M esoscopic Phase Fluctuations: G eneral Phenom enon in Condensed M atter

V.J. Yukalov

Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

A bstract

General conditions for the occurrence of mesoscopic phase uctuations in condensed matter are considered. The description of dierent therm odynamic phases, which coexist as a mixture of mesoscopically separated regions, is based on the theory of heterophase uctuations. The spaces of states, typical of the related phases, are characterized by weighted Hilbert spaces. Several models illustrate the main features of heterophase condensed matter.

PACS num bers: 05.40.-a, 05.70.Ce, 05.70.Fh, 05.70.Jk, 64.60.-i

K eyw ords: Fluctuation phenomena; Equations of state; Phase transitions; Critical phenomena

1 Introduction

In many cases, condensed matter does not consist of a unique therm odynam ic phase but is separated into mesoscopic regions led by dierent phases. A number of examples of such matter are described in review [1]. The coexisting phases can be distinguished by their order parameters that change from one mesoscopic region to another. The order parameters are often associated with some special symmetry [2]. However, this is not compulsory, and in many cases dierent phases cannot be characterized by dierent kinds of symmetry. For instance, crystalline and uid phases have dierent spatial symmetry. Magnetic and paramagnetic phases possess dierent magnetic symmetry, which can be observed by means of neutron scattering [3]. Similarly, ferroelectric and paraelectric phases possess dierent symmetry characterized by polarization. If the latter phases coexist, the polarization of the mixed system is spatially nonuniform [4,5]. Contrary to this, liquid and gas cannot be distinguished by their symmetry, though they may have dierent densities or other properties [6]. Metal and nonmetal are also distinguished not by symmetry but by their conductivity. Better and worse conducting mesoscopic regions can coexist in many materials [7{9}].

M esoscopic is a key word here, in plying the following. Suppose that inside one them odynam ic phase there appear the nuclei of another phase. Each nucleus, in order to represent a coherent germ of a phase, should have a characteristic coherence length $l_{\rm coh}$ much longer than the mean interparticle distance a. As is evident, an object of the size a cannot be associated with a therm odynamic phase. At the same time, the coherence length is to be essentially shorter than the characteristic length L of the whole system. Thus, the phase germ s are of the mesoscopic size [1], that is

a
$$l_{coh}$$
 L:

This property is to be understood on the average. Generally, the shape of heterophase germ s is not necessarily regular, but can be rather complicated. Several germ s can also touch each other forming very ramied fractal-type objects, similar to fractal animals at criticality [10]. The total elective length of such a fractal formation can reach the length L of the whole system. Being mesoscopic in size, the heterophase nuclei are randomly distributed in space, forming no regular structures.

Random is another key word. Heterophase germs are random in space, analogously to random disordering defects in solids [11,12], but being dierent from the latter by the mesoscopic size of the phase germs.

These germs, being mesoscopic in space, can also be mesoscopic in time. This means that they may be not static but dynamical, arising and then disappearing, with a characteristic lifetime, or coherence time t_{coh} , such that

where $_{\rm loc}$ is the time of local equilibrium and $T_{\rm obs}$ is an observation time [1]. The temporal behaviour of these uctuational dynamic germs is chaotic. When the system is chaotic in time and random in space, it is termed turbulent. Since here the spatio-temporal chaoticity is connected with the dynamics of heterophase nuclei, this phenomenon may be called heterophase turbulence [13]. The origin of heterophase turbulence can be classified into three types, extrinsic, intrinsic, and stochastic [13].

Extrinsic origin of turbulence assumes the existence of succiently strong external forces acting on the system. Such forces can induce chaoticity in classical and quasiclassical systems [14,15].

Intrinsic origin presupposes that the system behaves chaotically owing to its own internal properties. There are many examples of such chaotic dynamical systems [16,17]. For statistical systems this means that quasiequilibrium heterophase states are their chaotic attractors [1,13,18].

Stochastic origin of heterophase turbulence is intermediate between the above two. It takes into account that all real systems are never completely isolated but can be only quasi-isolated, and that an in nitesimally small external stochastic perturbation can trigger chaoticity, making the system stochastically unstable [1,18,19].

The most interesting cases are those related to the intrinsic and stochastic origins, when heterophase states are not forced by external sources but are self-organized. There exist plenty of exam ples of such systems, reviewed in Ref. 1. Among those heterophase materials that have attracted much attention in recent days, it is possible to mention liquid crystals [20], high-tem perature superconductors [21{24}] and colossalm agnetoresistant materials [25]. Mesoscopic phase uctuations lead to the occurrence of many unusual features of matter, for instance such as colossalm agnetoresistence [25] and anomalous di usion in solids [26]. Their appearance may transform a second-order phase transition into a rst-order one [1]. They can induce dierent anomalies in the behaviour of them odynamic and dynamic characteristics of matter, for instance: the maxima of specic heat below the critical temperature [27], specicheat jumps at the nucleation point [1,28], anomalous saggings of the Mossbauer factor at the phase transition point [29,30], anomalous decrease of sound velocity and increase of isothermal compressibility at the point of a structural transition [29,30], and other anomalies [1].

All unusual features of condensed matter with mesoscopic phase uctuations can be self-consistently described in the frame of the statistical theory of heterophase uctuations [1]. In order not to force readers to study the whole review [1], a brief survey of this theory is presented in Sections 2 and 3. The main purpose of this presentation is, from one side, to give a rather short account of the principal ideas, the theory is based on, and from another side, to clearly point at the principal mathematical techniques providing the foundation. An attempt of combining brevity with accuracy in outlining the theory is a methodical novelty of the paper. Several examples of sections 4 to 6 illustrate applications of the theory. The generality of the approach to treating mesoscopic phase uctuations as well as the generality of the phenomenon itself are emphasized in Section 7.

