

Divergence of the Grüneisen Ratio at Quantum Critical Points in Heavy Fermion Metals

R. Kuchler⁽¹⁾, N. Oeschler⁽¹⁾, P. Gegenwart⁽¹⁾, T. Cichorek⁽¹⁾, K. Neumaier⁽²⁾,
O. Tegus⁽³⁾, C. Geibel⁽¹⁾, J.A. Mydosh^{(1);(4)}, F. Steglich⁽¹⁾, L. Zhu⁽⁵⁾, and Q. Si⁽⁵⁾
⁽¹⁾Max-Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

⁽²⁾Walther Meissner Institute, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁽³⁾Van der Waals-Zeeman Laboratory,

University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁽⁴⁾Kamerlingh Onnes Laboratory, Leiden University, The Netherlands

⁽⁵⁾Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Rice University, Houston, TX 77005-1892

Abstract

We present low-temperature volume thermal expansion, β , and specific heat, C , measurements on high-quality single crystals of CeNi_2Ge_2 and $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$ which are located very near to quantum critical points. For both systems, β shows a more singular temperature dependence than C , and thus the Grüneisen ratio β/C diverges as $T \rightarrow 0$. For CeNi_2Ge_2 , our results are in accordance with the spin-density wave (SDW) scenario for three-dimensional critical spin-fluctuations. By contrast, the observed singularity in $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$ cannot be explained by the itinerant SDW theory but is qualitatively consistent with a locally quantum critical picture.

PACS numbers: 71.10.HF, 71.27.+a

Quantum critical points (QCPs) are of extensive current interest to the physics of correlated electrons, as proximity to a QCP provides a route towards non-Fermi liquid behavior. While a broad range of correlated electron materials are being studied in this context, heavy fermions have been playing an especially important role: a growing list of heavy fermion (HF) metals explicitly displays magnetic QCPs [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Systematic experiments in these systems promise to shed considerable light on the general physics of quantum critical metals. Indeed, recent experiments [1, 2] have shown that, at least in some of the HF metals, the traditional theory of metallic magnetic quantum phase transition fails. This traditional picture [6] describes a $T = 0$ SDW transition and, relatedly, a mean-field type of quantum critical behavior. More recently, it has been shown that a destruction of Kondo resonances can lead to a breakdown of the SDW picture [7, 8]; what emerges instead are new classes of QCPs that are locally critical [7].

Given these experimental and theoretical developments, it seems timely to address the conditions under which these different types of QCPs arise. For this purpose, it would be important to carry out comparative studies of different heavy fermion materials. This paper reports one such study. We have chosen the HF systems $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ [4] and $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$ [5, 9], both of which crystallize in the tetragonal ThCr_2Si_2 structure. Both are ideally suited to study antiferromagnetic (AF) QCPs since they are located very near to the magnetic instability, and since the effect of disorder is minimized in these high quality single crystals with low residual resistivities. We have focused on measurements of the thermal expansion, β , and Grüneisen ratio, $\beta/\alpha = \gamma$, where γ denotes the specific heat, since recent theoretical work [10] has shown that i) γ is divergent as T goes to zero at any QCP and ii) the associated critical exponent can be used to differentiate between different types of QCP.

Presently measurements of the thermal expansion and Grüneisen ratio for systems located directly at the QCP are lacking. Only for $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{Ru}_2\text{Si}_2$, which orders antiferromagnetically for $x > x_c$ with $x_c = 0.075$, γ has been measured for concentrations $x = 0$ and $x = 0.05$ at temperatures above 0.4 K. A very large γ was obtained which, however, was found to saturate at low temperatures [11]. In other solids too, all previous measurements reported in the literature yield a finite Grüneisen ratio [12].

