## Dynamics of F = 2 Spinor Bose-Einstein Condensates

H. Schmaljohann, M. Erhard, J. Kronjager, M. Kottke<sup>1</sup>, S. van Staa, J. J. Arlt<sup>1</sup>, K. Bongs, and K. Sengstock Institut für Laser-Physik, Universitat Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Quantenoptik, Universitat Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

We experimentally investigate and analyze the rich dynamics in F=2 spinor Bose-Einstein condensates of  $^{87}Rb$ . An interplay between mean-eld driven spin dynamics and hyper ne-changing losses in addition to interactions with the thermal component is observed. In particular we measure conversion rates in the range of  $10^{-12}$  cm  $^3$ s  $^1$  for spin changing collisions within the F=2 manifold and spin-dependent loss rates in the range of  $10^{-13}$  cm  $^3$ s  $^1$  for hyper ne-changing collisions. From our data we observe a polar behavior in the F=2 ground state of  $^{87}Rb$ , while we measure the F=1 ground state to be ferrom agnetic. Furtherm ore we see a magnetization for condensates prepared with non-zero total spin.

PACS num bers: 03.75 M n, 34.50 Pi, 03.75 H h

The investigation of atom ic spin systems is central for the understanding of magnetism and a highly active area of research e.g. with respect to magnetic nanosystem s, spintronics and magnetic interactions in high Tc superconductors. In addition entangled spin systems in atom ic quantum gases show intriguing prospects for quantum optics and quantum computation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) of ultra-cold atom soffer new regimes for studies of collective spin phenomena [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. BECswith spin degree of freedom are special in the sense that their order param eter is a vector in contrast to the \com m on "BEC where it is a scalar. Recent extensive studies have been made in optically trapped  $^{23}Na$  in the F=1 state [10, 11, 12, 13]. In addition evidence of spin dynamics was demonstrated in optically trapped  $^{87}Rb$  in the F=1 state [14]. There is current interest in extending the systems under investigation to F = 2 spinor condensates [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], which add signi cant new physics. F = 2 spinor condensates o erricherdynam ics, an additionalm agnetic phase, the so-called cyclic phase [16, 18], as well as intrinsic connections to d-wave superconductors [21].

In this letter we present rst studies of optically trapped  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  F = 2 spinor condensates. We measure rates for spin changing collisions for dierent channels within the F = 2 manifold and discuss the steady state for various initial conditions. Additionally we observe and discuss the thermalization of dynamically populated m F condensates. We also present measurements of spin-dependent hyper nedecay rates of the F = 2 state in  $^{87}\text{Rb}$ , as a key to further understanding the intensively studied collisional properties of  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  [22, 23].

Our experimental setup consists of a compact double MOT apparatus which produces magnetically trapped  $^{87}{\rm Rb~B~ose-E}$  instein condensates containing  $10^6$  atom s in the F=2, m  $_{\rm F}$ =2 state. To conne the atom s independently of their spin state they are subsequently transferred into a far detuned optical dipole trap. It is operated at 1064 nm generating trapping frequencies of typ-

ically 2 891 Hz vertically, 2 155 Hz horizontally 21:1 Hz along the beam direction. After transfer we further cool the ensemble for 500 ms by selective param etric excitation [24] resulting in approximately 105 optically trapped atoms and a condensate fraction well above 60%. We are able to prepare arbitrary spin compositions using rapid adiabatic passage and controlled Landau-Zener crossing techniques [25] at an o set eld around 25 G. A fter initial state preparation the magnetic eld is lowered to a value of typically 340 (20) mG, with a eld gradient below 15 m G/cm, to ensure a well-de ned quantization axis and a good overlap of the di erent m F states during spin dynam ics [26]. Spin dynam ics is subsequently allowed to proceed during a variable hold time: Stored in the optical trap the condensate spin degree of freedom can evolve under well-controlled conditions. Due to interatom ic interactions, initially prepared m F com ponents can evolve into other m F components, e.g. by processes like 10i+ 10i\$ + 1i+ j 1i, while -disregarding atom ic losses - the total spin is conserved. This process is expected to end in the spinor ground state distribution show ing the hyper ne dependent magnetic properties of the atom ic species under investigation. Experim entally we detect di erent spin com ponents by spatially separating them with a Stern-Gerlach method during time of ight (after switching o the trapping potential). Absorption in aging is then used to evaluate the respective spatial density distributions as well as the number of atom s in the condensate and them al fractions for each spin component. Figure 1 shows typical spinor condensate evolutions for di erent starting conditions. These pictures demonstrate a rich spectrum of spin dynamics in F = 2 system s, caused by an intriguing interplay of spin component coupling and spin-dependent losses. In the following we will investigate these processes separately.

