

Self-induced charge currents in electromagnetic materials, photon effective rest mass and some related topics

Jian-Qi Shen^{1,†} and Fei Zhuang²

¹ Centre for Optical and Electromagnetic Research, State Key Laboratory of Modern Optical Instrumentation;
Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Department of Physics,
Zhejiang University, Hangzhou SpringJade 310027, P.R. China

² Department of Physics, Hangzhou Teacher's College, Hangzhou 310012, P.R. China
(April 14, 2024)

The contribution of self-induced charge currents of metamaterial media to photon effective rest mass is discussed in detail in the present paper. We concern ourselves with two kinds of photon effective rest mass, i.e., the frequency-dependent and frequency-independent effective rest mass. Based on these two definitions, we calculate the photon effective rest mass in the left-handed medium and the 2TDLM media, the latter of which is described by the so-called two time derivative Lorentz material (2TDLM) model. Additionally, we concentrate primarily on the torque, which is caused by the interaction between self-induced charge currents in dilute plasma (e.g., the secondary cosmic rays) and interstellar magnetic fields (ambient cosmic magnetic vector potentials), acting on the torsion balance of the rotating torsion balance experiment.

I. PHOTON EFFECTIVE REST MASS DUE TO SELF-INDUCED CHARGE CURRENT

It is well known that in some simple electromagnetic media such as electron plasma, superconducting media and Lorentz dispersive materials (inside which the time-harmonic electromagnetic wave is propagating), the self-induced charge current density \mathbf{J} [1] is proportional to the magnetic vector potential \mathbf{A} . Thus the interaction term $\int_0 \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ in the Lagrangian density is therefore transformed into an effective mass term $-\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_e^2 c^2}{h^2} \mathbf{A}^2$ of electromagnetic fields [2], which is analogous to the rest mass term in the London's electromagnetic theory for superconductivity, Ginzberg-Landau superconductivity theory and Higgs mechanism. Note that in these media, the magnetic permeability¹ $\mu = 1$, so it is easy for us to obtain \mathbf{J} (and hence the effective rest mass of photon) by solving the equation of motion of charged particles acted upon by electromagnetic waves. However, for some artificial composite electromagnetic metamaterials, which are described by, e.g., the two time derivative Lorentz material (2TDLM) model (in which both the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability are of frequency dependence and therefore of complicated form) and some uniaxially (or biaxially) anisotropic media with permittivity and permeability being tensors, the above formulation may be not helpful in obtaining the effective rest mass of electromagnetic fields. In these cases, however, we have shown that the following formula [2]

$$\frac{m_e^2 c^4}{h^2} = (1 - n^2)^2; \quad (1.1)$$

which arises from the Einstein-de Broglie relation, can be applicable to the above problem. In the expression (1.1), n , h and c denote the optical refractive index, Planck's constant and speed of light in a vacuum, respectively.

The two time derivative Lorentz material (2TDLM) model first suggested by Ziolkowski and Auzanneau [3,4] is a generalization of the standard Lorentz material model. Ziolkowski has shown that this type of 2TDLM medium can be designed so that it allows communication signals to propagate in the medium at speeds exceeding the speed of light in a vacuum without violating causality [5]. For these artificial metamaterials, the model encompasses the permittivity and permeability material responses experimentally obtained [6]. The \hat{x} -directed polarization and \hat{y} -directed magnetization fields in such materials would have the following forms [5]

This paper is concerned with the self-induced charge currents and some related physically interesting topics such as photon effective rest mass due to medium dispersion and its effects in torsion balance experiments. It will be submitted nowhere else for the publication, just uploaded at the e-print archives.

[†]Electronic address: jqshen@coer.zju.edu.cn

¹Note that here for the magnetic properties in superconductors, we adopt the current viewpoint rather than the magnetic-charge viewpoint (where the permeability can be viewed as $\mu = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} P_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_x + \omega_0^2 P_x &= \omega_p^2 E_x + \omega_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_x + \omega_e \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} E_x; \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} M_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_y + \omega_0^2 M_y &= \omega_p^2 H_y + \omega_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_y + \omega_m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} H_y; \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where ω_{em} , ω_{em} and ω_{em} represent, respectively, the coupling of the electric (magnetic) field and its first and second time derivatives to the local electric (magnetic) dipole moments. ω_p , ω_e , ω_m and ω_0 can be viewed as the plasma frequency, damping frequency and resonance frequency of the electric (magnetic) dipole oscillators, respectively. Thus the frequency-domain electric and magnetic susceptibilities are given

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \frac{\omega_p^2 \omega_e + i\omega_p \omega_e \omega_e + \omega_e^2 \omega_e}{\omega^2 + i\omega \omega_e + (\omega_0^2)^2}; \quad \mu(\omega) = \frac{\omega_p^2 \omega_m + i\omega_p \omega_m \omega_m + \omega_m^2 \omega_m}{\omega^2 + i\omega \omega_m + (\omega_0^2)^2}; \quad (1.3)$$

So, the refractive index squared in the 2TDL model reads

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 + \epsilon(\omega) + \mu(\omega) + \epsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega); \quad (1.4)$$

Thus it follows from (1.1) that the photon effective rest mass squared in the 2TDL model is of the form

$$m_e^2 = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega^2}{c^4} [\epsilon(\omega) + \mu(\omega) + \epsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega)]; \quad (1.5)$$

