Magnetic eld dependent transmission phase of a double dot system in a quantum ring

M. Sigrist,¹ A. Fuhrer,¹ T. Ihn,¹ K. Ensslin,¹ S. E. Ulloa,^{1;2} W. Wegscheider,³ M. Bichler⁴

²Departm ent of Physics and Astronom y, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701-2979

 $^3\,{\rm In\, stitut}$ fur experimentelle und angewandte Physik, Universitat Regensburg, Germany

⁴W alter Schottky Institut, Technische Universitat M unchen, Germany

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The A haronov-B ohm e ect is measured in a four-term inalopen ring geom etry based on a G a A 1A s heterostructure. Two quantum dots are embedded in the structure, one in each of the two interfering paths. The number of electrons in the two dots can be controlled independently. The transmission phase is measured as electrons are added to or taken away from the individual quantum dots. A lthough the measured phase shifts are in qualitative agreement with theoretical predictions, the phase evolution exhibits unexpected dependence on the magnetic eld. For example, phase lapses are found only in certain ranges of magnetic eld.

In m esoscopic systems the conductance and the quantum mechanical transmission of charge carriers between contacts are intim ately related. The corresponding transm ission amplitude is a complex number with magnitude and phase. The phase di erence of interfering paths in coherent quantum rings can be detected in the conductance by measuring A haronov-Bohm (AB) oscillations. Keeping the transmission phase of one of the interfering paths constant (reference path), the phase change of the other can be measured. This technique opens the attractive possibility to investigate the transm ission phase which contains information about the system complementary to the transmission probability. Multiterminal devices are required for such experim ents in order to circum vent the generalized O nsager sym m etry relations [1] restricting the transmission phase to values of 0 or in two-term inal devices.

Ring and ring-like interference geometries have been exploited form any types of experiments [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The electronic phase in particular was studied in a series of pioneering publications [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The transmission phase through a two-term inal device with a single quantum dot has been investigated [2] and it was concluded that electron transport through a Coulomb blockaded quantum dot is at least partially phase coherent. The phase of the relection coe cient of a quantum dot in the integer quantum Hall regime was m easured in Ref. 3. Later, the transm ission phase of a quantum dot was studied in a multi-term inal con guration [4]. It was found that the transm ission phase changes by about across a typical C oulom b blockade resonance, as expected. Between resonances the experiment exhibits so-called phase lapses rather than the almost constant phase expected in the simplest model. Similar experiments were carried out on a Kondo-correlated system in Refs. 5 and 6.

A large number of theoretical papers (for a review see [13]) has addressed the issue of the phase lapses and suggested possible explanations. It was argued that the transmission phase has to be distinguished from the Friedel phase which fulls a general sum rule and is a m onotonous function of energy, while the transmission phase can show phase lapses of when the transmission goes through zero [14, 15, 16]. It was also pointed out that the measured phase may depend on the details of the entire interferom eter, on interactions [17], and on the dot-lead coupling [18]. The phase determined experim entally is the transm ission phase through the dot, only if the system is unitary [19], i.e. it couples negligibly to its environment. A tunneling-Ham iltonian approach predicted the phase to be in uenced by the num ber and width of leads connecting to the ring [20, 21]. In a scattering matrix approach, however, the lim it of m any occupied m odes in the channels re ects the energy dependence of the resonance phase shift [22]. The equivalence of the tunneling-H am iltonian form ulation and the scattering matrix approach are discussed in [23]. Theoretical proposals have considered the situation of two quantum dots in an AB interferom eter and the possible coupling of the dots via phase coherent transport through the leads [24, 25]. In the context of spintronics two coupled quantum dots in an AB interferom eterm ay be used for detecting entanglem ent of spin states [26].

Here we investigate the phase evolution of a system of two quantum dots with negligible mutual electrostatic interaction embedded in two arm sofa four-term inal AB ring. Phase measurements in this unique system are desirable, because both arm s of the ring including the two dots can be tuned individually, but the phase coherence of the entire system provides an inherent coupling mechanism [24] and makes transport through the dots interdependent. The arrangem ent enables us to control and analyze the e ect of the interferom eter reference arm on the m easured phase experim entally. The phase evolution of the system is studied when a single electron is added to either of the two quantum dots, to both quantum dots simultaneously, or when an electron is transferred from one dot to the other. The observed phase shifts agree qualitatively with theoretical expectations at elevated m agnetic elds. Unexpectedly, the phase evolution is found to depend on the magnetic eld and occasional phase lapses occur in certain eld ranges. These observations highlight the need to consider nonlocal coherent e ects in the entire system .