We analyze the observed spinor evolution following a mean-eld approach [16, 18, 27], in which the properties of a spinor condensate are determined by a spin-dependent energy functional. Extending the approach of




FIG. 1: T im e-dependent observation of di erent m  $_{\rm F}$  components starting from the initially prepared states denoted by (a)-(d). Shown are spinor condensates separated by a Stern-Gerlach method (time of ight 31ms).

[18] by the experim entally relevant quadratic Zeem an energy term we determ ine the following functional for F=2 system s:

$$K_{spin} = c_1 hF i^2 + \frac{4}{5} c_2 hs if phF_z i qhF_z^2 i;$$
 (1)

where hF i, hF  $_{\rm Z}$  i and hs i denote the expectation values for the spin vector, its z component and the spin-singlet pair amplitude. The experimentally controllable parameters p and q span the phase space for the system . Here prepresents the mean spin of the system while q is the quadratic Zeem an energy, representing the magnetic oeset eld.

The spin-dependent mean-eld is characterized by the param eters  $c_1 = \frac{4^{-2}}{m} \frac{a_4 a_2}{7}$  and  $c_2 = \frac{4^{-2}}{m} \frac{7a_0 10a_2 + 3a_4}{7}$ , introducing the s-wave scattering length af for collisions involving a total spin f of the colliding pair. In the following the  $F = 2; m_F = X$  state is denoted by \*X i. For sim plicity relative phases in m ixtures are neglected. The c1 term includes all couplings of states  $with m_F =$ 1, e.g. Di + Di \$ j + 1i + j 1i. The c2 term includes the only possible coupling with 2: 10i+ 10i \$ j+ 2i+ j 2i. The magnitude of these term s is connected to the time scales of spin dynam ics and their relative strengths indicate the initially dom inant channels. Taking the calculated scattering lengths and our initial experim ental conditions (o set eld B =  $340 \,\text{m}$  G, m ean density n 1:1  $10^{14} \,\text{cm}^{3}$ ) the energy ranges for the di erent term s are: spin independent m ean- eld  $k_B$ 64 nK, spin-dependent mean-eld  $c_1:k_B$  $0::12 \, \text{nK}$ ,  $c_2:k_B$ 0::0:05 nK and quadratic Zeem an energy q:k<sub>B</sub> 0::1:6nK.

M in im izing the energy functional, Eq. (1) leads to the




FIG. 2: Spin dynam ics and spin-dependent losses. (a) Relative population of the m $_{\rm F}$  states of a condensate initially prepared in j0i. (b) Therm alization of a BEC in the j+2i state form initially j0i+ j+1i. (c) Total spin of the condensate initially prepared in j+1i. (d) Decay curves for total number of condensed atoms in all m $_{\rm F}$  states, given for dierent inital m $_{\rm F}$  preparations.

param eter-dependent ground state spin composition of the system, rejecting its magnetic properties [28]. Theory predicts  $^{87}$ Rb in F=2 to be in the polar phase, but close to the border to the so-called cyclic phase [19].

Experimentally we not the system dominated by three processes, setting dierent timescales, in increasing order: spin dynamics, two-body hyper ne losses and three-body recombination. This hierarchy allows us to separately analyze individual interaction processes, which are exemplarily given in Fig. 2.