2 Description of Phase Separation

When talking about phase separation, one, rst of all, keeps in mind the separation of therm odynam ic phases in real space. Di erent phases can be distinguished by their dierent properties. Those phase characteristics that are the most appropriate for distinguishing dierent phases are called the order parameters. The order parameter of a therm odynamic phase is a set of quantities typical of the phase and uniquely distinguishing it from other

phases. If there are several phases, each of them is characterized by the corresponding order parameter , with the index =1;2;:::enum erating the phases. When a physical system, occupying a real-space volume V, is phase separated, then this volume can be divided into subvolumes V led by dierent phases with dierent order parameters. A family fV g of subvolumes V forms an orthogonal covering of V, such that

The latter can be represented as the resolution of unity

$$(r) = 1$$
 $(r 2 V)$

for the manifold indicator functions

$$(r) = \begin{cases} 1; & r \geq V \\ 0; & r \neq V \end{cases}$$
 (2)

A fam ily of indicator functions

$$f(r)j = 1;2;:::; r 2 Vg$$
 (3)

uniquely de nes a phase con guration in the real-space volum e V.

In physical systems, the separation of therm odynam ic phases is usually realized so that these phases are not sharply split apart, but are transformed one into another through an interphase layer, where the order parameter continuously changes from the value typical of one phase to that characteristic of another phase. How could we then locate a separating surface between such phases? First, the location of the separating surface can be xed in a unique manner by employing the Gibbsmethod of separating surfaces [31]. This can be done by invoking some additional conditions. For instance, the equimolecular separating surface is uniquely dened by requiring that the surface density of matter be zero. Moreover, a precise location of the separating surface is not important. This is because the phase conguration in what follows will be varied, with the family of indicator functions (3) being treated as a random variable.

In order to de neam ethod of varying phase con gurations, we should consider a manifold f g of all possible families (3) and to rig this manifold with a dierential measure. To this end, we introduce an orthogonal subcovering fV_i g of the volume V_i , so that

To each subregion V $_{i}$, we ascribe a vector a $_{i}$ 2 V $_{i}$ playing the role of a local center of coordinates, so that moving a $_{i}$ implies a congruent motion of V $_{i}$. The shapes of the subvolumes V $_{i}$ as well as the location of the centers a $_{i}$ inside each V $_{i}$ can be arbitrary. For a given subcovering (4), the indicator functions (2) can be decomposed as

$$(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{x_i} (r \quad a_i);$$
 (5)

w here

$$a_{i}(r \ a_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1; & r2 \ V_{i} \\ 0; & r \ V_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

The dierential measure on the manifold f g is introduced [1] as the dierential functional measure

D
$$\lim_{\text{fn ! 1 g}} X X 1 dx \frac{!}{V} \frac{\text{Y } \frac{\text{Y}}{\text{Im}}}{V};$$
 (6)

in which

$$x = \frac{1}{V} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
 (r) dr; $V = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ dr:

The m easure (6), with varying \times 2 [0;1] and a $_{i}$ 2 V, induces a topology on the manifold f g. This results in the topological con quration space

$$X f jD q;$$
 (7)

composed of all possible phase con gurations.

There exists another principal problem connected with phase separation. This is how such a macroscopic quantity as a therm odynamic phase is related to the microscopic states of a physical system. For generality, we consider this question for quantum systems.

Suppose that all adm issible m icroscopic quantum states of a physical system form a Hilbert space H . Let $f'_n g$ be an orthonormal basis in this space, such that $(f'_m; f'_n) = f'_m g$. Then the space of microstates can be presented as a closed linear envelope

$$H = Lf'_ng$$
 (8)

over the basis f'ng. Any state f 2 H can be written as a decom position

$$f = {x \atop n} f_n'_n; f_n ('_n;f):$$

For any two states f; f^0 2 H , their scalar product is given by the equation

$$(f;f^{0}) = \int_{n}^{X} f_{n} f_{n}^{0}$$
:

Now assume that for each representative ' $_{\rm n}$ of the basis f' $_{\rm n}$ g a weight p (' $_{\rm n}$) is assigned, normalized so that

$$p_{n} (r_{n}) = 1$$
:

This de nes the weighted basis f'_n ; p'_n (f'_n) of The weighted scalar product is introduced as

$$(f;f^{0})$$
 $\stackrel{X}{=} p ('_{n})f_{n}f_{n}^{0}:$ (9)

Then a closed linear envelope over the weighted basis results [1] in the weighted Hilbert space

$$\overline{L} f'_{n}; p ('_{n})q; \qquad (10)$$

with the associated scalar product (9).

From de nition (9), one has

$$('_{m};'_{n}) = p ('_{n})_{mn}; ('_{n};f) = p ('_{n})('_{n};f):$$
 (11)

For an operator ${\tt A}\,$, given on ${\tt H}\,$, the trace operator is de ned as

$$Tr_H A$$
 $('_n;A'_n)$: (12)

From equalities (11), it follows that

$$('_{m};A'_{n}) = p('_{m})('_{m};A'_{n})$$
:

Therefore the trace (12) reads

$$Tr_{H} A = \sum_{n}^{X} p ('_{n}) ('_{n}; A '_{n}) :$$
 (13)

U sing the above form ulas, we may specify the weighted average

$$\langle A \rangle T_{F} \wedge A$$
 (14)

of an operator A , with ' being a statistical operator on H , norm alized so that

$$Tr_H ^ = 1$$
:

The order parameters can be presented as the averages

3 Heterophase Statistical State

The total space of microstates for a system with phase separation is the weighted ber space

whose bering yields the weighted Hilbert spaces (10).

Let A () be an operator on H , when a phase con guration (3) is xed. One m ay also consider the operator density A (;r) for which

$$A () = A (;r) dr :$$
 (17)

Here and in what follows, the integration with respect to r, if not specified, implies the integration over the whole system volume V. The operator density on the total space (16) is defined as

$$A(;r)$$
 $A(;r);$ (18)

so that the related operator is

$$A () A (;r) dr = A ()$$
 (19)

Examples of operator densities are the Hamiltonian density H(;r) and the number-of-particle operator density N(;r).

