The Spin Liquid State of the $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ Pyrochlore Antiferrom agnet: A Puzzling State of A airs

M.Enjalran^{1,ya}, M.J.P.G ingras^{1;2}, Y.-J.K ao^{1,yb}, A.Del Maestro^{1,yc}, and H.R.M olavian¹

 1 D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of W aterbo, W aterbo, O ntario, N 2L 3G 1, C anada

²C anadian Institute for A dvanced R esearch, 180 D undas Street W est, Toronto, O ntario, M 5G 128, C anada

Abstract. The pyrochlore antiferrom agnet $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ has proven to be an enigm a to experim entalists and theorists working on frustrated m agnetic system s. The experim entally determ ined energy level structure suggests a local h111i Ising antiferrom agnet at low tem peratures, T $\stackrel{<}{}$ 10K . An appropriate model then predicts a long-range ordered Q = 0 state below approximately 2K. However, muon spin resonance (SR) experiments reveal a paramagnetic structure down to tens of milli-Kelvin. The importance of uctuations out of the ground state e ective Ising doublet has been recently understood, for the measured param agnetic correlations can not be described without including the higher crystal eld states. However, these uctuations treated within the random phase approximation (RPA) fail to account for the lack of ordering in this system below 2K. In this work, we brie y review the experim ental evidence for the collective param agnetic state of $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$. The basic theoretical picture for this system is discussed, where results from classical spin models are used to motivate the investigation of quantum e ects to low est order via the RPA. A venues for future experimental and theoretical work on $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ are presented.

1. Introduction

Frustrated m agnetism has been actively studied for decades, [1, 2, 3] and interest continues to grow. In models like the antiferrom agnetic (AFM) J_1-J_2 model on a bipartite lattice, frustration results from a competition between two di erent ground state spin structures, one favored by J_1 and the other by J_2 . [4] The J_1-J_2 model has motivated m any interesting theoretical studies, but the tuning of energy scales to induce frustration is not easily achieved experimentally. Another class of m aterials and m odels exhibit frustration at the level of nearest neighbor interactions via a competition imposed by the lattice geometry, creating geometric frustration. [5] C rystal geometries formed from unit triangles and AFM interactions are frustrated because the spins about a triangle can not arrange them selves so that all pairwise interactions are satis ed (i.e., J_1S_i § for $J_1 < 0$ can not be minimized for each pair (i; j)). Hence, the triangular and kagom e lattices are common frustrated geometries) or tetrahedra (pyrochlore and spinel structures) are frustrated with nearest neighbor AFM interactions.

HFM 2003

The pyrochlore lattice has received increased attention recently because the S = 1=2 AFM model is predicted to be a collective paramagnet, or spin liquid, in the ground state. [6, 7] A 3d spin liquid is unusual, [8] but the role of lattice dimensionality appears to be reduced in the face of large quantum uctuations driven by frustration. [6] The stabilization of a non-collinear long-range ordered state is expected when long-range interactions are included, [9] or when constraints arising from single-ion anisotropy are in posed. [10] M agnetic insulating pyrochlores, general form ula $A_2B_2O_7$, where A is a rare earth ion (H o^{3+} , Dy^{3+} , Tb^{3+} , Gd^{3+}), are a subset of frustrated magnets that are at the focus of much experimental and theoretical work. [1, 2] This fam ily of materials displays long-range order, [11] a novel \ice like" phase with residual entropy, [2, 12, 13] and collective param agnetic behavior at milli-K elvin tem peratures. [14] The focus of our brief review is the candidate 3d spin liquid Tb₂T i₂O₇. [14] The many con-icting experimental results and theoretical predictions of this material are discussed, and the recent progress toward a general picture for Tb₂T i₂O₇ is presented.

2. Experim ental Picture of T b₂T i₂O 7

The pyrochlore $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ has dominant AFM interactions as determined from dc m agnetization measurements on a polycrystalline sample, $_{CW}$ 20K. [14] Results from muon spin resonance (SR) clearly indicate dynamic moments down to 70m K despite the development of short-range correlations at approximately 50K, as seen in neutron scattering measurements. [14, 15] Suppression of an ordering temperature in this material by two orders of magnitude (i.e., $j_{CW} \neq 70m \text{ K} = 0$ (10²)) can be understood in the context of a nearest neighbor AFM. However, $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ has a measured spin anisotropy gap of 18K, [14, 16] attributable to crystal eld e ects, as well as reasonably strong dipolar interactions, [16, 17] that such a large reduction in T_c is quite puzzling.

