

# Dynam ic scaling and aging phenom ena in short-range Ising spin glass: Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub>-FeC l<sub>3</sub> graphite bi-intercalation com pound

Itsuko S. Suzuki and Masatsugu Suzuki†

Department of Physics, State University of New York at Bingham ton, Bingham ton, New York 13902-6016  
(Dated: M arch 22, 2024)

Static and dynam ic behavior of short-range Ising-spin glass Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub>-FeC l<sub>3</sub> graphite bi-intercalation com pounds (GBIC) has been studied with SQUID DC and AC magnetic susceptibility. The T dependence of the zero-field relaxation time  $\tau$  above a spin-freezing temperature  $T_g$  ( $\approx 3.92$ – $0.11$  K) is well described by critical slowing down. The absorption  $\chi''$  below  $T_g$  decreases with increasing angular frequency  $\omega$ , which is in contrast to the case of 3D Ising spin glass. The dynam ic freezing temperature  $T_f(H; !)$  at which  $dM_{FC}(T;H)/dT = 0$  ( $T;H = 0; !$ ), is determined as a function of frequency ( $0.01$  Hz  $\leq \omega \leq 1$  kHz) and magnetic field ( $0$ – $5$  kOe). The dynam ic scaling analysis of the relaxation time  $\tau(T;H)$  defined as  $\tau = 1/\omega$  at  $T = T_f(H; !)$  suggests the absence of SG phase in the presence of H (at least above  $100$  Oe). Dynam ic scaling analysis of  $\chi''(T; !)$  and  $\tau(T;H)$  near  $T_g$  leads to the critical exponents ( $\nu = 0.36 \pm 0.03$ ,  $\beta = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\gamma = 1.4 \pm 0.2$ ,  $z = 6.6 \pm 1.2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.24 \pm 0.02$ , and  $\beta' = 0.13 \pm 0.02$ ). The aging phenomenon is studied through the absorption  $\chi''(!; t)$  below  $T_g$ . It obeys a  $(!; t)^{b^0}$  power-law decay with an exponent  $b^0 = 0.15 \pm 0.2$ . The rejuvenation effect is also observed under sufficiently large (temperature and magnetic-field) perturbations.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Lk, 75.40.Gb, 75.40.Cx

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been much effort to understand phase transitions of three-dimensional (3D) Ising spin glasses (SG's) with short-range interactions. The dynam ic behavior of the low temperature SG phase has been a subject of some controversy. There are basically two different pictures of the SG phase, the mean-field picture (including Monte Carlo simulations)<sup>1</sup> and the scaling picture.<sup>2,3,4,5,6,7</sup>

The mean-field picture is originated from the replica-symmetry-breaking (RSB) solution of Parisi for the infinite-range Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model.<sup>1</sup> In this picture the SG phase has a complicated free energy structure with a number of degenerate minimum separated with each other by large free energy barriers. The SG phase survives in the presence of a magnetic field. A de Almeida-Thouless (AT) line separates the SG phase from a paramagnetic phase.<sup>8</sup>

The scaling picture (so-called the droplet model) is based on renormalization group arguments.<sup>2,3,4,5,6,7</sup> In this picture there are only two thermodynamic states related to each other by a global spin flip, and the important excitations at low temperatures are droplets of overturned spins. In three dimensions a true phase transition should exist only in zero field and no irreversibility should be seen in the presence of any finite magnetic field.

Because of extremely long relaxation time, there is no direct measurement available that can demonstrate whether the SG phase exists even in zero magnetic field.<sup>9,10,11</sup> The dynam ic scaling analysis is required to overcome such an experimental difficulty in confirming the existence of thermally equilibrium SG phase in a finite magnetic field. This problem has been addressed by Mattsson et al.<sup>9</sup> They have studied the dynam ic suscep-

tibility of a 3D Ising SG, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub>. The in-field scaling behavior of the dynam ic susceptibility, which is remarkably different from the zero-field behavior, is explained as demonstrating the absence of SG phase in a finite field.

In this paper we study the slow dynamics of the SG phase in a short-range 3D Ising SG, Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub>-FeC l<sub>3</sub> graphite bi-intercalation compound (GBIC), using SQUID DC and AC magnetic susceptibility. This compound undergoes a SG transition at a spin freezing temperature  $T_g$  ( $\approx 3.92 \pm 0.11$  K) at zero magnetic field. Following a method used by Mattsson et al.,<sup>9</sup> we determine the dynam ic freezing temperature  $T_f(H; !)$  at which  $dM_{FC}(T;H)/dT = 0$  ( $T;H = 0; !$ ), as a function of frequency ( $0.01$  Hz  $\leq \omega \leq 1$  kHz) and field ( $0$ – $5$  kOe). The dynam ic scaling analysis of the relaxation time  $\tau(T;H)$  defined as  $\tau = 1/\omega$  at  $T = T_f(H; !)$ , suggests that the SG phase does not survive in the presence of H (at least above  $100$  Oe). The critical exponents are determined from the dynam ic scaling analysis for  $\chi''(T; !)$  and  $\tau(T;H)$  and compared to those for Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub>. The aging phenomenon is also studied through the time dependence of the absorption  $\chi''(!; t)$  below  $T_g$ . It obeys a  $(!; t)^{b^0}$  power-law decay with an exponent  $b^0 = 0.15 \pm 0.2$ .

Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub>-FeC l<sub>3</sub> GBIC has a unique layered structure where the Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub> intercalate layer ( $= I_1$ ) and FeC l<sub>3</sub> intercalate layers ( $= I_2$ ) alternate with a single graphite layer (G), forming a stacking sequence ( $-G-I_1-G-I_2-G-I_1-G-I_2-G-$ ) along the c axis.<sup>12,13</sup> In the Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub> intercalate layer two kinds of magnetic ions (Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup>) are randomly distributed on the triangular lattice. The spin order in the Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>C l<sub>2</sub> layers is coupled with that in the FeC l<sub>3</sub> intercalate layer through an interplanar exchange interaction, leading to

the spin frustration effect.

The XY and Ising character of the present system are due to the easy-plane type anisotropy  $\chi_H^{\text{out}}$  and the in-plane anisotropy  $\chi_H^{\text{in}}$ , respectively:  $\chi_H^{\text{out}} > \chi_H^{\text{in}}$ . Although the in-plane structure of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  layers is incommensurate with that of graphene sheets, Cu and Co atoms tend to sit over hexagon centers of graphene sheets because of the interplanar structural correlation. The sixfold symmetry of graphene sheets imposes the in-plane spin anisotropy in the c plane. Because of this, the spins tend to align along the easy axis with sixfold symmetry in the c plane.<sup>14</sup>

It is well known that the intercalate layers are formed of small islands in acceptor graphite intercalation compounds (GIC's).<sup>14</sup> In stage-2  $\text{CoC}_{12}$  GIC, for example, there is a charge transfer from graphene sheets to the  $\text{CoC}_{12}$  intercalate layer. The periphery of such islands provides sites for charges transferred. The size of islands is on the order of 400 Å. In spite of few structural studies, it is predicted that similar island structures exist both in the  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  and  $\text{FeC}_{12}$  layers of our system.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A sample of stage-2  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  graphite intercalation compound (GIC) as a starting material was prepared from single-crystalline graphite (SCKG) by vapor reaction of anhydrous  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  in a chlorine atmosphere with a gas pressure of 740 Torr.<sup>15,16</sup> The reaction was continued at 500 °C for three weeks. The sample of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}$  GBIC was prepared by a sequential intercalation method: the intercalant  $\text{FeC}_{12}$  was intercalated into empty graphite galleries of stage-2  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  GIC. A mixture of well-defined stage-2  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  GIC based on SCKG and single-crystal  $\text{FeC}_{12}$  was sealed in vacuum inside Pyrex glass tubing, and was kept at 330 °C for two weeks. The stoichiometry of the sample is represented by  $\text{C}_m(\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12})_1\text{c}(\text{FeC}_{12})_c$ . The concentration of C and Fe (m and c) was determined from weight uptake measurement and electron microprobe measurement [using a scanning electron microscope (Model Hitachi S-450)]:  $m = 5.26 \pm 0.05$  and  $c = 0.53 \pm 0.03$ . The (00L) x-ray diffraction measurements of stage-2  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  GIC and  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}$  GBIC were made at 300 K by using a Huber double circle diffractometer with a MoK $\alpha$  x-ray radiation source (1.5 kW). The c-axis repeat distance of stage-2  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  GIC and  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}$  GBIC was determined as 12.83 Å and 18.81 Å, respectively.