As an illustrative example, we apply Eq.(1.5) to the case of left-handed media, which is a kind of artificial composite metamaterials with negative refractive index in the microwave frequency region (10^9 Hz). More recently, this medium captured considerable attention in various fields such as condensed matter physics, materials science, applied electromagnetics and optics [6-11]. The most characteristic features of left-handed media are: (i) both the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability are negative; (ii) the Poynting vector and wave vector of electromagnetic wave propagating inside it would be antiparallel, i.e., the wave vector k , the electric field E and the magnetic field H form a left-handed system; (iii) a number of peculiar electromagnetic and optical properties such as the reversal of both the Doppler shift and the Cherenkov radiation, anomalous refraction, amplification of evanescent waves [12], unusual photon tunneling [13], modified spontaneous emission rates and even reversals of radiation pressure to radiation tension [7,8] arise. All these dramatically different propagation characteristics stem from the sign change of the group velocity. In what follows we calculate the photon effective rest mass squared by making use of Eq.(1.5) and the expressions

$$\epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma)}; \quad \mu(\omega) = 1 - \frac{F \omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\gamma\omega} \quad (1.6)$$

for the effective dielectric parameter and magnetic permeability, where the plasma frequency ω_p and the magnetic resonance frequency ω_0 are in the GHz range (e.g., $\omega_p = 10.0$ GHz, $\omega_0 = 4.0$ GHz [14]); and γ represent the damping parameters. The parameter F may often be chosen $0 < F < 1$, for example, $F = 0.56$ [14]. By using $n^2(\omega) = \epsilon(\omega) \mu(\omega)$, we obtain [15]

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} + \frac{F \omega^2}{\omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} - F \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1}; \quad (1.7)$$

It follows that the mass squared m_e^2 is obtained

$$m_e^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{c^4} \left[\omega_p^2 \left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} - F \omega^2 \left(1 + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} + F \omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \right)^{-1} \right]; \quad (1.8)$$

Note that for the electron-plasma medium, where the electromagnetic parameters $\epsilon = \mu = 0$, $F = 0$, one can arrive at the following familiar formula

$$m_e^2 = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega_p^2}{c^4} \quad (1.9)$$

with the plasma frequency squared $\omega_p^2 = \frac{N e^2}{m_e}$ (here e , m_e and N respectively denote the electron charge, mass and electron number density in this electron plasma).

It should be emphasized that there is a difference in the definition of photon effective rest mass between two types of experimental schemes of testing photon mass². In the discussion of wave dispersion and potential variations of the speed of light with frequency, which are based on the Maxwellian equations (rather than the Hamiltonian or Lagrangian density of interacting electromagnetic system), the effective rest mass squared of photons is expressed by Eq.(1.9). Historically, this problem has been discussed by many investigators, e.g., Feinberg who considered the possibility of the variation of the speed of light with frequency in the sharply defined optical and radio pulses from pulsars and took into account in more detail the observed variation of arrival time with frequency for the radio waves attributed to the interaction with interstellar electrons [17]. However, for those experimental schemes of testing photon rest mass, which are based on the Hamiltonian density (rather than the Maxwellian equations), the effective rest mass of photons due to media dispersion (i.e., the interaction of wave with charged particles in environmental dilute plasma such as the secondary cosmic rays) is two times that expressed in Eq.(1.9). The torsion balance experiment is just this type of schemes, which will be considered in Sec.V.

However, it should be noted that in Lorentz dispersive materials, m_e^2 in (1.8) depends strongly on ω , and sometimes possesses an imaginary part (due to the damping parameter and resonance frequency) and the physical meanings of m_e^2 is therefore not very apparently seen. In what follows we will define a physically meaningful effective rest mass of photons, which is independent of the frequency ω , and will explain a method by which the frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in the 2TDL media is extracted from $n^2(\omega)$. Note that the above non-physical disadvantage of frequency-dependent mass in (1.8) will be avoided in the frequency-independent effective rest mass.

II. EXTRACTING FREQUENCY-INDEPENDENT EFFECTIVE MASS FROM REFRACTIVE INDEX SQUARED

In this framework of definition of frequency-independent effective rest mass, the photon should be regarded as acted upon by a hypothetical force field, namely, it behaves like a massive de Broglie particle moving in a force field. It is verified in the following that, for the case of de Broglie particle in a force field, one can also consider its "optical refractive index" n . According to the Einstein-de Broglie relation, the dispersion relation of the de Broglie particle with rest mass m_0 in the potential field $V(\mathbf{x})$ agrees with

$$(\hbar\omega)^2 = \hbar^2 k^2 c^2 + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{h^2} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\omega = \frac{V}{\hbar}$. It follows that

$$\hbar^2 \omega^2 = 1 + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{h^2 \omega^2} + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2} = k^2 c^2; \quad (2.2)$$

which yields

$$\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} = \frac{c^2}{1 + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{h^2 \omega^2} + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2}}; \quad (2.3)$$

Compared with the dispersion relation $\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} = \frac{c^2}{n^2}$, one can arrive at

$$n^2 = 1 + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{h^2 \omega^2} + \frac{m_0^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2}; \quad (2.4)$$

which is the square of "optical refractive index" of the de Broglie particle in the presence of a potential field.

If a photon is permitted to possess a frequency-independent effective rest mass m_e , then the expression (2.4) for the case of photons in media is rewritten

²These two types of experimental schemes are as follows: one is based on the Hamiltonian density of electrodynamics and the other based on Maxwellian equations.

