V.R. Shaginyan

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina 188300, Russia

Abstract

The appearance of the ferm ion condensation, which can be compared to the Bose-E instein condensation, in high- T_c m etals, heavy ferm ion m etals and in other liquids is considered, its properties are discussed and a large num ber of experim ental evidences in favor of the existence of the ferm ion condensate (FC) is presented. The appearance ofFC is a signature of the ferm ion condensation quantum phase transition (FCQPT), that separates the regions of norm aland strongly correlated electron liquids. Beyond the FCQPT point the quasiparticle system is divided into two subsystems, one containing norm al quasiparticles and the other - FC localized at the Ferm i level. In the superconducting state the quasiparticle dispersion in systems with FC can be represented by two straight lines, characterized by e ective m asses M $_{\rm FC}$ and M $_{\rm L}$, and intersecting near the binding energy E_0 , which is of the order of the superconducting gap. The same quasiparticles and the energy scale E_0 persist in the normal state. Ferm ion system s with FC have features of a \quantum protectorate". Electron system s with FC, which exhibit large deviations from the Landau Ferm i liquid behavior, can be driven into the Landau Ferm i liquid by applying a magnetic eld B. Thus, the essence of strongly correlated electron liquids can be controlled by magnetic elds. A re-entrance into the strongly correlated regime is observed if the magnetic eld $(B = B_{c0})$ decreases to zero, while the elective mass M diverges as $\overline{B_{c0}}$. The regime is restored at some temperature T / \overline{B} / 1= B B_{c0}. М In some cases the critical eld B_{c0} ! 0. The behavior of Ferm i system s approaching FCQPT from the disordered phase is considered. This behavior can be viewed as a highly correlated one. The essence of highly correlated electron liquids can be also controlled by magnetic elds. A re-entrance into the highly correlated regime is observed if (B $\,$ B $_{\rm C0}$) decreases to zero, while M $\,$ diverges as M $\,$ / (B $\,$ B $_{\rm C}$) $^{2=3}$. The regime is restored at some temperature T / (B B_{c0})⁴⁼³. The observed B T phase diagram s have a strong in pact on the magnetoresistance of strongly and highly correlated electron liquids. We expect that FCQPT takes place in trapped Ferm i gases and in a low density neutron matter. When the system recedes from FCQPT it becom es conventional Landau Ferm i liquid.

PACS numbers: 7127.+a, 7420Fg, 7425Jb

Typeset using REVT_EX

arXiv:cond-mat/0308421v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 21 Aug 2003

E {m ail: vrshag@ thd pnpi.spb.ru

In a system of interacting bosons at tem peratures lower than the tem perature of Bose-Einstein condensation [1,2], a nite number of particles is concentrated in the lowest level. In case of a noninteracting Bose gas at the zero tem perature, T = 0, this number is simply equal to the total num ber of particles in the system . In a hom ogeneous system of noninteracting B osons, the lowest level is the state with zero m om entum, and the ground state energy is equal to zero. For a noninteracting Ferm isystem such a state is in possible, and its ground state energy E_{as} reduces to the kinetic energy and is proportional to the total num ber of particles. In agine an interacting system of ferm ions with a pure repulsive interaction. Let us increase its interaction strength. As soon as it becomes su ciently large and the potential energy starts to prevail over the kinetic energy, we can expect the system to undergo a phase transition when a nite number of the Cooper like pairs with an in nitely small binding energy can condensate at the Ferm i level. Such a state resembles the Bose-Einstein condensation and can be viewed as ferm ion condensation [3]. This phase transition leads to the onset of the ferm ion condensate (FC) and separates a strongly interacting Ferm i liquid from a strongly correlated one. Low ering the potential energy, the ferm ion condensation decreases the total energy. Unlike the Bose-Einstein condensation, which occurs even in a system of noninteracting bosons, the ferm ion condensation can take place if the coupling constant of the interaction is large, or the corresponding Landau am plitudes are large and repulsive.

One of the most challenging problem s of modern physics is the structure and properties of system s with large coupling constants. It is well-known that a theory of liquids with strong interaction is close to the problem of system s with a big coupling constant. The rst solution to this problem was o ered by the Landau theory of Ferm i liquids, later called "norm al", by introducing the notion of quasiparticles and parameters, which characterize the elective interaction among them [4]. The Landau theory can be viewed as the low energy elective theory in which high energy degrees of freedom are removed at the cost of introducing the elective interaction parameters. U sually, it is assumed that the stability of the ground state of a Landau liquid is determined by the Pom eranchuk stability conditions: the stability is violated when even one of the Landau elective interaction parameters is negative and reaches a critical value. Note that the new phase, new ground state, at which the stability conditions are restored can in principle be again described within the fram ework of the same theory.

It has been demonstrated, however rather recently [5] that the Pomeranchuk conditions cover not all possible instabilities: one of them is missed. It corresponds to the situation when, at the temperature T = 0, the elective mass, the most important characteristic of Landau quasiparticles, can become in nitely large. Such a situation, leading to profound consequences, can take place when the corresponding Landau amplitude being repulsive reaches some critical value. This leads to a completely new class of strongly correlated Ferm i liquids with FC [5,6], which is separated from that of a norm al Ferm i liquid by the ferm ion condensation quantum phase transition (FCQPT) [7].

In the FCQPT case we are dealing with the strong coupling limit where an absolutely reliable answer cannot be given on the bases of pure theoretical rst principle foundation. Therefore, the only way to verify that FC occurs is to consider experimental facts, which can be interpreted as con ming the existence of such a state. We believe that these facts are seen in some features of those two-dimensional (2D) system swith interacting electrons or holes, which can be represented by doped quantum wells and high-T_c superconductors. Considering the heavy-ferm ion metals, the 2D system s of ³He, the trapped neutrons and Ferm i gases, we will show that FC can exist also in these system s.

2

The goal of our paper is to describe the behavior of Ferm i system s with FC and to show that the existing data on strongly correlated liquids can be well understood within the theory of Fermi liquids with FC. In Sec. II, we review the general features of Ferm i liquids with FC in their norm al state. Sec. III is devoted to consideration of the superconductivity in the presence of FC. We show that the superconducting state is totally transform ed by the presence of FC. For instance, the maximum value $_1$ of the superconducting gap can be as large as $_1$ 0.1 $_{
m F}^{"}$, while for norm al superconductors one 10^3 "_F. Here "_F is the Ferm i level. In Sec. IV we describe the quasiparticle's dispersion has 1 and its lineshape and show that they strongly deviate from the case of norm al Landau liquids. In Sections V and VII we apply our theory to explain the main properties of heavy-ferm ion metals and the eld induced Landau Ferm i liquid behavior observed in these m etals. The obtained B T phase diagrams have a strong impact on the magnetoresistance of both strongly and highly correlated electron liquids. We demonstrate that it is possible to control the main properties, or even the essence, of both strongly and highly correlated electron liquids by weak magnetic elds. Sec. VI deals with the possibility of FCQPT in di erent Ferm i system s, such as 2D system s of electrons and 2D³He liquids, neutron matter at low density and trapped Fermi gases. In Sec. VII we describe the behavior of Fermi systems which approach FCQPT from the disordered phase and show that this behavior is observed in heavy-ferm ion metals. In the vicinity of FCQPT, this behavior can be viewed as a highly correlated one, because the e ective mass is large and strongly depends on the density, tem perature and magnetic elds. Finally, in Sec. V III, we sum marize our main results.