The DC magnetization and AC susceptibility were measured using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS XL-5) with an ultra-low field capability option. First a remnant magnetic field was reduced to zero field (exactly less than 3 mOe) at 298 K for both DC magnetization and AC susceptibility measurements. Then the sample was cooled from 298 K to 1.9 K in a zero

field. (i) Measurements of the zero-field-cooled susceptibility ( $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$ ) and the field-cooled susceptibility ( $\chi_{\text{FC}}$ ). After an external magnetic field ( $0 < H < 1$  kOe) was applied along the c plane (basal plane of graphene layer) at 1.9 K,  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  was measured with increasing temperature (T) from 1.9 to 20 K. After annealing of sample for 10 minutes at 50 K in the presence of H,  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  was measured with decreasing T from 20 to 1.9 K. (ii) AC susceptibility measurement. The frequency (f), magnetic field, and temperature dependence of the dispersion ( $\chi''$ ) and absorption ( $\chi'''$ ) was measured between 1.9 to 20 K, where the frequency of the AC field is  $f = 0.01 - 1000$  Hz and the amplitude h is typically  $h = 50$  mOe.

## III. RESULT

### A. $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}(T; H)$ and $\chi_{\text{FC}}(T; H)$

Figures 1(a) and (b) show the T dependence of the ZFC and FC susceptibilities ( $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$ ) of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}$  GBIC at various H, where the magnetic field (H) is applied along the c plane perpendicular to the c axis. The T dependence of  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  is similar to that of  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiD}_3$ .<sup>17</sup> The susceptibility  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  at  $H = 1$  Oe exhibits a cusp around 4.5 K, while  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  is nearly temperature independent below 3.9 K. Note that  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  along the c axis at  $H = 1$  Oe is on the order of one-tenth of  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  along the c plane around 3.9 K. Figure 2(a) shows the T dependence of the difference defined by  $\Delta\chi = \chi_{\text{FC}} - \chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  at various H, which is the measure for the irreversibility of the susceptibility. The deviation of  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  from  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  at  $H = 1$  Oe starts to occur below 10 K, and drastically increases with decreasing T below the cusp temperature of  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$ . The inflection-point temperature of  $\Delta\chi$  vs T corresponds to a local minimum temperature of  $d\Delta\chi/dT$  vs T shown in Fig. 2(b). The inflection-point temperature of  $\Delta\chi$  drastically decreases with increasing H, leading to the AT line in the (H; T) diagram (see Sec. IIID for further discussion). The non-vanishing  $\Delta\chi$  well above the inflection-point temperature is partly due to the islandic nature of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}$  and  $\text{FeC}_{12}$  layers. The growth of the in-plane spin correlation length is partly limited by the existence of small islands, leading to the smearing of the inflection-point temperature over the system.

### B. Relaxation time: $\chi''(T; !)$ and $\chi'''(T; !)$

In Fig. 3(a) we show the T dependence of the dispersion  $\chi''(T; !)$  at various frequencies ( $f = 0.01 - 1000$  Hz), where  $! (= 2\pi f)$  is the angular frequency and  $h (= 50$  mOe) is the amplitude of the AC field. In Fig. 3(b), the T dependence of  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  at  $H = 1$  Oe is compared to typical data of  $\chi''(T; !)$ . The susceptibility  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  may correspond to the equilibrium susceptibility ( $\chi_{\text{eq}}$ ).




FIG. 1: (a) and (b)  $T$  dependence of  $\chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  at various  $H$  for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Cl}_2\text{-FeCl}_3$  GBIC.  $H$  is applied along the  $c$  plane (graphene basal plane) perpendicular to the  $c$  axis.

In Fig. 4(a) we show the  $T$  dependence of the absorption  $\chi''(T; f)$  at various  $f$ . Similar behaviors in  $\chi''(T; f)$  and  $\chi''(T; f)$  have been observed in Fe-C nanoparticles by Hansen et al.<sup>18</sup> Figure 4(b) shows the  $T$  dependence of the derivative  $d\chi''(T; f)/dT$ . If we assume the conventional critical slowing down on approaching the SG transition temperature  $T_g$  from the high- $T$  side, the  $T$  dependence of the relaxation time can be described by<sup>19</sup>

$$\tau = (\tau_0 / (T - T_g))^x; \quad (1)$$

with  $x = z/\nu$ , where  $z$  is the dynamic critical exponent,  $\nu$  is the critical exponent of the spin correlation length, and  $\tau_0$  is the characteristic time. In the analysis of  $\tau$  vs  $T$ ,  $T$  corresponds to the local minimum temperature of  $d\chi''/dT$  vs  $T$ , or the peak temperatures of  $\chi''$  vs  $T$  and




FIG. 2:  $T$  dependence of (a)  $d\chi/dT = \chi_{\text{FC}} - \chi_{\text{ZFC}}$  and (b)  $d\chi/dT$  at various  $H$ . The value of  $\tau$  is derived from Fig. 1. The solid lines are guides to the eyes.

$\tau$  vs  $T$ , where  $\tau_0$  is set equal to  $10^{-11}$  s. In Fig. 5 we show the  $T$  dependence of  $\tau$  thus obtained. The least squares fits of the data of  $\tau$  vs  $T$  yield  $x = 10.3 \pm 0.7$ ,  $T_g = 3.92 \pm 0.11$  K,  $\tau_0 = (5.27 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-6}$  sec for the local minimum temperature of  $d\chi''/dT$  vs  $T$ ,  $x = 15.5 \pm 1.5$ ,  $T_g = 2.98 \pm 0.18$  K,  $\tau_0 = (8.56 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}$  sec for the peak temperature of  $\chi''$  vs  $T$ , and  $x = 15.3 \pm 2.0$ ,  $T_g = 3.57 \pm 0.27$  K,  $\tau_0 = (3.08 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$  sec for the peak temperature of  $\chi''$  vs  $T$ . The parameters thus obtained are rather different. The relaxation time  $\tau$  is much larger than a microscopic relaxation time  $\tau_0$  (typically  $10^{-10}$  sec). Here we assume that the local minimum temperature of  $d\chi''/dT$  vs  $T$  at  $f = 0$  corresponds to the spin freezing temperature  $T_g$ .  $T_g$  is close to a temperature below which  $\chi_{\text{FC}}$  at  $H = 1$  Oe becomes nearly




FIG. 3: (a) T dependence of  $\chi''$  at various  $f$ ,  $h = 50$  mOe,  $H = 0$ . (b) T dependence of  $\chi''_{\text{ZFC}}$  and  $\chi''_{\text{FC}}$  ( $H = 1$  Oe), which is compared with that of  $\chi''$  at  $f = 0.01, 1$  and  $1000$  Hz ( $H = 0$ ).




