G ap evolution in $=1=2$ bilayer quantum H all system s

K entaro N om urai' and D aï̈ro Yoshioka<br>D epartm ent of Basic Science, U niversity of Tokyo, 3-8-1 K om aba, Tokyo, Japan

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)


#### Abstract

Fractional quantum H all states in bilayer system at total lling fraction $=1=2$ are exam ined num erically under som e ranges of the layer separation and interlayer tunneling. It is shown that the ground state changes continuously from two-com ponent state to one-com ponent state as the interlayer tunneling rate is increased, while the low est excited state changes discontinuously. This fact explains observed unusual behavior of the activation energy which reveals upw ard cusp as a function of interlayer tunneling. Som e trialw ave functions for the ground state and quasihole states are inspected.


PACS num bers: $73.20 \mathrm{D} x, 11.15 .\{1,14.80 \mathrm{H} v, 73.20 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{f}$

The fractional quantum H all e ect (FQHE) $)^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ occurs at lling factor $\quad \mathrm{n}_{0}=\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{p}=(2 \mathrm{p} \quad 1)$ ( p integer) in a two-dim ensional electron system with a perpendicular m agnetic _eld B , while the $=1=2 \mathrm{e}$ ect has never been observed $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}]$. Here $0 \quad h c=e$ is the ux quantum and $n$ is the electron density. In the physics of the FQHE system, the com posite ferm ion picture is quit usefiu [1] $]$. In this description, the $=p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{p} & 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{FQHE}$ state can be understood as the ${ }^{0}=p$ integer quantum H alle ect of com posite ferm ionswhich possesstw o ux quanta 20 [ Thus, $=1=2$ corresponds to zero eld system of com posite ferm ions and the ground state can be understood as the Ferm i liquid state of com posite ferm ions[lili

On the other hand at $=5=2$, which is half lling of the second Landau level, the fractionally quantized
 num erical investigations $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[6]} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ {[1]}\end{array}\right]$, the ground state at $=$ $5=2$ is believed to be a kind of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{w}$ ave paired state of com posite ferm ions rst discussed by $M$ oore and $R$ ead ["[i]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M R=\operatorname{Pf} \frac{1}{u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}}{ }_{i<j}\left(u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}\right)^{2}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use spherical geom etry $\left[{ }_{1}^{9}\right]$ for convenience, $\left(u_{i} ; v_{j}\right)=\left(\cos \left({ }_{i}=2\right) e^{i}{ }_{i}=2 ; \sin \left({ }_{i}=2\right) e^{i_{i}=2}\right)$ is spinor coordinate of the $i^{\prime}$ th electron, and $\left.P f \mathbb{A}\right]$ is the $P$ fa an of an antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrix $A$.

W hen the intemal degree of freedom such as spin or layer index is introduced, the physics becom es more colorful. The $=1=2$ FQHE was observed in a double-quantum well (DQW) structure[id] and a widesinglequantum well (W SQW) [ $\left[\right.$ In $\left.\bar{I}^{\prime}\right]$. In a two-layer system w ithout interlayer transfer, the ground state can be approxim ated as a tw o-com ponent state proposed by H a lperin: [12] ]

$$
={ }_{i<j}^{33 Y}\left(u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}\right)^{3}{ }_{i<j}^{Y}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i j & i j \tag{2}
\end{array}\right)^{3} Y\left(u_{i} j \quad V_{i j}\right)^{1} ;
$$