Statistical properties of a heterophase physical system, with a xed phase con guration, can be characterized by the quasiequilibrium G ibbs ensemble [l]. A particular phase con guration is connected with the local inverse temperature (;r) and local chemical potential (;r). The latter enter in the quasi-H am iltonian

$$Z$$
 Q() (;r) [H(;r) (;r)N(;r)] dr: (20)

This denes the quasiequilibrium them odynamic potential, which will be called quasipotential $\frac{1}{2}$

$$q = \frac{1}{N} \ln Tr_{Y} = e^{Q(1)} D ; \qquad (21)$$

with N being the total number of particles and where the integration with respect to is over the con guration space (7) of all possible phase con gurations. The quasiequiblibrium statistical operator is

^()
$$\frac{\exp f \ Q \ () g}{\operatorname{Tr}_{Y} \ \exp f \ Q \ () gD} : \tag{22}$$

Expectation values of operators A () are given by the averages

$$<$$
 A \sim Tr \sim ()A ()D : (23)

Integrating with respect to phase con gurations, we assume that measuring procedures electively average the observable quantities over random phase con gurations. If all parts

of the heterophase system ate locally equivalent to each other, then the local inverse tem - perature and local chem ical potential, being averaged over phase con gurations, should not depend on r, which can be formulated as the condition of heterophase equilibrium

$$z = (;r) D ; = (;r) D : (24)$$

A coomplishing the integration over the con guration space (7), we keep in m ind the existence of the therm odynam ic lim it

N ! 1 ; V ! 1 ;
$$\frac{N}{V}$$
 ! const:

Then the therm odynam ic quasipotential (21) reduces [1] to

$$q = \frac{1}{N} \ln T r_{Y} e^{-H}; \qquad (25)$$

with the e ective Hamiltonian

$$H^{\sim} = H ; H = H (w) :$$
 (26)

The term s

$$H = [H (w ; r) N (w ; r)] dr$$

of the Hamiltonian (26) can be called [1] the phase-replica Hamiltonians. The operator densities A (w;r) have the same form as A (;r), but with replaced by w. The quantities ware the phase probabilities, playing the role of average geometric probabilities for the corresponding phases. These probabilities are dened [1] as the minimizers of the thermodynamic quasipotential (25), that is,

$$q = absm in q(fw g); (27)$$

under the condition

$$w = 1; 0 w 1:$$
 (28)

For the operator averages (23), we nd [1]

$$\langle A^{\sim} \rangle = Tr_{V} \wedge A^{\sim};$$
 (29)

where the e ective statistical operator

$$\stackrel{\circ}{} \frac{\exp(H^{\circ})}{\operatorname{Tr}_{Y} \exp(H^{\circ})};$$
(30)

is expressed through the Hamiltonian (26). The operator A in the right-hand side of Eq. (29) is

From Eqs. (26) and (30) it follows that

^ =
$$\frac{\exp(H)}{Tr_{H} \exp(H)}$$
: (32)

Therefore the average (29) can be written as

$$\langle A^{\sim} \rangle = X \qquad \langle A \rangle : \qquad (33)$$

The set fA \tilde{g} of all operators, corresponding to observable quantities, composes an algebra of local observables A \tilde{g} . The heterophase statistical state is the family $\langle A \tilde{g} \rangle$.

The operators from the algebra of local observables can be divided into single-particle, two-particle, and, generally, k-particle operators, so that the overall structure of an operator A is

$$A = {X \over k!} w^k A^{(k)} :$$
 (34)

The standard Ham iltonians of statistical systems usually contain the single-particle and the two-particle terms only. Hence the structure of a replica Ham iltonian is

$$H = W H^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} W^2 H^{(2)}$$
: (35)

Assuming that H $^{(k)}$ do not depend on w , we may explicitly derive the conditions of heterophase stability.

Consider, for concreteness, a heterophase mixture of two phases. It is convenient to introduce the notation

$$w w_1 ; w_2 = 1 w ; (36)$$

Then the phase probability w is a m in im izer of the therm odynam ic quasipotential q=q(w), which implies the equations

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial w} = 0; \qquad \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial w^2} > 0: \tag{37}$$

The rst of the latter, taking into account form (25), yields

$$<\frac{\partial H}{\partial w}>=0: \tag{38}$$

The second of Eqs. (37) has the meaning of the positive de niteness of the heterophase susceptibility

$$\sim \frac{\theta^2 q}{\theta w^2} > 0 : \tag{39}$$

Taking account of Eq. (25), we have

$$\sim = \frac{2}{N} \frac{4}{4} < \frac{e^2 H}{e^2 w^2} > < \frac{e^2 H}{e^2 w} > \frac{3}{2}$$
 (40)

Then the stability condition (39) reads

$$<\frac{e^{2}H^{2}}{e^{2}W^{2}}>>><\frac{e^{2}H^{2}}{e^{2}W}>$$
: (41)

Introducing the averages

$$K = \frac{1}{N} < H^{(1)} > ; \qquad \frac{1}{N} < H^{(2)} > ; \qquad (42)$$

we nd

$$\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\theta H}{\theta w} > K_1 + w_1 K_2 (1 w)_2; \frac{1}{N} < \frac{\theta^2 H}{\theta w^2} > = 1 + 2$$
:

The extremum condition (38) yields an equation for the phase probability

$$w = \frac{{}_{2} + K_{2} - K_{1}}{{}_{1} + {}_{2}} : (43)$$

From the inequalities 0 w 1 it follows that

$$_{1}$$
 K_{1} K_{2} $_{2}$: (44)

And the stability condition (41) gives

$$_{1} + _{2} > \frac{2}{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{}^{4} < \frac{\text{@H}}{\text{@w}} \stackrel{\text{! } _{2}}{}^{2} > 5 > 0 :$$
 (45)

Inequalities (44) and (45) can be called the conditions of heterophase stability. These are to be complimented by the usual conditions of thermodynamic stability, such as the positivity of specic heat and of isothermal compressibility.