FIG. 4: T dependence of (a)  $\chi''$  and (b)  $d\chi''/dT$  at various  $f$ ,  $h = 50$  mOe,  $H = 0$ .

constant. The value of  $x$  ( $\approx 10.3 \pm 0.7$ ) is rather close to that predicted by Ogilski for the 3D  $J$  Ising SG ( $x = 7.9 \pm 1.0$ )<sup>20</sup> from Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The situation is a little different for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ .<sup>19</sup> In the analysis of  $\chi''$  vs  $T$ ,  $T$  is determined either as the maximum of  $\chi''$  or as the inflection point of  $\chi''$ . In both cases,  $\chi''$  is well described by Eq. (1) with  $T_g = 20.95 \pm 0.1$  K,  $x = 10.0 \pm 1.0$ , and  $\log_0 \tau_0 = 12.8 \pm 1.0$ , where  $\tau_0$  is a microscopic relaxation time. The value of  $x$  is in excellent agreement with our result.

Here we assume a generalized form of the relaxation time, more suitable to the description of SG behavior near  $T_g$ .<sup>21</sup> The relaxation time  $\tau(l; T)$ , which is needed to flip the  $l$ -sized cluster of spins, is governed by them all

activation over a barrier  $B_T(l)$ , in such a way that

$$\tau(l; T) = \tau_0 l^2 \exp[B_T(l)/k_B T]; \quad (2)$$

where  $l$  is in units of the lattice constant  $a$ . The energy barrier is described by  $B_T(l) = Y(T)l$ , where  $Y$  is the barrier exponent. The wall stiffness  $Y(T)$  should vanish above  $T_g$  like in ferromagnets and is described by  $Y(T) = Y_0(1 - T/T_g)$  below  $T_g$ , where  $Y_0$  is on the order of  $k_B T_g$ . Above  $T_g$  the exponential term in Eq.(2) is nearly equal to 1, leading to the expression for the critical slowing down above  $T_g$ :  $\tau(l; T) \approx \tau_0 l^2$ .






FIG. 5:  $T$  dependence of relaxation time  $\tau$ , which is determined from peak temperatures of  $\chi''$  vs  $T$  and  $\chi'$  vs  $T$ , and local minimum temperature of  $d\chi''/dT$  vs  $T$ . The solid lines are fits to Eq. (1) (critical slowing down) with parameters  $x$ ,  $T_g$ , and  $\beta$  given in the text.

### C. Dynamic scaling of $\chi''(T; f)$

Figures 6(a) and (b) show the  $f$  dependence of  $\chi''(T; f)$  and  $\chi'(T; f)$  at various  $T$  in the vicinity of  $T_g$  ( $= 3.92$  K), respectively. The absorption  $\chi''(T; f)$  curves exhibit different characteristics depending on  $T$ . Above  $T_g$ ,  $\chi''(T; f)$  shows a peak at a characteristic frequency, shifting to the high  $f$ -side as  $T$  increases. Below  $T_g$ ,  $\chi''(T; f)$  shows no peak for  $f < 0.01$  Hz. It decreases with increasing  $f$ , following a power law  $\chi'' \propto f^{-\alpha}$ . The exponent  $\alpha$  is almost independent of  $T$ :  $\alpha = 0.096 \pm 0.003$ . According to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, the magnetic fluctuation spectrum  $S(f)$  is related to  $\chi''(T; f)$  by  $S(T; f) = 2k_B T \chi''(T; f) \coth(\hbar/2k_B T)$ .<sup>22</sup> Then  $S(T; f)$  is proportional to  $f^{-(1+\alpha)}$ , which is similar to  $1/f$  character of typical SG. In contrast,  $\chi'(T; f)$  decreases with increasing  $f$  at any  $T$  near  $T_g$ :  $\chi'$  exhibits a power law  $\chi' \propto f^{-\beta}$ . The exponent  $\beta$  is weakly dependent on  $T$ :  $\beta = 0.088 \pm 0.001$  at  $T = 3$  K and  $\beta = 0.111 \pm 0.001$  at  $T = 3.8$  K. The value of  $\beta$  agrees well with that of  $\alpha$ . In fact,  $\alpha$  is related to  $\beta$  through a so called “ $\alpha = 2\beta$  rule”: $\alpha = (2\beta)d\chi'/d\ln f$  (Kramers-Kronig relation), leading to the relation  $\alpha = \beta$ . Here we note that the observed  $f$  dependence of  $\chi''$  in  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Cl}_2\text{-FeCl}_3$  GBIC is different from that in conventional spin glasses such as  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ <sup>19</sup> and  $\text{Eu}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_{0.6}\text{S}$ .<sup>23</sup> In these conventional spin glasses,  $\chi''$  increases with increasing  $f$  both above  $T_g$  and below  $T_g$ .

We consider the validity of a dynamic scaling law for  $T \chi''$ , which is predicted to be described by<sup>24</sup>

$$T \chi'' = f^\gamma \Phi(f/T^z); \quad (3)$$




FIG. 6: (a)  $f$  dependence of  $\chi''$  at various  $T$ .  $H = 0$  Oe. (b) and (c)  $f$  dependence of  $\chi'$  below  $T_g$  and above  $T_g$ .  $T_g = 3.92$  K.  $H = 0$  Oe. The solid lines are guides to the eyes.




FIG. 7: (a)  $T$  dependence of  $T^0$  at various  $f$ .  $H = 0$ . (b) Scaling plot of  $(T^0)_n = (T^0)/(T^0)_{max}$  as a function of  $wt$ .  $(T^0)_{max}$  is the maximum value of  $T^0$ . The relaxation time is described by Eq. (1) with  $x = 10.3$ ,  $T_g = 3.92$  K, and  $\tau = 5.27 \times 10^{-6}$  sec.

where  $\phi(!)$  is a scaling function of  $!$  and is assumed to take a maximum at  $! = \text{constant}$ . The value  $y (= -x)$  is a critical exponent, where  $x$  is a critical exponent of the order parameter  $(q)$ . Figure 7(a) shows the  $T$  dependence of  $T^0$  at various  $f$ . The curve of  $T^0$  vs  $T$  exhibits a peak, which shifts to the high- $T$  side as  $f$  increases. The peak height of  $T^0$  defined by  $(T^0)_{max}$  increases with increasing  $f$ . The least squares fit of the data for the peak height of  $T^0$  vs  $!$  (for 0.01  $\leq f \leq 1000$  Hz) to the form of  $(!^y)$  yields  $y = 0.035 \pm 0.001$ . Then the value of  $(-xy)$  is estimated as  $-0.36 \pm 0.03$ , where  $x = 10.3 \pm 0.7$ . This value of  $-xy$  is smaller than that ( $-0.54$ ) for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ .<sup>25</sup> Figure 7(b) shows the scaling plot of  $(T^0)_n = (T^0)/(T^0)_{max}$  as a function of  $wt$ , where  $wt$  is given by Eq. (1) with  $x = 10.3$ ,  $T_g$




FIG. 8:  $H$ - $T$  phase diagram, where the peak temperatures of  $Z_{FC}$  vs  $T$  ( $\circ$ ),  $d^0(T;H;f = 0.1 \text{ Hz})/dT$  vs  $T$  ( $\square$ ),  $d^0(T;H;f = 0.1 \text{ Hz})$  vs  $T$  ( $\nabla$ ), and the local minimum temperature of  $d^0/dT$  vs  $T$  ( $\diamond$ ). For comparison,  $T_f(H;!)$  with  $f = 0.01 \text{ Hz}$  ( $\circ$ ) (see also Fig. 12(a)) and  $T_f(H)$  ( $\square$ ) (see Eq. (8) and Fig. 14 for definition) are shown as a function of  $H$ . The solid lines are the fits of the data (see text).