II.NORMAL STATE OF FERMILIQUIDSW ITH FC

Let us start by explaining the important points of the FC theory, which is a special solution of the Ferm i-liquid theory equations [4] for the quasiparticle occupation numbers n (p; T),

$$\frac{(F N)}{n(p;T)} = "(p;T) \quad (T) \quad T \ln \frac{1 n(p;T)}{n(p;T)} = 0;$$
(1)

which depends on the momentum p and temperature $T \cdot H \operatorname{ere} F = E$ TS is the free energy, S is the entropy, and is the chemical potential, while

$$"(p;T) = \frac{E[n(p;T)]}{n(p;T)};$$
(2)

is the quasiparticle energy. This energy is a functional of (p;T) just like the total energy E [h(p;T)], entropy S [h(p;T)], and other therm odynam ic functions. The entropy S [h(p;T)] is given by the fam iliar expression

$$S[n(p;T)] = 2 [n(p;T) lnn(p;T) + (1 n(p;T)) ln(1 n(p;T))];$$

which stems from purely combinatorial considerations. Eq. (1) is usually presented as the Ferm i- D irac distribution

$$n(p;T) = (1 + exp - ("(p;T)))^{\#)} (T) = (1 + exp - ("(p;T)))^{\#} (T) = (1 + exp - (T))^{\#} (T$$

At T ! 0, one gets from Eqs. (1) and (3) the standard solution $n_F (p;T ! 0)$! ($p_F p$), with "($p' p_F$) = $p_F (p p_F)=M_L$, where p_F is the Ferm imomentum and M_L is the Landau e ective mass [4]

$$\frac{1}{M_{L}} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{d''(p; T = 0)}{dp} \dot{p}_{= p_{F}} :$$
 (4)

It is in plied that M_L is positive and nite at the Ferm im on entum p_F . As a result, the T-dependent corrections to M_L, to the quasiparticle energy "(p), and to other quantities, start with T²-term s. But this solution is not the only one possible. There exist also "anom alous" solutions of Eq. (1) associated with the so-called ferm ion condensation [5,8]. Being continuous and satisfying the inequality 0 < n (p) < 1 within some region in p, such solutions n (p) admit a nite value for the logarithm in Eq. (1) at T ! 0 yielding

"(p) =
$$\frac{E[n(p)]}{n(p)}$$
 = ; p_i p p: (5)

At T = 0, Eq. (5) determines FCQPT, possessing solutions at some density $x = x_{FC}$ as soon as the electron inter-fermion interaction becomes su ciently strong [8,9]. For instance, in the ordinary electron liquid, the electric inter-electron interaction is proportional to the dimensionless average interparticle distance $r_s = r_0 = a_B$, with r_0 1=p being the average distance and a_B is the Bohr radius. When fermion condensation can take place at 1 r_s , it is considered to be in the low density electron liquid [9]. At x x_{FC} the new state of electron liquid with FC is de ned by Eq. (5) and characterized by a lapart of the spectrum in the ($p_i p_i$) region. Apparently, the momenta p_i and p_f have to satisfy $p_i < p_F < p_f$. Note, that a form ation of the lapart of the spectrum has been recently con med in Ref. [10,11].

Equation (5) leads to them inim alvalue of E, as a functional of n (p), when a strong rearrangement of the single particle spectra can take place in the system under consideration. We see from Eq. (5) that the occupation numbers n (p) become variational parameters: the FC solution appears if the energy E can be lowered by alteration of the occupation numbers n (p). Thus, within the region $p_i , the solution n (p) = <math>n_F$ (p) + n (p) deviates from the Ferm istep function n_F (p) in such a way that the energy "(p) stays constant while outside this region n (p) coincides with n_F (p). It is essential to note that the general consideration presented above has been veried by inspecting some simple models. As a result, it was shown that the onset of the FC does lead to lowering of the free energy [8,12].

q It follows from the above consideration that the superconductivity order parameter (p) =

n (p)) has a nonzero value over the region occupied by FC. The superconducting gap n (p) (1 (p) being linear in the coupling constant of the particle particle interaction V ($p_1; p_2$) increases the value of T_c because one has $2T_c$ ' 1 [12] within the standard Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) theory [13]. As shown in Sec. III, if the superconducting gap is non-zero, $1 \in 0$, the FC quasiparticle e ective mass M _{FC} becomes nite. Consequently, the density of states of these quasiparticles at the Ferm i level becomes nite and the quasiparticles involved are delocalized. On the other hand, even at T = 0, 1 can vanish, provided the interparticle interaction V (p_1 ; p_2) is either repulsive or absent. Then, as seen from Eq. (5), the Landau quasiparticle system becomes separated into two subsystems. The rst contains the Landau quasiparticles, while the second, related to FC, is localized at the Ferm i surface and is form ed by dispersionless quasiparticles. As a result, beyond the point of the FC phase transition the standard K ohn-Sham scheme for the single-particle equations is no longer valid [14]. Such a behavior of system s with FC is clearly di erent from what one expects from the well known local density approach. Therefore, this in generally a very powerful method is hardly applicable for the description of system s with FC. It is also seen from Eq. (5) that a system with FC has a well-de ned Ferm i surface.

Let us assume that with the decrease of the density or growth of the interaction strength FC has just taken place. It means that $p_i ! p_f ! p_F$, and the deviation n(p) is small. Expanding the functional E [n(p)] in Taylor's series with respect to n(p) and retaining the leading term s, one obtains from Eq. (5) the following relation

$$= "(p) = "_{0}(p) + {}^{Z} F_{L}(p;p_{1}) n(p_{1}) \frac{dp_{1}}{(2)^{2}}; p_{1} p_{1} p_{1}; (6)$$

where $F_{L}(p;p_1) = {}^{2}E = n(p) n(q)$ is the Landau e ective interaction. Both quantities, the interaction and the single-particle energy " $_0$ (p) are calculated at n (p) = n_F (p). Equation (6) acquires nontrivial solutions at some density $x = x_{FC}$ and FCQPT takes place if the Landau amplitudes depending on the density are positive and su ciently large, so that the potential energy is bigger than the kinetic energy. Then the transform ation of the Fermi step function n(p) =(pp) into the smooth function de ned by Eq. (5) becomes possible [5,8]. It is seen from Eq. (5) that the FC quasiparticles form a collective state, since their energies are de ned by the macroscopical num ber of quasiparticles within the momentum region p_i p. The shape of the excitation spectra related to FC is not a ected by the Landau interaction, which, generally speaking, depends on the system's properties, including the collective states, in purities, etc. The only thing determ ined by the interaction is the width of the FC region p_i provided the interaction is su ciently strong to produce the FC phase transition at all. Thus, we can conclude that the spectra related to FC are of a universal form, being dependent, as we will see below, mainly on temperature T if T > T_c or on the superconducting gap at $T < T_c$.

A coording to Eq. (1), the single-particle energy "(p;T) within the interval $p_i p_f$ at $T_c T T_f$ is linear in T [15]. At the Ferm i level, one obtains by expanding ln (:::) in term s of n (p)

"(p;T) (T) =
$$T \ln \frac{1 - n(p)}{n(p)}$$
 ' $T \frac{1 - 2n(p)}{n(p)}_{p' p_F}$: (7)

Here T_f is the tem perature, above which FC e ects become insigni cant [12],

$$\frac{T_f}{T_F} = \frac{p_f^2}{2M} \frac{p_f^2}{T_F} = \frac{FC}{F}$$
(8)

In this form ula $_{FC}$ is the FC volume, "_F is the Ferm i energy, and $_{F}$ is the volume of the Ferm i sphere. We note that at T_{c} T T_{f} the occupation numbers n (p) are approximately independent of T, being given by Eq. (5). At nite temperatures according to Eq. (1), the dispersionless plateau "(p) = is slightly turned counter-clockwise about . As a result, the plateau is just a little tilted and rounded o at the end points. According to Eq. (7) the elective mass M $_{FC}$ related to FC is given by,

$$M_{FC} ' p_F \frac{p_f p}{4T}$$
 : (9)

To obtain Eq. (9) an approximation for the derivative dn (p)=dp ' 1=(p p) was used.