As a st step to unraveling the mystery of $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$, one has to determ ine the single ion properties of Tb^{3+} in the dense material. From experiments and calculations, G ingras et al. nd that the Tb³⁺ m om ent is approximately 5 $_{\rm B}$, a substantial reduction from the free ion result 9:6 $_{\rm B}$. [16] In addition, the crystal eld e ects on Tb^{3+} (S = 3;L = 3 so J = 6) yield an e ective Ising ground state level structure with a quantization axis oriented along the local h111i direction, [16, 18] with a gap to the next energy levels, an excited doublet, on the order of 18K.This h111i anisotropy is signi cant since for AFM e ective nearest neighbor interactions it greatly reduces the frustration from that of a Heisenberg like model. [10] W ith a better grip on the dipolar strength and the crystal eld levels, a revised Curie-14K for the dipoles and exchange is calculated and an Weisstemperature of _{CW} ordering tem perature of 1K deduced. [16, 17] A loo, from theoretical work on a dipolar spin ice model (h111 i Ising) one is able to show that for the parameters of $Tb_2 T i_2 O_7$, a long-range ordered state with zero net m om ent about each tetrahedron (an all-in all-out state) is expected at T_c 1:1K . [17] That experiments prove these predictions w rong is possibly due to the e ects of long-range exchange beyond nearest-neighbor or quantum uctuations.

W ith the single ion properties suggesting a h111i Ising dipolarm odel for T b_2 T i_2 O $_7$ at T $\,<\,10K$, but the absence of any agreem ent between this model and experiments on an ordering temperature, a re-evaluation of the model is necessary. Hence, the focus of the discussion shifts to the paramagnetic (PM) regime and the short-range

HFM 2003

correlations. Early inelastic neutron data on a single crystal found evidence for the partial softening of the lowest energy modes about [002] and [220]. [15, 19] E lastic scattering measurements on a single crystal of Tb₂Ti₂O₇ clearly display di usive scattering about these same regions in the (hhl) plane with the strongest correlations centered about [002]. [19, 20] The qualitative features of the structure factor (S (q)) in the PM phase can be roughly tted with a near neighbor AFM Heisenberg model, [19] suggesting that the strict Ising anisotropy might not hold for temperatures de ning the PM regime of this material, i.e., T $^{>}$ 0.5K . Note, the PM S (q) is measured at 9K in G ardner et al. [19] but at 0.4K in Yasui et al. [20] A relaxation of the h111i spin anisotropy in a long-range dipolar AFM pyrochlore model does yield good agreement with the PM S (q) in this material for temperatures T $^{>}$ j_{CW} j $^{>}$ 10K. [21, 22]

Recent data for $Tb_2T \ge 0_7$ in the low temperature regime suggests the existence of a spin glass like state. Hysteresis is observed in the eld cooled and zero eld cooled neutron scattering data below 1:5K. [20] Therm odynam ic measurements of the static susceptibility also indicate spin glass physics, albeit at a much lower temperature, 70m K. [23].

Hence, at present the general consensus is that $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ remains a collective param agnet down to T = 50-70 mK at am bient pressures. Under high pressure, 2 8 GPa, the material orders at T_c 2K, [24] but the spin structure is not the Q = 0 all-in all-out structure predicted from a hl11i Ising model, [17, 22] or the \nearby" long-range ordered spin ice state. [25] An applied eld can also induce an ordered state in this material. [26] Field driven ordered states is a current topic in the spin ice materialD $y_2Ti_2O_7$, [27] but unlike the spin ice materials moderate eld strengths along high symmetry directions indicate weaker spin anisotropy for $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$. [20] W e note that the gap between the ground state doublet and the rst excited states in $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ is an order of magnitude smaller than the gap measured in the spin ice materials. [18]

3. Theoretical Interpretation of T b_2 T i_2 O $_7$

The Heisenberg AFM on the pyrochlore lattice is defined by the simple Hamiltonian $H = J_1 {}_{h(i;a),(j;b)i} S_i^a {}_{j}^a$, where $J_1 < 0$, (i;a) describes the location of a spin by its field the position R_i and tetrahedral basis point r^a , and interactions are among nearest neighbors only. The Hamiltonian H represents a highly frustrated model that produces a spin liquid ground state for quantum spins ($S_i^a = 1=2$) [6, 7] or classical spins ($S_i^a = \Re S_i^{a;x} + \Re S_i^{a;y} + 2S_i^{a;z}$). [28, 29, 30, 31] In the classical model, an ordered state can be induced by perturbations arising from exchange interactions beyond nearest neighbor[30] or by constraints in posed by spin isotropy. [10] If the single-ion anisotropy is along the local h111i direction (i.e., $S_i^a = 2^a A_i^a$ where 2^a is the local h111i direction and $A_i^a = 1$), then it is the nearest neighbor ferrom agnetic pyrochlore (nearest neighbor spin ice) that is frustrated. [2, 10, 12] For rare earth m agnets like the spin ice materials and Tb₂T i₂O₇, long-range dipolar interactions are a signi cant contribution to the Hamiltonian. Therefore, the basic model for rare earth pyrochlore insulators can be written,