at certain ranges of the ratio of the layer separation and the $m$ agnetic length $d=[\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. Here $\left(u_{i} ; v_{i}\right)$ and ( $\left.i ; i\right)$
are com plex spinor coordinates of electrons in the top and bottom layer, respectively. The experim ental result of $d=1$-dependence of the $1 / 2$ FQHE_ in DQW ["d]
ts the theoretical prediction very welin $[1 ;]$. On the other hand, the $1 / 2$ state $m$ easured in $W \overline{S Q} W$ is $m$ ore subtle $[1]=1]$ lince such a system possesses the duality of a bilayer and thick single-layer system. In fact, both onecom ponent $[1]$ els have been proposed. To see the nature of the ground state in W SQW experim entally, Suen et al. m easured activation energy as a function of interlayer tunneling [1] ]. At $=2=3$ the gap show sdow nw ard cusp behaviorw hich indicates a clear transition from two-com ponent to onecom ponent, while at $=1=2$ such a characteristic was not observed. Since the gap rst increases when sas is decreased, they considered the tw o-com ponent state, $m$ ost likely 331 -like state, as the ground state. They also concluded that the two-com ponent state does not evolve to the one-com ponent FQ HE state but to a m etallic state as SAS increases. At the center of the FQHE region, the gap has a shanp upw ard cusp.
$T$ here are also som e theoretical progresses. B ased on the pairing picture of com posite ferm ions, H alperin considered the continuous evolution of the ground state between 331 and MR, and proposed a d- SAs phase diagram [17. P ursuing this scenario, Ho argued interesting connections between these $1 / 2$ FQHE states and super uid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{-1}\right]$. Nam ely 331 and MR correspond to the ABM state and $A_{1}$ state, respectively, and the introduction of SAS corresponds to the Zeem an splitting along the x -axis in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ super uid. Here the pseudospin " and \# assign electron in the top layer and bottom layer, respectively. Such a m ean- eld picture of com posite ferm ion pairing possesses a beautiful structure. H ow ever, the relation to the experim ental result $m$ entioned above has been unclear and it stays only in theoretical curiosity. In this article we perform a num erical investigation of the evolution of the $=1=2 \mathrm{FQHE}$ state as a function of interlayer tunneling and the layer spacing. It is show $n$ that the ground state evolves continuously, while the quasihole state changes discontinuously betw een tw o-
com ponent and one-com ponent states. B ased on these results, a reasonable explanation for inscrutable upw ard cusp behavior of the activation energy is presented.

The Ham iltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SAS}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The single-particle Ham iltonian; $H_{\text {SAS }}=$
$\frac{1}{2}$ SAS ${ }_{m}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m} \#}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m} \# \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)=$ SASS describes electron transfer between the layers, $H$ ere the total pseudospin operator is de ned as $S=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \int_{2}^{0} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 0$ 。 On the other hand $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ represents the usual Coulom b inferaction $w$ thin and between the layers: $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \quad m_{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{4} \mathrm{~lm}_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{JV} \quad 0 \mathrm{~m}_{3} ; \mathrm{m}_{4} \mathrm{ic}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \circ \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{3}} \circ \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{4}}$ 。 To model a bilayer system, we consider not only the layer spacing $d$ but also the thickness $w$. $T$ hey are treated by using the following form of Coulomb interaction: $V_{n "}=V_{\# \#}=e^{2}=\frac{r^{2}+w^{2}}{}$ and $V_{" \#}=V_{\# "}=e^{2}=\frac{r^{2}+d^{2}}{}$. Since we are interested in changing $d$, the thickness is xed at $w=3: 81$ in this article. We rst consider the quantity given as

$$
E=E(\mathbb{N}+1 ; N)+E(\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; N) \quad 2 E(\mathbb{N} ; N)
$$ in $N=6$ electron system as a function of interlayer tunneling where the number of $u x N=2 N \quad 3=9$ for the ground state $w$ th $p-w$ ave paired state $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[13} \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & { }^{2} \\ 2\end{array} 0_{1}^{1}\right]$. As shown in $F$ ig. 1 the upward cusp behavior is found at $\mathrm{d}=1=5: 0 ; 5: 5 ; 7: 0$, and 9.0 . The charged excitations are quasiholes or vortices, which e ectively contain a half quantum of ux because of pairing. Thus to obtain the activation energy, one should divide the value

$E$ by 2 after subtracting the interaction energy for two e $=e=4$ charges. In consideration of this fact, upw ard cusp behavior of the activation energy observed experim entally is reproduced in our sim ple theoretical m odel.

O ur statem ent is follow ing. The ground state changes continuously contrary to the case of $=2=3$, while


FIG.1: C alculated $E$ in units of $e^{2}=1$ as a function of $\operatorname{SAS}=\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ for $d=1=5: 0, d=1=5: 5, d=1=7: 0$, and $d=l=9: 0$. The data show upw ard cusp which is sim ilar to the observed energy gap experim entally. The num ber of electron is $\mathrm{N}=6$.
the quasihole state changes discontinuously as a function of SAS. The calculated expectation value $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{x}}$ i indicates a continuous evolution from two-com ponent $\left(h S_{x} i=0\right)$ to one-com ponent $\left(h S_{x} i=N=2\right)$ as show $n$ in $F$ ighr. N ow we show our calculated overlaps betw een the exact ground state and the trial states as a function of SAS at $d=1=5: 0$ in $F$ ig and $M_{R}$ are relevant for small SAS and large SAS, respectively. W e found that the crossing point of these two quantities corresponds to the point of upw ard cusp in the quantity $E$ at each value of $d=1$. Thus contrary to the conclusion of ref. [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ] or ref. [1] [], we found that the upw ard cusp is related to the crossover betw een the single com ponent and two com ponent states. N ext we inspect the $d$-vector description of H O which is given by [id $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d}()=(0$; isin $; \mathrm{cos})$ at the $m$ iddle range of tunneling. T he p-w ave paired state can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{H}[\mathrm{~d}()]=\operatorname{Pf} \frac{[d()]}{u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}}{ }_{i<j}^{Y}\left(u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}\right)^{2} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 2 m atrix:

$$
=i\left[(d() \quad)_{y}\right]=\begin{array}{cc}
\cos & \sin  \tag{5}\\
\sin & \cos
\end{array}
$$

is related to the order param eter of the triplet pairing $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{8_{v}^{\prime}}$ [19] 1 . $N$ ote that $=0$ and $==4$ correspond 331 and $M R_{R}$, respectively. W e constructed the wave function eq. (4) explicitly for severalvalue of, and com pared w ith the exact ground state in a six electron system. T he optim ized which has largest overlap w ith the ground state w ave function at each value of SAS is plotted in Fig'


FIG.2: Expectation value of the $x$-com ponent of the pseudospin in the ground state (solid line) and in the state w ith an extra ux quantum (dashed line) as a function of sas $=\left(e^{2}=1\right)$. Inset: O verlap betw een the ground state $w$ ave function and the Pfa an, and 331 state trial function as a function of interlayer tunneling in the six-electron system at $d=1=5: 0$.

The trialstate eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \mathbf{N}^{1}\right)$ w ith the optim ized has large overlap about 0.9. N ote that in triplet pairing the pseudospin is given as S / id d. H ow ever the pseudospin operator does not com $m$ ute $w$ ith the total H am iltonian, so above value does not correspond to the exact value calculated in $F$ ig $\bar{z}$. It is di erent from the $m$ ean- eld theory of com posite ferm ion $\left.\left[18_{1}, 1,1\right]_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

As we saw in $F$ ig $2, h S_{x} i$ for the state $w$ th one extra ux quantum (dashed line) has a break in the line at $\operatorname{sAS}=\left(e^{2}=1\right)=0: 013$, that indicates a level crossing between two-com ponent and one-com ponent in the quasihole states. A s we $m$ entioned above, the elem entary charged excitations are described as a half quantum vortex $0=2 \mathrm{which}$ is called a quasihole. H ow ever, it is im possible to construct num erically the one half ux state. So we consider the one extra ux quantum state which should correspond to the tw o-quasihole state. The quasinoles in the P fa an state are thought to obey nonabelian statistics $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ } \\ ]\end{array}\right]$. For $2 n$ quasihole states the $2^{\text {n }}{ }^{1}$ degeneracy is needed to possess non-abelian statistics. Read and Rezayi diagonalization investigation $w$ th three body interaction which is the parent $H$ am iltonian for the $P$ fa an state and its quasihole excited states 2 did. O ne of the two-quasihole state is the Laugh lin type quasihole $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$ state: ${\underset{N}{N}=1}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{M}$ $w$ ith the totalangularm om entum $L=3$ fora six electron system which corresponds to the tw o-quasinole state w ith zero relative angular $m$ om entum . Because of the longrange nature of the C oulomb interaction, such a state w ith higher total angular m om entum should be higher in energy. The quantum num ber of the relative angular $m$ om entum of the two-quasiholes $m$ ust be even because of their statistics. So the state $w$ th sm aller energy has $\mathrm{L}=1$ and the trial function for two-quasiholes is given by


FIG. 3: Optim ized value of which has largest overlap $h$ н $[d()] j$ exact $i$ under the change of $\operatorname{sAS}=\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ at $d=1=$ $5: 0$. In set: C alculated quantitative phase diagram of $=1=2$ bilayer system. At the boundary the energy gap collapses.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{M R}{2 q h}=P f \frac{u_{i} v_{j}+v_{i} u_{j}}{u_{i} v_{j}} \quad v_{i} u_{j} \quad \underset{i<j}{Y}\left(u_{i} v_{j} \quad v_{i} u_{j}\right)^{2}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne of the quasinole is on the north pole and the other is on the south pole. W e expect such a state becom es relevant in a bilayer system with large sas. A ctually $h \underset{M R}{2 q h} j i=0: 93929$ at $\operatorname{sAs}=0: 08\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}=1=5: 0$. In the opposite $\lim$ 边 of $\mathrm{sAg}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ! 0 the quasinole states for 331 which are given by $\quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}=1 \\ & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}\end{aligned} \quad 331$ and $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{N}_{\#} \\ \mathrm{i}=1 \\ \text { i }\end{gathered} 331 \mathrm{~m}$ ight be relevant. The low est energy state w ith tw o quasiholes should have zero total angular m om entum . Figure ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{1}$ show s energy eigenvalues in the six electron system w ith an extra ux as a function of the total angular $m$ om entum $L$ and interlayer tunneling