Having in m ind that $(p_f p) = p_F$ and using Eqs. (8) and (9), the following estimates for the extive m ass M_{FC} are obtained:

$$\frac{M_{FC}}{M} = \frac{N(0)}{N_0(0)} = \frac{T_f}{T} :$$
(10)

Eqs. (9) and (10) show the temperature dependence of M_{FC} . In Eq. (10) M denotes the bare electron m ass, N_0 (0) is the density of states of noninteracting electron gas, and N (0) is the density of states at the Ferm i level. Multiplying both sides of Eq. (9) by ($p_f = p$) we obtain the energy scale E_0 separating the slow dispersing low energy part related to the electric m ass M_{FC} from the faster dispersing relatively high energy part de ned by the electric m ass M_{FC} [7,16],

$$E_0$$
 ' 4T : (11)

It is seen from Eq. (11) that the scale E_0 does not depend on the condensate volume. The single particle excitations are de ned according to Eq. (9) by the temperature and by $(p_f \ p)$, given by Eq. (5). Thus, we conclude that the one-electron spectrum is negligible disturbed by therm al excitations, in purities, etc, which are the features of the "quantum protectorate" [17,18].

It is pertinent to note that outside the FC region the single particle spectrum is not a ected by the tem perature, being de ned by M_I. Thus we come to the conclusion that a system with FC is characterized by two e ective m asses: M $_{\rm FC}$ which is related to the single particle spectrum at lower energy scale and M₁ describing the spectrum at higher energy scale. The existence of two e ective masses is manifested by a break (or kink) in the quasiparticle dispersion, which can be approximated by two straight lines intersecting at the energy E_0 . This break takes place at tem peratures T_c I_f, in accord with the experim ental data [20], and, as we will see, at T Т T_{c} which is also in accord with the experimental facts [20,21]. The quasiparticle form alism is applicable to this problem since the width of single particle excitations is not large compared to their energy, T at $T > T_{e}$ [12]. The lineshape can be approximated being proportional to the tem perature, by a simple Lorentzian [16], consistent with experimental data obtained from scans at a constant binding energy [22] (see Sec. IV).

It is seen from Eq. (5) that at the point of FC phase transition $p_f ! p_i ! p_F$, M_{FC} and the density of states, as it follows from Eqs. (5) and (10), tend to in nity. One can conclude that at T = 0 and as soon as x ! x_{FC} , FCQPT takes place being connected to the absolute grow th of M_L .

It is essential to have in m ind, that the onset of the charge density wave instability in a manyelectron system, such as an electron liquid, which takes place as soon as the electron inter-electron constant reaches its critical value $r_s = r_{cdw}$, is preceded by the unlimited growth of the e ective mass, see Sec. VI. Therefore the FC occurs before the onset of the charge density wave. Hence, at T = 0, when r_s reaches its critical value r_{FC} corresponding to x_{FC} , $r_{FC} < r_{adw}$, FCQPT inevitably takes place [9]. It is pertinent to note that this grow th of the e ective m ass with decreasing electron density was observed experimentally in a metallic 2D electron system in silicon at r_s ' 7.5 [23]. Therefore we can take r_{FC} 7.5. On the other hand, there exist charge density waves or strong uctuations of charge ordering in underdoped high- T_c superconductors [24]. Thus the form ation of FC in high-T_c compounds can be thought as a general property of an electron liquid of low density which is embedded in these solids rather than an uncommon and anom alous solution of Eq. (1) [9]. Beyond the point of FCQPT, the condensate volume is proportional to (r_s F_{C}) as well as T_f="_F \mathbf{F}_{C})= \mathbf{r}_{FC} at least when $(\mathbf{r}_{s} + \mathbf{F}_{C}) = \mathbf{r}_{FC}$ 1, and we obtain (r

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}_{s}}{\mathbf{r}_{FC}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{f}}{\mathbf{p}_{F}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{f}}{\mathbf{p}_{F}} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{FC}}{\mathbf{x}_{FC}} \mathbf{x}$$
(12)

FC serves as a stimulator that creates new phase transitions, which lift the degeneration of the spectrum. For example FC can generate spin density waves or antiferrom agnetic phase transition, thus leading to a whole variety of new properties of the system under consideration. Then, the

onset of the charge density wave is preceded by FCQPT, and both of these phases can coexist at the su ciently low density when $r_s = r_{dw}$.

We have demonstrated above that superconductivity is strongly aided by FC because both of the phases are characterized by the same order parameter. As a result, the superconductivity removing the spectrum degeneration, "wins" the competition with the other phase transitions up to the critical temperature T_c . We now turn to the consideration of the superconducting state and quasiparticle dispersions at T T_c .

III. THE SUPERCONDUCTING STATE

At T = 0, the ground state energy $E_{gs}[(p);n(p)]$ of a 2D electron liquid is a functional of the order parameter of the superconducting state (p) and of the quasiparticle occupation numbers n(p). This energy is determined by the known equation of the weak-coupling theory of superconductivity, see e.g. [25]

$$E_{gs} = E[p(p)] + {}^{Z}_{0}V(p_{1};p_{2})(p_{1}) (p_{2})\frac{dp_{1}dp_{2}}{(2)^{4}}:$$
(13)

Here E [n (p)] is the ground-state energy of a norm alferm i liquid, n (p) = v^2 (p) and (p) = v (p)u (p). Here u (p) and v (p) are the norm alized coherence factors, v^2 (p) + u^2 (p) = 1. It is assumed that the pairing interaction $_0V$ (p₁;p₂) is weak. M inimizing E_{gs} with respect to (p) we obtain the equation connecting the single-particle energy "(p) to (p),

"(p) = (p)
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{2v^2(p)}{p}$$
; (14)

where the single-particle energy "(p) is determined by the Landau equation (2). The equation for the superconducting gap (p) takes the form

If $_0$! 0, then the maximum value $_1$! 0 and Eq. (14) reduces to Eq. (5) [5]

"(p) = 0; if 0 < n(p) < 1; p p: (16)

Now we can study the relationships between the state de ned by Eq. (16), or by Eq. (5), and the superconductivity. At T = 0, Eq. (16) de nes a particular state of a Ferm i-liquid with FC, for which the modulus of the order parameter j (p) j has nite values in the L_{FC} range of momenta $p_i p$, and 1! 0 in the L_{FC} . Such a state can be considered as superconducting, with an in nitely small value of 1, so that the entropy of this state is equal to zero. It is obvious that this state being driven by the quantum phase transition disappears at T > 0 [7]. Any quantum phase transition, which takes place at temperature T = 0, is determined by a control parameter other than temperature, for instance, by pressure, by magnetic eld, or by the density of mobile charge carriers $x = 1 = \frac{2}{5}$. The quantum phase transition occurs at the quantum critical point. A sany phase

transition, the quantum phase transition is related to the order parameter, which induces a broken symmetry. In our case as we show in Sec. II, the control parameter is the density of a system, which determines the strength of the Landau elective interaction, and the order parameter is (p). A swe point out in Sec. V, the existence of such a state can be revealed experimentally. Since the order parameter (p) is suppressed by a magnetic eld B, when B² $\frac{2}{1}$, a weak magnetic eld B will destroy the state with FC converting the strongly correlated Ferm i liquid into the normal Landau Ferm i liquid. In this case the magnetic eld play a role of the control parameter.