$= 3.92$  K,  $\tau = 5.27 \times 10^{-6}$  sec. In this plot the data  $d^0(T;H = 0;!)$  for  $4 \leq T \leq 10$  K and  $0.01 \text{ Hz} \leq f \leq 1$  kHz are used. It seems that the data points fit well with a scaling function  $\phi(!)$ , indicating the validity of the dynamic scaling law given by Eq. (3).

#### D. Possibility of AT line determined from $d^0/dT$ vs $T$

According to the AT theory,<sup>8</sup> it is predicted that an ideal SG system in the presence of  $H$  undergoes a SG transition at the spin freezing temperature  $T_f(H)$  in the  $(H;T)$  plane. The deviation of  $Z_{FC}$  from  $Z_{FC}$  starts to occur for  $T < T_f(H)$ . Experimentally it is a little difficult to determine exactly the line  $T_f(H)$  at which  $Z_{FC} = 0$  because of possible distribution of  $T_f(H)$  arising from the islandic nature of the system. Instead, we use another definition for the line  $T_f(H)$  at which  $d^0/dT$  vs  $T$  exhibits a local minimum at each  $H$  (see Fig. 2(b)). In Fig. 8 we show the line  $T_f(H)$  thus obtained (the local minimum temperatures of  $d^0/dT$  vs  $T$ ) in the  $(H;T)$  plane. For comparison, we also plot the peak temperatures of  $Z_{FC}(T;H)$  vs  $T$ ,  $d^0(T;H;!)$  vs  $T$  at  $f = 0.1 \text{ Hz}$ , and the local minimum temperature of  $d^0(T;H;!)/dT$  vs  $T$  with  $f = 0.1 \text{ Hz}$  as a function of  $H$  in the  $(H;T)$  plane. Note that the data of  $d^0(T;H;!)$  vs  $T$  at  $f = 0.1 \text{ Hz}$  will be given later (see Fig. 9). These






FIG. 9: T dependence of (a)  $\chi'$  and (b)  $\chi''$  at various  $H$ .  $f = 0.1$  Hz and  $h = 0.5$  Oe.

lines are away from the line  $T_f(H)$ . The least squares fit of the data of the line  $T_f(H)$  for 100 Oe  $\leq H \leq 1$  kOe to

$$H = H_0 [1 - T_f(H) - T_g]^p; \quad (4)$$

yields parameters  $p = 1.52 \pm 0.10$  and  $H_0 = (3.4 \pm 0.4)$  kOe, where  $T_g = 3.92 \pm 0.11$  K. In the mean field picture,<sup>1,8</sup> this line corresponds to the AT line. In fact, the value of exponent  $p$  is close to that ( $p = 1.50$ ) for the AT line.

It has been believed that the mean field picture is valid for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ :  $p = 1.49$ .<sup>26</sup> However, Mattsson et al.<sup>9</sup> have claimed that the SG transition is destroyed by the application of a finite magnetic field  $H$  in  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ . Their result supports the prediction from the scaling picture that the long range SG order at equilibrium only occurs for  $H = 0$  and  $T < T_g$ . Further discussion for the AT line will be done in Secs. III E and III F.




FIG. 10: (a) - (f) T dependence of  $\chi'$  at  $f = 0.01$  and  $0.1$  Hz ( $H = 0$ ),  $\chi''$  at  $f = 0.1$  Hz at  $H = 0$ , ZFC, FC and  $\chi' = \chi'_{FC} - \chi'_{ZFC}$  at the same  $H$ .  $H = 100, 200, 300, 500, 700$ , and  $1000$  Oe.

### E. Effect of $H$ on the SG transition

The transition from the paramagnetic phase to the SG phase occurs in our system at  $H = 0$ . What happens to this transition in the presence of  $H$ ? Does the long-range SG phase survive as suggested by the molecular field picture or is destroyed as suggested by the scaling picture? According to the method developed by Mattsson et al.,<sup>9</sup> here we have determined the dynamic freezing temperature  $T_f(H; \omega)$  in a wide frequency and field interval. Figure 9 shows the T dependence of  $\chi'(T; H; \omega)$  and  $\chi''(T; H; \omega)$  at various  $H$  for  $\omega = 2\pi \times 0.1$  Hz, where  $h = 0.5$  Oe and  $H$  ( $0 < H \leq 3$  kOe) is applied along the  $ab$  plane perpendicular to the  $c$  axis. In Figs. 10 (a) - (f), we show the T dependence of  $\chi'(T; H = 0; \omega)$  at  $f = 0.01$  and  $0.1$  Hz,  $\chi''(T; H; \omega)$  at  $f = 0.1$  Hz,  $\chi'_{FC}(T; H)$ ,  $\chi'_{ZFC}(T; H)$ , and  $\chi'_{FC}(T; H) - \chi'_{ZFC}(T; H)$  at various  $H = 100 - 1000$  Oe. The susceptibility  $\chi'_{FC}(T; H)$  ( $= M_{FC}/H$ ) corresponds to the equilibrium susceptibility. Since  $M_{FC}(T; H)$  is a non-linear function of  $H$ ,




FIG. 11:  $T$  dependence of  $\chi^0(T; H = 0; !)$  and  $dM_{FC}(T; H) = dH$ . The spin freezing temperature  $T_f(H; !)$  is defined by a temperature at which  $\chi^0(T; H = 0; !)$  is equal to  $dM_{FC}(T; H) = dH$ .

$\chi_{FC} (= M_{FC} = H)$  is not equal to the differential FC susceptibility  $dM_{FC} = dH$ . The numerical calculations indicates that  $dM_{FC} = dH$  is smaller than  $\chi_{FC}$  at the same  $H$  and  $T$ . In Fig. 11 we show the  $T$  dependence of  $dM_{FC}(T; H) = dH$  at various  $H$  and  $\chi^0(T; H = 0; !)$  at various  $f$ . The spin freezing temperature  $T_f(H; !)$  is defined as a temperature at which  $dM_{FC}(T; H) = dH$  coincides with  $\chi^0(T; H = 0; !)$ . As shown in Fig. 10,  $\chi^0(T; H; !)$  at  $f = 0.1$  Hz deviates from  $\chi^0(T; H = 0; !)$  at the same  $f$  above  $T_f(H; !)$ . This is the same method that has been used by Mattsson et al.<sup>9</sup> to obtain  $T_f(H; !)$  for  $Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}TiO_3$ . The advantage of this method is that we do not have to measure the  $T$  dependence of  $\chi^0$  and  $\chi^0$  at each  $H$  such as the data shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 12(a) we show a plot of the line  $T_f(H; !)$  in the  $(H; T)$  plane, where  $f$  (0.01  $\leq f \leq 1000$  Hz) is varied as a parameter. For comparison, the line  $T_f(H; !)$  at  $f = 0.01$  Hz is also plotted in the  $H$ - $T$  diagram of Fig. 8. We find that this line is close to the line  $T_f(H)$  determined from the local minimum temperature of  $d = dT$  vs  $T$ . This result suggests that the method to determine  $T_f(H; !)$  is appropriate. Note that similar data of  $T_f(H; !)$  has been reported by Mattsson et al. for  $Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}TiO_3$ .<sup>9</sup> Figure 12(b) shows the  $T$  dependence of  $\chi(T; H)$  which is derived from Fig. 12(a);  $\chi(T; H) = 1/f = 1/(2f)$  at  $T = T_f(H; !)$ .

