# Quantum spin liquids: a large-S route.

0 leg T chernyshyov

D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Johns H opkins U niversity, 3400 N . Charles St., Baltim ore, M D 21218, U SA

A bstract. This paper explores the large-S route to quantum disorder in the H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on the pyrochlore lattice and its hom ologues in low er dimensions. It is shown that zero-point uctuations of spins shape up a valencebond solid at low temperatures for one two-dimensional lattice and a liquid with very short-range valence-bond correlations for another. A one-dimensional model demonstrates potential signi cance of quantum interference e ects (as in H aldane's gap): the quantum melting of a valence-bond order yields di erent valence-bond liquids for integer and half-integer values of S.


#### 1. Introduction

Frustrated m agnets have recently become a focus of experimental studies [1, 2, 3]. Frustration disrupts long-range spin order even at low temperatures and leads to the formation of a spin-liquid state, in which spins move in a random yet strongly correlated fashion. A very large degeneracy of the classical ground state makes these m agnets strongly susceptible to a variety of perturbations [4, 5] and thereby leads to a plethora of possible therm odynam ic phases. It is also thought that the interplay of strong correlations and quantum e ects may yield quantum ground states without m agnetic order. Low temperature properties of such m agnets will be quite distinct from those of the familiar Bose or Ferm i liquids. Therefore quantum ground states.

The ultim ate example of strong frustration is the H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on the pyrochlore lattice [6]. In magnets of this kind spins form a three-dimensional network of corner-sharing tetrahedra (Fig. 1). A nearly perfect realization of this model is found in ZnC  $r_2O_4$  [7] where C  $r^{3+}$  ions have spin S = 3=2. Recent theoretical studies of such system s have concentrated on the case S = 1=2 [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], for which the quantum e ects are most prominent.

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate viability of an alternative approach: the large-S route. There are several reasons to take this circuitous path. First, the method provides a system atic way to study quantum elects as a function of S. Second, because the very concept of a frustrated magnet is de ned in the classical context, staying close to the classical lim it puts the discussion on merground. Third, magnets of this kind have propensity toward spin-Peierls order even in the classical lim it [5]. Therefore, there is a reasonable chance of noting nonclassical states such as valencebond solids and liquids in pyrochlore antiferrom agnets at large S.

It must be realized that the large-S problem is highly nontrivial: the starting point (S = 1) has an extensive degeneracy [6]. The original problem for the three-dimensional pyrochlore lattice remains unsolved. Below I describe a few



F igure 1. The pyrochlore lattice and sample ground states of H eisenberg spins on a tetrahedron.

positive results that have been obtained recently for hom ologues of the pyrochlore antiferrom agnet in one and two spatial dimensions. Sec. 2 outlines a degenerate perturbation theory, due to Henley, that is based on a series expansion in powers of 1=S. To the rst order in 1=S, the theory yields a valence-bond solid for one twodimensional \pyrochlore" and a valence-bond liquid for another. By design, the ad hoc series expansion m isses e ects nonanalytical in 1=S (cf. Haldane's gap). Sec. 3 describes such an e ect in a one-dimensional \pyrochlore" chain with a spin-Peierls ground state. In that model, the quantum melting of a valence-bond solid produces qualitatively di erent valence-bond liquids for integer and half-integer spins.

### 2. A 1=S expansion for antiferrom agnets on pyrochlore-like lattices

The starting point of the 1=S expansion is the lim it S ! 1. The ground states are found by m inim izing the exchange energy  $J_{hiji}^{L}(S_{i} = O (S^{2}) w$  ith respect to classicalH eisenberg spin variables  $fS_{i}g$ . In the case of the pyroch lore antiferrom agnet, the total spin of every tetrahedron m ust vanish (Fig. 1). A simple counting argument [6] reveals that the manifold of classical vacua is form idably large: it contains one continuous degree of freedom per tetrahedron.

The next term in the 1=S expansion contains a quantum correction coming from zero-point uctuations of spin waves [14]:

$$E^{(1)} = const + \int_{a}^{A} \frac{1}{2}h!_{a} = O(S);$$
(1)

where  $f!_a g$  are spin-wave frequencies. Because m agnon spectra are not the same in di erent ground states, the quantum correction (1) lifts the classical degeneracy. Ordinarily, quantum uctuations select a few collinear ground states related to each other by symmetries of the lattice [15]. In some special cases, the residual degeneracy m ay be quite large and not linked to any obvious lattice symmetry [16].