W hen $p_i ! p_F ! p_f$, Eq. (16) determ ines the critical density x_{FC} at which FCQPT takes place. It follows from Eq. (16) that the system becomes divided into two quasiparticle subsystems: the rst subsystem in the L_{FC} range is characterized by the quasiparticles with the elective mass M_{FC} / 1= 1, while the second one is occupied by quasiparticles with nite mass M_{L} and momenta M_{FC} $p < p_i$. The density of states near the Ferm i level tends to in nity, N (0) 1 = 1. The quasiparticles with M FC occupy the same energy level and form pairs with binding energy of the order of $_1$ and with average m om entum $p_0, p_0 = p_F$ (₽ p)=p_F 1. Therefore, this state strongly resembles the Bose-Einstein condensation when quasiparticles occupy the same energy level. But these have to be spread over the range L_{FC} in momentum space due to the exclusion principle. In contrast to the Bose-Einstein condensation, the ferm ion condensation temperature is $T_c = 0$. And in contrast to the ordinary superconductivity, the ferm ion condensation is driven by the Landau repulsive interaction rather than by relatively weak attractive quasiparticle-quasiparticle interaction $_{0}V(p_{1};p_{2}).$

If $_{0} \in 0$, $_{1}$ becomes nite, leading to a nite value of the elective mass M $_{FC}$ in L_{FC} , which can be obtained from Eq. (14) [7,16]

$$M_{FC} ' p_F \frac{p_f p}{2}$$
: (17)

As to the energy scale it is determined by the parameter E_0 :

$$E_{0} = "(p_{f}) "(p_{i}) ' 2 \frac{(p_{f} p_{f})p_{F}}{M_{FC}} ' 2_{1}:$$
(18)

It is seen from Eq. (15) that the superconducting gap depends on the single-particle spectrum "(p). On the other hand, it follows from Eq. (17) that "(p) depends on (p) provided that at $_1$! O Eq. (16) has the solution determ ining the existence of FC. Let us assume that $_0$ is small so that the particle-particle interaction $_0V(p;p_1)$ can only lead to a small perturbation of the order parameter

(p) determined by Eq. (16). It follows from Eq. (17) that the elective mass and the density of states N (0) / M_{FC} / 1= 1 are nite. As a result, we are led to the conclusion that in contrast to the conventional theory of superconductivity the single-particle spectrum "(p) strongly depends on the superconducting gap and we have to solve Eqs. (2), (14) and (15) in a self-consistent way. On the other hand, let us assume that Eqs. (2) and (15) are solved, and the elective mass M_{FC} is determined. Now one can x the dispersion "(p) by choosing the elective mass M of system in question equal to M_{FC} and then solve Eq. (15) as it is done in the case of the conventional theory of superconductivity [13]. As a result, one observes that the superconducting state is characterized by the Bogoliubov quasiparticles (BQ) [26] with the dispersion

$$E(p) = ("(p))^{2} + (p);$$

and the normalization condition for the coherence factors v and u is held. We are lead to the conclusion that the observed features agree with the behavior of BQ predicted from BCS theory.

This observation suggests that the superconducting state with FC is BCS-like and in plies the basic validity of BCS form alism in describing the superconducting state [27]. It is exactly the case that was observed experimentally in high- T_c cuprate $B_{12}Sr_2Ca_2Cu_3O_{10+}$ [28].

We have returned back to the Landau Ferm i liquid theory since high energy degrees of freedom are elim inated and the quasiparticles are introduced. The only dimensional term is liquid after FCQPT is that we have to expand the number of relevant low energy degrees of freedom by introducing a new type of quasiparticles with the elective mass M_{FC} given by Eq. (17) and the energy scale E_0 given by Eq. (18). Therefore, the dispersion "(p) is characterized by two elective masses M_L and M_{FC} and by the scale E_0 , which de ne the low temperature properties including the line shape of quasiparticle excitations [7,29], while the dispersion of BQ has the standard form. We note that both the elective mass M_{FC} and the scale E_0 are temperature independent at T < T_c, where T_c is the critical temperature of the superconducting phase transition [29]. Obviously, we cannot directly relate these new Landau Ferm i-liquid quasiparticle excitations with the quasiparticle excitations of a nideal Ferm i gas because the system in question has undergone FCQPT. Nonetheless, the main basis of the Landau Ferm i liquid theory survives FCQPT: the low energy excitations of a strongly correlated liquid with FC are quasiparticles.

As it was shown above, properties of these new quasiparticles are closely related to the properties of the superconducting state. We may say that the quasiparticle system in the range (p_f) p) becomes very \soft" and is to be considered as a strongly correlated liquid. On the other hand, the system's properties and dynamics are dominated by a strong collective e ect having its origin in its proximity to FCQPT and determined by the macroscopic number of quasiparticles in the range p). Such a system cannot be perturbed by the scattering of individual quasiparticles and (pf has features of a \quantum protectorate" and demonstrates the universal behavior [7,17,18]. A few remarks related to the quantum protectorate and the universal behavior [17] are in order here. As the Landau theory of Ferm i liquid, the theory of the high-tem perature superconductivity based on FCQPT deals with the quasiparticles which are elementary excitations of low energy. As a result, this theory produces the general qualitative description of both the superconducting and norm alstate. 0 f course, one can choose the phenom enological parameters and obtain the quantitative consideration of the superconductivity as it can be done in the fram ework of the Landau theory when describing a norm al Ferm i-liquid. We are led to the conclusion that any theory which is capable of describing FC and incorporates with the BCS theory will produce the qualitative picture of the superconducting state and the norm al state which coincides with the picture based on FCQPT. Both of the pictures can agree at a num erical level provided the corresponding param eters are adjusted. For example, since the form ation of at band is possible in the Hubbard model [11], generally speaking one can repeat the results of theory based on FCQPT in the Hubbard model. It is appropriate mention here that the corresponding num erical description con ned to the case of T = 0 has been obtained within the Hubbard model [19].

Let us assume that the range L_{FC} is small, $(p_f \ p_f) = p_F$ 1, and 2 1 T_f so that the order parameter (p) is governed mainly by FC [7,29]. To solve Eq. (15) analytically, we take the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) approximation for the interaction [13]: $_0V(p;p_1) = _0$ if J'(p) j b, i.e. the interaction is zero outside this region, with $!_D$ being the characteristic phonon energy. As a result, the gap becomes dependent only on the temperature, $(p) = _1(T)$, being independent of the momentum, and Eq. (15) takes the form

$$1 = N_{FC} = 0 \frac{q}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(0)} + N_{L} = 0 \frac{d}{q - \frac{2}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(0)}} + \frac{d}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(0)} = 0$$
(19)