Assuming a SG phase transition at  $T_g$ , we assume the following dynamic scaling relation for the relaxation time  $\tau(T; H)$  in the presence of  $H$ ,<sup>27</sup>

$$\tau(T; H) = j^{-x} F(X) = H^{2x= (+)} G(X) \quad (5)$$

with  $X = j^{-1} H^{2= (+)}$ , where  $(+)$  denotes  $T > T_g$




FIG. 12: (a)  $T_f(H; !)$  contours at various  $H$  between  $f = 0.01$  Hz and 1 kHz, which is determined from Fig. 11. (b)  $\chi$  vs  $T$  at various  $H$ , which is derived from (a). The lines are guides to the eyes.

and  $(-)$  denotes  $T < T_g$ ,  $\tau$  is the reduced temperature defined by  $\tau = T/T_g - 1$ ,  $\tau_0$  is the relaxation time for  $T > T_g$  at  $H = 0$ ,  $\beta$  is the exponent of nonlinear dynamic susceptibility  $\chi_3$ ,  $F_+$  and  $G_+$  are the scaling functions for  $T > T_g$  and  $F_-$  and  $G_-$  are ones for  $T < T_g$ . The scaling relation Eq. (5) suggests that  $\tau$  diverges like  $(\tau = T_g; H) \sim H^{2x= (+)}$  as  $H \rightarrow 0$ . The characteristic field denoted by  $H_j = j^{1/(2= (+))}$  is a crossover line between a weak-field and a strong-field region for  $T > T_g$ .

In the scaling picture, the asymptotic form for  $\tau$  below  $T_g$  is obtained as follows. In Eq. (2) we assume that  $\tau$  diverges like  $1/H^{2= (-)}$  as  $H$  reduces to zero.<sup>5</sup> Then



can be rewritten as

$$(T;H) = H^{2z/(d+2)} \exp[Y_0 - j - j H^{2/(d+2)}] = k_B T_g; \quad (6)$$

just below  $T_g$ , where  $j$  is the energy exponent. The equivalence of Eqs. (5) and (6) leads to the relation  $j = (d+2)/(d+2) = (d+2)$ . Since  $j + j = (d+2) = 2$ ,  $2 = 2 + 2$ , we obtain a scaling relation  $(j = 2)$ . Then  $F(X)$  in Eq. (5) has the asymptotic form of  $F(X) = \exp(X)$ , since  $Y_0 = (k_B T_g)^{-1}$ .

Our result of  $(T;H)$  shown in Fig. 12(b) is analyzed using the dynamic scaling relation. The relaxation time  $\tau(T = T_g; H)$  is predicted to be proportional to  $H^{-2x/(d+2)}$  as  $H \rightarrow 0$ . In fact, the least squares fit of the data of  $\tau$  vs  $H$  at  $T_g = 3.92$  K for  $0.1 \leq H \leq 1$  kOe yields the parameters  $2x/(d+2) = 5.30 \pm 0.13$ . Since  $x = 10.3 \pm 0.7$  and  $d = 0.36 \pm 0.03$ , the exponent is estimated as  $j = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ . Using the scaling relations  $(j + 2 + j = 2$  and  $2 - j = d)$ , the exponents  $j$  and  $d$  are calculated as  $j = 2.2 \pm 0.5$  and  $d = 1.4 \pm 0.2$ , where  $d = 3$ . The exponent  $z$  is given by  $z = 6.6 \pm 1.2$ . These results agree with those predicted from the MC simulation by Ogilski for the 3D  $J$  Ising model ( $j = 1.9 \pm 0.3$ ,  $d = 0.5$ ,  $j = 2.9 \pm 0.3$ ,  $d = 1.3 \pm 0.1$ ,  $z = 6.0 \pm 0.5$ ). The exponent  $j$  is estimated as  $j = 0.13 \pm 0.02$  using the scaling relation  $(j = -2)$ . The validity of this will be discussed in Sec. IV.

Figure 13(a) shows a scaling plot of  $(T;H)H^{2x/(d+2)}$  as a function of  $X$ ,

$$(T;H)H^{2x/(d+2)} = G(X); \quad (7)$$

where  $x = 10.3$ ,  $T_g = 3.92$  K,  $d = 0.36$ , and  $j = 3.5$ . We find that almost all the data fall well on two scaling functions:  $G_+$  for  $T > T_g$  and  $G_-$  for  $T < T_g$ . The scaling function  $F$  is related to the scaling function  $G$  by  $F(X) = X^* G(X)$  with  $x = 10.3$ . In Fig. 13(b) we show the plot of  $F(X)$  as a function of  $X$ . The form of  $F(X)$  is given as follows.  $\ln[F(X)] = c_1 X + c_2$  with  $c_1 = 300 \pm 18$ ,  $c_2 = 72 \pm 2$ , and  $j = 0.33 \pm 0.01$ . This fitting curve is determined from the data of  $\tau$  at  $H = 3$  kOe. Since  $j = 1.4 \pm 0.2$ ,  $j$  is estimated as  $0.24 \pm 0.02$ .

#### F. Possibility of SG phase transition at finite $H$

We consider the possibility that  $(T;H)$  may be described by a critical slowing down given by

$$(T;H) = [T - T_f(H)]^{-x} \quad (8)$$

for  $T > T_f(H)$ . This is based on the assumption that the SG transition is not destroyed by the application of  $H$  (the molecular field picture). The SG phase exists below  $T_f(H)$  in thermal equilibrium. The least squares fits of the data of  $(T;H)$  vs  $T$  shown in Fig. 14 to the above power law form yield  $x = 10.2 \pm 0.3$ ,  $T_f(H) = 3.10 \pm 0.05$  K,  $j = 2.3 \times 10^4$  sec at  $H = 100$  Oe,  $x = 13.2 \pm 0.4$ ,  $T_f(H) = 2.35 \pm 0.07$  K,  $j = 1.2 \times 10^2$




FIG. 13: (a) Scaling plot of  $(T;H)H^{2x/(d+2)}$  vs  $X$  at various  $H$ , where  $X = j - jH^{2/(d+2)}$ . The values of exponents  $j$ ,  $d$ , and  $x$  are given in the text. (b) Scaling plot of  $X^* (T;H)H^{2x/(d+2)}$  vs  $X$  at various  $H$ . The solid line is a fitting curve (see the text for detail).

sec at  $H = 200$  Oe,  $x = 13.8 \pm 0.5$ ,  $T_f(H) = 2.08 \pm 0.08$  K,  $j = 5.2 \times 10^2$  sec at  $H = 300$  Oe, and  $x = 13.6 \pm 0.6$ ,  $T_f(H) = 1.94 \pm 0.08$  K,  $j = 6.0 \times 10^2$  sec at  $H = 500$  Oe. The values of  $T_f(H)$  thus obtained are plotted as a function of  $H$  in Fig. 8. This line does not coincide with the line  $T_f(H)$  determined from the local minimum temperature of  $d/dT$  vs  $T$ . These two lines start to deviate at  $H = 0$  Oe. The exponent  $x$  is strongly dependent on  $H$ . The value of  $x$  at  $H = 100$  Oe is almost equal to  $x$  at  $H = 0$ , but it drastically increases with increasing  $H$  for  $H > 200$  Oe. These results suggest that the SG transition at  $H = 0$  is destroyed by the application of  $H$  (at least above 100 Oe). This result is consistent with the following theoretical prediction proposed by Lamarcq et al.<sup>28</sup> For  $H < H_0$  (some




FIG. 14: Plot of  $\tau$  vs  $T$  obtained from the data of  $T_f(H; \tau)$  with  $H = 100, 200, 300$ , and  $500$  Oe. The relaxation time is defined as  $\tau = 1$  at  $T = T_f(H; \tau)$ .

