etry we have considered here. There the supersym m etry is always broken spontaneously in therm al states.

## A cknow ledgem ents

We are grateful to Prof. R. Parthasarathy for proof reading the manuscript and for his encouraging remarks as well. We would also like to thank Prof. R. Flume for informing us about the work of [4] and [5].

#### R eferences

- [1] R. Parthasarathy and R. Sridhar, \Supersymmetry in thermo eld dynamics," Phys. Lett. A 279, 17 (2001) [arX iv:cond-m at/0006315].
- [2] Y. Takahashi and H. Um ezawa, \Thermo Field Dynamics," Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10, 1755 (1996).
- [3] L. Van Hove, \Supersymmetry And Positive Temperature For Simple Systems," Nucl. Phys. B 207, 15 (1982).
- [4] D. Buchholz and I. O jim a, \Spontaneous collapse of supersym m etry," Nucl. Phys. B 498, 228 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9701005].
- [5] D. Buchholz and R. Longo, \Graded KMS functionals and the breakdown of supersymmetry," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3, 615 (1999)
  [Addendum-ibid. 3, 1909 (1999)] [arX iv:hep-th/9905102].