Here we set = "(p) and introduce the density of states N_{FC} in the L_{FC} , or E_0 , range. It follows from Eq. (17), $N_{FC} = (p_f \ p_F)p_F = 2 \ _1(0)$. The density of states N_L in the range (!_D $E_0=2$) has the standard form $N_L = M_L=2$. If the energy scale E_0 ! 0, Eq. (19) reduces to the BCS equation. On the other hand, assuming that E_0 2!_D and om itting the second integral on the right hand side of Eq. (19), we obtain

$${}_{1}(0) = \frac{{}_{0}p_{\rm F}(p_{\rm f} \ {}_{\rm F})}{2} \ln 1 + \frac{p}{2} = 2 \, {}_{\rm F}' \frac{p_{\rm f}}{p_{\rm F}} \ln 1 + \frac{p}{2}; \qquad (20)$$

where the Fermi energy $"_F = p_F^2 = 2M_L$, and the dimensionless coupling constant is given by the relation = $_0M_L=2$. Taking the usual values of as '0.3, and assuming $(p_F p_F)=p_F$ '0.2, we get from Eq. (20) a large value of $_1(0)$ 0.1", while for norm almetals one has $_1(0)$ 10³"_F. Taking into account the om itted integral, we obtain

$${}_{1}(0) \ \prime \ 2 \ {}_{F}^{r} \frac{p_{f}}{p_{F}} \frac{p_{f}}{p_{F}} \ln 1 + \frac{p_{-}}{2} 1 + \ln \frac{2!_{D}}{E_{0}} :$$
(21)

It is seen from Eq. (21) that the correction due to the second integral is small, provided E₀ ' 2!_D. Below we show that $2T_c$ ' _1(0), which leads to the conclusion that there is no isotope e ect since _1 is independent of !_D. But this e ect is restored as E₀ ! 0. A sum ing E₀ !_D and E₀ > !_D, we see that Eq. (21) has no standard solutions (p) = _1 (T = 0) because !_D < "(p' p_f) and the interaction vanishes at these momenta. The only way to obtain solutions is to restore the condition E₀ < !_D. For instance, we can de ne such a momentum p_D < p_f that

$$_{1}(0) = 2 \, \mathbf{"}_{F} \, \frac{p_{D} \, p_{F}}{p_{F}} \ln 1 + \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2} = !_{D};$$
 (22)

while the other part in the L_{FC} range can be occupied by a gap $_2$ of the dimension, $_1 = _2 < 0$. It follows from Eq. (22) that the isotope e ect is preserved, while both gaps can have s-wave symmetry.

At T ' T_c Eqs. (17) and (18) are replaced by the equation, which is valid also at T_c T T_f in accord with Eq. (9) [7]:

$$M_{FC}' p_F \frac{p_f p_f}{4T_c}; E_0' 4T_c; if T_c T then; M_{FC}' p_F \frac{p_f p_f}{4T}; E_0' 4T :$$
 (23)

Equation (19) is replaced by its conventional nite tem perature generalization

$$1 = N_{FC} = 0 \frac{d}{0} \frac{d}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} \tanh \frac{q}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} + N_{L} = 0 \frac{d}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} \frac{d}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} \tanh \frac{q}{2T} + \frac{q}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} + N_{L} = 0 \frac{d}{2 + \frac{2}{1}(T)} \tanh \frac{q}{2T} \frac{d}{2T} + \frac{d}{2T}$$

α

Putting $_1(T ! T_c) ! 0$, we obtain from Eq. (24)

$$2T_{c}$$
 ' 1(0); (25)

with $_1$ (T = 0) being given by Eq. (20). Com paring Eqs. (17), (23) and (25), we see that M $_{FC}$ and E $_0$ are alm ost tem perature independent at T $_{T_c}$.

Now let us comment about some special features of the superconducting state with FC.One can de ne T_c as the tem perature when $_1(T_c)$ 0.AtT I Eq. (24) has only the trivial solution 0. On the other hand, T_c can be de ned as a temperature, at which the superconductivity disappears. Thus, we have two di erent de nitions, which can lead to two di erent tem peratures T_c and T in case of the d-wave symmetry of the gap. It was shown [16,30] that in the case of the d-wave superconductivity in the presence of FC there is a nontrivial solution of Eq. (24) at T_{c} Т Т corresponding to the pseudogap state. It happens when the gap occupies only such a part of the Ferm i surface, which shrinks as the tem perature increases. Here T denes the tem perature at which 0 and the pseudogap state vanishes. The superconductivity is destroyed at T_{c} , and the ₁(T) ratio 2 $_1$ =T_c can vary in a wide range and strongly depends upon the material's properties as it follows from considerations given in [16,30,31]. Therefore, if a pseudogap exists above T_c , then T_c is to be replaced by T and Eq. (25) takes the form

2T
$$'_{1}(0)$$
: (26)

The ratio 2 $_1$ =T_c can reach very high values. For instance, in the case of B i₂Sr₂C aC u₂Q₆₊ where the superconductivity and the pseudogap are considered to be of the common origin, 2 $_1$ =T_c is about 28, while the ratio 2 $_1$ =T ′ 4, which is in agreement with the experimental data for various cuprates [32]. Note that Eq. (20) gives also good description of the maximum gap $_1$ in the case of the d-wave superconductivity, because the di erent regions with the maximum absolute value of $_1$ and the maxim aldensity of states can be considered as disconnected [33]. Therefore the gap in this region is formed by attractive phonon interaction, which is approximately independent of the momenta.

Consider now two possible types of the superconducting gap (p) given by Eq. (15) and de ned by the interaction $_{0}V(p;p_{1})$. If this interaction is dominated by a phonon-mediated attraction, the even solution of Eq. (15) with the s-wave, or the s + d mixed waves will have the lowest energy. Provided the pairing interaction $_{0}V(p_{1};p_{2})$ is the combination of both the attractive interaction and su ciently strong repulsive interaction, the d-wave odd superconductivity can take place (see e.g. [33]). But both the s-wave even symmetry and the d-wave odd one lead to approximately the same value of the gap $_{1}$ in Eq. (21) [29]. Therefore the non-universal pairing symmetries in high-T_c superconductivity is likely the result of the pairing interaction and the d-wave pairing symmetry is not essential. This point of view is supported by the data [35{39]. If only the d-wave pairing would exist, the transition from superconducting gap to pseudogap could take place, so that the superconductivity would be destroyed at T_c, with the superconducting gap being sm oothly transform ed into the pseudogap, which closes at some temperature T > T_c [30,31]. In the case of the s-wave pairing we can expect the absence of the pseudogap phenomenon in accordance with the experimental observation (see [39] and references therein).

We now turn to a consideration of the maximum value of the superconducting gap $_1$ as a function of the density x of the mobile charge carriers. Rewriting in terms of x r_s^2 and x_{FC} r_{FC}^2 which are related to the variables p_i and p_f by Eq. (12), Eq. (21) becomes

$$_{1} / (x_{FC} x)x:$$
 (27)

Here we take into account that the Ferm i level " $_{\rm F}$ / $p_{\rm F}^2$, the density x / $p_{\rm F}^2$, and thus, " $_{\rm F}$ / x. We can reliably assume that $T_{\rm c}$ / $_1$ because the empirically obtained simple bell-shaped curve of $T_{\rm c}$ (x) in the high temperature superconductors [40] should have only a smooth dependence. Then, $T_{\rm c}$ (x) in accordance with the data has the form [41]

$$T_{c}(x) / (x_{FC} x)x$$
: (28)