$$H = J_{1} \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ S_{i}^{a} & S + D_{dd} \\ h(i;a);(j;b)i & (i;a) > (j;b) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{i}^{a} & S_{i}^{b} \\ R_{ij}^{ab} & R_{ij}^{ab} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{i}^{b} & R_{ij}^{ab} \\ R_{ij}^{ab} & R_{ij}^{ab} \end{pmatrix} ; (1)$$

where $D_{dd} = D R_{nn}^3$ is the nearest neighbor dipole strength, $D = {}_{0}{}^2=4 R_{nn}^3$, is the moment on the rare earth ion, R_{nn} is the nearest neighbor distance, and R_{ij}^{ab} is the vector separation between spins S_i^a and S_j^b . If the spins in Eq.1 are constrained to their local hllli Ising axes, then Eq.1 represents the dipolar hllli Ising model (D IM) that successfully describes the speci c heat [17] and param agnetic correlations [32] of spin ice. W hen the D IM is simulated by a M onte C arlo algorithm employing nonlocal loop m oves, a rst order transition to a spin ice ground state is found, [25] with an ordering wave vector and spin structure in agreem ent with m ean-eld calculations. [21]

The phase diagram of the DIM on the pyrochlore lattice is generated by de ning the sign and m agnitude of J_1 and the strength of the dipole-dipole interaction D. [17] The D IM exhibits two phases depending on the ratio $J_1=D$: For $J_1=D$ 4:525 a spin ice manifold results (macroscopic degeneracy), where two spins point in and two spins point out along their local h111 i axes for each unit tetrahedron. For $J_1=D < 4.525$, a non-collinear long-range ordered state results, where all spins about a unit tetrahedron point either in or out along their local h111 i axes, this Q = 0 structure is replicated over the lattice. We note that going from the PM to the Q = 0 state occurs via a second order phase transition, but the path from the PM to spin ice manifold represents a cross over to a dynam ically frozen state without long-range order. In the D IM, the tem perature of the phase transition or cross over is signalled by the peak in the calculated speci c heat, whose position depends on the value of $J_1=D$. [17] Hence, the important energy scale in this model is the elective nearest neighbor exchange, $J_e = J_1=3+5D=3$, where 1=3 and 5=3 are geometric factors arising from the inner product of the local h111 is pin quantization axes. W ith $J_1=D$ 5:5 for $Tb_2 T i_2 O_7$, the prediction is a Q = 0 state at approximately 1K. [16, 17] The subtle point about $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ in the DIM is that it sits near the boundary between the two phases, making it susceptible to ne tuning of the model parameters (exchange or dipolar energy scales and single ion crystal eld state wave functions). It is conceivable that $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ might sit close to a line of disorder in the phase diagram where uctuations dom inate and a tendency to order is suppressed. The simulation of the DIM near the phase boundary presents som e num erical challenges and is not well understood. [25]

A sm entioned in Section 2, T b_2 T i_2 O₇ does not order at T 1K, and suggestions from the PM S (q) results [19, 20] that the hllli Ising constraint should be relaxed in this case provides a hint of where to venture next. In considering the symmetry of the D IM, one can show that no strict hllli Ising model on the pyroch bre lattice can reproduce the short-range correlations observed in experiments, but a model in which the rotational symmetry is partially restored is found to admit a solution compatible with experiments. [21] M ean-eld and M onte C arb calculations make these claims more quantitative. [21] Hence, in the PM regime the physics of T b_2 T i_2 O₇ can not be ascribed to the same hllli Ising interpretation of the moments that works so well for the spin ice materials.