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{h^2 \omega^2} + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

In what follows, an approach to frequency-independent effective mass extracted from the optical refractive index squared $n^2(\omega)$ is presented. It is assumed that $n^2(\omega)$ can be rewritten as the following series expansions

$$n^2(\omega) = \sum_k \frac{a_k}{\omega^k}; \quad (2.6)$$

then one can arrive at $n^2(\omega)^2 = \sum_k \frac{a_k}{\omega^k}$, namely,

$$n^2(\omega)^2 = \dots + \frac{a_3}{\omega^3} + a_2 + a_1 \omega + a_0 \omega^2 + a_{+1} \omega^3 + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Compared (2.7) with (2.5), it is apparent that the frequency-independent effective rest mass squared m_e^2 of the photon can be read off from $n^2(\omega)$, namely, the constant term on the right-handed side on (2.7) is related only to m_e^2 , i.e.,

$$a_2 = \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{h^2}; \quad (2.8)$$

Generally speaking, the optical refractive index $n^2(\omega)$ is often of complicated form, particularly for the metamaterials. So, we cannot extract frequency-independent m_e^2 immediately from $n^2(\omega)$. In the following, this problem is resolved by calculating the limit value of $n^2(\omega)^2$, where ω tends to 1 or zero. We first consider the large frequency behavior of $n^2(\omega)^2$. It follows from (2.7) that $n^2(\omega)^2$ at high frequencies (i.e., $\omega \gg 1$) is of the form

$$n^2(\omega)^2 \sim a_2 + a_1 \omega + a_0 \omega^2 + a_{+1} \omega^3 + a_{+2} \omega^4 + \dots \quad (2.9)$$

This, therefore, means that $n^2(\omega)^2$ at high frequencies ($\omega \gg 1$) can be split into the following two terms

$$n^2(\omega)^2 \sim (\text{constant term}) + (\text{divergent term}); \quad (2.10)$$

So, we can obtain m_e^2 from the following formula

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 1} n^2(\omega)^2 \quad (\text{divergent term}) = \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{h^2}; \quad (2.11)$$

Note that the constant term in $n^2(\omega)^2$ can also be extracted by considering the low-frequency (zero-frequency) behavior of $n^2(\omega)$. It is certain that in this case the obtained constant term is the same as (2.11). Apparently $n^2(\omega)^2$ at low-frequencies (i.e., $\omega \rightarrow 0$) behaves like

$$n^2(\omega)^2 \sim \dots + \frac{a_5}{\omega^5} + \frac{a_4}{\omega^4} + \frac{a_3}{\omega^3} + a_2; \quad (2.12)$$

where the sum term $(\dots + \frac{a_5}{\omega^5} + \frac{a_4}{\omega^4} + \frac{a_3}{\omega^3})$ is divergent if ω approaches zero. Thus, subtraction of the divergent term from $n^2(\omega)^2$ yields

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} n^2(\omega)^2 \quad (\text{divergent term}) = \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{h^2}; \quad (2.13)$$

In the next section, we will calculate the frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in the 2TDL model by making use of the method presented above.

III. FREQUENCY-INDEPENDENT EFFECTIVE REST MASS OF PHOTONS IN THE 2TDL MODEL

According to the definition of the 2TDL model, the frequency-domain electric and magnetic susceptibilities are expressed by Eq.(1.3) and the refractive index squared is given in Eq.(1.4)

In order to obtain the frequency-independent m_e^2 from $n^2(\omega)$, according to the formulation in the previous section, the high-frequency ($\omega \gg 1$) behavior of $n^2(\omega)$ should first be taken into consideration and the result is

$$\epsilon(\omega)^2 \approx \epsilon_p^e + \omega^2 \epsilon_e \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $\epsilon_p^e + \omega^2 \epsilon_e$ is the divergent term of $\epsilon(\omega)^2$ at large frequencies. Subtracting the divergent term from $\epsilon(\omega)^2$, we consider again the high-frequency behavior ($\omega \gg 1$) and obtain another divergent term $\omega^3 \epsilon_e$, i.e.,

$$\epsilon(\omega)^2 - \epsilon_p^e - \omega^2 \epsilon_e = \frac{\omega^2 \epsilon_p^{e2} \epsilon_e - \omega^2 \epsilon_p^e \epsilon_e + i \epsilon_p^e (\epsilon_0^e)^2 \epsilon_e - \omega^3 \epsilon_e \epsilon_e - \omega^2 (\epsilon_0^e)^2 \epsilon_e}{\omega^2 + i \epsilon_p^e + (\epsilon_0^e)^2} \approx i \omega^3 \epsilon_e \epsilon_e \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, after subtracting all the divergent terms from $\epsilon(\omega)^2$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(\omega)^2 - \epsilon_p^e - \omega^2 \epsilon_e - i \omega^3 \epsilon_e \epsilon_e = \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{e2} \epsilon_e - \epsilon_p^e \epsilon_e - (\epsilon_0^e)^2 \epsilon_e + (\epsilon_e)^2 \epsilon_e} \quad (3.3)$$

In the same fashion, we obtain

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\omega)^2 - \epsilon_p^m + \omega^2 \mu_e - i \omega^3 \mu_e \mu_e = \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{m2} \mu_e - \epsilon_p^m \mu_e - (\epsilon_0^m)^2 \mu_e + (\mu_e)^2 \mu_e} \quad (3.4)$$

for the magnetic susceptibility $\mu(\omega)$. In what follows we continue to consider the term $\epsilon(\omega)^m \mu(\omega)$ on the right-handed side of (1.4). In the similar manner, the infinite-frequency limit of $\epsilon(\omega)^m \mu(\omega)^2$ is given as follows