As an example of the implementation of the previous analysis, let us consider the main features of a room-tem perature superconductor. The superconductor has to be a quasi two-dimensional structure like cuprates. From Eq. (21) it follows, that $_1$ $F' = r_{c}^{2}$. Noting that FCQPT in 3D 8 [9], we can expect that $_1$ of 3D systems system s takes place at r_s 20 and in 2D systems at r com prises 10% of the corresponding maximum value of 2D superconducting gap, reaching a value as high as 60 m eV for underdoped crystals with $T_c = 70$ [42]. On the other hand, it is seen from Eq. (21), that $_1$ can be even large, $_1$ 75 m eV , and one can expect T_c 300 K in the case of the s wave pairing as it follows from the simple relation $2T_c$ ' 1. In fact, we can safely take "_F 300 0:5. Thus, a possible room -tem perature superconductor has to meV, 0:5 and (p p)=p_F be the s-wave superconductor in order to get rid of the pseudogap phenom ena, which tram endously reduces the transition temperature. The density x of the mobile charge carriers must satisfy the x_{FC} and be exible to reach the optimal doping level x_{opt} ' x_{FC} =2. It is pertinent condition x to note that as it follows from Eqs. (17) and (20) the elective mass M $_{\rm FC}$ does not depend on the m om enta $p_{\rm F}$, $p_{\rm f}$ and $p_{\rm i}$. Then, it is seen from Eqs. (12) and (27) that M $_{\rm FC}$ does not depend on x. This result is in good agreem ent with experim ental facts [43,44]. The same is true for the dependence of the Ferm ivelocity $v_F = P_F = M_{FC}$ on x because the Ferm imomentum P_F n slightly depends on the electron density $n = n_0 (1 \times 1) [43,44]$. Here n_0 is the single-particle electron density at the half-lling.

Now we turn to the calculations of the gap and the speci c heat at the tem peratures $T ! T_c$. It is worth noting that this consideration is valid provided $T = T_c$, otherwise the considered below discontinuity is smoothed out over the tem perature range $T = T_c$. For the sake of sim plicity, we calculate the main contribution to the gap and the speci c heat coming from the FC. The function $_1(T ! T_c)$ is found from Eq. (24) by expanding the right hand side of the rst integral in powers of $_1$ and om itting the contribution from the second integral on the right hand side of Eq. (24). This procedure leads to the following equation [29]

$$_{1}(T)$$
 ' 3:4T_c 1 $\frac{T}{T_{c}}$: (29)

Thus, the gap in the spectrum of the single-particle excitations has the usual behavior. To calculate the speci c heat, the conventional expression for the entropy S [13] can be used

$$S = 2 [f(p) \ln f(p) + (1 f(p)) \ln (1 f(p))] \frac{dp}{(2)^2};$$
(30)

where

$$f(p) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[E(p)=T]}; E(p) = \frac{q}{("(p))} + \frac{2}{1}(T); \qquad (31)$$

The specic heat C is determined by the equation

$$C = T \frac{dS}{dT} \cdot 4 \frac{N_{FC}}{T^{2}} \int_{0}^{E} f(E) (1 - f(E)) E^{2} + T_{1} (T) \frac{d_{1} (T)}{dT}^{\#} d + 4 \frac{N_{L}}{T^{2}} \int_{E_{0}}^{E} f(E) (1 - f(E)) E^{2} + T_{1} (T) \frac{d_{1} (T)}{dT}^{\#} d :$$
(32)

In deriving Eq. (32) we again used the variable and the densities of states N_{FC} and N_{L} , just as before in connection with Eq. (21), and employed the notation $E = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{1}$ (T). Eq. (32) predicts the conventional discontinuity C in the speci c heat C at T_c because of the last term in the square brackets of Eq. (32). Using Eq. (29) to calculate this term and om itting the second integral on the right hand side of Eq. (32), we obtain

$$C ' \frac{3}{2} (p_f p_f) p_F :$$
 (33)

This is in contrast to the conventional result where the discontinuity is a linear function of T_c . C is independent of the critical temperature T_c because as seen from Eq. (23) the density of states varies inversely with T_c . Note, that in deriving Eq. (33) we took into account the main contribution coming from the FC. This term vanishes as soon as E_0 ! 0 and the second integral of Eq. (32) gives the conventional result.

IV.THE LINESHAPE OF THE SINGLE-PARTICLE SPECTRA

The lineshape L (q; !) of the single-particle spectrum is a function of two variables. M easurements carried out at a xed binding energy $! = !_0$, with $!_0$ being the energy of a single-particle excitation, determine the lineshape L (q; $! = !_0$) as a function of the momentum q. We have shown above that M_{FC} is nite and constant at T T_c. Therefore, at excitation energies ! E₀, the system behaves like an ordinary superconducting Ferm i liquid with the elective mass given by Eq. (17) [7,16]. At T_c T the low energy elective mass M_{FC} is nite and is given by Eq. (9). Once again, at the energies ! < E₀, the system behaves as a Ferm i liquid, the single-particle spectrum is well de ned while the width of single-particle excitations is of the order of T [7,12]. This behavior was observed in experiments measuring the lineshape at a xed energy [22,45].

The lineshape can also be determ ined as a function L ($q = q_0$;!) at a xed $q = q_0$. At small!, the lineshape resembles the one considered above, and L ($q = q_0$;!) has the characteristic maximum and width. At energies ! E₀, the quasiparticles with the mass M_L become important, leading to the increase of L ($q = q_0$;!). As a result, the function L ($q = q_0$;!) possesses the known peak-dip-hum p structure [46] directly de ned by the existence of the two e ective masses M_{FC} and M_L [7,16]. We can conclude that in contrast to the Landau quasiparticles, these quasiparticles have a more complicated lineshape.

To develop deeper quantitative and analytical insight into the problem, we use the K ram ers-K ronig transform ation to construct the imaginary part Im (p;") of the self-energy (p;") starting with the real one Re (p;"), which de nes the elective m ass [47]

$$\frac{1}{M} = \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{p_{\rm F}} \frac{{}^{0}{}_{\rm Re}}{{}^{0}{}_{\rm P}} = 1 - \frac{{}^{0}{}_{\rm Re}}{{}^{0}{}_{\rm Re}} :$$
(34)

Here M is the bare mass, while the relevant momenta p and energies "obey the following strong inequalities: $\dot{p} = p_F = 1$, and "="_F = 1. We take Re (p;") in the simplest form which accounts for the change of the elective mass at the energy scale E₀:

$$\operatorname{Re}(p;") = "\frac{M_{FC}}{M} + " \frac{E_0}{2} \frac{M_{FC}}{M} - " \frac{E_0}{2} + -" \frac{E_0}{2} : \quad (35)$$

Here (") is the step function. Note that in order to ensure a smooth transition from the singleparticle spectrum characterized by M $_{FC}$ to the spectrum de ned by M $_{L}$ the step function is to be substituted by some smooth function. Upon inserting Eq. (35) into Eq. (34) we can check that inside the interval ($E_0=2;E_0=2$) the elective mass M ' M_{FC}, and outside the interval M ' M_L. By applying the K ram ers-K ronig transform ation to Re (p;"), we obtain the imaginary part of the self-energy [29]

Im (p;")
$${}^{"2}\frac{M_{FC}}{"_{F}M} + \frac{M_{FC}}{M} - \frac{M_{L}}{M} = \ln \frac{"+E_{0}=2}{"_{E_{0}=2}} + \frac{E_{0}}{2} \ln \frac{"^{2}}{E_{0}^{2}=4} = \frac{E_{0}}{2} \ln \frac{(1+E_{0})^{2}}{E_{0}^{2}=4} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+E_$$

