

Anisotropy and percolation threshold in a multifractal support

L. S. Lucena, J. E. Freitas, G. Corso, and R. F. Soares.

International Center for Complex Systems and
Departamento de Física Teórica e Experimental,
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte,
Campus Universitario 59078-970, Natal, RN, Brazil

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

Recently a multifractal object, Q_{mf} , was proposed to study percolation properties in a multifractal support. The area and the number of neighbors of the blocks of Q_{mf} show a non-trivial behavior. The value of the probability of occupation at the percolation threshold, p_c , is a function of α , a parameter of Q_{mf} which is related to its anisotropy. We investigate the relation between p_c and the average number of neighbors of the blocks as well as the anisotropy of Q_{mf} .

1- INTRODUCTION

Due to the work of many physicists, and particularly to the contributions of Dietrich Stauffer, percolation theory has become a powerful tool in Science describing phenomena in many areas as geology, biology, magnetism, or social phenomena [1, 2]. Despite the enormous success of percolation it has been a theory studied in a support (lattice) that has a single dimension. The only references relating percolation and multifractality concern to the multifractal properties of some quantities of the spanning cluster at the percolation threshold [3, 4].

Recently, a model to study percolation in a multifractal was proposed [5] in the literature. In fact, the authors have created an original multifractal object, Q_{mf} , and an efficient way to estimate its percolation properties. In this work we study in detail the method to estimate p_c for this multifractal and discuss the relation between p_c , some topologic characteristics, and the anisotropy of Q_{mf} .

The multifractal object we develop, Q_{mf} , is an intuitive generalization of the square lattice [5]. Suppose that in the construction of the square lattice we use the following algorithm: take a square of size 1 and cut it symmetrically with vertical and horizontal lines. Repeat this process n -times; at the n^{th} step we have a regular square lattice with $2^n \times 2^n$ cells. The setup algorithm of Q_{mf} is quite similar, the main difference is that we do not cut the square in a symmetric way. In section 2 we explain in detail this algorithm.

The development of Q_{mf} has a twofold motivation. Firstly, there are systems like oil reservoirs that show multifractal properties [6] and are good candidates to be modeled by such object. Secondly, there is indeed a much more general scope: we want to study percolation phenomena in lattices that are not regular, but that are multifractal in the geometrical sense. It is important to know how site percolation transition happens in lattices in which the cells vary in size and also in the number of neighbors.

In this work we analyse some geometric and topologic properties of the percolation cluster generated on Q_{mf} at the percolation threshold. The paper is organized as follows: in x2 we present the process of construction of Q_{mf} and the algorithm for the estimation of p_c , in x3 we show the numerical simulations concerning the percolation threshold p_c .

and the topologic properties of Q_{mf} ; and finally in x4 we present our final remarks and comments.

2-THE MODEL

In this section we show the process of building of the multifractal Q_{mf} and the key concepts to estimate p_c . We start with a square of linear size 1 and a partition parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$. For reasons that will be clear later, $\alpha = \frac{s}{s+r}$, where s and r are integers. We call the multifractal built from this parameter as the " Q_{mf} ", or " $(r;s)$ Q_{mf} ".

The first step, $n = 1$, consists of two sections of the square: a vertical and an horizontal. Initially the square is cut in two pieces of area $\frac{r}{s+r} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ and $\frac{s}{s+r} = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$ by a vertical line. This process is shown in figure 1 (a), where we use as an example $\alpha = \frac{s}{r} = \frac{2}{3}$. The horizontal cut in which we use the same partition is shown in figure 1 (b). The first partition of the square generates four rectangular blocks: the largest one of area $(\frac{1}{1+\alpha})^2$, two of area $\frac{\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^2}$ and the smallest one of area $(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})^2$. The difference between the largest area and the smallest one increases as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, moreover, the blocks get more and more stretched in this limit. Therefore α measures the anisotropy of Q_{mf} .

In the second step, $n = 2$, we repeat the same process of vertical and horizontal sections as in step 1. Generically we get 2^{2n} blocks after the n^{th} -step. The partition process produces a set of blocks with a variety of areas. We call a set of all elements with the same area as a k -set. At the n^{th} -step of the algorithm the partition of the square in blocks follows the binomial rule:

$$A = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right)^k \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right)^{n-k} = \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right)^n = 1: \quad (1)$$

The number of elements of a k -set is C_k^n . In reference [5] we see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ all the k -sets determine a monofractal whose dimension is $d_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log C_k^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right)^k \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right)^{n-k}}{\log \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right)^n}$. In this limit, the ensemble of all k -sets engenders the multifractal object Q_{mf} itself.