In this Letter we communicate the first-ever observation of a divergent Grüneisen ratio for $T \rightarrow 0$. $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ is known to be a NFL compound which exhibits a paramagnetic ground state [13]. The electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)$, resembles that of CePd_2Si_2 at the pressure

tuned QCP [14]: ρ_0 / T with $1/2$ $1/5$ below 4 K [4, 14, 15, 16, 17]. In YbRh_2Si_2 pronounced NFL effects, i.e. $C = T / \log(T)$ and $\rho(T)$ have been observed upon cooling from 10 K down to 0.3 K. While $\rho(T)$ keeps following the linear T -dependence down to $T_N = 70$ mK, $C = T$ diverges stronger than logarithmically below $T = 0.3$ K [5, 18]. For our study we chose a high-quality single crystal of $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$ for which T_N has been reduced to 20 mK. Large $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ single crystals of weight 5 to 6 g were grown using the traveling-coating-zone mirror-oven technique. The samples were analyzed via electron-probe microanalysis (EPMA) and found to have the desired 122 ($P4/mmm$) structure with little mosaic spread, good stoichiometry and no second phases. Two thin oriented bars with a length of 5 mm were formed by spark-erosion from the center of the large single crystal. The bars were annealed for 120 hours at 800 °C in an Ar partial pressure (10–20 torr) and have a residual resistivity of $3-5$ m Ω . Single crystalline platelets of $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_x)_2$ with a nominal Ge concentration of $x = 0.05$ were grown from In flux as described earlier [5, 9]. From a careful EPMA, the effective Ge-concentration is found to be $x_{\text{eff}} = 0.02-0.01$. The large difference between nominal and effective Ge-content is due to the fact, that Ge dissolves better than Si in the In-flux. A similar effective Ge content of $0.02-0.004$ [9] is deduced from hydrostatic pressure experiments [19]. The residual resistivity of the Ge-doped crystal is 5 m Ω . The thermal expansion and the specific heat have been determined in dilution refrigerators by utilizing an ultrahigh resolution capacitive dilatometer and the quasi-adiabatic heat pulse technique, respectively.

Figure 1 displays the T dependence of α_a and α_c , the linear thermal expansion coefficients of $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ measured along the tetragonal a - and c -axis. As shown by the solid lines, the data can be described in the entire T range investigated by the T -dependence predicted [10] by the three-dimensional (3D) SDW scenario, i.e., the sum of (singular) square-root and (normal) linear contributions. The corresponding fit parameters are listed in Table I. We observe a moderate anisotropy $\alpha_c' = 1.8 \alpha_a$. As shown in the inset, the volume expansion coefficient $\alpha_v = 2\alpha_a + \alpha_c$, plotted as $\alpha_v(T)/T$ is not a constant upon cooling, as would be for a Fermi liquid, but shows a $1/T$ divergence over more than two decades in temperature from 6 K down to at least 50 mK. This is one of the cleanest observations of NFL behavior in a thermodynamic property made in any system so far.

We next consider the low temperature specific heat of $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$. As shown by several investigations, $C(T)/T$ strongly increases upon cooling from 6 K to 0.4 K [4, 16, 20, 21, 22].

This increase has either been described by $C(T) = T / \log(T)$ [4, 16] or $C(T) = T = \gamma_0 \frac{P}{C} T$ [21]. Below 0.4 K different behaviors have been reported. While Knopp et al. found a peak at 0.3 K followed by a 6% decrease in $C(T) = T$ from the maximum value [20], Koerner et al. observed a leveling off in $C(T) = T$ below 0.3 K [16]. In contrast, $C(T) = T$ of a high-quality sample with very low residual resistivity does not saturate but shows an upturn at the lowest temperatures [22]. Very recently a systematic study of the low temperature specific heat on different high-quality polycrystals, prepared with a slight variation of the stoichiometry [15], has been performed. The result was that nearly all of the different investigated samples showed such an upturn in $C(T) = T$ below 0.3 K whose size, however, is strongly sample dependent even for samples with similar $\rho(T)$ and a residual resistivity of only 0.2 $\mu\Omega$ [23]. In the following we analyze the specific heat (Fig. 2) measured on the same sample that has been used for the thermal expansion study. Below 3 K the data can be described by $C(T) = T = \gamma_0 \frac{P}{C} T + d = T^3$ using the parameters listed in Table I (solid lines in Fig. 2). Here we assume that the low temperature upturn, present in this single crystal as well, could be ascribed to the high-temperature tail of a Schottky anomaly [24]. Its influence on the Grüneisen ratio is smaller than 5% at 0.1 K and therefore not visible in the $\rho(T)$ plot shown in the inset of Fig. 2. This is the first observation of a divergent $\rho(T)$ for $T \rightarrow 0$ in any material and provides striking evidence that $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ is located very close to a QCP. The observed T dependence is in full agreement with the 3D SDW prediction [10]. If the investigated high-quality single crystal would enter a Fermi liquid regime below 0.3 K as observed for the sample studied in [16], $\rho(T)$ should saturate below that temperature.