We see from Eq. (36) that at $=E_0$ 1 the in aginary part is proportional to $=^2$, at $2=E_0 < 1$ Im ", and at $E_0==$ 1 the main contribution to the in aginary part is approximately constant. This is the behavior that gives rise to the known peak-dip-hum p structure. It is seen from Eq. (36) that when $E_0 ! 0$ the second term on the right hand side tends to zero and the single-particle excitations become better de ned, resembling the situation in a norm al Ferm i-liquid, and the peak-dip-hum p structure eventually vanishes. On the other hand, the quasiparticle amplitude a (p) is given by [47]

$$\frac{1}{a(p)} = 1 \quad \frac{@ Re (p;")}{@"} :$$
(37)

 T_{c} , as seen from Eq. (35), the quasiparticle amplitude at the Ferm i surface rises as the AtT energy scale E_0 decreases. It follows from Eqs. (18) and (27) that E_0 (x_{FC} $x)=x_{TC}$. At $T > T_{C}$ it is seen from Eq. (34) that the quasiparticle amplitude rises as the elective mass M $_{\rm FC}$ decreases. As seen from Eqs. (9) and (12), M_{FC} (₽ $x)=x_{FC}$. As a result, we can conclude p)=p_F (Xg- C that the amplitude rises as the level of doping increases, while the peak-dip-hum p structure vanishes and the single particle excitations become better de ned in highly overdoped samples. At $x > x_{FC}$, the energy scale $E_0 = 0$ and the quasiparticles are norm all excitations of Landau Ferm i liquid. It is worth noting that such a behavior was observed experimentally in highly overdoped Bi2212 where the gap size is about 10 m eV [48]. Such a sm all size of the gap veri es that the region occupied by the FC is small since $E_0=2'$. Then, recent experimental data have shown that the Landau Ferm i liquid does exist in heavily overdoped non-superconducting $La_{1:7}Sr_{0:3}CuO_4$ [49].

V.FIELD INDUCED LANDAU FERMILIQUID IN FERMILIQUIDS WITH FC

Now we consider the behavior of a many-electron system with FC in magnetic elds, assuming that the coupling constant $_0 \notin 0$ is in nitely small. As we have seen in Sec. III, at T = 0 the superconducting order parameter (p) is nite in the FC range, while the maximum value of the superconducting gap $_1 / _0$ is in nitely small. Therefore, any small magnetic eld $B \notin 0$ can be considered as a critical eld and will destroy the coherence of (p) and thus FC itself. To de ne the type of FC rearrangement, simple energy arguments are suicient. On one hand, the energy gain E_B due to the magnetic eld B is E_B / B^2 and tends to zero with B ! 0.0n the other hand, occupying the nite range L_{FC} in the momentum space, the formation of FC leads to a nite gain in the ground state energy [5]. Thus, a new ground state replacing FC should have alm ost the same energy as the form er one. Such a state is given by the multiconnected Ferm i spheres resembling an onion, where the sm ooth quasiparticle distribution function n(p) in the L_{FC} range is replaced by a multiconnected distribution (p) [34]

$$(p) = \begin{cases} X^{n} \\ p \\ k = 1 \end{cases} (p \quad p_{k-1}) (p_{2k} \quad p):$$
(38)

Here the parameters $p_1 - p_2 < \ldots < p_{2n} - p_r$ are adjusted to obey the normalization condition:

$$\sum_{\substack{p_{2k+3}\\p_{2k}}}^{Z} (p) \frac{dp}{(2)^3} = \sum_{\substack{p_{2k+3}\\p_{2k}}}^{Z} n (p) \frac{dp}{(2)^3}$$
(39)

For de niteness, let us consider the most interesting case of a 3D system, while the consideration of a 2D system also goes along the same line. We note that the idea of multiconnected Ferm i spheres, with production of new, interior segments of the Ferm i surface, has been considered already [50,51]. Let us assume that the thickness of each interior block is approximately the same p_{2k+1} p_{2k} ' p and p is defined by B. Then, the single-particle energy in the region L_{FC} can be tted by

"(p)
$$\frac{p}{p_{\rm F}} \sin \frac{p}{p} + b(p)$$
: (40)

The blocks are form ed since all the single particle states around the minimum values of the fast sine function are occupied and those around its maximum values are empty, the average occupation being controlled by a slow function $b(p) \quad \cos[n(p)]$. It follows from Eq. (40) that the electrive mass m at each internal Ferm i surface is of the order of the bare mass M , m M. Upon replacing n (p) in Eq. (5) by (p), de ned by Eqs. (38) and (39), and using the Simpson's rule, we obtain that the minimum loss in the ground state energy due to form ation of the blocks is about ($p)^4$. This result can be understood by considering that the continuous FC function n (p) delivers the minimum value to the energy functional E [n (p)], while the approximation of (p) by steps of size p produces the minimum error of the order of ($p)^4$. On the other hand, this loss must be compensated by the energy gain due to the magnetic eld. Thus, we come to the following relation

$$p / \stackrel{p}{B}:$$
(41)

W hen the Zeem an splitting is taken into account in the dispersion law, Eq. (40), each of the blocks is polarized, since their outer areas are occupied only by polarized spin-up quasiparticles. The width of each areas in the momentum space p_0 is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{m}} \qquad \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{eff}}; \tag{42}$$

where $_{eff}$ _B is the electrice magnetic moment of electron. We can consider such a polarization without altering the previous estimates, since it follows from Eq. (41) that $p_0 = p$ 1. The total polarization P is obtained by multiplying p_0 by the number N of the blocks, which is proportional to 1 = p, N (p = p) = p. Taking into account Eq. (41), we obtain

$$P m \frac{p_f R}{p} B_{eff} / \frac{P}{B}; \qquad (43)$$

which prevails over the contribution B obtained within the Landau Ferm i theory. On the other hand, this quantity can be expressed as

where M is the \average" e ective mass related to the nite density of states at the Ferm i level,

M Nm /
$$\frac{1}{p}$$
: (45)

W e can also conclude that M de nes the speci c heat.

Eq. (41) can be discussed di erently, starting with a di erent assumption, namely, that multiconnected Ferm i sphere can be approximated by a single block the thickness of which is p, while the other blocks are located under the Ferm i level being represented by the Landau levels. Let us put $_0 = 0$. Then, the energy gain due to the magnetic eld is given by $E_B = B^2M$. The energy loss E_{FC} due to rearrangement of the FC state can be estimated using the Landau formula [4]

$$E_{FC} = {}^{Z} ("(p))) n(p) \frac{dp^{3}}{(2)^{3}};$$
 (46)

As we have seen above, the region occupied by the variation n(p) has the length p, while ("(p))) $(p p)p_F = M$. As a result, we have $E_{FC} = p^2 = M$. Equating E_B and E_{FC} and taking into account that in this case M / 1 = p, we arrive at the following relation

$$\frac{p^2}{M} / p^3 / \frac{B^2}{p};$$
 (47)

which coincides with Eq. (41). It follows from Eqs. (43) and (44) that the electrice mass M diverges as

$$M / \frac{p_{\overline{B}}^1}{B}:$$
(48)

Equation (48) shows that by applying a magnetic eld B the system can be driven back into the Landau Ferm i-liquid with the elective mass M (B) dependent on the magnetic eld. This means that the coecients A (B), $_0$ (B), and $_0$ (B) in the resistivity, (T) = $_0$ + with = A (B)T² and A (B) / (M)², speci cheat, C=T = $_0$ (B), and magnetic susceptibility depend on the elective mass in accordance with the Landau Ferm i-liquid theory. It was demonstrated that the constancy of the well-known K adowaki-W oods ratio, A = $_0^2$ ' const [52], is obeyed by system s in the highly correlated regime when the elective mass is su ciently large [53]. Therefore, we are led to the conclusion that by applying magnetic elds the system is driven back into the Landau Ferm i-liquid where the constancy of the K adowaki-W oods ratio is obeyed. Since the resistivity is given by / (M)² [53], we obtain from Eq. (48)