4 Theory of Melting and Crystallization

An important application of the developed approach is to describing the phase transitions of melting and crystallization [37,38]. The mesoscopic uctuations inside a crystal are the liquid-like droplets presented by the regions of local disorder of the crystalline lattice, arising below melting temperature. Above the latter, mesoscopic heterophase uctuations are quasicrystalline clusters inside liquid.

To consider this phase transition, let us take the replica ${\tt H}$ am iltonian in the standard form

$$H = w \int_{0}^{Z} (r) \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{2m_{0}}$$
(r) dr +
$$+ \frac{1}{2} w^{2} \int_{0}^{Z} (r) (r^{0}) (r^{0}) (r^{0}) (r) dr dr^{0};$$
(46)

in which (r) are eld operators. The chem ical potential can be de ned from the equation

$$N = N_1 + N_2; N < \frac{\theta H}{\theta} > : (47)$$

Here, we deal with a two-phase m ixture, because of which = 1;2.

The local density of particles in a -phase is

$$(r) < {}^{y}(r) (r) > :$$
 (48)

The number of particles in the -phase, according to the de nition in Eq. (47), becomes

$$N = w (r) dr : (49)$$

The average density of the -phase is

$$=\frac{1}{V}^{Z}$$
 (r) dr: (50)

And the mean density of particles in the whole system writes

$$\frac{N}{V} = w_{1} + w_{2} : (51)$$

If the density is xed, then the latter equation de nest he chemical potential = (;T) as a function of density and temperature.

Let the crystalline phase be numbered with = 1, while the liquid phase, with = 2. By this assumption, the local density (48) for the crystalline phase is periodic,

$$_{1}(r+a) = _{a}(r) \in const; (52)$$

where a is any lattice vector. But the liquid phase has a uniform density

$$_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = _{2}(0) = const:$$
 (53)

Since it is the local density that distinguishes the phases, (r) can be treated as the order parameter. A Itematively, it is possible to de ne the order parameter

$$\sup_{r} \frac{(r)}{1} = 1 : \tag{54}$$

For an ideal crystal, $\sup_{r=1} (r) = 1$ (a), but for a liquid, 1 = 1 (r) = const. Therefore

$$_{1} = \frac{_{1} (a)}{_{1}}$$
 1 > 0; $_{2}$ 0: (55)

The analysis [37,38] of the typical behaviour of the phase probabilities we results in the following gures. In Fig. 1, the probability of the crystalline phase is shown as a function of temperature in arbitrary units. At zero temperature, the system is in pure crystalline

phase. For higher tem peratures, the crystalline-phase probability slightly dim in ishes along the line A B and then abruptly falls down from the point B to E at the melting tem perature m. Above the latter, the curve EF corresponds to the probability of crystalline clusters inside the liquid phase. The branches BC and DE are related to metastable states of an overheated crystal and crystalline clusters inside an overcooled liquid, respectively.

Figure 2 displays the probability of the liquid phase $w_2=1$ w_1 . At zero tem perature, $w_2=0$. W ith increasing tem perature, there appear a small probability of liquid-like disordered regions in the crystalline phase, which rises along the line ab. At the melting tem perature m, the probability of the liquid phase jumps up to the point e, after which it follows the line ef. The branches bc and de again are related to metastable states.

In the sim ilarway, one may treat a liquid-glass transition. A glassy state can be described by a disordered lattice. Then the local density $_1$ (r) is not periodic, but, at the same time, it is not constant. The glassy solid state and liquid state can be distinguished by the order parameter (54), so that, similarly to Eqs. (55), one has

$$_{1} > 0 ; \qquad _{2} = 0 :$$
 (56)

Possible behaviour of the glassy-phase probability is shown in Fig. 3. Contrary to the crystalliquid transition, the glass-liquid transition can occur as a continuous transition, without the jumps of phase probabilities at the glassy cation temperature $_{\rm g}$. The glassy state is metastable, being not an absolute extrem um of a therm odynamic potential, as compared to the crystalline state.

Therm odynam ic potentials for heterophase matter are the same as for pure-phase systems. We can consider, for instance, the grand potential

$$=$$
 $pV = (T;V;);$

the free energy

$$F = + N = F (T;V;N);$$

or the K ram ers potential

$$K = \frac{1}{T} = K (;V;)$$
:

All of them can be expressed through the quasipotential (25) by m eans of the equations for the grand potential

$$= N qT; (57)$$

free energy

$$F = N (qT +); (58)$$

or for the K ram ers potential

$$K = Nq: (59)$$

As is seen from the latter relation, the quasipotential (25) is m inus the K ram ers potential per particle. From the above equations, the pressure is

$$p = \frac{1}{V} = qT; (60)$$

where is the mean density (51). The minimum of the quasipotential (25) corresponds to that of the grand potential (67) or to the minimum of the free energy (58), but to the maximum of the K ramers potential (59).