Figure 2 shows a picture of Q_{mf} for $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ and $n = 4$. We use the following code color: blocks of equal area have the same tonality. In other words, all the blocks of a same k -set share a common gray-tone. The general view of the object shows an anisotropic,

heterogeneous lattice with a non-trivial topology. The anisotropy of Q_{mf} will change the percolation threshold as we investigate in the next section. Before that we study in more detail the problem of percolation.

The main subject of this work is the study of percolation properties of Q_{mf} . To perform such a task we develop a percolation algorithm. The percolation algorithm for Q_{mf} starts mapping this object into the square lattice. The square lattice should be large enough that each line segment of Q_{mf} coincides with a line of the lattice, this condition imposes that $\frac{r}{s}$ is a rational number (it means, r and s are integers). Therefore we consider that the square lattice is more finely divided than Q_{mf} . In this way all blocks of the multifractal are composed by a finite number of cells of the square lattice. To explain the percolation algorithm we suppose that Q_{mf} construction is at step n . We proceed the percolation algorithm by choosing at random one among the 2^{2n} blocks of Q_{mf} independent of its size or number of neighbors. Once a block is chosen all the cells in the square lattice corresponding to this block are considered as occupied. Each time a block of Q_{mf} is chosen the algorithm checks if the occupied cells at the underlying square lattice are connected in such a way to form a spanning percolation cluster. The algorithm to check percolation is similar to the one used in [7, 8, 9, 10].

3-NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we show numerical results concerning the percolation threshold. Before the numerics we introduce some definitions. We call lattice the square lattice underlying Q_{mf} . Following the literature [1] we call p the probability of occupation of a lattice site. R_L is the probability that for a site occupation p there exists a contiguous cluster of occupied sites which crosses completely the square lattice of size L . p_c is the probability of occupation at the percolation threshold. There are several ways [7] to define R_L . We use two of them: R_L^e is the probability that there exists a cluster crossing either the horizontal or the vertical direction, and R_L^b is the probability that there exists a cluster crossing both directions. At the limit of infinite lattice size R_L^e and R_L^b converge to a common value for the square lattice case. Besides we call p_c^e the value of p_c estimated from R_L^e , it means,

the average p_c over lattices that percolate in one direction, the horizontal or the vertical. And, similarly, p_c^b the value of p_c estimated from R_L^b .

Figure 3 illustrates the behavior of p_c^e and p_c^b for 7 different lattice sizes. Two typical values of α are used: $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ (solid line) and $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ (dashed line). In 3 (a) we show both p_c versus $\frac{1}{L}$, the upper values corresponds to p_c^b and the bottom values to p_c^e . The lattice size, $L = (r+s)^n$, corresponds to $4 \leq n \leq 10$, in both cases $r+s = 5$. The data of the upper branch of the figure collapse into a single curve, diversely from the lower branch. In other words, the curves of $(2;3) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$ and $(4;1) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$ share roughly the same p_c^e but diverse p_c^b . The reason for this feature is the strong anisotropy of $(4;1) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$ compared to the case $(2;3) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$. The anisotropy of the percolation cluster does not affect p_c in both directions, but it affects p_c in one direction. In fact, the percolation in both directions comes from an average over both directions, in such situation, any eventual anisotropy of the percolation cluster vanishes because of the average.

A useful way to define p_c is to take the average value $p_{c_{ave}} = \frac{p_c^e + p_c^b}{2}$. In figure 3 (b) we plot $p_{c_{ave}}$ versus $\frac{1}{L}$ corresponding to the same data figure 3 (a). We observe in this figure that both curves converge to a saturation value that is not the same. The difference between the two cases is related to the curve of p_c^b . The anisotropy (stretching of the blocks) due to α implies an anisotropy in the percolation cluster. Such anisotropy determines that the percolation cluster does not have a correlation length independent of the direction. Because the percolation cluster is anisotropic the symmetry between p_c^e and p_c^b should fail. Therefore, we expect that for smaller α (more anisotropy in the multifractal) a greater difference in $p_{c_{ave}}$ will appear. Figure 4 confirms this tendency for diverse values of α .

Figure 4 shows p_c versus α . To obtain p_c we make an average of $p_{c_{ave}}$ after the saturation process, it means, for $n \geq 8$. The parameter α is indicated in the figure. These values are also shown in Table I. The straight line in the figure is the linear fitting of the data. We focus our attention on two features of the figure: the general tendency of decreasing p_c with α , and the anomalous case $(3;1) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$. The main tendency of decreasing p_c with α we have discussed in connection with anisotropy. We comment the anomalous situation of $(3;1) \rightarrow Q_{mf}$ in relation to topologic properties of Q_{mf} which are analyzed in what follows.