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(\omega)^m \mu(\omega)^2 = \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{e2} \epsilon_e - \epsilon_p^e \epsilon_e - (\epsilon_0^e)^2 \epsilon_e + (\epsilon_e)^2 \epsilon_e} \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{m2} \mu_e - \epsilon_p^m \mu_e - (\epsilon_0^m)^2 \mu_e + (\mu_e)^2 \mu_e} \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, insertion of (3.3)-(3.5) into (2.11) yields

$$\frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} = 1 + \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{e2} \epsilon_e - \epsilon_p^e \epsilon_e - (\epsilon_0^e)^2 \epsilon_e + (\epsilon_e)^2 \epsilon_e} + 1 + \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_p^{m2} \mu_e - \epsilon_p^m \mu_e - (\epsilon_0^m)^2 \mu_e + (\mu_e)^2 \mu_e} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus the ω -independent effective rest mass squared m_e^2 is extracted from $n^2(\omega)$. Apparently, m_e^2 in Eq. (3.6) does not depend on ω . The remainder on the right-handed side of Eq. (2.5) is $n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2}$, which is equal to $1 - \frac{2}{\omega^2}$, where ω is defined to be $\frac{v}{\hbar}$. For simplicity, we set

$$(\omega) = n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2}; \quad (3.7)$$

and then from the equation

$$\frac{2}{\omega} = \omega; \quad (3.8)$$

one can readily obtain the expression for the hypothetical potential field $\phi(\omega)$ is $\phi(\omega) = \frac{\hbar}{\omega} \frac{1}{1 + (\omega)}$, or

$$V(\omega) = \hbar \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{1 + (\omega)} \quad (3.9)$$

Many metamaterials which have complicated expressions for electric and magnetic susceptibilities such as (1.3) are generally rarely seen in nature and often arises from the artificial manufactures. More recently, one of the artificial composite metamaterials, the left-handed medium which has a frequency band (GHz) where the electric permittivity $\epsilon(\omega)$ and the magnetic permeability $\mu(\omega)$ are simultaneously negative, has focused attention of many authors both experimentally and theoretically [6,9,11,15,19]. In the left-handed medium, most phenomena as the Doppler effect,

Vavilov-Cherenkov radiation and even Snell's law are inverted. In 1964³, Veselago first considered many peculiar optical and electromagnetic properties, phenomena and effects in this medium and referred to such materials as left-handed media [7], since in this case the propagation vector k , electric field E and magnetic field H of light wave propagating inside it form a left-handed system. It follows from Maxwellian curl equations that such media having negative simultaneously negative ϵ and μ exhibit a negative index of refraction, i.e., $n = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$. Since negative refractive index occurs only rarely, this medium attracts attention of many physicists in various fields. In experiments, the negative ϵ and μ can be respectively realized by using a network (array) of thin (long) metal wires [19] and a periodic arrangement of split ring resonators [9]. A combination of the two structures yields a left-handed medium. Compared the permittivity and permeability (1.6) of left-handed medium with the electric and magnetic susceptibilities (1.3), one can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_p &= 1; \quad \epsilon_p^e = \epsilon_p; \quad \epsilon_e = \epsilon_e = 0; \quad \epsilon_0^e = 0; \quad \epsilon_e = \epsilon_e; \\ \mu_p &= F; \quad \mu_p^e = \mu_p; \quad \mu_e = \mu_e = 0; \quad \mu_0^e = \mu_0; \quad \mu_e = \mu_e; \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

So, it is readily verified with the help of (3.6) that the ω -independent effective rest mass of photons inside left-handed media is written as follows

$$\frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} = (1 - F) \mu_p^2 + F \mu_0^2 \quad (3.11)$$

It follows that Eq.(3.11) is a restriction on the electromagnetic parameters $F; \mu_p; \mu_0$ and F in the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability (1.6), since $m_e^2 \geq 0$. Insertion of the experimentally chosen values of the electromagnetic parameters in the literature into Eq. (3.11) shows that this restriction condition is satisfied. For instance, in Ruppin's work [14], $F; \mu_p; \mu_0$; and F were chosen $F = 0.56$, $\mu_p = 10.0 \text{ GHz}$, $\mu_0 = 4.0 \text{ GHz}$, $\epsilon_p = 0.03 \mu_p$, $\epsilon_0 = 0.03 \mu_0$, which indicates that the inequality $m_e^2 \geq 0$ is satisfied.

IV. SOME APPLICATIONS OF FREQUENCY-INDEPENDENT EFFECTIVE REST MASS OF PHOTONS

In this section we consider the applications of the concept of frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons to some optical and electromagnetic phenomena and effects.

(i) As was stated above, since the frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in electromagnetic media is related close to the coupling parameters of the electric (magnetic) fields to the electric (magnetic) dipole systems, and the damping and resonance frequency of the electric (magnetic) dipole oscillators, it contains the information on the interaction between light and materials. It is shown that in certain frequency ranges this effective rest mass governs the propagation behavior of electromagnetic wave in media, and by using this concept one can therefore consider the wave propagation somewhat conveniently. For example, in the left-handed media whose permittivity and permeability are expressed by (1.6), the potential field $V(\omega)$ approaches $\hbar F (\mu_0^2 - \mu_p^2)$, which is constant, as the frequency tends to zero. This, therefore, means that at low frequencies the light propagation is governed mainly by the frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons.