The quasipotential (25), due to the form of the e ective H am iltonian (26), can be written as a sum

$$q = {X \choose q} q \qquad \frac{1}{N} \ln Tr_H e^H$$
: (61)

Thence, the grand potential (57) becomes

And the free energy (58) also writes as a sum

$$F = {}^{X} F ; F + N :$$
 (63)

However, one should not forget that the term s in the sum s (61) to (63) depend on them odynam ic parameters as well as on the phase probabilities, e.g.,

$$= (T; V; ; w); F = F (T; V; N; w);$$
 (64)

where w is a function of T; V; or T; V; N, respectively. These terms correspond to phase replicas of a heterophase system, but not to pure phases. The latter w ould be described by the potentials

$$^{0} = ^{0} (T; V;) = ^{0} (T; V; ;1); F^{0} = F^{0} (T; V; N) = F^{0} (T; V; N; 1): (65)$$

These do not compose the therm odynam ic potentials (62) and (63) of a heterophase system, that is, \mathbf{v}

$$\stackrel{\mathsf{X}}{\bullet} \quad {}^{\circ}; \quad \mathsf{F} \stackrel{\mathsf{X}}{\bullet} \quad \mathsf{F}^{\circ}:$$
(66)

The di erence

X
 0 ; F int F F 0 (67)

between the total therm odynam ic potentials (62) or (63) and the sum s of the related potentials (65), representing pure phases, can be associated with the therm odynam ic potentials of interphase layers [1]. A nalogous conclusions concern the K ram ers potential (59), for which

$$K = {}^{X}$$
 In $Tr_{H} e^{H}$: (68)

But this potential is a function K (;V;) of not the most convenient thermodynamic variables, because of which it is rarely employed in practice.

In this way, the whole heterophase system is characterized by a total therm odynamic potential, like the grand potential (62) or free energy (63). Such a potential writes as a sum of the term's representing phase replicas of heterophase matter, so that these terms should not be confused with the potentials of pure phases. A phase-transition point, de ned in

the theory of heterophase uctuations, can be rather dierent from a transition point given by the conventional approach dealing solely with pure systems. In the latter case, a phase transition between two phases, say the melting-crystallization transition, is de ned by the equalities

$${}_{1}^{0}(T;V;) = {}_{2}^{0}(T;V;) ; F_{1}^{0}(T;V;N) = F_{2}^{0}(T;V;N)$$
 (69)

for the potentials of pure phases, which give, e.g., the transition temperature $T^0()$ or $T^0()$, respectively. This temperature coincides with the transition temperature T_0 in the theory of heterophase matter only if w_1 1 and w_2 0 below T_0 , while w_1 0, w_2 1 above T_0 . However, generally, w 6 0;1, and the transition point in heterophase matter is different from that in pure-phase systems. Thus, the phase transition temperature T_0 in heterophase matter is defined as the point where the relation between w_1 and w_2 changes so that

$$w_1 > w_2$$
 (T = T₀ 0); $w_1 < w_2$ (T = T₀ + 0): (70)

In addition, the existence of mesoscopic heterophase uctuations may lead to various pretransitionale ects [1], which can be explained only taking these uctuations into account.

5 Turbulent versus Chaotic Crystals

The majority of crystals are associated with a periodic crystalline structure. There exist as well quasicrystals, characterized by a quasiperiodic spatial distribution of particles [39]. Crystals and quasicrystals can form stable matter. The structure that is not uniform and neither periodic nor quasiperiodic, can be called chaotic. Examples of solids with chaotic structure are glasses and am orphous materials. In nature, such chaotic solids are metastable. Ruelle [40] put forward a question asking if solids with random spatial structure could form not metastable but stable states. Newell and Pomeau [41] mentioned that such static chaotic spatial structures could exist in principle, which was supported by a two-dimensional numerical modeling [42]. The solids with chaotic spatial structures can be named chaotic crystals. Sometimes, they are also termed as turbulent, which, however, is clearly a misnomer. Turbulence is a spatio-tem poral phenomenon characterizing unstable temporal evolution of chaotic spatial structures [43]. It is, therefore, incorrect to call a static spatial structure turbulent. To dignify a phenomenon by the name of turbulence compulsory in plies the involvement of chaotic temporal evolution. Therefore stable solids with a static random spatial structure [40 {42}] can be correctly classied only as chaotic crystals.

The existence of temporal heterophase uctuations is what can render solids really turbulent. Random ly uctuating in time and chaotically distributed in space mesoscopic heterophase uctuations realize heterophase turbulence [13]. A stable solid exhibiting heterophase turbulence is a turbulent crystal. The averaging over heterophase con gurations, described is Section 3, leads to an elective quasiequilibrium picture of heterophase turbulent matter, similarly to the averaged treatment of usual turbulence [43].

Heterophase turbulence may develop in any condensed matter. Moreover, it is heterophase turbulence which is the dynamical cause of all phase transitions. The melting-crystallization transition, discussed in Section 4 is not an exception. Heterophase turbulence in a crystal shows up as chaotically appearing and disappearing germ sof liquid-like regions of disordered

lattice. The crystalline and uid phases can be distinguished between each other by their di ering sym m etries resulting in di erent features of their spatial local densities (52) and (53). Com peting phases quite often di er in their sym m etry, which, though, is not a m andatory prerequisite for their distinction. Therm odynam ic phases may, for instance, having the same sym metry, possess di erent mean densities, as liquid and gas. The possibility of heterophase turbulence in a mixture of two coexisting phases with di ering densities $_1$ $_2$ can be illustrated by a lattice-gas model [44,45].

Let the phases be distinguished by their average densities $_1$ and $_2$, so that

$$_1 > _2$$
: (71)

Suppose that the system consists of N particles each of which can be located in one of the N_s sites of a crystalline lattice fag, with $i=1;2;:::;N_s$ and N N_s. The eld operator of a particle in a -phase can be presented as an expansion

$$(r) = {x \atop c_{in}} c_{in} e_i r_n (r a_i)$$
 (72)

over the W annier functions $'_n$ (r $_a$), labelled by a multi-index n, with $e_i=0;1$ being the occupation variable. Each site can be occupied by not m ore than one particle, which implies the validity of the unipolarity condition

$$c_{\text{in}}^{\text{Y}} c_{\text{in}} = 1 :$$
 (73)

The particles are assumed to be well localized in the lattice sites, so that the matrix elements over the Wannier functions $'_n$ (rapple a) for the kinetic operator \hat{K} hr 2 =2m $_0$ are

$$< m i \Re j j > = m_n ij K_0$$
 (74)

and for the interaction potential (r r⁰) are

$$< m i; n j j j m (i; n 0 j 0) > = m n 0 i j 0 n m 0 j i 0 i j :$$
 (75)