The pyrochlore lattice presents such an exception: Henley has found that an in nite number of collinear states are selected by zero-point uctuations [17]. These vacua are not related to each other by lattice symmetries and most are not even periodic. The exact number of the degenerate ground states is not known. This accidental degeneracy has been linked by Henley to certain transform ations that leave the spin-wave spectrum f!ag invariant. The transform ations consist of ipping every spin on a (potentially in nite) subset of tetrahedra.

To determ ine which of the colinear ground states have the lowest zero-point energy, Henley has expressed the sum over the spin-wave frequencies (1) as the trace of an operator dependent on the spin values in a given ground state. The result can be expanded as an in nite sum of multi-spin potentials. In a collinear spin




Figure 2. Two-dimensional lattices built from corner-sharing tetrahedra: (a) The checkerboard. Valence-bond order induced at low temperatures O  $(JS=k_B)$  is shown.  $hS_i S_j i = S^2$  for the shaded bonds and zero for the rest. (b) One of the ground states of the pyrochlore wafer. D ashed lines designate frustrated bonds ( $_{ij} = i_{j} = 1$ ). (c) The dimer state that corresponds to the bond state of one sublattice of tetrahedra.

con guration polarized along  $\hat{n}$ , this interaction can be expressed in terms of Ising variables  $i = (S_i \ \hat{n}) = S = 1$ , subject to the constraint

$$\int_{i=1}^{r} \int_{i=1}^{4} = 0 \quad \text{on every tetrahedron.}$$
(2)

The zero-point energy reads [17]

$$E^{(1)} = \frac{3}{8}JS_{i}^{4} + \dots$$
 (pyrochlore lattice). (3)

The six-spin interaction couples spins residing around regular hexagons that exist in the pyrochlore lattice (Fig.1). The om itted term s, representing multi-spin interactions of higher orders, are not necessarily small. However, at least in the two-dimensional models discussed below, their om ission does not a ect the selection of ground states. One can check, with the aid of Henley's symmetry, that all ground states of the truncated Ham iltonian (3) have the same zero-point energy (1).

The problem of nding the ground states thus reduces to m inim izing the multispin interaction (3) over the Ising variables f  $_{i}g$ , subject to the constraint (2). It remains unsolved for the pyrochlore lattice. We have succeeded in solving it for two other lattices built from corner-sharing tetrahedra: the checkerboard and the pyrochlore wafer (Fig. 2). The checkerboard is a projection of the pyrochlore lattice onto a plane. Because it has loops of length 4 (around empty squares), the zero-point potential starts with four-spin interactions [17, 18]:

$$E^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}JS \sum_{i=1}^{X Y^4} (checkerboard).$$
(4)

For the pyrochlore wafer, a slice of the three-dimensional pyrochlore lattice, the elective zero-point energy is given by Eq.3.

It is convenient to switch from spin variables  $_{i}$  to bond variables  $_{ij} = _{ij} = (S_{i} \ S_{j})=S^{2}$ , de ned for nearest-neighbor links ij. In term s of these, the constraint (2) requires that exactly two non-adjacent bonds be frustrated ( $_{ij} = 1$ ) on every tetrahedron. The zero-point e ective potentials acquire the following form [18]:

$$Y^4$$
  $Y^6$   
 $i = 12 34 = 23 41;$   $i = 12 34 56 = 23 45 61$ :(5)  
 $i = 1$   $i = 1$ 

#### Spin liquids: a large-S route

Note that the lattices in question contain two sublattices of tetrahedra and that the multi-spin interactions (5) involve bonds of tetrahedra belonging to the same sublattice see Fig. 2. Thus the problem reduces to independent minimizations of the bond energies (5) on the two tetrahedral sublattices. A linearly not every bond con guration f  $_{ij}$  corresponds to a physical spin con guration f  $_{ig}$ , those that minimize the bond potentials (5) do yield legitim ate spin states [18].