Likewise, in the case of the potential field $V(\omega)$ being vanishing where the frequency squared of electromagnetic wave is

$$\omega^2 = \frac{\mu_0^2 - 4\mu_p^2 \mu_0^2 - 3\mu_0^4}{2}; \quad (4.1)$$

the photon can be regarded as a "free" massive particle with the rest mass being expressed in (3.11). In the frequency region near ω_0 , since the photon is a "quasi-free" particle, the light propagation behavior and properties is rather simple

³Note that, in the literature, some authors [20,21] mentioned the wrong year when Veselago suggested the left-handed media. They claimed that Veselago proposed or introduced the concept of left-handed media in 1968 or 1964. On the contrary, the true history is as follows: Veselago's excellent paper was first published in Russian in July, 1967 [Usp. Fiz. Nauk 92, 517-526 (1967)]. This original paper was translated into English by W.H. Furry and published again in 1968 in the Journal of Sov. Phys. Usp. [7]. Unfortunately, Furry stated erroneously in his English translation that the original version of Veselago's work was first published in 1964.

compared with other frequency ranges in which $V(\omega)$ is nonvanishing or large. For the left-handed media, the relevant frequency parameters ω_0 and ω_p of which is about GHz, the frequency ω in Eq.(4.1) that leads to $V(\omega) = 0$ is just in the frequency band (GHz) where the permittivity and permeability are simultaneously negative. It follows that when the effects and phenomena associated with negative index of refraction occur in left-handed media, the wave propagation (e.g., scattering properties) and dispersion properties of the light are not as complicated as those in other frequency ranges, since in the negative-index frequency band, the optical refractive index squared simulates nearly the plasma behavior, particularly in the region near ω_p .

(ii) Historically, possibility of a light pulse with speed greater than that (c) in a vacuum has been extensively investigated by many authors [5,22{27]. Ziolkowski has studied the superluminal pulse propagation and consequent superluminal information exchange in the 2TDLM media, and demonstrated that they do not violate the principle of causality [5]. He showed that in the 2TDLM model, both the phase and group velocities, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 1} v(\omega) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}} \quad (4.2)$$

of light at high frequencies will exceed the speed (c) of light in a vacuum, so long as $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1 < 0$, where ϵ_1 denotes the coupling coefficients of the second time derivatives of electric (magnetic) fields to the local electric (magnetic) dipole motions [5].

Although in the 2TDLM model the medium will exhibit a possibility of superluminal speeds of wave propagation, the photon velocity (rather than group velocity of light) is by no means larger than c . This may be explained as follows: according to Eq.(3.9), the kinetic energy of a photon is $E = \hbar \omega \sqrt{1 + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}$. If the light frequency ω tends to infinity, then it follows from Eq.(3.7) that $E \rightarrow \hbar \omega$. Since the photon momentum $p = \frac{\hbar \omega}{c}$, the velocity v of the photon with a frequency-independent rest mass approaches c , i.e., the particle (photon) velocity $v = \frac{p}{E} \rightarrow c$. Thus we show that in the artificial composite metamaterials such as 2TDLM media the photon velocity does not exceed the speed of light in a vacuum, no matter whether the phase (and group) velocity of light inside it is a superluminal speed or not.

(iii) The frequency-independent rest mass of photons is useful in discussing the plus and minus signs of phase shifts in light scattering inside media. The phase shifts and its signs contain the information on the scattering between wave and potentials. According to Eq.(3.9), if $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$ is positive (negative), then the interaction energy $V(\omega)$ between light and media is negative (positive). For this reason, it is possible for us to determine the signs (plus or minus) of phase shifts in light scattering process by calculating $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$ and the frequency-independent rest mass m_e . Now with the development in design and fabrication of left-handed materials, the zero-index ($\epsilon_1 = 0$; $\epsilon_2 = 0$) materials receive attention in materials science and applied electromagnetism [28]. For this kind of media with the optical refractive index $n = 0$, from Eq.(3.7) it follows that

$$\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1 = \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2} - 1; \quad (4.3)$$

i.e., $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$ is approximately equal to $\frac{m_e^2 c^4}{\hbar^2 \omega^2} - 1$ and we can determine the sign by comparing the light frequency with the photon effective rest mass m_e . This, therefore, means that if the frequency ω is larger than $\frac{m_e c^2}{\hbar}$, then $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1 < 0$ and consequently the phase shift of light wave due to scattering acquires a minus sign; and if the light frequency ω is less than $\frac{m_e c^2}{\hbar}$, then $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1 > 0$ and consequently the phase shift acquires a plus sign.

V. EFFECTS OF SELF-INDUCED CHARGE CURRENTS IN THE TORSION BALANCE EXPERIMENT

In this section, we consider the potential effects of self-induced charge currents arising in the torsion balance experiments [29,30]. The Lagrangian density of electrodynamics reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} A_\mu A^\mu + j_\mu A^\mu; \quad (5.1)$$

where $\epsilon^2 = \frac{m_e^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}$ with m_e , \hbar and c being the photon rest mass, Planck's constant and speed of light in a free vacuum, respectively, and ϵ_0 denotes the magnetic permeability in a vacuum and the sum over the repeated indices is implied. It follows from (5.1) that the canonical momentum density is written

$$\vec{p} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \vec{A}}; \quad \vec{p}(\vec{x}) = \epsilon_0 \vec{E}(\vec{x}); \quad (5.2)$$

In the electron plasma, due to the conservation law of the canonical momentum density, i.e., $\frac{d}{dt}(m_e v + eA) = 0$, we have $v = \frac{e}{m_e}A + C$ with C being a constant velocity. By using the formula $H = \frac{1}{2}E^2 - \frac{1}{2}c^2 B^2$ and electric current density $J = Ne v = \frac{Ne^2}{m_e}A + NeC$, one can arrive at (in SI)

$$H = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_0} \frac{E^2}{c^2} + B^2 + \frac{1}{2}A^2 + 2\frac{0Ne^2}{m_e}A^2 + \frac{1}{2c^2} r \cdot E \frac{J_0}{\epsilon_0} + J_0 A_0 - NeC \cdot A; \quad (5.3)$$

where $J_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt}$ and $A_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int A \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ are respectively the electric charge density and electric scalar potential, and ϵ_0 represents the electric permittivity in a vacuum and $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}}$. Note that here $\frac{1}{2c^2} r \cdot E \frac{J_0}{\epsilon_0}$ results from both the mass term $\frac{1}{2}A^2$ and the Gauss's law $r \cdot E = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} A_0 + \frac{J_0}{\epsilon_0}$.