TABLE I: Fit parameters for the decay of  $\chi''$  vs  $t$ .  $T = 3.75$  K to Eq. (9). The definition of the parameters is given in the text.

| $f$<br>(Hz) | $b^0$ | $\chi''(0)$<br>(emu/av mol) | $h_0^0(0)$<br>(emu/av mol) | $A^0(0)$ | $\tau^0$ |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 0.05        | 0.159 | 0.022                       | 0.522                      | 0.005    | 0.535    |
| 0.1         | 0.158 | 0.019                       | 0.502                      | 0.004    | 0.512    |
| 0.5         | 0.185 | 0.021                       | 0.445                      | 0.002    | 0.449    |
| 1           | 0.193 | 0.033                       | 0.419                      | 0.002    | 0.420    |

characteristic field) the system behaves qualitatively just as in the case  $H = 0$ , while significant changes arise for  $H > H_0$ . Our result is slightly different from the conclusion derived by Mattsson et al.<sup>9</sup> that the SG phase is destroyed at finite  $H$  for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ . Note that there are some recent theories<sup>29</sup> supporting the result of Mattsson et al.

#### G. Aging at constant temperature

We have measured the  $t$  dependence of  $\chi''$  at  $T = 3.25, 3.50, 3.75, 3.90$ , and  $4.4$  K, where  $H = 0$ . The system was quenched from  $10$  K to  $T$  ( $< T_g$ ) at time (age) zero. Both  $\chi'$  and  $\chi''$  were measured simultaneously as a function of time  $t$  at constant  $T$ . Each point consists in the successive measurements of  $\chi''$  at different frequencies ( $f = 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1$ , and  $5$  Hz). Figure 15 shows the  $t$  dependence of  $\chi''$  at  $T = 3.75$  K for  $f = 0.05, 0.1$ , and  $1$  Hz. The absorption  $\chi''$  decreases with increasing  $T$  and is well described by




FIG. 15:  $t$  dependence of  $\chi''$  at  $T = 3.75$  K for  $f = 0.05, 0.1$ , and  $1$  Hz, where  $t$  is the time taken after the sample was quenched from  $50$  to  $3.75$  K. The solid lines are the fits of the data to the power law form.

a power-law decay

$$\chi''(t) = \chi''(0) + A^0(t) t^{-b^0} \quad (9)$$

The least squares fit of the data of  $\chi''(t)$  at  $T = 3.75$  K to Eq. (9) yields fitting parameters listed in Table I. The exponent  $b^0$  is slightly dependent on  $f$ . The  $f$  dependence of the amplitude  $A^0(t)$  for  $0.05 \leq f \leq 1$  Hz is described by  $A^0(t) = A_0^0 t^{-\tau^0}$  with  $A_0^0 = 0.142 \pm 0.002$  and  $\tau^0 = 0.225 \pm 0.016$ . The value of  $\tau^0$  is almost the same as that of  $b^0$ , supporting a  $1/t$ -scaling relation that Eq. (9) can be rewritten as

$$\chi''(t) = \chi''(0) + A_0^0(t) t^{-b^0} \quad (10)$$

In Fig. 16(a) we show a scaling plot of  $\chi''(t)$  at  $3.75$  K as a function of  $t^{\tau^0}$ , where  $\chi''(t) = \chi''(0) + A_0^0(t) t^{-b^0}$ . The stationary susceptibility  $h_0^0(0)$  is listed in Table I




FIG. 16: Scaling plot of (a)  $\chi(t)/\chi_0^0 = \chi(t)/\chi_0^0(i)$  and (b)  $\chi(t)/\chi_0^0 = \chi(t)/\chi_0^0(i)$  as a function of  $\omega t$  for the data at  $f = 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1$ , and  $5$  Hz. The definition of stationary susceptibility  $\chi_0^0(i)$  and  $\chi_0^0(i)$  is given in the text. The solid lines are the fits of the data to the power law form. Each curve is vertically shifted by  $\chi_0^0(i)$  or  $\chi_0^0(i)$  (see text for definition).

is slightly different from  $\chi_0^0(i)$  and corresponds to the asymptotic  $f$ -dependent value so that  $\chi(t)$  tends to zero in the limit of  $\omega t \rightarrow 1$ . Almost all the data fall well on a single scaling function given by Eq. (10) with  $b^0 = \chi_0^0(i) = 0.255 \pm 0.005$  and  $A_0^0 = \chi_0^0(i) = 0.239 \pm 0.009$ . The value of  $\chi_0^0(i)$  is a little larger than that of  $b^0$  determined using the data at  $f = 0.05$  Hz. Note that  $\chi_0^0(i)$  is equal to  $0.14 \pm 0.03$  at  $19$  K for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$  ( $T_g = 20.7$  K).<sup>30</sup> The susceptibility  $\chi_0^0(i)$  clearly decreases with increasing  $f$ , which is consistent with the prediction by Picco et al.<sup>31</sup>

In Fig. 16(b) we show a scaling plot of  $\chi(t)/\chi_0^0 = \chi(t)/\chi_0^0(i)$  and  $\chi_0^0(i)$  is the stationary part of  $\chi(t)$  in the limit of  $\omega t \rightarrow 1$ .




FIG. 17:  $T$  dependence of exponents  $b^0$  and  $b^{00}$ .

All the data lie well with a universal curve described by  $\chi(t) = A_0^0(t) b^0$  with  $b^0 = \chi_0^0(i) = 0.226 \pm 0.007$  and  $A_0^0 = 0.426 \pm 0.018$ . The exponent  $\chi_0^0(i)$  is nearly equal to  $\chi_0^0(i)$ . The susceptibility  $\chi_0^0(i)$  decreases with increasing  $f$ , which is similar to the case of  $\chi_0^0(i)$ .

Such a power-law decay of  $\chi(t)$  and  $\chi(t)$  with  $t$  is observed only for  $3.25 \text{ K} < T < T_g$ . In Fig. 17 we show the  $T$  dependence of  $b^0$  and  $b^{00}$  at  $f = 0.05$  Hz. Both  $b^0$  and  $b^{00}$  are on the same order at the same  $T$ . There is a step-like increase in  $b^0$  and  $b^{00}$  with increasing  $T$  between  $3.5$  and  $3.75$  K;  $b^0 = 0.17 \pm 0.032$  at  $3.50$  K and  $b^{00} = 0.16 \pm 0.04$  at  $3.9$  K just below  $T_g$ . Similar behavior on the  $T$  dependence of  $b^{00}$  has been reported by Colla et al.<sup>32</sup> for a relaxor ferroelectric  $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ , which is not a SG system. We note that as shown in Fig. 2(b), the data of  $d/dT$  vs  $T$  for  $H = 1$  Oe exhibits a local minimum at  $3.5$  K, close to a temperature at which  $b^{00}$  undergoes a drastic increase.

#### H. Rejuvenation effect under perturbations

We also examine the aging effect of  $\chi$  under perturbations such as the change of  $T$  and  $H$ . Figure 18 shows the  $t$  dependence of  $\chi(t)$  under a negative temperature-cycle, where  $f = 0.05$  Hz and  $h = 0.1$  Oe. Here our system was quenched from  $10$  to  $T = 3.75$  K at  $H = 0$ . The relaxation of  $\chi(t)$  was measured as a function of  $t$  during a period  $t_{w1}$  ( $1.5 \sim 10^6$  sec). The temperature was then changed to  $T$  (the first  $T$ -shift). The relaxation of  $\chi$  was measured as a function of  $t$  for a period  $t_{w2}$  ( $1.5 \sim 10^6$  sec) at  $T$ . The system was again heated back to  $T$  (the second  $T$ -shift). These processes were repeated subsequently. In the case of  $T$






FIG. 18: Relaxation of  $M(t)$  during a negative temperature cycle.  $f = 0.05$  Hz,  $T = 3.75$  K and  $T = T$ .  $H = 0$ . The change of  $T$  with  $t$  is also shown. (a)  $T = 0.05$  K. (b) and (c)  $T = 0.20$  K.