¹Solid State Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

The sample is a Ga A LA s heterostructure containing a two-dimensional electron gas 37 nm below the surface. The lateral pattern was fabricated with the biased tip of an atom ic force m icroscope which locally oxidizes the G aA s surface. Details of this fabrication technique are described in Ref. 27. Figure 1 (a) shows a m icrograph of the oxidized pattern. Lateral gate electrodes m arked pg1 through pg4 are used to tune the conductance in each of the four quadrants of the ring. A ll m easurements were carried out at 100m K in a dilution refrigerator.

The ring was characterized in the open regine where each quadrant supports 2-4 lateralm odes. A pronounced AB e ect is observed in a local measurement setup in which the current and voltage contacts are the same two term inals of the ring [28]. The AB signal is maximized with a non-local setup: a bias voltage $V_{\rm bias}$ was applied to term inal A [see Fig. 1(b)]. The lower and upper contacts (B and D) were grounded via current-voltage converters measuring the currents $I_{\rm B}$ and $I_{\rm D}$. The non-local voltage $V_{\rm n1}$ was measured at term inal C. Figure 1(c) shows the AB oscillations in $V_{\rm n1}$. Their period $B = __0=A = 4.8$ mT is consistent with the area A = 0.85 m² of the ring.

If any individual segment is tuned close to pinch o with a negative voltage applied to the corresponding plunger gate, a quantum dot is induced exhibiting Coulom b blockade. As an example, Fig. 1 (d) shows the



FIG.1: (a) AFM micrograph of the ring structure. Oxide lines (bright lines) fabricated by AFM lithography lead to insulating barriers in the two-dimensional electron gas. A reas marked pg1-pg4 are used as lateral gates to tune the conductance of the four arms of the ring and eventually act as plunger gates for the dots. (b) Schem atic arrangement of the ring with its four term inals (c) N on-local voltage detected in the open regime of the ring. (d) C onductance through a quantum dot induced in segment 1 as a function of plunger gate voltage. Here, segment 3 was completely pinched o.

conductance of segment 1 [A to D in Fig.1(b)] as a function of V_{pg1} with segment 3 completely pinched o and segments 2 and 4 open. Clear Coulomb blockade oscillations are observed. The location of the quantum dot can be estimated from the lever arm sofgates pg1 to pg4. The dots form within the segments and not at the openings to the contacts. From C oulom b blockade diamonds measured as a function of forward bias we nd a typical C oulom b charging energy of 1 m eV, which corresponds in a disc capacitor model roughly to the area of a single segment. M ore details about the characterisation of the ring can be found in Ref. 28.

We proceed to study Coulomb blockade in the measurement con guration of Fig. 1(a). For the following experiments we have carefully chosen a series of conductance peaks of one well de ned quantum dot in segment 1 (dot 1) and another one in segment 2 (dot 2). Segments 3 and 4 are open. The current signals I_{p} and I_{p} are shown in color as a function of the gate voltages V_{pq1} and V_{pq2} in Figs. 2b and c. The current $I_{\scriptscriptstyle \! B}$ (I_{\scriptscriptstyle \! D}) shows predom inantly the Coulom b m axim a of the dot in segment 2 (segment 1) while those of the other dot are much weaker. The non-local voltage in Fig. 2 (a) displays clear signatures of conductance resonances of both quantum dots. The lever arm of gate voltage 1 (2) on the dot in segment 2 (1) is about a factor of six sm aller than for the direct gate voltage. There are stripes with an interm ediate slope in all three quantities which we attribute to the form ation of standing waves between the two quantum dots near lead A. This resonator is coupled in series to



FIG.2: Color plots of (a) the non-local voltage V_{n1} , (b) the current $I_{\rm B}$ and (c) $I_{\rm D}$ as a function of the two plunger gate voltages $V_{\rm pg1}$ and $V_{\rm pg2}$ which tune the quantum dots in segments 1 and 2 of the ring.