It follows from (5.3) that the total effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields in electron plasma is given as follows

$$m_{\text{tot}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} + 2\frac{0Ne^2}{m_e}: \quad (5.4)$$

It is worthwhile to point out that according to the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation $r \cdot B = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} E + \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} J \cdot A$ and the consequent $r \cdot B = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} E + \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} J \cdot A + \frac{0Ne^2}{m_e} A^2$, the m_{tot}^2 should be $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{0Ne^2}{m_e}$ rather than that in (5.4). This minor difference between these two m_{tot}^2 results from the derivative procedure applied to the Lagrangian density by using the Euler-Lagrange equation. Although it seems from the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation that $m_{\text{tot}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{0Ne^2}{m_e}$, the factor-2 in (5.4) cannot be ignored in calculating the torque acting on the torsion balance due to the photon effective rest mass, which will be considered in what follows. In the classical electromagnetics, the following familiar formulae are given

$$r \cdot B = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} J; \quad m_d = r^2 I; \quad I = J \cdot S; \quad \sim = m_d \cdot B \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$r \cdot A = B; \quad a_d = r^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}; \quad \sim = B \cdot S; \quad (5.6)$$

where $r \cdot B = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} J$ holds when the electric field strength E , electric current density J are vanishing in the Ampere-Maxwell equation. In Eq.(5.5), $m_d = r^2 I$ means that a current loop of radius r carrying current I gives rise to a magnetic dipole moment m_d ; S in $I = J \cdot S$ denotes the area vector through which the electric current density vector J penetrates; $\sim = m_d \cdot B$ means that an electric-current loop with a magnetic dipole moment m_d , immersed in a magnetic field B , experiences a torque $\sim = m_d \cdot B$. Eqs.(5.6) means in the torsion balance experiment, a toroid coil contains a loop of magnetic flux which acts as a dipole source a_d of magnetic vector potential via $r \cdot A = B$ with the magnetic field B within the toroid as the source term.

It follows from (5.3) that in the Hamiltonian density H of electromagnetic system, there are $\frac{1}{2}B^2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} + \frac{0Ne^2}{m_e} A^2 \right)$ (i.e., $\frac{1}{2} (m_{\text{tot}} A)^2$), which provide us with some useful insights into calculating the torque produced by the interaction of magnetic dipole vector potential moment a_d with the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potential acting upon the toroid in the torsion balance experiment. For convenience, the Equations (5.6) may be rewritten as follows

$$r \cdot (m_{\text{tot}} A) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} B; \quad m_{\text{tot}} a_d = r^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt}; \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} S; \quad (5.7)$$

In order to calculate the torque acting on the torsion balance, we compare the equations and expressions in (5.7) with those in (5.5) as follows:

	the familiar case	the case due to photon mass
in H	$\frac{1}{2}B^2$	$\frac{1}{2} (m_{\text{tot}} A)^2$
Equations	$r \cdot B = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} J$	$r \cdot (m_{\text{tot}} A) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} B$
dipole moment	$m_d = r^2 I$	$m_{\text{tot}} a_d = r^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt}$
flux	$I = J \cdot S$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{dt} S$
torque	$\sim = m_d \cdot B$	$\sim = ?$

*Table 1

Thus it follows from the analogies in the above table that, $\tau = (\mu_{\text{tot}} a_d) (\mu_{\text{tot}} A)$, i.e., the torque $\sim \mu_{\text{tot}}^2 a_d A$. Here the torque \sim arising from the interaction between the dipole field of magnetic potentials (resulting from the electric current I in the toroid windings) with the ambient vector potential A acts on the torsion balance. It should be noted again that here $\mu_{\text{tot}}^2 = \mu_0^2 + 2\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}$ rather than $\mu_{\text{tot}}^2 = \mu_0^2 + \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}$.

Note that there exists a term $\mu_0 N e C$ in the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation $\nabla \cdot B = \mu_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} [\mu_0^2 + \frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e}] A + \mu_0 N e C$. Does this term has influences on the torsion balance? In what follows we will discuss this problem.

In the similar fashion, we consider the familiar Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} B^2$, which describes the interaction of the magnetic moments with the magnetic field B . According to the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation with $\mu_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\mu_0 N e^2}{m_e} A$ being ignored, we have $\nabla \cdot B = \mu_0 N e C$, which can be rewritten as $\nabla \cdot B = (\mu_0 \mu_{\text{tot}}) \frac{N e C}{\mu_{\text{tot}}}$. In the meanwhile, $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} B^2$ can also be rewritten as $\mathcal{H} = \frac{N e C}{\mu_{\text{tot}}} (\mu_{\text{tot}} A)$.

It is known that in the sufficiently large space filled with homogeneous magnetic moments with volume density (magnetization) being M , the interior magnetic field strength B produced by homogeneously distributed magnetic moments is just $\mu_0 M$ ⁴, i.e., $\nabla \cdot A = \mu_0 M$ or $\nabla \cdot (\mu_{\text{tot}} A) = (\mu_0 \mu_{\text{tot}}) M$.

