N etworks with desired correlations

SN.Dorogovtæv^{1;2;}

¹ Departam ento de F sica and Centro de F sica do Porto, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto,

Rua do Campo A legre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

² A.F. Io e Physico-Technical Institute, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia

We discuss a simple method of constructing correlated random networks, which was recently proposed by M.Boguna and R.Pastor-Satorras (cond-m at/0306072). The result of this construction procedure is a sparse network whose degree{degree distribution asymptotically approaches a given function at large degrees. We argue that this convergence is possible if the desired function is su ciently slowly decreasing.

Recently, Marian Boguna and Romualdo Pastor-Satorras proposed a natural way of constructing correlated networks with desired correlations of the degrees of the nearest neighbors [1]. This practical algorithm is based on the idea that previously was used in Refs. [2{4] for constructing uncorrelated graphs and in Refs. [5] for building correlated networks (see also Ref. [6]). In all these constructions, (i) some weights (tnesses, desired degrees, etc.) are ascribed to vertices, and (ii) each pair of vertices is connected with probability which depend on these weights.

In the rst version of this work, I reproduced this algorithm without knowing that it had already been proposed. So, in the present, corrected and shortened and m ore m ethodical version I mostly discuss the range of validity of the algorithm and features of networks, generated by this m ethod.

The correlations between degrees of the nearest neighbors in a graph are naturally described by the joint distribution of the degrees of end vertices of an edge of the graph, P $(k;k^0)$, P $(k;k^0) = P (k^0;k)$, $\sum_{k;k^0} P (k;k^0) = 1$. The joint degree (degree distribution determ ines the degree distribution P (k) of a network:

$$X = P(k;k^{0}) = \frac{kP(k)}{\overline{k}} :$$
 (1)

Consequently,

$$\overline{k} = \frac{\left[{{X}} {{X}} {{P} \left({k;k^0} \right)} \right]^{\# 1}}{k}$$
(2)

and $hk^{n}i = \frac{1}{k}P_{k;k^{0}}k^{n-1}P(k;k^{0})$.

The algorithm of B oguna and Pastor-Satorras generates sparse random networks with desired degree (degree correlations. Suppose one wishes to obtain an ensemble of graphs with a desired joint distribution of the degrees of the nearest neighbors, P (g;q⁰). One should assume that P (q;q⁰) decreases with q and q⁰ su ciently slow ly. Let the number N of vertices in each graph of the ensemble be large and xed.

The procedure [1] is as follows:

(i) C reate N vertices with a sequence of weights fq_ig , i = 1;:::;N independently sampled from the distribution

$$P(q) = \overline{q} \sum_{q^0}^{X} P(q;q^0) = q; \qquad (3)$$

where $\overline{q} = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ q;q^0 \end{bmatrix} (q;q^0) = q \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ q \end{bmatrix}$.

(ii) Put a link between i and j vertices with probability[7]

$$p_{ij} = p(q_i; q_j) = \frac{\overline{q}}{N} \frac{P(q_i; q_j)}{P(q_i)P(q_j)} :$$
(4)

In this model, (i) the average degree of an i-th vertex coincides with \overline{q}_i , and (ii) the distribution of degrees of an individual vertex is a relatively narrow function at large degrees. This can be proved by calculating the degree distribution of a vertex with weight q (see R ef. [1]). Here, alternatively, we simply nd the rst twomoments, \overline{k}_i and hk_i^2 i for the degree of an i-th vertex.

The statistical weights of graphs g 2 G in the resulting ensemble, written in term s of p_{ij} (adjacency matrix elements are $a_{ij} = 0;1$), are of a rather standard form

$$(g) = (fp_{ij}g; fa_{ij}g) / \sum_{i;j}^{Y} \frac{p_{ij}}{1 p_{ij}} = \sum_{i;j}^{a_{ij}} S_{ij}^{a_{ij}}$$
(5)

(com pare with the statistical weights of classical random graphs and Ref. [6]). Here, $s_{ij} = p_{ij}=(1 \quad p_{ij})$. The partition function of the ensemble is

so,

etc. [The averages are over the statistical ensemble: hX (g)i = Z (G)¹ g2G (g)X (g).] Consequently, all the m om ents are equal: $h_{ij}^n i = p_{ij}$, n 1. This, in fact, is clear, since a_{ij} takes only two values 0 and 1 with the probabilities 1 p_{ij} and p_{ij} , respectively. Then,

$$\overline{k_{i}} = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ a_{ij} & = \begin{array}{c} p_{ij} = N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ p_{ij} = N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p \\ q \end{array}$$
 P (q)p(q_{i};q) = \overline{q}_{i} (8)

[Eq. (4) was used], so the mean degree in the net $\overline{k} = \overline{q}$, and

$$hk_{i}^{2}i = \begin{array}{ccccc} X & ^{2} & X & X & ^{2} & X \\ a_{ij} & = & p_{ij} + & p_{ij} & & p_{ij}^{2}; \\ j & j & j & j \end{array}$$
(9)

Note that Eqs. (8) and (9) may be obtained by using more naive arguments. Suppose for brevity that a net is of three vertices, 0, 1, and 2. Then $\overline{k_0} = 1$ [p_1 (1 p_{02}) + (1 p_{01}) p_{02}] + 2 $p_1 p_{02} = p_{01} + p_{02}$ [compare with Eq. (8)] and $hk_0^2 i = 1^2$ [p_1 (1 p_{02}) + (1 p_{01}) p_{02}] + 2² $p_1 p_{02} = p_{01} + p_{02} + 2p_{01} p_{02}$ [compare with Eq. (9)].