FIG. 3: A B oscillations in non-local voltage V_{n1} as function of the plunger gate voltages tuned along trace linear2'. (a) The currents I_D and I_B at a magnetic eld of 95 m T. (b) C olor plot of V_{n1} as function of plunger gates and magnetic eld. (c) C ross-sections along dashed lines in (b) giving V_{n1} vs. magnetic eld. The dashed lines mark constant values of magnetic eld where a phase shift of the A B oscillations can be seen, as gate voltages are tuned across the conductance peak in dot 2.

both quantum dots, a fact which leads to a corresponding modulation of the dot currents in certain ranges of gate voltages and magnetic elds.

In order to study the phase evolution of the transm ission through the quantum dots we measure V_{n1} as a function of magnetic eld. The results presented below were obtained in a regime where the coupling to the leads was strong enough, but the dots were still well de ned. By tuning V_{pg1} and V_{pg2} we follow the trace labeled linear2' in Fig. 2(a), staying on a conductance maximum in dot 1 while stepping through a conductance peak in dot 2. As shown in Fig. 3(a) the current $I_{\rm p}$ shows the expected C oulom b peak of dot 2 as a function of plunger gate voltage, while I, changes little. At each gate voltage setting the AB oscillations are measured. The non-local voltage in Fig. 3 (b) shows a pronounced AB signal which is strongest in the range of the conductance maximum . A set of specic traces of Vnl versus magnetic eld is depicted in Fig. 3(c). The vertical lines connect m in im a in the lowest trace with maxima in the uppermost trace. This corresponds to a phase shift of .

The multi-probe conductance form ula [1] gives for the non-local voltage in our setup:

$$V_{nl} = \frac{T_{CA}}{T_{cc}} V_{bias}$$

The transmission coe cients T $_{\rm ij}$ from probe j to i are named according to Fig. 1(b). Considering only oscillations with a periodicity of h=e we assume T_{c\,c} =

 $_{i6\,c}$ T_{ci} to be constant. In this case AB oscillations in V_{n1} re ect the interference contribution to T_{cA} from which the phase di erence between the two interfering transm ission amplitudes can be directly read [4]. In contrast, phase changes seen in the two currents do not di-



FIG.4: AB oscillations along the di erent traces indicated in Fig.2. (a) sweep linear 2' (b) sweep bliag 1' (c) sweep linear 1' and (d) sweep bliag2'. Vertical lines are guides to the eye. D ashed horizontal lines indicate, where maxim a in the magnetic eld averaged contribution to $V_{\rm nl}$ occur.

rectly re ect the di erence of transm ission phases of the dots. In the following we therefore consider only phase shifts of the AB oscillations in $V_{\rm nl}$.

We have analyzed such phase shifts quantitatively for all four traces indicated by the arrows in Fig. 2(a). The fast Fourier transform of each magnetic eld sweep was multiplied with the liter function f(!) = $(!)^2 = 2 \exp[1 (!)^2 = 2]$ with $= h = (e^{-2}A)$ in order to obtain the pure h=e periodic contribution. The inverse fast Fourier transform of the litered data gives the oscillatory component of V_{n1} as a function of magnetic eld which is plotted in Fig. 4. We have veri ed that this litering procedure does not in uence the phase of the AB oscillations by carefully comparing litered data with raw data.

We begin the discussion with Fig. 4 (a) corresponding to trace linear2' in Fig. 2 (a). The dashed horizontal line indicates the maximum in V_{n1} averaged over B. The AB amplitude is strongest close to this line, as expected, but displays a pronounced B-dependence, i.e. it is signicantly larger for B > 30 m T than below. The phase shift can be directly read from the shift of AB maxim a orm inim a as a function of V_{pg2} . The general trend is a shift to larger magnetic elds with increasing V_{pg2} . The expected phase shift of across the conductance resonance is clearly discernible for B > 50 m T. How ever, there is a dip in the AB amplitude at V_{pg2} 68 m V which has a strong phase shift associated with it. At B 40 m T and V_{pg2} 68m V [arrow in Fig. 4 (a)] the AB am plitude is zero and a phase lapse of can be identi ed. This phase lapse lies in the ank of the peak of the eld averaged V_{n1} and occurs at a point of zero AB am plitude, sim ilar to the phase lapses observed in R ef. 4 and in agreem ent with the general theoretical discussion of phase lapses in R ef. 15. The novel aspect here is the occurrence of the phase lapse only in a limited range of magnetic eld. Beyond this eld range the phase lapse disappears in favor of a continuous phase evolution of order .