Thus the comparisons between "the familiar case" and the case due to the constant C are illustrated in the following table,

	the familiar case	the case due to constant C
in \mathcal{H}	$\frac{1}{2} B^2$	$\frac{1}{2} (\mu_{\text{tot}} A)^2$
interaction Hamiltonian density	$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} B^2$	$\mathcal{H} = \frac{N e C}{\mu_{\text{tot}}} (\mu_{\text{tot}} A)$
Equations	$\nabla \cdot (\mu_{\text{tot}} A) = (\mu_0 \mu_{\text{tot}}) M$	$\nabla \cdot B = (\mu_0 \mu_{\text{tot}}) \frac{N e C}{\mu_{\text{tot}}}$
torque	$\tau \sim M B$	$\tau \sim ?$

*Table 2

It follows that $\tau = \frac{N e C}{\mu_{\text{tot}}} (\mu_{\text{tot}} A)$, i.e., $\tau \sim N e C A$, which does not act on the toroid in the torsion balance experiment.

Since we have discussed the related preliminary preparation for the effects of self-induced charge currents, we think the order of magnitude of its effects in experiments (e.g., the extra torque due to the photon effective mass acting on the toroid in the torsion balance experiment) deserves evaluation. It is shown by our evaluation that the muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays will contribute an effective rest mass about 10^{-54} Kg to the photon, which is compared to the newly obtained upper limit on photon rest mass in Luo's rotating torsion balance experiment.

V I. D I S C U S S I O N A N D R E M A R K S : S E L F - I N D U C E D C H A R G E C U R R E N T S I N D I L U T E P L A S M A

Here we discuss the effective rest mass of photon resulting from the self-induced charge currents in the torsion balance experiments [29,30]. The self-induced charge current arises mainly from the two sources around us: (i) muon (μ) component and alpha-particles in cosmic rays; (ii) decay daughter (alpha-particles) of radioactive radon gas (^{222}Rn , ^{220}Rn) in room environment.

It is known that at the sea level, the current density of muon component in secondary cosmic rays is about $1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [33]. Assuming that the muon velocity approaches speed of light, the volume density of muon can

⁴This may be considered in two ways: (i) if the direction of M is assumed to be parallel to the third component of Cartesian coordinate system, then the potentially non-vanishing components of B and H are also the third ones. It follows from the Ampere's law $\nabla \times H = J_{\text{free}}$ with $J_{\text{free}} = 0$ that H_3 is constant, and H_3 can be viewed as zero. So, according to the expression $B = \mu_0 (H + M)$, we obtain $B = \mu_0 M$; (ii) In accordance with Ampere's circuital law, the magnetic induction B inside a long solenoid with N circular loops carrying a current I in the region remote from the ends is axial, uniform and equal to μ_0 times the number of Ampere-turns per meter nI , i.e., $B = \mu_0 nI$, where $n = \frac{N}{l}$ with l being the solenoid length. Let S denote the cross-section area of this long solenoid. The volume density of magnetic moments IS resulting from the carried current I is $M = \frac{(IS)n l}{V}$ with the solenoid volume $V = Sl$. This, therefore, means that the volume density of magnetic moments just equals the number of Ampere-turns per meter nI , i.e., $M = nI$. So, we have $B = \mu_0 M$.

be derived and the result is $N = 0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-3}$. So, according to Eq.(5.4) (i.e., $m_e = \frac{h}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2N}{\epsilon_0 m} \frac{e^2}{c^2}}$), electromagnetic wave with wavelength⁵ 100 m at the sea level acquires an effective rest mass about $0.3 \times 10^{53} \text{ Kg}$.

It is believed that the air molecules in the vacuum chamber of torsion balance experiment cannot be ionized by the alpha-particles of cosmic rays, because the mean free path of alpha-particle moving at about 10^7 m s^{-1} in the dilute air with the pressure being only 10^{-2} Pa [29] is too large (more than 10^6 m)⁶. So, the air medium has nothing to contribute to the effective rest mass of photons.

Radon (^{86}Rn) possesses the α -radioactivity. Generally speaking, the Rn density in room environment is 10^8 m^{-3} or so, which may be the same order of magnitude as particle density in secondary cosmic rays⁷, and the decay daughter (alpha-particles) will therefore give rise to a photon effective mass of about 10^{54} Kg .

Thus the effective rest mass induced by muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays and radon gas can be compared to the new upper limit ($1.2 \times 10^{54} \text{ Kg}$) obtained by Luo et al. in their recent rotating torsion balance experiment [29]. So, as far as the contribution of ions of cosmic rays to the photon rest mass is concerned, this upper limit ($1.2 \times 10^{54} \text{ Kg}$) becomes just a critical value. We think Luo's experimentally obtained upper limit is of much interest and therefore deserves further experimental investigation to get a more precise upper limit on photon mass.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper,

i) it is verified that the expression $\frac{m_{eff}^2 c^4}{h^2} = (1 - n^2)^{-2}$ can be applied to calculating the effective rest mass of photons in the artificial composite electromagnetic materials with complicated permittivity and permeability.

ii) we suggest an approach to obtaining the frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in certain artificial composite materials such as 2D DLM media which possess the complicated electric permittivity and magnetic permeability.

iii) it is shown that the extra torque $2 \frac{e N e^2}{m} a_d A$ by the self-induced charge currents will also acts upon the toroid in the torsion balance experiment whose apparatus is immersed in the dilute plasma such as the cosmic rays and radon gas. Here the photon effective rest mass squared is $\frac{2}{e} = 2 \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$ rather than $\frac{2}{e} = \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$.