SAS. The state $w$ ith $L=0$ is lowest in the absence of tunneling. As sAs increases energy of the state $w$ ith $L=1$ decreases and becom es low est when sas exceeds $0: 013\left(e^{2}=1\right) . T$ he latter state can be approxim ated by
${ }_{M \mathrm{R}}^{2 \mathrm{qh}}$. T he levelcrossing point exactly corresponds to the cusp point in Fig.1. In other words, the upw ard cusp is a sign of the transition betw een abelian and non-abelian statistics.

N ow we study larger $d=1$ region. The $=1=2 \mathrm{FQHE}$ state is observed in the W SQW w ith large $d=1>7 . T h$ is fact is far from what was originally expected [13]. Figure $\overline{-1 / 1}$ show s the interlayer pair-correlation function $g_{" \#}$ ( $r$ ) at $\operatorname{sAS}=0$. In a crude sence, the 331 state can be understood as tw o Laughlin 1/3 states locked togerther so that the electrons in one layer are bound to correlation holes in the other. W e can nd that correlation hole or locking betw een the layers declines and the system goes into the uncorrelating phase when $d=l$ becom es large as shown in F ig.6. T hus FQ H E state is not realized at $d=1>7$ if $S_{A S}=0$. On the other hand, at large SAS $=\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ our quantitative phase diagram depicted





FIG. 4: Energy eigenvalues [m easured in units of $e^{2}=1$ ] in the six electron system w ith $\mathrm{N}=10$ (extra ux quantum). $T$ here is a level crossing when sAs $=\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ in creases.


FIG. 5: Interlayer pair-correlation function at $\mathrm{SAS}=0$, $d=1=5: 0,9: 0$ and that in the 331 state. The num ber of electron is $N=6$. Inset: Pair-correlation function in the sym $m$ etric sector in the case of $d=w=0$ and $d=w=6: 01$ at SAS! 1 .
in the inset of F ig' as shown in $F$ ig ${ }^{\prime}$, 6 , the ground state at large SAS can be understood as the Pfa an state, and the Ho's state $\left(\underline{4}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith $==6$ is relevant around the cusp point. T he tw o-quasinole state also show s level crossing when the tunneling rate SAs is increased. As Fig' the large SA s region two-quasihole state of M oore and $R$ ead is relevant.

As a supplem ent, we comment on the experim ent at large sAs. In the experim ent the gap becomes sm aller when SAS is increased further, and vanishes at $\mathrm{SAS}=\left(e^{2}=1\right)>0: 08[1]$ [1 $]$. To realize this larger tunneling region, $m$ agnetic eld is reduced, and not only $d=1$ but also $w=1$ becoem $s$ sm all ( $w=12: 5$ ). That is to say the well-w idth w should be appreciated as the third consequent param eter. $W$ hen $w$ and d are signi cantly sm alland tunneling rate is large, the system correspondsto a at single layer system, and the RezayiR ead state [2'] describing the Ferm i liquid state of com posite ferm ions $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ ]\end{array} \mathrm{m}\right.$ ight be relevant, and quantized plateau does not appear. In fact, in the lim it of SAS! 1 and $d=w!0$, the two-correlation function in the sym m etric sector (dashed line) show s $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$-like oscillation' $\left.{ }^{[2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right]$ at $\mathrm{N}=10$ and $\mathrm{N}=18$ as we see in F ig' $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{w}=6: 01$ the short range repulsion is reduced and the ocsillation disapears that indicates the C ooper instability. Thus to observe the one-com ponent $1 / 2$ FQ HE , a sam ple w ith w ide enough well and large tunneling rate should be needed.

In this paper we have investigated the evolution of the $=1=2$ bilayer FQHE state. W e showed that the ground state evolves continuously as the tunneling rate is changed, while the quasinole state reveals a levelcrossing from two-com ponent to one-com ponent. The fact that the energy gap becom esm axim um through the transition is really unusual within the physics of quantum

 and $=4$ ( mR state) as a function of $S A S S^{=}\left(e^{2}=1\right)$ at $d=1=$ 9:0. Inset: $O$ verlap $w$ ith the two-qasihole state of $M$ oore and $R$ ead in the added extra quantum ux system.
phase transition. Perhaps sim ilar singularity $m$ ight be observed in transport phenom ena such as the $H$ all resistance of drag current or pseudospin current.
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