In the checkerboard case, the bond potential (5) is minimized when every two bonds facing each other across an empty square [Fig.2(a)] are in the same state (e.g.,  $_{12} = _{34} = +1$  and  $_{23} = _{41} = -1$ ). The ground states of each tetrahedral sublattice fall into two disjoint classes. In one class, which can be called \horizontal stripes", tetrahedra of a given row are in the same bond state. The frustrated bonds are either all vertical, or all diagonal. Because every row can be in one of the two states, there are  $2^{L=2}$  vacua for each sublattice. The second class | vertical stripes | is related to the rst by a 90-degree rotation of the (sub) lattice. A more detailed derivation will be given elsewhere [18].


At zero tem perature, the system is frozen in one of the striped ground states breaking the rotational sym m etry of the lattice. There are two Ising order parameters: each sublattice of tetrahedra independently chooses to have vertical or horizontal stripes. The discrete nature of the order parameter guarantees survival of the long-range order at low temperatures  $T < T_c$ . The stripes will have a nite extent

O ( $e^{a=T}$ ) enabling the system to move between ground states with the same orientational order on a time scale of order . Therm all expectation values for the bond variables h<sub>ij</sub> i must therefore be averaged over all ground states with a specied orientational order. For example, when both sublattices of tetrahedra have horizontal stripes, the horizontal links always have antiparallel spins (h<sub>ij</sub> i = +1), while all other bonds have uncorrelated spins (h<sub>ij</sub> i = 0). Another therm all state, with horizontal and vertical stripes on the two sublattices of tetrahedra, is depicted in Fig. 2(a). This state has antiparallel spins around one half of the empty squares and strongly resembles the valence-bond solid found in the ground state of the S = 1=2 system [11].

A part from the number of dimensions, the checkerboard and pyrochlore lattices have one other important di erence: on the checkerboard, diagonal bonds are not equivalent to vertical or horizontal ones. This is why the valence-bond order parameter on the checkerboard is of the Ising, rather than  $Z_3$ , type. The pyrochlore wafer [Fig. 2(b)] is free from this defect. W hat classical ground states are selected by quantum uctuations there?

The 6-spin potential (3) translates into the interaction (5) involving three bonds around a hexagon. Again, the interaction takes place between tetrahedra of the same sublattice. The 3-bond energy is minimized when zero or two bonds are frustrated ( $_{ij} = 1$ ). While super cially this boks like the ground-state rule for the checkerboard, the properties of the ground states are entirely di erent. In particular, there is no valence-bond order. M oreover, the connected valence-bond correlations h $_{ij \ kl}$  i h $_{ij}$  ih $_{kl}$  i are extrem ely short-ranged. This can be proven by m apping the ground states of the bond potential (5) onto classical dim er coverings of the triangular lattice, whose properties are well known [19].

The mapping is illustrated in Figs. 2(b) and (c). Treat the tetrahedra of one sublattice as sites of a triangular lattice. Every two tetrahedra contributing frustrated bonds to the same hexagon (such as and ) generate a dimer linking the corresponding sites of the triangular lattice. Because each tetrahedron contributes exactly one frustrated bond to some hexagon, every site of the dual lattice is connected



F igure 3. Tunneling lifts the degeneracy of the three distorted ground states of spins on a tetrahedron. The ground state is a singlet for integer S and a non-K ram ers doublet for half-integer S. The di erence can be traced to a Berry phase acquired by the spins in a cycle of adiabatic evolution.

by a dim er to another site.


## 3. N onperturbative e ects: the Berry phase

To demonstrate potential signi cance of e ects nonperturbative in 1=S, consider a toy model of four antiferrom agnetically coupled spins on a exible tetrahedron. On a regular tetrahedron, the ground state is a spin singlet with the degeneracy 2S + 1. A high degree of sym m etry and a non-K ram ers degeneracy induce the Jahn{Teller e ect. For S > 1=2, the sum of elastic and m agnetic energies is m inim ized by three distorted states. In each of these the \m olecule" is attened along one of its principal axes (left panel of Fig. 3) [5].

