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#### Abstract

W e discuss a sim ple m ethod of constructing correlated random netw orks, which was recently proposed by M.B oguna and R.P astor-Satorras (cond-m at/0306072). T he result of th is construction procedure is a sparse netw ork whose degree\{degree distribution asym ptotically approaches a given function at large degrees. W e argue that this convergence is possible if the desired function is su ciently slow ly decreasing.


Recently, M arian Boguna and Rom ualdo PastorSatorras proposed a natural way of constructing correlated netw orks w ith desired correlations of the degrees of the nearest neighbors [1] $\underline{11}_{1}^{1}$. This practical algorithm is based on the idea that previously w as used in Refs. [ [ $\left[4\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 4\end{array}\right]\right.$ for constructing uncorrelated graphs and in Ref. Ther for building correlated netw orks (see also Ref. [ild). In all these constructions, (i) som e weights ( tnesses, desired degrees, etc.) are ascribed to vertioes, and (ii) each pair of vertioes is connected w ith probability which depend on these weights.

In the rst version of this work, I reproduced this algorithm w thout know ing that it had already been proposed. So, in the present, corrected and shortened and $m$ ore $m$ ethodical version I m ostly discuss the range of validity of the algorithm and features of netw orks, generated by this $m$ ethod.

The correlations betw een degrees of the nearest neighbors in a graph are naturally described by the joint distribution of the degrees of end vertiops of an edge of the graph, $P\left(k ; k^{0}\right), P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=P\left(k^{0} ; k\right), \quad k ; k^{0} P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=1$. The joint degree\{degree distribution determ ines the degree distribution $P(k)$ of a netw ork:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{kP}(\mathrm{k})}{\bar{k}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}={ }_{k ; k^{0}}^{" X} \frac{P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)^{\#}}{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$


$T$ he algorithm of Boguna and Pastor-Satorras generates sparse random netw orks w ith desired degree\{ degree correlations. Suppose one w ishes to obtain an ensem ble of graphs w ith a desired joint distribution of the degrees of the nearest neighbors, $P\left(q ; q^{0}\right)$. O ne should assum e that $P\left(q ; q^{0}\right)$ decreases $w$ th $q$ and $q^{0}$ su ciently slow ly. Let the number $N$ of vertices in each graph of the ensemble be large and xed.

T he procedure [1] [1] is as follow s:
(i) C reate N vertioes w ith a sequence of w eights $\mathrm{fq}_{i} \mathrm{~g}_{\text {, }}$ i= 1;:::;N independently sam pled from the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q)=\bar{q} \mathcal{q}^{0} P\left(q ; q^{0}\right)=q ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\bar{q}={ }^{P} q ; q^{0} P\left(q ; q^{0}\right)=q\right]^{1}$.
(ii) Put a link between $i$ and $j$ vertioes w ith probability [ $\mathbf{T 1}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=p\left(q_{i} ; q_{j}\right)=\frac{\bar{q}}{N} \frac{P\left(q_{i} ; q_{j}\right)}{P\left(q_{i}\right) P\left(q_{j}\right)}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this $m$ odel, (i) the average degree of an $i$-th vertex coincides $w$ ith $\bar{q}_{i}$, and (ii) the distribution of degrees of an individual vertex is a relatively narrow function at large degrees. This can be proved by calculating the degree distribution of a vertex $w$ ith weight $q$ (see Ref. [ill ${ }_{1}^{[1]}$ ). $\underline{H}$ ere, altematively, we sim ply nd the rst twom om ents, $\bar{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{hk}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{i}$ for the degree of an i -th vertex.

The statistical weights of graphs g 2 G in the resulting ensemble, written in term $s$ of $p_{i j}$ (adjacency $m$ atrix elem ents are $a_{i j}=0 ; 1$ ), are of a rather standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g)=\left(f p_{i j} g ; f a_{i j} g\right) / \sum_{i ; j}^{Y} \frac{p_{i j}}{1 p_{i j}}{ }^{a_{i j}}=Y_{i ; j}^{Y} S_{i j}^{a_{i j}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(com pare w ith the statistical w eights of classical random graphs and Ref. partition function of the ensemble is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z(G)=Z\left(f p_{i j} g\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
X \\
g 2 G
\end{array}(g)=\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y
\end{array}\left(f p_{i j} g ; f a_{i j} g\right) / \\
& Y^{g 2 G} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & p_{i j}
\end{array}\right)^{1}={ }^{a_{i j}}{ }^{i ; j}\left(1+S_{i j}\right): \\
& i ; j \quad i ; j
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { So, } \\
& h a_{i j} i=\frac{@ \ln Z\left(f s_{i j} g\right)}{@ \ln S_{i j}}=p_{i j} ; \\
& h a_{i j} a_{i^{0} j^{\circ} i} \quad h a_{i j} i h a_{i^{0} j^{\circ}} i=\frac{@}{@ \ln S_{i^{0} j^{\circ}}} \frac{@ \ln S_{i j}}{} \ln Z\left(f_{S_{i j}} g\right)= \\
& \left(p_{i j} p_{i j}^{2}\right){ }_{i i^{0}}{ }^{j j^{0}} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

etc. IT he averages are over the statistical ensemble: $\left.\mathrm{hX}(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G})^{1} \quad \mathrm{~g} 2 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{g}).\right] \quad$ C onsequently, all the $m$ om ents are equal: ha ${ }_{i j} i=p_{i j}, n \quad 1$. This, in fact, is clear, since $a_{i j}$ takes only tw o values 0 and 1 w th the probabilities $1 \quad p_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}$, respectively. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} ; q\right)=\bar{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ was used], so the $m$ ean degree in the net $\bar{k}=\bar{q}$, and