In a rst set of m easurem ents we study the evolution of spinor condensates starting from dierent initial spin states. Figure 2 (a) shows the evolution of the relative occupation of the dierent  $m_F$  components of a F = 2 spinor condensate initially prepared in the state Di, also shown in Fig. 1 (a). This exam ple clearly dem on strates that spin evolution takes place predom inantly between neighboring spin states, as expected from coupling via the dominating c1-term discussed above. Thus rst the components j li and only afterwards the j 2i states are populated. Interestingly there is a delay in the occupation of j 1i and a further delay in the occupation of j 2i states. The latter delay can be intuitively explained since there must rst be som e occupation in the j listates before the fully stretched states can be occupied. The origin of the initial delay as well as the "overshoot" of the j li occupation is still under investigation.

As an interesting feature Fig. 2 (a) shows evidence of spinor oscillations on a 100 m s time scale around equipartition. This indicates a coherent evolution between the

TABLE I: Spin evolution for di erent preparations.

in itia lly	initial	l initial channels nally
prepared	total	into m F state populated
m $_{\rm F}$ states	sp in	G [ $10^{-13}$ cm $^3$ s $^1$ ] m $_{\rm F}$ states
Дi	0	! j li 21:0 equipartition
j+ 1i+ j 1i	0	! j0i 26:9 equipartition
		! j 2i 4 <b>:</b> 6
j+1i+ j0i+ j 1i	0	! j 2i 5:0 equipartition
j+2i+ j 2i	0	- j+2i+ j 2i
j+2i+ j0i+ j 2i	0	! j 1i < 0:1 j+2i+ j 2i
j+ 2i+ j 1i	1=2	– <del>j+</del> 2i
j+ 1i+ j0i	1=2	! j+ 2i 21 <b>:</b> 7 j+ 2i
		! j 1i 192
j+ 1i	1	! j+ 2i 22 <b>:</b> 4 j+ 2i
		! <del>j</del> 0i 12:2
		(! j 1i 4 <b>:</b> 7)
<u>j</u> + 2i	2	- j+ 2i

di erent m  $_{\rm F}$  components, with only minor in uence of the thermal cloud on this time scale.

In fact we always observe that spin dynamics is signi cantly quicker than them alization. Spin dynamics thus leads to the form ation of pure condensate wavefunctions in new spin states, which subsequently them alize on a much longer time scale. This opens a new way to study therm alization e ects with an independently tunable heat bath. In Fig. 2 (b) the condensate and therm al fractions of the new ly created # 2i state, starting with a mixture of the states j+ 1i and pi are plotted. At rst the condensate in the j+ 2i state is almost pure. This can be intuitively understood, as spin dynam ics predom inantly occurs in the high density condensate fraction on a time scale of the order of 25 ms. The subsequent buildup of a therm alcloud in the new ly populated spin states takes place within a signi cantly longer time, of the order of 100 ms. Dominant e ects contributing to the therm alization of the new spin state are the interaction of the new spin condensate with the parent therm al cloud ("melting"), and spin dynamics of the parent condensate fraction with the parent therm alcloud leading to a direct occupation of the new component therm alcloud. In this respect spinor condensates are a possible new tool for investigations of nite temperature e ects.

In order to draw a more complete picture of spin dynam ics in F=2 systems we now investigate the evolution of a variety of initial states as sum marized in Table I. In this table we give estimates for the initial spin dynamics rates for dierent channels as well as the experimentally observed "nal" distribution. The rates are intended for comparison with loss rates and between the dierent spin channel rates. They were obtained using the total ensemble density, number of BEC atoms in the prepared m $_{\rm F}$  components and the initial slope of a phenomenological exponential to the respective spin component population curves:

$$G_{\mbox{! }jX\mbox{ }i} = \frac{dN_{\mbox{ }jX\mbox{ }i}}{dt} \quad \frac{N_{\mbox{ }in\mbox{ }it\mbox{ }ia\mbox{ }l}}{hn_{\mbox{ }in\mbox{ }it\mbox{ }ia\mbox{ }l}}.$$

At short time scales we not the rate for  $\mathfrak{P}i$ ! j 1 is in ilar to the rate j 1 i! j 1 is one would expect for a reversible or coherent process. In contrast, at longer time scales the system evolves as expected into a time-independent distribution of  $m_F$  states. As a main result our measurements show the stability of a mixture of j 2 i (see Table I and Fig. 1 (d)), for which we also not no spatial separation of the two components in the trapping volume. This is clear evidence of polar behavior as predicted for F=2 spinor condensates of g 1 kg.