A pitfall with a classical H eisenberg description for $Tb_2 T i_2 O_7$ is that complete restoration of spin isotropy does not agree with experiments and calculations of the single ion properties of the Tb^{3+} . [16, 18] The g-tensor of Tb^{3+} in $Tb_2 T i_2 O_7$ exhibits h111i like anisotropy below 10K and a ground state doublet structure that is separated from a rst excited doublet by about 18K, which is on the order of the Curie-W eiss tem perature for exchange and dipolar interactions ($_{CW} = 14K$). [16] For the spin orbit coupled Tb^{3+} moments (J = 6), the angular momentum for the two lowest lying doublets is predom inately $M_J = -4i$ for ground state and $M_J = -5i$ for the

HFM 2003

rst excited state. [16] Hence, transverse uctuations between these two lowest lying doublets are allowed and non-negligible at the tem peratures of the S (q) experiments, 5-10K. [19, 22]

Applying a random phase approximation (RPA) to a model with exchange and long-range dipoles, the uctuations between the low est lying crystal eld states can be studied, i.e., the hllli Ising limit is recovered when transitions between states $j_{0}i$ (ground state) and $j_{1}i$ (rst excited state) have a vanishing matrix element, h_{1} $f_{0}i = 0$. Using $j_{0}i = M_{J} = 4i$ and $j_{1}i = M_{J} = 5 >$, the RPA [22] gives excellent sem i-quantitative agreem entwith the PM S (q) and the energy dispersion between the low lying magnetic states of the neutron experiments. [19] However, the RPA still predicts an ordered state at $T_{c} = 2K$. Hence, the RPA demonstrates the sensitivity of the PM correlations observed in neutron scattering to quantum uctuations in this unusual magnetic system ($j_{0}i = M_{J} = 4i$ and $j_{1}i = M_{J} = 5 >$), but it still gives a T_{c} of approximately 2K to the above all-in all-out Q = 0 state.

4. A venues for Future Studies and Conclusions

In order to understand the physics of $T b_2 T i_2 O_7$ below 2K, we need to go beyond the rst order approximation of the RPA and consider quantum elects more rigorously. A reasonable rst step would be to employ a more detailed description of the crystal eld states of the $T b^{3+}$ ion, and then form an elective low energy Hamiltonian from the more complicated model following a procedure similar to that used in the derivation of the t-J or H eisenberg models from the Hubbard model. Experimentally, low temperature neutron scattering (elastic and inelastic) measurements on single crystals are needed to probe the uctuations between crystal eld states. [14, 15, 19] Exploring these same properties but at hydrostatic pressures below 2G Pa could provide a valuable link to the high pressure ordered state of $T b_2 T i_2 O_7$. [24]

In conclusion, there is strong evidence that $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ can not be described by a classical h111i Ising Ham iltonian similar to the successful model of the spin ice materials. [2] Spin uctuations must be incorporated into any model of $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ in order to capture the PM correlations, and a simple description of quantum uctuations via the RPA is su cient to achieve good agreement with experiments. However, to study the lack of an ordering transition in $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$, a more careful treatment of the quantum uctuations is essential. Finally, we come back to the h111i Ising dipolar model phase diagram and note that $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ is very close to the AFM /spin ice phase boundary. [17] There may be issues with the quantum uctuations, long-range exchange, microscopic disorder at very low temperatures, or tuning of material or model parameters that places $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ on a line or region of disordered sem i-classial ground states.

We thank R.G. Melko, S. Bram well, B. Gaulin, J. Gardner, H. Fukazawa, R. Higashinaka, and Y. Maeno for useful discussions. M.G. acknowledges nancial support from NSERC of Canada, the Canada Research Chair Program, Research Corporation, and the Province of Ontario.

References

[y] A ddresses after Septem ber 1: ^a D epartm ent of P hysics, Southern C onnecticut State U niversity, 501 C rescent St., New H aven, C onnecticut, 06515; ^b D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Toronto, 60 St. George St., Toronto, M 5S 1A 7, Ontario, Canada; ^c D epartment of Physics, Sloane Physics Lab, Yale University, 217 Prospect St., New Haven, Connecticut, 06520-8120.
[1] A. P. Ram irez, Annu. Rev. M ater. Sci. 24, 453 (1994); J. E. G reedan, J. M ater. Chem .11, 37