$= 0.05$  K (Fig. 18(a)), just after the first  $T$ -shift  $M$  behaves as if the system were quenched to  $T$  directly from 10 K. After the second  $T$ -shift, however,  $M(t)$  coincides with a simple extension of  $M(t)$  already aged by  $t_{w1}$  at  $T$ . In the case of  $T = 0.20$  K (Figs. 18(b) and (c)),  $M$  undergoes a drastic change to a value higher




FIG. 19: Relaxation of  $M(t)$  during a magnetic field cycling.  $f = 0.05$  Hz.  $T = 3.75$  K. (a)  $H = 0$  and 10 Oe. (b)  $H = 0$  and 50 Oe.

than the value just before the change of  $T$  from 3.55 to 3.75 K, when  $T$  is changed from 3.75 to 3.55 K. Then the relaxation of  $M$  newly occurs (the rejuvenation effect).

Figure 19 shows the  $t$  dependence of  $M(t)$  at  $T = 3.75$  K under a positive  $H$ -cycle, where  $f = 0.05$  Hz and  $h = 0.1$  Oe. In these measurements, the system was cooled down to 3.75 K at  $H = 0$ . The relaxation of  $M$  was measured as a function of  $t$  during a period  $t_{w1}$ . A magnetic field  $H$  ( $= 10$  and 50 Oe) was then applied at  $t = t_{w1}$ . The relaxation of  $M$  was measured as a function of  $t$  for a period  $t_{w2}$  at  $H$ . The field  $H$  was again reduced to zero. The relaxation of  $M$  was measured as a function of  $t$  at  $H = 0$ . These processes were repeated. In the case of  $H = 10$  Oe (Fig. 19(a)), when the field is 0 from 10 to 0 Oe,  $M$  rises to a value of  $M$  to which  $M$  is supposed to decay during the period  $t_{w2}$  at  $H = 0$ . In the case of  $H = 50$  Oe (Fig. 19(b)),  $M$  undergoes a

drastic change to a value higher than the value just before the change of  $H$  from 0 to 50 Oe, when the field is 0 from 50 to 0 Oe. Then the relaxation of  $\chi''$  newly occurs (the rejuvenation effect). This effect is similar to those reported in  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ <sup>30,33</sup> and  $\text{CdCr}_{1.7}\text{In}_{0.3}\text{S}_4$ .<sup>30</sup>

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper we study the nature of the slow dynamics of the short-range Ising SG,  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}\text{GBIC}$ . Using the concepts of static and dynamic scaling laws, we determine the critical exponents of this compound. Our results are as follows:  $\beta = 2.2 \pm 0.5$ ,  $\gamma = 0.36 \pm 0.03$ ,  $\delta = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\nu = 1.4 \pm 0.2$ ,  $\eta = 0.5 \pm 0.2$ ,  $z = 6.6 \pm 1.2$ ,  $\alpha = 3.9 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\alpha' = 0.13 \pm 0.02$ , and  $\alpha'' = 0.24 \pm 0.02$ , where  $2 - \alpha = \alpha'$ . These critical exponents are compared with those reported for the Ising SG,  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$  ( $\beta = 3$ ,  $\gamma = 0.5 \pm 0.2$ ,  $\delta = 4.0 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\nu = 1.7$ ,  $z = 6.2$ ,  $\eta = 4.5$ ,  $\alpha' = 0.2$ , and  $\alpha'' = 0.3 \pm 0.7$ )<sup>9,25,30,33</sup> and Heisenberg-like SG,  $\text{CdCr}_{1.7}\text{In}_{0.3}\text{S}_4$  ( $\beta = 1.9$ ,  $\gamma = 0.75 \pm 0.1$ ,  $\delta = 2.3 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\nu = 1.26 \pm 0.2$ ,  $\eta = 3.1 \pm 0.5$ ,  $z = 7$ ,  $\alpha' = 1.1$ )<sup>30,34</sup>. Our critical exponents are in excellent agreement with those of amorphous metallic SG,  $(\text{Fe}_{0.15}\text{Ni}_{0.85})_{75}\text{P}_{16}\text{B}_6\text{A}_{13}$  ( $\beta = 2.2$ ,  $\gamma = 0.38$ ,  $\delta = 3.4$ ,  $\nu = 1.39$ ,  $z = 5.9$ )<sup>35,36</sup>. Note that we use the scaling relation given by  $\alpha' = 2 - \alpha$  to determine  $\alpha'$  of our system. This relation is derived in the present work using the idea of dynamic scaling law for the  $T$  and  $H$  dependence of  $\chi''$ . This relation is valid for  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ : the value of  $\alpha' (= 2 - \alpha = 0.15)$  is close to the experimental value ( $\alpha' = 0.2$ ). We note that a relation ( $1 = 2 - \alpha$ ) is derived by Fisher and Huse<sup>5</sup> in the case of  $d = d_1^+$ . Here  $d_1^+$  is the lowest dimension where  $\nu > 0$  and  $d_1^+$  is close to 2.5.<sup>37</sup> If  $\nu$  is equal to the mean-field exponent ( $\nu = 1$ ), then the scaling relation ( $\alpha' = 2 - \alpha$ ) coincides with the relation derived by Fisher and Huse.<sup>5</sup>

Our value of  $\alpha'$  is a little smaller than the theoretical values:  $\alpha' = 0.19 \pm 0.01$  by Bray and Moore<sup>6</sup>,  $\alpha' = 0.192 \pm 0.001$  by Hartmann<sup>38</sup> and  $\alpha' = 0.20 \pm 0.03$  by Komoriet al.<sup>39</sup> from MC simulations on the relaxation of energy of the 3D Gaussian SG model with nearest neighbor interactions. Our value of  $\alpha'$  is a little larger than that of  $\alpha''$ , satisfying the imposed inequality ( $d = d_1^+$ ). This condition is also satisfied in the other SG systems:  $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$  ( $\alpha' = 0.3 \pm 0.7$ )<sup>30</sup> and  $\text{CdCr}_{1.7}\text{In}_{0.3}\text{S}_4$  ( $\alpha' = 1.1$ ).<sup>30</sup> The value of  $\alpha'$  is strongly dependent on the spin symmetry ( $n$ ) of the systems such as Ising ( $n = 1$ ) and Heisenberg ( $n = 3$ ): it decreases as the spin symmetry  $n$  decreases. This is also true for the critical exponent  $\alpha'$ : decreases with decreasing  $n$ .<sup>37</sup> The small values of  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha''$  indicates that our system magnetically behaves

like an ideal 3D short-range Ising SG.