The application of magnetic fields to $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$ is found to gradually reduce the low- T specific heat coefficient. For $B \geq 2$ T a nearly temperature-independent $\rho(B) = C(T; B) = T$ is observed at low temperatures with $\rho(B) = \gamma_0 \frac{P}{C} \text{const} \frac{P}{B}$ [21]. The low-temperature thermal expansion shows a similar field-induced crossover to Fermi liquid behavior (Fig. 3) and the field dependence of $\rho(T; B) = T$ in the field induced FL regime diverges like $1 = \frac{P}{B}$ (not shown). Both features are consistent with the predictions [10] from the itinerant 3D SDW fluctuations at a zero-field AF QCP, assuming a linear dependence between the magnetic field and the distance r from the QCP.

We now turn to $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$, in which we have measured the thermal expansion from 50 mK to 6 K. Compared to $\text{CeN}_{1/2}\text{Ge}_2$, here the volume thermal expansion coefficient $\rho(T)$ has an opposite sign reflecting the opposite volume dependence of the characteristic

energies. At $T > 1$ K, $\gamma(T)$ can be fit by $T \log(T_0/T)$ with $T_0 = 13$ K (see Fig. 4). At $T < 1$ K, the best fit is given by $a_1 + a_0 T$. Both are not only different from the expected 3D-SDW results discussed earlier, but also weaker than the $\ln \ln T$ form [10] expected in a 2D-SDW picture [26]. The difference from the 2D-SDW picture is even more striking when we look at the Grüneisen ratio. In Fig. 4, we have also shown the electronic specific heat at zero magnetic field. Here $C_{el} = C - C_Q$, where $C_Q \propto 1/T^2$ denotes the nuclear quadrupolar contribution determined from recent Mössbauer results [27]. At 20 mK a maximum in $C_{el}(T)=T$ marks the onset of very weak AF order [9]. This is suppressed by a tiny critical magnetic field of $B_c = 0.027$ T applied in the easy plane. At $B = B_c$, a power law divergence $C_{el}(T)=T / T^{1=3}$ is observed (which is already incompatible with the 2D-SDW picture) [9]. At higher temperatures the zero-field specific heat coefficient also varies as $\log(T_0^0/T)$ with $T_0^0 = 30$ K (Fig. 4) [5]. Because of the difference between T_0^0 and T_0 , the Grüneisen ratio is strongly temperature dependent. Below 1 K it diverges as $\gamma(T) = \gamma_0 + cT^{2=3}$, i.e., weaker than the $\frac{1}{T} \frac{\ln \ln(T)}{\ln(T)}$ form expected in a 2D-SDW picture [10]. We note that, in the measured temperature range, the zero-field data of both the specific heat and thermal expansion are identical to their counterparts at the critical magnetic field.

To interpret our results, we introduce a Grüneisen exponent x in terms of the critical Grüneisen ratio $\gamma^{cr} / \frac{C_V^{cr}}{C_V} \propto \frac{1}{T^x}$, where α^{cr} and C_V^{cr} are the thermal expansion and specific heat with the background contributions subtracted; this exponent is equal to the dimension of the most relevant operator that is coupled to pressure [10]. It is shown in Ref. [7] that, for magnetically three-dimensional systems without frustration the SDW picture should apply. This is consistent with our finding here that both the thermal expansion and specific heat results in CeNi_2Ge_2 can be fit by the respective expressions for a 3D-AF-SDW theory [30]. Our results correspond to $\alpha^{cr} \propto \frac{P}{T}$ and $C_V^{cr} \propto T^{3=2}$, leading to $\gamma^{cr} \propto \frac{1}{T}$. In other words, the Grüneisen exponent $x = 1$ (with error bars $+0.05 = -0.1$, as determined from a log-log plot shown in the inset of Fig. 3.) In an SDW picture, the most relevant term is the quadratic part of the ϕ^4 -theory. The corresponding dimension is $1 = z = 1$, where the spatial-correlation-length exponent $\nu = 1/2$ and the dynamic exponent $z = 2$.