Then the replica Hamiltonian (46) reduces to

$$H = W \int_{i}^{X} (K_{0}) e_{i} + \frac{1}{2} w^{2} \int_{i \in j}^{X} e_{i} e_{j} :$$
 (76)

The average phase density (50) takes the form

$$= \frac{1}{V} \times (e_{i} > :$$
 (77)

The chemical potential is dened by the normalization condition (51). Introducing a quasispin operator

$$S_i = \frac{1}{2} \tag{78}$$

transforms the replica Hamiltonian (76) to the Ising-type representation

$$H = E + \frac{1}{2} w^{2} \sum_{i \in j}^{X} S_{i} S_{j} \qquad w \sum_{i}^{X} B S_{i} ; \qquad (79)$$

in which

$$E = \frac{1}{2} N_{s} w \quad K_{0} + \frac{1}{4} w ;$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{i \in i} \quad i j ; \quad B = K_{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} w :$$
(80)

The phase density (77) becomes

$$\frac{N_s}{2V}$$
 (1 + m); (81)

where

$$m \qquad \frac{2}{N_s} X < S_i > \tag{82}$$

is an analog of a reduced magnetization. Condition (71), owing to Eq. (81), yields

$$m_1 > m_2$$
 (1> 2): (83)

Normalization (51) can be rewritten as the equation

$$n \frac{N}{N_s} = \frac{1}{2} w_1 (1 + m_1) + \frac{1}{2} w_2 (1 + m_2)$$
 (84)

de ning the chem ical potential = (n;T) as a function of the param eter (84) and tem perature T.

The considered two-density lattice m odel can correspond [13,45] to a stable system whose therm odynam ic potentials (62) or (63) are lower than the potentials (65) of pure phases, so that

$$(T;V;) < {}^{0}(T;V;) ; F (T;V;N) < F^{0}(T;V;N) :$$
 (85)

This means that heterophase turbulence may stabilize condensed matter. That is, turbulent crystals should be rather a rule than an exotic exception. Any real solid can exhibit, under some conditions, especially in the vicinity of transition points, heterophase turbulence, thus, becoming a turbulent crystal.

6 Ferrom agnet with Param agnetic Fluctuations

Heterophase uctuations may arise in systems of dierent nature. Specic features of the resulting heterophase matter can be well illustrated by a model of ferromagnet with mesoscopic paramagnetic uctuations [1].

The general form of the replica Hamiltonian of a lattice spin system reads

$$H = W N K + \frac{1}{2} W^{2}N U \qquad W^{2} J_{ij} S_{i} \qquad S_{i}$$
 (86)

where N is the number of spins; K , kinetic parameter; U , crystalline eld; J_{ij} , exchange interactions; S_i are spin operators associated with a -phase. Ferrom agnetic and paramagnetic phases can be distinguished by the order parameter

$$j < S_i > j; \tag{87}$$

which, in the case of an ideal periodic lattice, does not depend on the lattice-site index. A ssum e that = 1 labels the ferrom agnetic phase, while = 2, the param agnetic phase. By this assumption,

The zero order param eter of the param agnetic phase supposes that the case without external magnetic elds is considered. In the presence of the latter, the average magnetization of a param agnet would be nonzero. Then, we could distinguish the phases by the condition $_1 > _2$, similarly to the relations (71) or (83).

K exping in m ind long-range exchange interactions J_{ij} , we shall employ the mean-eld approximation. The notation

$$_{1}$$
; $W = W_{1}$; $J = \frac{1}{N} X J_{ij1}$ (89)

will be used. Then for the probability of ferrom agnetic phase, we nd

$$w = \frac{U_2 + K_2 - K_1}{U_1 + U_2 - 2J^2};$$
 (90)

which follows from Eq. (43) with

= U 2J²; J
$$\frac{1}{N}$$
 J_{ij} :

For the average spin (87), we have

$$2 = \tanh w^2 \frac{J}{T} \qquad : \tag{91}$$

Here and in the following form ulas, we shall set $k_{\rm B}=1$. It is reasonable to assume that the arising magnetic order does not in wence much the crystalline properties of matter, so that the kinetic terms K and the crystalline elds U do not depend on the phase index ,

$$K_1 = K_2$$
; $U_1 = U_2$ A:

Then, with the notation

$$u = \frac{A}{J}; \qquad (92)$$

the ferrom agnetic weight (90) reduces to

$$w = \frac{u}{2(u^2)} : \tag{93}$$

Thus, instead of one equation for the average spin, as it would be in the case of a pure phase, we have now two coupled equations, one for the average spin (91) and another for the probability of ferrom agnetic phase (93). Note that the latter can be treated as an additional order param eter that becomes necessary for correctly describing heterophase matter.

The analysis of the model of the heterophase ferrom agnet, in the mean-eld approximation, shows that there exist two critical temperatures

$$T_c = \frac{1}{8} J$$
; $T_c^0 = \frac{1}{2} J$: (94)

The overall behaviour of the system essentially depends on the value of the dimensionless parameter (92), which measures the relative intensity of disordering interaction A to ordering interaction J. A coording to the stability conditions (44) and (45), paramagnetic uctuations in a ferromagnet may exist only when u > 2 > 0. Therefore, for some u there can exist the nucleation temperature T_n , defined by the condition

$$w = 1 \quad (T = T);$$

 $w < 1 \quad (T > T_n);$ (95)

Depending on the parameter u, we can observe ve types of behaviour:

Pure ferrom agnetic phase, with w 1, is absolutely stable up to the critical temperature T_c^0 , where the system becomes paramagnetic. Heterophase ferrom agnet can be only metastable, having the critical temperature T_c .

(ii)
$$0 < u < \frac{3}{2}$$
.