Finally we discuss the  $T$  dependence of  $b''$ . The exponent  $b''$  is dependent on  $T$ . According to Komoriet al.,<sup>40</sup> the ratio  $b''(T)/b''(T_g) = (T/T_g)^{1-z(T)}$  is described by

$$b''(T)/b''(T_g) = (T/T_g)^{1-z(T)}; \quad (11)$$

where  $b''(T) = (d\chi''/dT) = z(T)$  and the exponent  $1-z(T)$  is linearly dependent on  $T$  well below  $T_g$ :  $1-z(T) = T/(zT_g)$ . This  $1-z(T)$ -scaling of  $b''(T)/b''(T_g)$  is derived on the assumption that the growth law of the spin-glass correlation length  $\xi(t)$  is approximated by the form  $\xi(t) = \xi_0(t/t_0)^{1/z(T)}$ , where  $\xi_0$  and  $t_0$  are microscopic length and time scales. This growth law is different from that proposed in the scaling picture due to Fisher and Huse.<sup>4</sup> Using the values of  $\alpha'$  and  $b''(T)$ , the exponent  $1-z(T)$  is calculated as 0.006 at 3.50 K and 0.056 at 3.90 K. Such an increase of  $1-z(T)$  with  $T$ , qualitatively agrees with the prediction by Komoriet al.<sup>40</sup> If the expression  $1-z(T) = T/(zT_g)$  is valid at  $T = 3.90$  K just below  $T_g$ , the value of  $z$  can be estimated as 18, which is much larger than our value  $z (= 6.6)$ . Experimentally  $b''(T)$  is nearly equal to zero below 3 K ( $0.75T_g$ ). At the present we give no satisfactory explanation for the cause of the drastic change in  $b''$  around 3.5 K in our system.

In conclusion, we have shown that  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}\text{GBIC}$  magnetically behaves like an ideal 3D short-range Ising SG. This compound undergoes a SG phase transition at  $T_g = 3.92 \pm 0.11$  K. The dynamic scaling analysis suggests that this SG transition is destroyed by the application of  $H$  (at least above 100 Oe). The scaling behavior of the relaxation time is well described by the scaling picture with the energy exponent  $\alpha' = 0.13 \pm 0.02$  and the barrier exponent  $\alpha'' = 0.24 \pm 0.02$ . The aging obeys the  $1-z(T)$ -scaling. The rejuvenation effect is observed under sufficiently large (temperature and magnetic-field) perturbations.

In spite of such a similarity in dynamic behaviors between  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}\text{GBIC}$  and 3D Ising spin glass, the frequency dependence of  $\chi''$  below  $T_g$  are very different. The absorption  $\chi''$  in  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{C}_{12}\text{-FeC}_{12}\text{GBIC}$  decreases with increasing frequency, whereas  $\chi''$  in 3D Ising spin glass increases with increasing frequency.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank H. Suematsu for providing us with single crystalline graphite and T. Shima, B. Olson, and M. Johnson for their assistance in sample preparation and x-ray characterization. Early work, in particular for the sample preparation, was supported by NSF DMR 9201656.

itsuko@binghamton.edu

<sup>y</sup> suzuki@binghamton.edu

<sup>1</sup> E. Marinari, G. Parisi, and J.J. Ruiz-Lorenzo, in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, edited by A. P. Young

- (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998) p.59. See also references therein.
- <sup>2</sup> W. L. M. de Millan, J. Phys. C 17, 3179 (1984).
  - <sup>3</sup> D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1601 (1986).
  - <sup>4</sup> D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 38, 373 (1988).
  - <sup>5</sup> D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 38, 386 (1988).
  - <sup>6</sup> A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 57 (1987).
  - <sup>7</sup> A. J. Bray, Comments Cond. Mat. Phys. 14, 21 (1988).
  - <sup>8</sup> J. R. L. de Almeida and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. A 11, 983 (1978).
  - <sup>9</sup> J. Mattsson, T. Jonsson, P. Nordblad, H. A. Katori, and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4305 (1995).
  - <sup>10</sup> P. Nordblad and P. Svedlindh, in Spin Glasses and Random Fields, edited by A. P. Young (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998) p.1. See also references therein.
  - <sup>11</sup> D. Petit, L. Fruchter, and I. A. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5130 (1999).
  - <sup>12</sup> I. S. Suzuki, M. Suzuki, H. Satoh, and T. Enoki, Solid State Commun. 104, 581 (1997).
  - <sup>13</sup> M. Suzuki and I. S. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 59, 4221 (1999).
  - <sup>14</sup> T. Enoki, M. Suzuki, and M. Endo, in Graphite Intercalation Compounds and Applications (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003) p.236.
  - <sup>15</sup> I. S. Suzuki and M. Suzuki, Solid State Commun. 106, 513 (1998).
  - <sup>16</sup> I. S. Suzuki and M. Suzuki, J. Phys. Condensed Matter 11, 521 (1999).
  - <sup>17</sup> A. Ito, H. Aruga, E. Torikai, M. Kikuchi, Y. Syono, and H. Takei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 483 (1986).
  - <sup>18</sup> M. F. Hansen, P. E. Jonsson, P. Nordblad, and P. Svedlindh, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 14, 4901 (2002).
  - <sup>19</sup> K. Gunnarsson, P. Svedlindh, P. Nordblad, and L. Lundgren, H. Aruga, and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 754 (1988).
  - <sup>20</sup> A. T. Ogilski, Phys. Rev. B 32, 7384 (1985).
  - <sup>21</sup> J.-P. Bouchaud, V. Dupuis, J. Hammann, and E. Vincent, Phys. Rev. B 65, 024439 (2001).
  - <sup>22</sup> P. Svedlindh, K. Gunnarsson, P. Nordblad, and L. Lundgren, H. Aruga, and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7162 (1989).
  - <sup>23</sup> C. C. Paulsen, S. J. Williamson, and H. Maletta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 128 (1987).
  - <sup>24</sup> S. Geschwind, D. A. Huse, and G. E. Devlin, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4854 (1990).
  - <sup>25</sup> K. Gunnarsson, P. Svedlindh, P. Nordblad, L. Lundgren, H. A. Katori, and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. B 43, 8199 (1991).
  - <sup>26</sup> H. A. Katori and A. Ito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 3122 (1994).
  - <sup>27</sup> K. H. Fischer and J. A. Hertz, Spin Glasses (Cambridge University Press, 1991) p.263.
  - <sup>28</sup> J. Lamark, J.-P. Bouchaud, and O. C. Martin, arXiv: cond-mat/0208100 (2002).
  - <sup>29</sup> J. Houdayer and O. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4934 (1999). See also references therein.
  - <sup>30</sup> V. Dupuis, E. Vincent, J.-P. Bouchaud, J. Hammann, A. Ito, and H. A. Katori, Phys. Rev. B 64, 174204 (2001).
  - <sup>31</sup> M. Picco, F. Ricci-Tersenghi, and F. Ritort, Eur. Phys. J. B 21, 211 (2001).
  - <sup>32</sup> E. V. Colla, L. K. Chao, M. B. Weissman, and D. D. Vashland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3033 (2000).
  - <sup>33</sup> P. E. Jonsson, H. Yoshino, P. Nordblad, H. A. Katori, and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 257204 (2002).
  - <sup>34</sup> E. Vincent and J. Hammann, J. Phys. C 20, 2659 (1987).
  - <sup>35</sup> L. Lundgren, P. Nordblad, and P. Svedlindh, Phys. Rev. B 34, 8164 (1986).
  - <sup>36</sup> P. Svedlindh, L. Lundgren, P. Nordblad, and H. S. Chen, Europhys. Lett. 3, 243 (1987).
  - <sup>37</sup> I. A. Campbell, D. Petit, P. O. Mari, and L. W. Bernardi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69 Suppl. A, 186 (2000).
  - <sup>38</sup> A. K. Hartmann, Phys. Rev. E 59, 84 (1999).
  - <sup>39</sup> T. Komori, H. Yoshino, and H. Takayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 3387 (1999).
  - <sup>40</sup> T. Komori, H. Yoshino, and H. Takayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 1192 (2000).