- (2001); H ighly Frustrated M agnetism 2000, Proceedings, Can. J. Phys. 79, 2001.
- [2] S.T.Bram welland M.J.P.G ingras, Science 294, 1495 (2001).
- [3] C. Lhuiller and G. M isguich, cond-m at/0109146. Lectures presented at the Cargese sum m er school on \Trends in high-m agnetic eld science", M ay 2001.
- [4] P.Chandra and B.Doucot, Phys. Rev. B 38 9335 (1988).
- [5] Frustration also results if there is distribution of interaction strengths between m om ents, such system s (spin glasses) are not considered here.
- [6] B.Canals and C.Lacroix, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2933 (1998).
- [7] E.Berg, E.Altman, and A.Auerbach, cond-mat/0206384 (unpublished); M.Hermele, M.P.A. Fisher, and L.Balents, cond-mat/0305401 (unpublished).
- [8] P.W. Anderson, B.Halperin, and C.M. Varma, Philos. Mag. 25, 1 (1972).
- [9] P.W .Anderson, Phys. Rev. 102, 1008 (1956).
- [10] R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. B 57, R5587 (1998); S. T. Bram well, M. J. P. Gingras, and J. N. Reimers, J. Appl. Phys. 75, 5523 (1994).
- [11] N.P.Raju, M.Dion, M.J.P.Gingras, T.E.Mason, and J.E.Greedan, Phys.Rev.B 59, 14489 (1999); S.E.Palmer and J.T.Chalker, Phys.Rev.B 62, 488 (2000); J.D.M.Champion, A.S.Wills, T.Fennell, S.T.Bram well, J.S.Gardner, and M.A.Green, Phys.Rev.B 64, 140407 (2001).
- [12] M.J.Harris, S.T.Bram well, D.F.M dM orrow, T.Zeiske, and K.W.Godfrey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2554 (1997).
- [13] A.P.Ram irez, A.Hayashi, R.J.Cava, R.Siddharthan, and B.S.Shastry, Nature 399, 333 (1999).
- [14] J.S.Gardner, S.R.Dunsiger, B.D.Gaulin, M.J.P.Gingras, J.E.Greedan, R.F.Kie, M.D. Lum sden, W.A.MacFarlane, N.P.Raju, J.E.Sonier, I.Swainson, and Z.Tun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1012 (1999).
- [15] M. Kanada, Y. Yasui, M. Ito, H. Harashina, M. Sato, H. O kum ura, and K. Kakurai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 3802 (1999).
- [16] M.J.P.G ingras, B.C. den Hertog, M.Faucher, J.S.G ardner, S.R.D unsiger, L.J.Chang, B. D.G aulin, N.P.Raju, and J.E.G reedan, Phys. Rev. B 62, 6496 (2000).
- [17] B.C.den Hertog and M.J.P.G ingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3430 (2000).
- [18] S.Rosenkranz, A.P.Ram irez, A.Hayashi, R.J.Cava, R.Siddharthan, and B.S.Shastry, J. Appl.Phys. 87, 5914 (2000).
- [19] J.S.G ardner, B.D.G aulin, A.J.Berlinsky, P.W aldron, S.R.D unsiger, N.P.Raju, and J.E. G reedan, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224416 (2001).
- [20] Y. Yasui, M. Kanada, M. Ito, H. Harashina, M. Sato, H. Okumura, K. Kakurai, and H. Kadowaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 599 (2002).
- [21] M.Enjalran and M.J.P.G ingras, cond-m at/0307151 (unpublished).
- [22] Y.-J. Kao, M. Enjalran, A. Del Maestro, H. R. Molavian, and M. J. P. Gingras, condmat/0207270. To appear in Phys. Rev. B (BR).
- [23] G.Luo, S.T.Hess, and L.R.Corruccini, Phys. Lett. A 291, 306 (2001).
- [24] I.M irebeau, I.N.G oncharenko, P.C adavez-Perez, S.T.Bram well, M.J.P.G ingras, and J.S. G ardner, Nature 420, 54 (2002).
- [25] R.G.Melko, B.C. den Hertog, and M.J.P.G ingras, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 067203 (2001); R. G.Melko, thesis, 2001, University of Waterbo, Ontario, Canada.
- [26] Y.Yasui, M.Kanada, M. Ito, H.Harashina, M.Sato, H.Okumura, and K.Kakurai, J.Phys. and Chem. Solids 62, 343 (2001).
- [27] H. Fukazawa, R. G. Melko, R. Higashinaka, Y. Maeno, and M. J. P. Gingras, Phys. Rev. B 65, 054410 (2002); R. Higashinaka, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno, cond-mat/0305529 (unpublished); Z. Hiroi, K. Matsuhira, and M. Ogata, cond-mat/0306240 (unpublished).
- [28] R.M oessner and J.T.Chalker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 2929 (1998).
- [29] R.Moessner and J.T.Chalker, Phys. Rev. B 58, 12049 (1998).
- [30] J.N.Reimers, A.J.Berlinsky, and A.-C.Shi, Phys.Rev.B 43, 865 (1991).
- [31] J.N.Reimers, Phys.Rev.B 46, 193 (1992).
- [32] S.T.Bram well, M.J.Harris, B.C. den Hertog, M.J.P.G ingras, J.S.G ardner, D.F.M dM orrow, A.R.W ildes, A.L.Cornelius, J.D.M.Champion, R.G.Melko, and T.Fennell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 047205 (2001).