Taking into account the kinetic energy of the atom s introduces tunneling events between the three potential wells. The tunneling splits the degenerate ground states into a singlet and a doublet (middle panel of Fig. 3). The nontrivial result is an oscillatory dependence of the order of the levels on S: the ground state is a singlet for integer spins and a non-K ram ers doublet for half-integer spins.

At large S, the di erence can be traced to a Berry phase acquired by the spins as the system m oves between the three distorted states (the right panel of Fig. 3). In the process, depicting one of the potential tunneling paths, three spins m ake full 2 rotations in the same plane. The overall am plitude thus acquires a geom etric phase  $e^{6}$  is, i.e. +1 if S is integer and 1 if it is half-integer.

Consider now a generalization of this toy model to d = 1 + 1 dimensions: a chain of such tetrahedra weakly coupled through vibrational modes of the lattice [Fig. 4 (a)]. The low-energy sector of the model consists of three distorted spin-singlet ground states on each site and can be described by the quantum q = 3 Potts model. E lastic interactions cause the distortions of the tetrahedra to be correlated with one another. A ssume, for the sake of the argument, that a uniform distortion of the chain is favored. Then, in the absence of tunneling, the chain has spin-Peierls order with a  $Z_3$  ferrodistortive order. Low energy spin-singlet excitations are domain walls with a nite energy gap. As the tunneling is turned on, the spin-Peierls order weakens.



F igure 4. (a) A chain of weakly coupled tetrahedra with H eisenberg spins. For antiferrom agnetic interactions, the low energy sector consists of singlet states. (b) The phase diagram of the q = 3 quantum Potts model showing the energy gap for valence-bond excitations as a function of the tunneling am plitude . The region > 0 ( < 0) describes the chain with integer (half-integer) spins.

The singlet energy gap vanishes at a quantum critical point F ig.4(b)]. The nature of the quantum -disordered phase depends on the sign of the tunneling am plitude and thus on whether S is integer or half-integer.

The physics of low -energy singlet states is described by the quantum 3-state Potts model with the Ham iltonian [20]

$$H = 2 \int_{i}^{A} \cos \frac{2}{3} (i + 1) + \cos \frac{2}{3} p_{i} :$$
 (6)

Here  $_i = 0;1;2$  is the Potts variable, while  $p_i = 0;1;2$  is its conjugate momentum. On the > 0 side, the quantum -disordered state also has a gap to singlet excitations. For < 0, the disordered state is gapless.

It is thus seen that the quantum melting of a valence-bond solid on the chain proceeds in di erent ways for integer and half-integer spins. The quantum -disorderd phase has gapped singlet excitations when S is integer. For half-integer S, the liquid has gapless excitations in the form of valence-bond uctuations.

References

- [1] Schi er P and Ram irez A P 1996 Comments Cond. M at. Phys. 18 21
- [2] Harris M J and Zinkin M P 1996 M od. Phys. Lett. B 10 417
- [3] G reedan J E 2001 J.M ater.Chem .11 37
- [4] M oessner R 2001 Can. J. Phys. 79 1283
- [5] T chemyshyov O, M oessner R and SondhiS L 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 064403
- [6] M oessner R and Chalker J 1998 Phys. Rev. B 58 12049
- [7] Lee S H et al 2002 N ature 418 856
- [8] Harris A B, Berlinsky A J and Bruder C 1991 J. Appl. Phys. 69 5200
- [9] Canals B and Lacroix C 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 2933
- [10] Koga A and Kawakam iN 2001 Phys. Rev. B 63 144432
- [11] Fouet JB, Mam brini M, Sindzingre P and Lhuillier C 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 054411
- [12] Tsunetsugu H 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 024415
- [13] Berg E, Altm an E and Auerbach A 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 147204
- [14] Henley C L 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 2056
- [15] Henley C L 1987 J. Appl. Phys. 61 3962
- [16] Chalker J T, Holdsworth P C W and Shender E F 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 855
- [17] Henley C L (unpublished)
- [18] T chernyshyov O, Starykh O A, M oessner R and Abanov A G 2003 P reprint cond-m at/0301303
- [19] Fendley P, M oessner R and Sondhis L 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 214513
- [20] HowesS, Kadano LP and den NijsMPM 1983 Nucl. Phys. B 215 169