Som e initial preparations with zero total spin end up with all m  $_{\rm F}$  components equally populated (see also Fig. 1(a,c)). This is in fact one of several degenerate ground states of the polar phase in the case of zero m agnetic eld [20], but the quadratic Zeem an energy lifts this degeneracy. For our experimental parameters a mixture of all m  $_{\rm F}$  components is not a ground state for any phase in the mean—eld description. The observed behavior might be due to a lack of time to reach the ground state, as spin-dependent losses depopulate the condensate before therm all equilibrium is reached.

Remarkably, if the atoms are prepared in superpositions which are ground states for the cyclic phase we observe very slow dynamics. First the mixture of j+2i+ 10i+ j 2i, which is the ground state of the cyclic phase with total spin zero [20] shows nearly no spin dynam ics. If starting with j+2i+ j 1i as a ground state for the cyclic phase at B > 0 [28] with non-zero spin we do not observe any spin dynamics. These cases are particularly surprising, as the states Di alone as well as j+ 1i alone show fast spin dynamics as can be seen in Fig. 1 (a,b). In the mixtures however, we observe only a faster decay of the Diand j licom ponent com pared to j 2i. Concluding this, the stability of the j 2i m ixture demontrates polar behavior of  $F = 2^{87}Rb$  atom s, and in addition the slow dynam ics of prepared cyclic ground states shows the F = 2 state to be close to the cyclic phase [29].

An important point in the dynamics of spinor condensates is total spin conservation. This is directly observed in the rates for all spin preparations (see rates Table I) except in the case starting with j+ 1i. Here we observe a much higher rate for the production of the j+ 2i atom s than for the ones in Jù. This can be understood as follows: Imagine the jù atom s favor to change their spin into j 1i while interacting with an atom in the initial spin state which then changes into j+ 2i. Then the correct rates are determind by the measured values  $G_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}$   $G_{$ 

Investigating spinor condensates with initial spin  $\in$  0 one nds that the combination of spin dynamics and spin-dependent hyper ne losses (see below) leads to a loss induced magnetization during the evolution to the nal

state (Fig. 1 (b),2 (c)). The rem aining condensate always ends up in the fully stretched state if the initial state was prepared with non-zero total spin or in any other non-symmetric mixture. This is due to the fact that only the fully stretched component is immune to hyper nechanging collisions. The magnetization process is only inhibited for a symmetric initial state having total spin zero.

Next we focus on hyper ne-changing collisions (i.e. involving transitions F = 2! F = 1) as a special case of spin relaxation dynamics. The release of hyper ne energy in these collisions leads to immediate loss of the collision partners from the trap. This loss process usually takes place on very short timescales, prohibiting the observation of spin dynamics in the upper hyper ne level, e.g. of <sup>23</sup>Na [15]. The relatively low hyper ne loss rates we nd for <sup>87</sup>Rb are due to a coincidental destructive interference of decay paths [6, 30]. Fig. 2 (d) shows the decay of Bose-E instein condensates of  $^{87}$ Rb prepared in dierent m  $_{\rm F}$ states/m ixtures. The decay of the stretched state j+ 2i is dom inated by three-body recombination and wasmeasured by [31] to be  $L = 1.8 10^{-29}$  cm  $^6$  s  $^1$ , a value which also ts to our data. The equal superposition of the + 2i and j 2i states is special in the sense that we observe no spin dynamics in this case. The hyper ne-changing collisions can thus only occur via collisions of the type  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; + 2i +  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; 2i !  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  $\frac{1}{2}$ duce the two-body rate  $G = 6.6(0.9) 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which leads to a much faster decay than three-body losses for the pure † 2i state (Fig. 2 (d)). The dependence of the hyper ne-changing collision rate on the number of decay channels involved becomes obvious observing the decay of a condensate initially prepared in the state Di (see Fig. 2(d)). It is important to note that in this case spin dynam ics m ore rapidly ( 25 m s) distributes the population almost equally over all m  $_{\rm F}$  states. The decay curve (of initially 10i) thus e ectively represents the loss of a spin state equipartition, which has access to all possible channels. We determ ine the mean two-body decay rate for this case to be  $G = 102(13) 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^1$ .