U sing the expression (4), one can see that in a sparse network, the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is of the order of N¹ and so is negligible. Consequently, in the therm odynam ic lim it (N ! 1),

$$hk_{i}^{2}i \quad \overline{k}_{i}^{2} = \overline{k}_{i} = \overline{q}_{i} : \qquad (10)$$

That is, the distribution of degrees of an individual vertex i is indeed relatively narrow, if k_i is large, and peaked at $\overline{q_i}$. One should take into account the fact that the number of edges in the net, which connect vertices with weights q and q^0 , is

$$L (q;q^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}N^{2}P (q)P (q^{0})ha (q;q^{0})i = \frac{1}{2}N \overline{q}P (q;q^{0}) = LP (q;q^{0}); \quad (11)$$

where L is the total number of edges [note the symmetry factor 1=2 in the second term of Eq. (11)]. Then one can nally conclude that the resulting degree {degree distribution of the net is expressed in terms of a given function:

$$P_{\text{result}}(k;k^{0}) = P(k + (k);k^{0} + {}^{0}(k^{0})); \qquad (12)$$

where the deviations, $j(k)j < {}^{p}\frac{}{k}; j^{0}(k^{0})j < {}^{p}\frac{}{k^{0}}$, are relatively small at large degrees. The relation (12) shows that at large degrees the algorithm of Bogura and Pastor-Satorras provides the degree{degree distribution $P_{result}(k;k^{0}) = P(k;k^{0})$, only if $P(k;k^{0})$ decreases sufciently slow by. The following arguments explain what this does mean. We ask two (related) questions. (i) How should a function f(x) behave at large x to satisfy the condition: f(x + c x) = f(x) ! 1 as x ! 1 ? (ii) How should a function f(x) behave at large x to guarantee that [f(x + c x) + f(x c x)] = [2f(x)]! 1 as x ! 1 ? Here, c = const.

At rst sight, the second, more symmetric condition may demand less strong restriction on the behavior of f(x). However, both the questions have the same answer. Indeed, the second and the third, and the higher term s in the series f(x + $c^{P}x)=f(x) = 1 + c^{P}x[df(x)=dx]=f(x) + c^{2}x[d^{2}f(x)=dx^{2}]=[2f(x)] + ::: approach zero at large x if$ the same condition is satis ed: f(x) must decrease with $x slower than e <math>e^{\frac{P}{x}}$. So, if the given function P (k;k⁰) decreases slower than, say, e $e^{\frac{P}{k}}e^{\frac{P}{k^{0}}}$, then P_{result} (k;k⁰) asymptotically approaches P (k;k⁰) at large k and k⁰ [8].

M oreover, this condition also guarantees that the resulting degree distribution $P_{result}(k)$ asymptotically approaches P (k) at large degrees. On the other hand, in the region of sm all degrees, $P_{result}(k;k^0)$ deviates from desired P (k;k⁰), and $P_{result}(k)$ deviates from P (k).

Similar arguments are also valid for other network constructions of this type $[2\{6\}]$: desired distributions must decrease su ciently slow ly. On the one hand, this im plies a serious restriction on the range of degree (or degree (degree) distributions which can be reproduced in such a way. On the other hand, it is the slow ly decreasing distributions that are most interesting.

E lectronic address: sdorogov@ fc.up.pt

- [1] M. Boguna and R. Pastor-Satorras, cond-m at/0306072.
- [2] K.-I.Goh, B.Kahng, and D.Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 278701 (2001).
- [3] F. Chung and L. Lu, Annals of Combinatorics, 6, 125 (2002).
- [4] Soderberg, Phys. Rev. E 66, 066121 (2002).
- [5] G. Caldarelli, A. Capocci, P. De Los Rios, and M A. Munoz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 258702 (2002).
- [6] J.Park and M E J.Newman, cond-m at/0303327.
- [7] Note that some of the probabilities p_{ij} m ay turn out to be greater than 1 [3,5,6]. This occurs at large q_i and q_j if P $(q;q^0)$ is slow ly decreasing. To avoid such situations, one has to cut o the function at su ciently small q and q⁰. A lternatively, one can dem and in Eq. (4) that the probability $p_{ij} = 1$, if $p(q_i;q_j) = 1$, which also produces a cut-o. The latter approach was in fact used in Ref. [2].
- [8] Even if we \symmetrize" the right-hand side of Eq. (12) with respect to the deviations (k) and ⁰(k⁰) or present it in an integral form, the restriction on the behavior of P (k;k⁰) will not change.