The following difference between these two $\frac{2}{e}$ should be pointed out: the theoretical mechanism of torsion balance experiment lies in the Lagrangian or Hamiltonian (5.3) of interacting electromagnetic system, so, the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields is $\frac{2}{e} = 2 \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$. However, for those experimental schemes [17,31,32] of photon rest mass stemming from the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation $\nabla \times B = \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + [^2 + \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}] A + e N e C$, the effective rest mass squared of electromagnetic fields is $\frac{2}{e} = \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$ rather than $\frac{2}{e} = 2 \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$. The so-called experimental realizations stemming from the Ampere-Maxwell-Poisson equation are as follows: pulsar test of a variation of the speed of light with frequency [17]; geomagnetic limit on photon mass based on the analysis of satellite measurements of the Earth's field [31]; experimental test of Ampere's law at low temperature [32], etc.. In all these experimental schemes, the effective mass squared is $\frac{2}{e} = \frac{e N e^2}{m_e}$.

⁵Since the mean distance of two muons in secondary cosmic rays at sea level is about 100 m , Lorentz's mean-field formulation (Lorentz's dispersion theory, Lorentz's electron theory, 1895) is not applicable to the electromagnetic wave with wavelength 100 m . So, the above effective mass formula is no longer valid for this case (i.e., 100 m). In fact, the electromagnetic wave with wavelength 100 m does not acquire this effective rest mass. But the ambient cosmic magnetic vector potentials (interstellar magnetic fields) with low (or zero) frequencies will truly acquire this effective rest mass.

⁶According to some handbooks, the free paths of alpha-particles in air (with pressure 10^5 Pa) are 2.5, 3.5, 4.6, 5.9, 7.4, 8.9, 10.6 cm, corresponding respectively to the energy 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0 Mev. So, in the vacuum chamber with pressure 10^{-2} Pa in Luo's experiment, the free path of alpha-particles (with energy much more than 10 Mev, even perhaps more than 100 Mev) in secondary cosmic rays is more than 10^7 times several cm, which means that the air molecules in the low-pressure vacuum chamber cannot be easily ionized by the alpha-particles of cosmic rays.

⁷The effective doses of cosmic rays and Rn humans suffer are respectively 0.4 mSv (typical range: $0.3\text{--}1.0 \text{ mSv}$) and 1.2 mSv (typical range: $0.2\text{--}10 \text{ mSv}$).

iv) the self-induced charge currents in dilute plasma presented above (i.e., muons and alpha-particles in secondary cosmic rays and radon gas) will give arise to an effective rest mass of about 10^{-54} Kg, which can be compared to the new upper limit on photon rest mass obtained in Luo's rotating torsion balance experiment.

Acknowledgements This project is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the project No. 90101024.

-
- [1] Hou B Y and Hou B Y 1979 Phys. Ener. Fort. Phys. Nucl. (Beijing) 3 225 (in Chinese)
 - [2] Shen J Q 2003 Frequency-independent effective rest mass of photons in the 2D LM model arXiv: cond-mat/0304039
 - [3] Ziolkowski R W and Auzanneau F 1997 J. Appl. Phys. 82 3192
 - [4] Ziolkowski R W and Auzanneau F 1997 J. Appl. Phys. 82 3195
 - [5] Ziolkowski R W 2001 Phys. Rev. E 63 046604
 - [6] Smith D R, Padilla W J, Vier D C, Nemat-Nasser S C and Schultz S 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 4184
 - [7] Veselago V G 1968 Sov. Phys. Usp. 10 509
 - [8] Klimov V V 2002 Opt. Comm. 211 183
 - [9] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Robbins D J and Stewart W J 1999 IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech. 47 2075
 - [10] Shelby R A, Smith D R and Schultz S 2001 Science 292 77
 - [11] Ziolkowski R W 2001 Phys. Rev. E 64 056625
 - [12] Pendry J B 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 3966
 - [13] Zhang Z M and Fu C J 2002 Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 1097
 - [14] Ruppin R 2000 Solid State Comm. 116 411
 - [15] Shen J Q 2003 Phys. Scr. (Sweden) 68 87 [Shen J Q 2003 arXiv: cond-mat/0302351]
 - [16] Fang R C 2001 Solid State Spectroscopy (Hefei: Press of University of science & technology of China) p 11-17
 - [17] Feinberg G 1969 Science 166 879
 - [18] Ziolkowski R W 2001 Phys. Rev. E 63 046604
 - [19] Pendry J B, Holden A J, Robbins D J and Stewart W J 1998 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 4785
 - [20] Kong J A, Wu B L and Zhang Y 2002 Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 2084
 - [21] Garcia N and Nieto-Vesperinas M 2002 Opt. Lett. 27 885
 - [22] Akulshin A M, Barreiro S and Lezama A 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 4277
 - [23] Zibrov A S, Lukin M D, Hollberg L et al. 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 3935
 - [24] Mitchell M W and Chiao R Y 1998 Am. J. Phys. 66 14
 - [25] Zhou X J 2000 Phys. Lett. A 278 1
 - [26] Nitz G 1999 Euro. Phys. J. C 7 523
 - [27] Wang L J, Kuzmich A and Dogariu A 2000 Nature 406 277
 - [28] Hu L B and Chui S T 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 085108
 - [29] Luo J, Tu L C, Hu Z K and Luan E J 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 081801
 - [30] Lakes R 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 1826
 - [31] Fischbach E, Klor H, Langel R A, et al. 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 514
 - [32] Chemikoff M A, Gerber C J and Ott H R 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 3383
 - [33] Mei Z Y, Dictionary of Physics: Cosmic Rays Booklet (Scientific Press of China, 1983) p.17-20.