For $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x)_2$, on the other hand, the measured Grüneisen exponent is fractional: $x = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ as determined from a log-log plot of γ^{cr} versus temperature shown in the inset of Fig. 4. While definitely not compatible with the itinerant SDW theory, a fractional Grüneisen exponent is consistent with the locally quantum critical point. One

kind of condition favorable for this new type of QCP corresponds to a magnetic fluctuation spectrum that is strongly anisotropic [7]. At such a locally quantum critical point, spatially local critical excitations emerge and co-exist with the spatially extended critical spin fluctuations. There are then two scaling dimensions to be considered. For the tuning of the long-wavelength fluctuations, the dimension of interest is still given by the expression $1 = z$. While remains $1 = 2$, the dynamic exponent z becomes $z = 2 + \nu$ where ν is the fractional exponent that characterizes the dynamical spin susceptibility. As a result, $1 = z < 1$. For the tuning of the local fluctuations, the corresponding dimension is the inverse of the temporal-correlation-length exponent. Within an expansion scheme as carried out in Ref. [28] and for the XY-spin-invariant case of relevance to $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x)_2$, this exponent is found [29] to be 0.62 to the first order in x and 0.66 to the second order in x . The overall Gruneisen ratio will then display a fractional exponent, as indeed seen experimentally.

We are grateful to M. Lang, O. Trovarelli and H. Wilhelm for valuable conversations, F. Wiekert and J. Custers for their help with the resistivity experiments, and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (Dresden), the Dutch Foundation FOM-ALMOS (O.T. and J.A.M.), NSF, TCSAM, and the Welch Foundation (L.Z. and Q.S.) for support.

-
- [1] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 797 (2001).
 - [2] A. Schroder et al, Nature 407, 351 (2000).
 - [3] N. D. Mathur et al, Nature 394, 39 (1998).
 - [4] P. G egenwart et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1293 (1999).
 - [5] O. Trovarelli et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 626 (2000).
 - [6] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999), chap. 12.
 - [7] Q. Si et al, Nature 413, 804 (2001).
 - [8] P. Coleman et al, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 13, R723 (2001).
 - [9] J. Custers et al, Nature 424, 524 (2003).
 - [10] L. Zhu et al, preceding letter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 066404 (2003).
 - [11] S. Kambe et al, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 9, 4917 (1997).
 - [12] P. Thalm eier and B. Luthi, Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, Vol. 14, K. A. Gschneider Jr. and L. Eyring (Eds.), Elsevier B.V. (1991), p.225.

- [13] A superconducting transition, observed below 0.2 K in some electrical resistivity measurements [14, 15, 16, 17], has not been detected by any bulk probe so far.
- [14] F.M. Grosche et al., J. Phys. Cond. Matter. 12 L533 (2000).
- [15] P. Gengenwart et al., Physica B 281& 282, 5 (2000).
- [16] S. Koenig et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. 119, 147 (2000).
- [17] D. Braithwaite et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 1339 (2000).
- [18] P. Gengenwart et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 056402 (2002).
- [19] S. Maderle et al., J. Phys. Cond. Matter. 14, 10731 (2002).
- [20] G. Knopp et al., J. Magn. Magn. Matter. 74, 341 (1988)
- [21] Y. Aoki et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 2993 (1997).
- [22] F. Steglich et al., Physica C 341-348, 691 (2000).
- [23] T. Cichorek et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B 34, 371 (2003).
- [24] The upturn is not a part of the quantum critical behavior: it remains in a magnetic field of about 2 T which, at $T < 0.7\text{K}$, is outside the quantum critical regime. T. Cichorek et al., to be published.
- [25] T. Fukuhara et al., Physica B 230-232, 198 (1997).
- [26] The data for $T < 1\text{K}$ allow a fitting with $a_1 + a_0T + a_2 \ln \ln(T_0/T)$ [10], but the extracted $\ln \ln(T_0/T)$ component is negligible compared to the other terms.
- [27] J. Plesset et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 180303 (2003).
- [28] L. Zhu and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. B 66, 024426 (2002).
- [29] L. Zhu and Q. Si, unpublished (2003).
- [30] Recent neutron scattering measurements indeed show that the low energy magnetic fluctuation spectrum in CeNi_2Ge_2 is 3D: H. Kadowaki et al., Act. Phys. Pol. B 34, 375 (2003).