Finally we have also studied spinor condensates in the F=1 state where we observe slow spin dynamics on a tim escale of seconds like in the case of  $^{23}$ N a in F=1 [10]. Starting in the superposition state jl; 1i we observe the creation of the jl;0i component. The nal state with all m  $_F$  components populated and spacially mixed is reached after about seven seconds. A coording to the phase diagram s of [10] we have thus shown that  $^{87}$ Rb in the F=1 state is ferrom agnetic as predicted by [19].

In conclusion we have presented studies of various aspects of F=2 spinor condensates in  $^{87}Rb$ . In particular we have discussed rates for spin dynam ics as well as the evolution into the magnetic ground state. Furthermore we have investigated spin-dependent hyper ne-changing collisions and thermalization elects in new ly created spin components during spinor evolution. These studies have

dem onstrated the feasibility of  $^{87}$ Rb condensates as a model for F=2 spin system s, added data to the collisional properties of  $^{87}$ Rb and opened a new path for nite temperature studies. As a key result we measure  $^{87}$ Rb in the F=1 state to be ferrom agnetic and observe polar behavior for the F=2 state.

W e acknowledge stimulating contributions by W .Ertmer and support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the SFB 407 and the SPP 1116.

- [1] H. Pu and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, pp. 3987 (2000).
- [2] L. You and M. S. Chapman, Phys. Rev. A 62, 052302 (2000).
- [3] A. S rensen, L.-M. Duan, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Nature 409, pp. 63 (2001).
- [4] B. Julsgaard, A. Kozhekin, and E. S. Polzik, Nature 413, 400 (2001).
- [5] O.M andelet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 010407 (2003).
- [6] C.J.M yatt et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 586 (1997).
- [7] D.S.Hallet al, Phys.Rev.Lett.81, 1539 (1998).
- [8] M.R.M atthews et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 243 (1998).
- [9] J.M.McGuirk et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.89, 090402 (2002).
- [10] J. Stenger et al., Nature 396, 345 (1999).
- [11] H.-J.M iesner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2228 (1999).
- [12] D. M. Stam per-Kum et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 661 (1999).
- [13] A. E. Leanhardt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 140403 (2003).
- [14] M . D . B arrett, J. A . Sauer, and M . S . C hapm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 010404 (2001).
- [15] A .G orlitz et al., P hys. R ev. Lett. 90, 090401 (2003).
- [16] C.V.Ciobanu, S.K.Yip, and T.L.Ho, Phys.Rev.A 61,033607 (2000).
- [17] T.-L. Ho and L. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2302 (2000).
- [18] M. Koashi and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1066 (2000).
- [19] N.N.K lausen, J.L.Bohn, and C.H.G reene, Phys.Rev. A 64,053602 (2001).
- [20] M. Ueda and M. Koashi, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063602 (2002).
- [21] N.D.Mermin, Phys. Rev. A 9,868 (1974).
- [22] E.G. van Kempen, S.J.Kokkelmans, D.J.Heinzen, and B.J.Verhaar, Phys.Rev.Lett.88, 093201 (2002).
- [23] A.M arte et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 283202 (2002).
- $[\![24]\!]$  N . Poli, R . J . B recha, G . Roati, and G . M odugno, Phys. Rev . A 65, 021401R (2002).
- [25] M .O .M ewes et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.78, 582 (1997).
- [26] Note that the desired initial state is preserved up to this time as spin dynamics at high magnetic eld values is either energetically suppressed or releases enough quadratic Zeem an energy to eject the corresponding atom s from the trap.
- [27] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
- [28] Detailed calculations on ground state properties including the quadratic Zeem an energy will be presented elsewhere.
- [29] Note, there m ight be the option, that  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  (F=2) is cyclic at B=0, but shifted into the polar phase by our small o set eld of 340m G .

[30] P. S. Julienne, F. H. M ies, E. Tiesinga, and C. J. [31] J. Soding et al., Appl. Phys. B 69, 257 (1999). William s, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1880 (1997).