In the region of tem peratures 0 T T, the system is a pure ferrom agnet, after which param agnetic uctuations appear, starting from the nucleation point T_n , for $T > T_n$. The phase transition ferrom agnet-param agnet becomes a rst-order transition, occurring at the point T_0 , for which $T_c < T_0 < T_c^0$.

(iii)
$$0 < u < \frac{1}{2}$$
.

The nucleation point T_n exists only for this interval of u, such that $0 < T_n < T_c^0$. When u ! 0, then $T_n ! T_c^0$ and if u ! 0.5, then $T_n ! 0.5$, there is no nucleation point. The inst-order phase transition takes place at T_0 .

$$(iv) u = \frac{3}{2}$$
.

Ferrom agnet is always heterophase. The transition temperature T_c becomes a tricritical point, where critical indices change by a jump.

(v)
$$u > \frac{3}{2}$$
.

Heterophase ferrom agnet is stable. The second-order transition happens at the critical temperature $T_{\text{\tiny C}}\text{.}$

The behaviour of the order param eter, ferrom agnetic phase probability, and other thermodynam ic characteristics is illustrated in the following gures. The order parameter $_{1}$, de ned as the average spin (87), for dierent values of u, is shown in Fig. 4 as a function of =J, with = k $_{\rm B}$ T.

The probability of ferrom agnetic phase w_1 w, satisfying Eq. (93), is presented in Fig. 5 as a function of the reduced temperature =J.

The average magnetization

$$M \qquad \qquad (96)$$

as a function of =J is depicted in Fig. 6. For the values u in the range 0.25 < u < 0, there exists a maximum of the magnetization M $_{\rm m}$ at the temperature $T_{\rm m}$, where

$$M_m = \frac{p_m}{4}; \qquad T_m = \frac{p_m}{16 \operatorname{arctanh}(2^p)}:$$
 (97)

These quantities are shown in Fig. 7 as functions of u = A = J.

The rst-order phase transition to the param agnetic state occurs at tem perature, where the free energy per particle

$$f = \frac{F}{N} = \frac{T}{N} \ln Tre^{H'=T}$$

for the heterophase ferrom agnet, given by the expression

$$\frac{f}{J} = w^2 + \frac{1}{2}u + w^2 + \frac{T}{J} \ln 4\cosh w^2 + \frac{J}{T};$$
 (98)

coincides with the value

$$\frac{f_0}{J} = \frac{u}{4} \qquad \frac{T}{J} \ln 4 : \tag{99}$$

corresponding to = 0 and w = 0.5. Functions (98) and (99) are illustrated in Fig. 8 for u = 0.6.

The relative entropy

$$\frac{E - F}{N T} \qquad (E < H' >) \tag{100}$$

takes the form

$$= 2w^{2} \frac{J}{T}^{2} + \ln 4\cosh w^{2} \frac{J}{T}$$
 (101)

which is depicted in Fig. 9 for dierent u.

The speci c heat

$$C_{H} \qquad \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \tag{102}$$

in portrayed in Fig. 10, from where it is seen that metastable heterophase ferrom agnets, with u < 0, possess specicheat maxima below the critical temperature.

When the critical point $T_{\rm c}$ becomes tricritical at u=3=2, the critical indices change by a jump. Thus, for the critical indices and , characterizing the asymptotic behaviour of the speci cheat and magnetization as

$$C_{H}$$
 (); M (); (103)

w here

$$\frac{T}{T_c}$$
! 0;

we obtain

$$= \begin{array}{c} (0; & u \in 3=2 \\ 1=2; & u = 3=2; \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} (1=2; & u \in 3=2 \\ 1=4; & u = 3=2: \end{array}$$
 (104)

In any case, +2 = 1. If one calculates the critical indices with tending to a small but nite value, instead of ! 0, then one gets the elective indices

$$_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\ln C_{\text{H}}}{\ln ()}; \qquad _{\text{eff}} = \frac{\ln M}{\ln ()}; \qquad (105)$$

These are pictured in Fig. 11 for $j = 10^8$.

Finally, Fig. 12 demonstrates the temperature variation of the average spin, dened by Eq. (91), for dierent values of the parameter (92). Only the solutions for stable states are presented.

7 Conclusion

M esoscopic heterophase uctuations may arise in any kind of condensed matter. This is illustrated here for crystals with liquid-like uctuations, for a two-density lattice mixture, and for ferrom agnets with paramagnetic uctuations. Of course, these uctuations appear not always, but their existence depends on the system parameters as well as on them odynamic variables. The presence of such uctuations can make the system them odynamically more stable. Their appearance may provoke dierent pretransitional phenomena and transitional anomalies.

The uctuations are mesoscopic in size, since they represent the germs of competing therm odynamic phases, which requires that the characteristic length of such a germ be much larger than the mean interparticle distance. At the same time, this characteristic length can be much smaller than the size of the whole sample. If the uctuations are not static, but evolve in time, appearing and disappearing, their typical lifetimes are to be essentially longer than the local-equilibrium time, but can be much shorter than the observation time, which means that such temporal uctuations are mesoscopic in time. In any case, these uctuations are randomly distributed in space.

The spatial random ness of the mesoscopic uctuations makes it possible to realize the averaging over heterophase con gurations and to de ne an elective renormalized Hamiltonian. In the course of this averaging, novel quantities emerge, representing the probabilities of thermodynamic phases. These probabilities are dened as minimizers of the related thermodynamic potentials.

The theory of heterophase uctuations [1] provides a general approach for treating a wide class of materials whose properties can be strongly in uenced by the existence of such uctuations. At the same time, many phenomena occurring in condensed matter, which look anomalous without taking account of the mesoscopic uctuations, can be explained by the in uence of the latter.