$\alpha(T) = a \frac{p}{T} + bT$	k c	$a = 1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1.5}, b = 0.37 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-2}$
$\alpha(T) = a \frac{p}{T} + bT$	k a	$a = 0.99 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1.5}, b = 0.42 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-2}$
		$a = 3.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1.5}, b = 1.7 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-2}$
$C(T) = T = \alpha_0 \frac{p}{T} + dT^3$		$\alpha_0 = 0.46 \text{ JK}^{-2} \text{ mol}^{-1}, C = 0.11 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-5/2}$ $d = 102 \text{ JK mol}^{-1}$

TABLE I: Fit forms and parameters for CeNi_2Ge_2 .




FIG. 1: Linear thermal expansions of CeNi_2Ge_2 vs. temperature at $B=0$. Inset shows volume expansion as β/T vs T . Solid lines are fits as specified in TABLE I.




FIG. 2: Specific heat at $B=0$ as C/T vs T for CeNi_2Ge_2 . From the raw data (dashed line at low T), a contribution $C_n = \gamma T^2$ with $\gamma = 102 \text{ J/K mol}$ has been subtracted giving the low- T open circles. The inset shows the T -dependence of the Grüneisen ratio $\Gamma = V_m \alpha_T^2 / \alpha$ where V_m and α_T are the molar volume and isothermal compressibility, respectively. Here, we use $\alpha_T = 1.15 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ as determined from high-pressure lattice parameter measurements at room temperature [25]. Solid line is a fit as specified in TABLE I.




FIG. 3: Therm expansion of CeNi_2Ge_2 along the a -axis as α/T vs T at varying magnetic fields. Inset shows the critical Grüneisen ratio $\Gamma^{\text{cr}} = V_m/T \quad \Gamma^{\text{cr}} = C^{\text{cr}}$ as $\log \Gamma^{\text{cr}}$ vs $\log T$ (at $B = 0$) with $\Gamma^{\text{cr}} = (T)^{-1}T$ and $C^{\text{cr}} = C(T) \quad (T + d=T^2)$ using the parameters listed in TABLE I. Solid line represents $\Gamma^{\text{cr}} / (1-T^x)$ with $x = 1$.




FIG. 4: Electronic specific heat as C_{el}/T (left axis) and volume thermal expansion as $-\beta/T$ (right axis) vs T (on a logarithmic scale) for $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$ at $B=0$. Solid lines indicate $\log(T_0/T)$ dependences with $T_0 = 30$ K and 13 K for C_{el}/T and $-\beta/T$, respectively. Dotted line represents $-\beta/T = a_0 + a_1/T$ with $a_0 = 3.4 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-2}$ and $a_1 = 1.34 \cdot 10^6 \text{ K}^{-1}$. Inset displays the log-log plot of $-\Gamma^{cr}(T)$ with $-\Gamma^{cr} = V_m = T^{-\Gamma^{cr}} = C^{cr}$ using $\Gamma^{cr} = 5.3 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ [27], $-\Gamma^{cr} = (T) + a_0 T$ and $C^{cr} = C_{el}(T)$. Solid and dotted lines represent $-\Gamma^{cr} / 1 = T^x$ with $x = 0.7$ and $x = 1$, respectively.