 $m$ ore naive argum ents. Suppose for brevity that a net is of three vertioes, 0,1 , and 2 . Then $\bar{k}_{0}=1 \quad\left[p_{1}\left(1 p_{02}\right)+\right.$ ( 1 p $\left.\left.p_{01}\right) p_{02}\right]+2 \quad p_{1} p_{02}=p_{01}+p_{02}$ [com parew th Eq. (q) $)$ ] and $h k_{0}^{2} i=1^{2} \quad\left[p_{1}\left(1 \quad p_{02}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & p_{01}\end{array}\right) p_{02}\right]+2^{2} \quad p_{1} p_{02}=$ $p_{01}+p_{02}+2 p_{01} p_{02}$ [com pare w ith Eq. $\left.\left.{ }_{(1-1)}^{2}\right)\right]$.

U sing the expression (4, $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ ), one can see that in a sparse netw ork, the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. ( $\bar{q}_{1}$ ) is of the order of ${ }^{1}$ and so is negligible. C onsequently, in the them odynam ic $\lim$ 止 $(\mathbb{N}!1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hk}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{i} \quad \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\overline{\mathrm{q}}_{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hat is, the distribution of degrees of an individual vertex $i$ is indeed relatively narrow, if $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is large, and peaked at $\bar{q}_{i}$. O ne should take into account the fact that the num ber of edges in the net, which connect vertices $w$ ith w eights $q$ and $q^{0}$, is

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(q ; q^{0}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} N^{2} P(q) P\left(q^{0}\right) \text { ha }\left(q ; q^{0}\right) i= \\
& \frac{1}{2} N \bar{q}\left(q ; q^{0}\right)=\operatorname{LP}\left(q ; q^{0}\right) ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $L$ is the total num ber of edges [note the sym $m e-$ try factor $1=2$ in the second term of Eq. ( $\left.\left.1 \overline{11}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. Then one can nally conclude that the resulting degree\{ degree distribution of the net is expressed in term s of a given function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {result }}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=P\left(k+(k) ; k^{0}+{ }^{0}\left(k^{0}\right)\right) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the deviations, $j(k) j<{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{j}^{0}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right) j<\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{k}^{0}}}$, are relatively sm all at large degrees. T he relation (12) show s that at large degrees the algorithm of B oguna and P astor-Satorras provides the degree\{degree distribution $P_{\text {result }}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$, only if $P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ decreases suf ciently slow ly. T he follow ing argum ents explain what this does $m$ ean.

We ask two (related) questions. (i) How should a function $f(x)$ behave at large $x$ to satisfy the condition: $f(x+C \bar{x})=f(x)!1$ as $x!1$ ? (ii) How should a function $f(x)$ behave at large $x$ to guarantee that $[f(x+C \bar{x})+f(x \quad C \quad \bar{x})]=[2 f(x)]!1$ as $x!1$ ? Here, $c=$ const.

At rst sight, the second, $m$ ore sym $m$ etric condition $m$ ay dem and less strong restriction on the behavior of $f(x)$. H ow ever, both the questions have the sam e answer. Indeed, the second and the third, and the higher term $s$ in the series $f(x+C \bar{x})=f(x)=1+C \bar{x}[d f(x)=d x]=f(x)+$ $c^{2} x\left[d^{2} f(x)=d x^{2}=[2 f(x)]+:::\right.$ approach zero at large $x$ if the sam e condition is satis ed: $f(x) m$ ust decrease $w$ ith $x$ slower than $e^{p} \bar{x}$. So, if the given function $P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ decreases slow er than, say, $e^{\mathrm{k}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{k}^{0}}$, then $\mathrm{P}_{\text {result }}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right)$ asym ptotically approaches $P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ at large $k$ and $\left.k^{0} \overline{[8}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

M oreover, this condition also guarantees that the resulting degree distribution $P_{\text {result }}(k)$ asym ptotically approaches $P$ (k) at large degrees. On the other hand, in the region of $s m$ all degrees, $P_{\text {result }}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ deviates from desired $P\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$, and $P_{\text {result }}(k)$ deviates from $P(k)$.

Sim ilar argum ents are also valid for other netw ork
 m ust decrease su ciently slow ly. On the one hand, this im plies a serious restriction on the range of degree (or degree (degree) distributions which can be reproduced in such a way. On the other hand, it is the slow ly decreasing distributions that are m ost interesting.
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