References

- [1] V.I. Yukalov, Phys. Rep. 208, 395 (1991).
- [2] M.E. Fisher, Physica A 172, 77 (1991).
- [3] Y. A. A. Lexandrov, Fundam ental Properties of Neutron (Clarendon, Oxford, 1992).
- [4] A.Gordon, S.Dorfm an and D.Fuks, J.Phys. IV 7,53 (1997).
- [5] Y. Yam ada et al., Ferroelectrics 240, 363 (2000).
- [6] J.Adler and D. Stau er, Physica A 175, 222 (1991).
- [7] K.Mazuruk, C.H.Su, Y.G. Sha and S.L. Lehoczky, J. Appl. Phys. 79, 9080 (1996).
- [8] C. H. Su, Y. G. Sha, K. Mazuruk and S.L. Lehoczky, J. Appl. Phys., 80, 137 (1996).
- [9] Y. L. Khait, V. Garber, G. Bahir and I. Shapiro, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 2990 (2001).
- [10] P.Bak, Physica A 163, 403 (1990).
- [11] D E. Feldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 177202 (2002).
- [12] D E. Feldman, Int. J. M od. Phys. B 15, 2945 (2001).
- [13] V J. Yukalov and E P. Yukalova, Int. J. M cd. Phys. B 15, 2433 (2001).
- [14] R.H. Parm enter and L.Y. Yu, Chaos 2, 589 (1992).
- [15] R. H. Parm enter and L.Y. Yu, Phys. Lett. A 174, 233 (1993).
- [16] G M . Zaslavsky, Phys. Rep. 371, 461 (2002).
- [17] J. Volmer, Phys. Rep. 372, 131 (2002).
- [18] V.J. Yukalov, Physica A 234, 725 (1997).
- [19] V.I. Yukalov, Phys. Rev. E 65, 056118 (2002).
- [20] D. P. K. harakoz and E. A. Shlyapnikova, J. Phys. Chem. B. 104, 10368 (2000).
- [21] V.J. Yukalov, Int. J. M. od. Phys. B 6, 91 (1992).
- [22] G. Benedek and K.A. Muller (Eds.), Phase Separation in Cuprate Superconductors (World Scientic, Singapore, 1992).
- [23] E. Sigmund and K.A. Muller (Eds.), Phase Separation in Cuprate Superconductors (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [24] A.J. Coleman, E.P. Yukalova and V.J. Yukalov, Physica C. 243, 76 (1995).

- [25] E.Dagotto, T.Hotta and A.Moreo, Phys. Rep. 344, 1 (2001).
- [26] Y L.Khait, Atom ic Di usion in Solids (Scitec, Zurich, 1997).
- [27] A.S. Shum ovsky and V.J. Yukalov, Physica A 110, 518 (1982).
- [28] A.S. Shum ovsky and V. I. Yukalov, Chem. Phys. Lett. 117, 617 (1985).
- [29] V.I. Yukalov, Chem. Phys. Lett. 229, 239 (1994).
- [30] V.J. Yukalov, Physica A 213, 500 (1995).
- [31] J.W. Gibbs, Selected Works (Longmans, New York, 1928), Vol. 1.
- [32] N. N. Bogolubov, Lectures on Quantum Statistics (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970), Vol. 2.
- [33] V.J. Yukalov, Int. J. M. od. Phys. B 5, 3235 (1991).
- [34] A.J. Colem an and V.I. Yukalov, Reduced Density Matrices (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [35] V.J. Yukalov, Physica A 310, 413 (2002).
- [36] V. I. Yukalov, Statistical Green's Functions (Queen's University, Kingston, 1998).
- [37] V.J. Yukalov, Phys. Lett. A 81, 433 (1981).
- [38] V.I. Yukalov, Phys. Rev. B 32, 436 (1985).
- [39] M. V. Jaric (Ed.), Introduction to Quasicrystals (Academic, Boston, 1988).
- [40] D.Ruelle, Physica D 7, 40 (1983).
- [41] A.C. Newelland Y. Pomeau, J. Phys. A 26, L 429 (1993).
- [42] M Le Berre, E. Ressayre, A. Tallet, Y. Pom eau and L. DiMenza, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026203 (2002).
- [43] LD. Landau and EM. Lifshitz, Hydrodynam ics (Nauka, Moscow, 1986).
- [44] V.I. Yukalov, Int. J. M. od. Phys. B 3, 311 (1989).
- [45] V. J. Yukalov and E. P. Yukalova, Physica A 213, 485 (1995).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. The crystalline phase probability as a function of temperature.
- Fig. 2. The liquid phase probability as a function of temperature.
- Fig. 3. The glassy phase probability versus tem perature.
- Fig. 4. The order parameter $_1$, given by Eq. (91), for dierent parameters (92): u=0.5 (curve 1), u=0.6 (curve 2), u=0.8 (curve 3), u=1.5 (curve 4), u=1 (curve 5), u=1 (curve 6), u=0.25 (curve 7), u=0.1 (curve 8), u=0.01 (curve 9), u=0.001 (curve 10).
- Fig. 5. The ferrom agnetic phase probability w_1 w, de ned by Eq. (93), as a function of relative temperature, for the same values of u as in Fig. 4.
- Fig. 6. The average magnetization (96) as a function of relative temperature for dierent values of u as in Fig. 4.
- Fig. 7. The maximum of magnetization M $_{\rm m}$ and the related temperature, as dened in Eq. (97), versus u A=J.
 - Fig. 8. Free energies per particle, given by Eqs. (98) and (99) for u = 0.6.
 - Fig. 9. Relative entropy (101) for the values of u as in Fig. 4.
- Fig. 10. Specic heat (102) for dierent u: u = 0.6 (curve 2), u = 0.8 (curve 3), u = 1.5 (curve 4), u = 3 (curve with no number), u = 1 (curve 5), u = 1 (curve 6).
 - Fig. 11. E ective critical indices (105) for $= 10^8$, versus u A=J.
- Fig. 12. A verage spin, satisfying Eq. (91), for dierent values of u, which are denoted by the numbers close to the related curves.