A long trace diag1' in Fig.2 (a) an electron is added to both dots simultaneously. In this case we expect a phase shift of = 0 since the phase di erence between the arm s of the ring remains constant. In the experiment the phase shift in Fig.4 (b) is indeed sm all for B > 50 m T, it am ounts to about =10. At lower elds, how ever, phase lapses and related strong phase shifts occur like that observed for trace linear2'.

W hen we measure along trace linearl' [fig. 4(c)] the gross trend of the phase shift di ers in sign from that in Fig. 4(a), in agreement with the fact that we tune the dot in the other segment. In the coupling regime of the quantum dots where AB oscillations are observable, Coulomb resonances can occur close to each other. This is the case for this sweep as indicated by the two dashed blue horizontal lines in Fig. 4 (c). In such a case the question arises how the transmission phases of individual resonances com bine to the observed phase shift. In our measurement we nd that the phase accumulation across the stresonance just above $V_{pq1} =$ 60 m V is for B between 30 and 70m T, as expected. At about higher elds the phase shift weakens. At V_{pg1} 55 m V and between -40 and -50m T, phase lapses occur which inuence the phase evolution at higher magnetic elds but cannot compensate the accumulated phase completely. At the top edge of the gure, the second resonance leads to a further phase accumulation in the same direction as the rst.

A long trace diag2' Fig. 4(d)] an electron is removed from dot 2 and added to dot 1. As expected, AB oscillation maxima shift in the same direction as in 'linearl'. However, at B = 80 m T, for example, the phase accumulated between $V_{pg1} = 68 \text{ mV}$ and 56 mV is only slightly more than rather than 2 . Again, the phase evolution is in uenced by occasional phase lapses.

Sum m arizing the observations we can make the following statements. We have succeeded in measuring the AB phase in a four term inal quantum ring with two dots embedded in two dierent segments. The AB oscillations tend to be well developed and suitable for phase measurements only at slightly elevated magnetic elds. In the range between 50 and 80m T the expected phase shifts across C oulom b-blockade resonances are typically observed. Phase lapses occur occasionally in nite magnetic eld ranges at specic gate voltages. They are accompanied by a vanishing AB amplitude. Even outside the B-ranges where phase lapses occur, the phase evolution can be strongly modi ed by their presence. Fol-

low ing the argum ent of Buttiker and cow orkers [15, 16], the transm ission amplitude follows a certain curve in the complex plane as a function of gate voltage. A phase lapse accompanied with a zero in transmission occurs when this line goes through the origin. A change in magnetic eld may shift this curve a little leading to a strong, but continuous phase shift accompanied with small transmission. The appearance of phase lapses in nite magnetic eld ranges impedes the de nition of a magnetic eld independent transmission phase. A conceivable origin of the phase lapses is the nite width of the ring segments accomm odating severalm odes. In the magnetic eld range investigated, the classical cyclotron radius is larger or com parable to the ring radius. A sm all in uence of Lorentz force e ects cannot be excluded at the highest elds.

Our samples dier from those in Ref. 4 by design and in view of the technological approach. We have adopted the general idea of using re ecting walls to guide the electrons ballistically around the ring without loosing too m any of them into the contacts. Com pared to Ref. [4] the most noticeable feature of our structure is the second dot in the reference arm . Its addition gives individual control over the accum ulated phases in both arm s. Since the reference dot is kept on a conductance resonance, i.e. in the position of maximum slope of the phase evolution, while the other is swept through a resonance, the reference dot is very sensitive to phase changes. Should the reference dot be tuned slightly o its resonance during the sweep linear 2', one would expect a pronounced in uence on the measured phase evolution. The fact that we still observe a phase change of about on trace linear2', when dot 2 is tuned through a conductance resonance, lets us conclude that the transmission phase through dot 1 is rather stable and the observed phase shift is dom inated by dot 2.