The object Q_{mf} is build of a set of blocks with different areas, A_i , and number of

neighbors, \bar{z}_i . The number \bar{z}_i is a central quantity in the study of topology. A topologic quantity, that is important in the investigation of the percolation threshold, is \bar{z}_{ave} which is an average of \bar{z}_i over Q_{mf} . It means $\bar{z}_{ave} = \frac{\sum \bar{z}_i}{N}$ where $N = 2^{2n}$ is the total number of blocks and the sum in the numerator is performed over the full multifractal. Typically \bar{z}_{ave} is a number that does not increase with n , but attains a constant value after $n = 6$. We plot in Table I this topologic quantity for $n = 8$ and several values of $(s;r)$.

Table I

$(s;r)$	(1,1)	(4,3)	(3,2)	(2,1)	(5,2)	(3,1)	(4,1)	(5,1)
p_c	0.5929	0.5262	0.5262	0.5256	0.5252	0.5253	0.5243	0.5241
\bar{z}_{ave}	999	5.436	5.436	5.434	5.436	5.426	5.436	5.436

We observe in the row of \bar{z}_{ave} that all these values are roughly the same, the exception corresponds to the case of the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} . The conclusion we take is that the variation of the average number of neighbors causes the fluctuation in percolation threshold observed in figure 4. The p_c of the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} is a little bit greater than the other cases because it has a smaller average number of neighbors. In other words, because each block of the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} , in the average, has less neighbors, it percolates with more difficulty than the tendency among its group.

4-FINAL REMARKS

To summarize we analyze in this work the role of the anisotropy and the average number of neighbors of Q_{mf} on its percolation threshold, p_c . The multifractal object is composed by a set of blocks with different areas and number of neighbors. As the parameter defining Q_{mf} , α , goes to zero, the multifractal becomes more and more anisotropic. This anisotropy reflects in the percolation cluster creating an asymmetry between p_c^e and p_c^b .

The anisotropy of Q_{mf} is evident when we compare p_c^e and p_c^b . The observed curves of p_c^e show a similar behavior, in contrast to the curves of p_c^b . Actually, the measure of p_c^b makes an average over both directions which erases any anisotropic effect of the percolation

cluster. This erasing effect does not exist when we measure p_c^e . The anisotropy of Q_{mf} decreases with β (with $\beta \rightarrow 0$ the blocks became more stretched).

A special case in our analysis is the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} . This case is singular compared to others analyzed cases. For a same number of blocks, the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} case has less neighbors than the multifractal blocks corresponding to other values of β . This phenomenon is intrinsic to the topology of Q_{mf} . It implies that the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} is less connected, and, as a consequence, it shows more difficulty to percolate. Therefore the case of the $(3;1)$ Q_{mf} has a percolation threshold slightly greater than its neighbors in the sequence. In a future work we intend to study in more detail the effect of other topologic properties on the percolation properties.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)-Brazil, FINEP and CT PETRO.

-
- [1] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory*, (Taylor & Francis, London, 1994)
 - [2] M. Sahimi, *Applications of Percolation Theory*, (Taylor & Francis, Bristol, 1994).
 - [3] K. P. N. Murthy, L. K. Gallos, P. Agyrakakis, K. N. Kehr, *Phys. Rev. E*, 54 6922 (1996).
 - [4] O. Stenull and H. K. Jensen, *Phys. Rev. E*, 65 045104 (2002).
 - [5] G. Corso, J. E. Freitas, L. S. Lucena, R. F. Soares, *cond-mat/0212530*.
 - [6] F. Hermann, *A Scaling Medium Representation, a Discussion on Well-logs, Fractals and Waves*. PhD Thesis, Delft University of Technology, (1997).
 - [7] M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Zi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 4104 (2000).
 - [8] J. E. de Freitas, L. S. Lucena and S. Roux, *Physica A* 266 81 (1999).
 - [9] J. E. de Freitas and L. S. Lucena, *IJMPC*, 11 1581 (2000).
 - [10] J. E. de Freitas, L. S. Lucena, and S. Roux, *Phys. Rev. E*, 64, 051405 (2001).

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG .1: The initial step, $n = 1$, in the formation of Q_{mf} . In (a) a vertical line cut the square in two pieces according to β . Two horizontal lines sectioning the rectangles by the same ratio are depicted in (b). The underlying square lattice is depicted with thick lines.

FIG .2: The object Q_{mf} for $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$ and $n = 4$, we introduce a square inside the figure to help the visualization.

FIG .3: In (a) we show p_c versus $\frac{1}{L}$ for $\beta = \frac{2}{3}$ (solid line) and $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ (dashed line). The upper values correspond to p_c^b and the lower values to p_c^e ; it is used $4 \leq n \leq 10$. In (b) we plot p_{ave} versus $\frac{1}{L}$ for the same data.

FIG . 4: The p_c versus β . The chosen values of β are indicated in the figure. The straight line corresponds to the linear fitting.

This figure "fig1a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>

This figure "fig1b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>

This figure "fig3a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>

This figure "fig3b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0308291v1>