In this experiment, the transmission phase was measured in a system where two C oulomb b blockaded quantum dots are embedded in a four-term inal quantum ring. We have shown that the phase evolution can be determined with individual control over the electron occupancy in each dot. The experiments demonstrate that the measured transmission phase depends on the magnetic eld range analyzed. Phase lapses can arise at certain magnetic elds, while at others they give way to a continuous phase evolution. In certain magnetic eld ranges the phase behavior is in agreem ent with theoretical expectations, but its behavior is much more com plex than anticipated. This possibly suggests that structural resonances, in addition to the dots, play a role in the overall transmission phase of the system.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank M .Buttiker, Y .G efen, M .H eiblum, H .W eidenm uller for valuable discussions. Financial support by the Sw iss Science Foundation (Schweizerischer National-

- [1] M.Buttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1761 (1986).
- [2] A. Yacoby, M. Heiblum, D. Mahalu, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett 74, 4047 (1995).
- [3] E.Buks, R.Schuster, M.Heiblum, D.Mahalu, V.Umansky, and H.Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4664 (1996).
- [4] R.Schuster, E.Buks, M.Heiblum, D.Mahalu, V.Umansky, and H.Shtrikman, NATURE 385, 417 (1997).
- [5] Y. Ji, M. Heiblum, D. Sprinzak, D. Mahalu, and H. Shtrikman, SC IENCE 290, 779 (2000).
- [6] Y. Ji, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88,076601 (2002).
- [7] E.Buks, R.Schuster, M.Heiblum, D.Mahalu, and V. Umansky, NATURE 391, 871 (1998).
- [8] D. Sprinzak, E. Buks, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5820 (2000).
- [9] K. Kobayashi, H. Aikawa, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256806 (2002).
- [10] A.W. Holleitner, C.R. Decker, H.Q in, K. Eberl, and R. H.Blick Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 256802 (2001).
- [11] A.W. Holleitner, R.H.Blick, A.K.Huttel, K.Eberl, and J.P.K otthaus, SC ENCE 297, 70 (2002).
- [12] A.Fuhrer, S.Luscher, T.Ihn, T.Heinzel, K.Ensslin, W. Wegscheider, and M.Bichler, Nature 413, 822 (2001); U.F.Keyser, C.Fuhner, S.Borck, R.J.Haug, M.Bichler, G.Abstreiter, W.Wegscheider, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 196601 (2003).
- [13] G.Hackenbroich, Phys. Rep. 343, 463 (2001).

- [14] H.-W. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2358 (1999).
- [15] T. Taniguchi and M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. B 60, 13814 (1999).
- [16] A L. Yeyati and M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7307 (2000).
- [17] J.Konig and Y.Gefen, Phys. Rev. B 65, 045316 (2002).
- [18] A.Silva, Y.O reg, and Y.G efen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 195316 (2002).
- [19] O. Entin-Wohlman, A. Aharony, Y. Imry, Y. Levinson, and A. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 166801 (2002).
- [20] A. A harony, O. Entin-W ohlman, B. I. Halperin, and Y. Im ry, Phys. Rev. B 66, 115311 (2002).
- [21] Y.Gefen, private communication
- [22] H.A.Weidenmuller, Phys. Rev. B 65, 245322 (2002).
- [23] B.Kubala and J.Konig, cond-m at /0212536
- [24] J.Konig and Y.Gefen, Phys. Rev. B 65, 045316 (2002)
- [25] B.Kubala and J.Konig, Phys.Rev.B 65, 245301 (2002)
- [26] D.Loss and E.V.Sukhorukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1035 (2000).
- [27] R. Held, S. Luscher, T. Heinzel, K. Ensslin, and W. Wegscheider, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75, 1134 (1999), for a review see A. Fuhrer, A. Dom, S. Luscher, T. Heinzel, K. Ensslin, W. Wegscheider, and M. Bichler, Superl. Microstr. 31, 19 (2002).
- [28] M. Sigrist, A. Fuhrer, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, W. Wegscheider, M. Bichler, cond-m at/0307269.