A (B) /
$$\frac{1}{B}$$
: (49)

At nite temperatures, the system remains in the Landau Ferm i-liquid, but there exists a temperature T (B), at which the polarized state is destroyed. To calculate the function T (B), we observe that the electrice mass M characterizing the single particle spectrum cannot be changed at T (B). In other words, at the crossover point, we have to compare the electrice mass M (T) de ned by T (B), Eq. (9), and that M (B) de ned by the magnetic eld B, Eq. (48), M (T) M (B)

$$\frac{1}{M}$$
 / T (B) / $\frac{P}{B}$: (50)

As a result, we obtain

At tem peratures T T (B), the system comes back into the state with M dened by Eq. (9), and we do not observe the Landau Ferm i-liquid (LFL) behavior. We can conclude that Eq. (51) determines the line in the B T phase diagram which separates the region of the B dependent e ective m ass from the region of the T dependent e ective m ass, see also Sec. VII. At the tem perature T (B), there occurs a crossover from the T² dependence of the resistivity to the T dependence. It follows from Eq. (51), that a heavy ferm ion system at some temperature T can be driven back into the Landau Ferm i-liquid by applying a strong enough magnetic eld B B_{cr} / (T (B))². We can also conclude, that at nite temperature T, the e extive mass of a heavy ferm ion system is relatively eld-independent at magnetic elds B B_{cr} and show a more pronounced metallic behavior at В B_{cr} , since the elective mass decreases (see Eq. (48)). The same behavior of the elective mass can be observed in the Shubnikov-de H aas oscillation m easurem ents. W e note that our consideration T_{f} . From Eqs. (50) and (51) we obtain a unique possibility to control is valid for tem peratures T the essence of the strongly correlated liquid by weak magnetic elds which induce the change of the non-Fermiliquid (NFL) behavior to the LFL liquid behavior.

Now we can consider the nature of the eld-induced quantum critical point in YbRh₂Si₂. The properties of this antiferrom agnetic (AF) heavy ferm ion m etal with the ordering N eel tem perature $T_N = 70 \text{ mK}$ were recently investigated in Refs. [54,55]. In the AF state, this metal shows LFL behavior. As soon as the weak AF order is suppressed either by a tiny volume expansion or by tem perature, pronounced deviations from the LFL behavior are observed. The experimental facts show that the spin density wave picture failed when considering the data obtained [54{56]. We assume that the electron density in YbRh₂Si₂ is close to the critical value (x_{FC} x)=x₋ 1 [57,58], so that the state with FC can be easily suppressed by weak magnetic elds or by the AF state. In the AF state, the e ective mass is nite and the electron system of Y bRh₂Si₂ possesses the LFL behavior. W hen the AF state is suppressed at $T > T_N$ the system comes back into NFL.By tuning T_N ! 0 at a critical eld $B = B_{c0}$, the itinerant AF order is suppressed and replaced by spin uctuations [55]. Thus, we can expect the absence of any long-ranged magnetic order in this state, and the situation corresponds to a param agnetic system with strong correlations without the eld, B = 0. As a result, the FC state is restored and we can observe NFL behavior at any tem peratures in accordance with experimental facts [54]. As soon as an excessive magnetic eld $B > B_{c0}$ is applied, the system is driven back into LFL. To describe the behavior of the elective mass, we can use Eq. (48) substituting B by B B_{c0}

$$M / p \frac{1}{B - B_{c0}}:$$
 (52)

Equation (52) demonstrates the $1= B = B_{c0}$ divergence of the elective mass, and therefore the coelections $_{0}$ (B) and $_{0}$ (B) should have the same behavior. Meanwhile the coelection A (B) diverges as $1=(B = B_{c0})$, being proportional to (M)² [53], and thus preserving the K adowaki-W oods ratio, in agreement with the experimental noting [54]. To construct a B = T phase diagram for Y bR h_2 Si₂ we use the same replacement B = B = B_{c0} in Eq. (51) so that

$$I (B)' c B B_{c0};$$
 (53)

where c is a constant.

The B T phase diagram given by Eq. (53) is in good quantitative agreement with the experimental data [54]. This phase diagram has to have a strong in pact on the magnetoresistance of $YbRh_2Si_2$ and other metals with heavy fermions having similar B T phase diagram s, see Sect. V II.

We note that our consideration is valid at temperatures T T_f . The experimental phase diagram shows that the behavior T / $B B_{c0}$ is observed up to 150 m K [54] and allows us to estimate the magnitude of T_f , which can reach at least 1 K in this system. We can conclude that a new type of the quantum critical point observed in a heavy-ferm ion metal Y bR h_2 Si₂ can be identified as FCQPT with the order parameter (p) and with the gap 1 being in nitely small [59].

It was reported recently that in the norm al state obtained by applying a magnetic eld greater than the upper critical led B_c suppressing the superconductivity, in a hole-doped cuprates at overdoped concentration ($T_{12}Ba_{2}CuO_{6+}$) [60] and at optim aldoping concentration ($B_{12}Sr_{2}CuO_{6+}$) [61], there are no any sizable violations of the W iedem ann-Franz (W F) law. In the electron-doped copper oxide superconductor $Pr_{0.91}LaCe_{0.09}CuO_{4v}$ ($T_c = 24 \text{ K}$) when superconductivity is removed by a strong magnetic eld, it was found that the spin-lattice relaxation rate $1=T_1$ follows the $T_1T = constant$ relation, known as Korringa law [62], down to tem perature of T = 0.2 K [63]. At elevated tem peratures and applied magnetic elds of 15.3 T perpendicular to the CuO₂ plane, $1=T_1T$ as a function of T is a constant below T = 55 K. At 300 K > T > 50 K, $1=T_1T$ decreases with increasing T [63]. Recent measurements for strongly overdoped non-superconducting La17Sr03CuO4 have shown that exhibits T^2 behavior, = $_0 +$ = AT 2 , and the W F law is veried to the resistivity with hold perfectly [49]. Since the validity of the WF law and of the Korringa law are a robust signature of LFL, these experim ental facts dem onstrate that the observed elementary excitations cannot be distinguished from the Landau quasiparticles.

If $_0$ is nite, the critical eld is also nite, and Eq. (50) is valid at $B > B_c$. In that case, the eld ective mass M_{FC} (B) given by Eq. (17) is nite, and the system is driven back to LFL and has the LFL behavior induced by the magnetic eld. At a constant magnetic eld, the low energy elementary excitations are characterized by M_{FC} (B) and cannot be distinguished from Landau quasiparticles. As a result, at T ! 0, the WF law is held in accordance with experimental facts [60,61]. On the hand, in contrast to the LFL theory, the elective mass M_{FC} (B) depends on the magnetic eld.

Equation (50) shows that by applying a magnetic edd B > B c the system can be driven back into LFL with the e ective mass M $_{\rm FC}$ (B) which is nite and independent of the tem perature. This means that the low tem perature properties depend on the e ective mass in accordance with the LFL theory. In particular, the resistivity (T) as a function of the tem perature behaves as (T) = $_0$ + (T) with (T) = AT², and the factor A / (M $_{\rm FC}$ (B))². At nite tem peratures, the system persists to be LFL, but there is the crossover from the LFL behavior to the non-Ferm i liquid behavior at tem perature T (B) / B, see Eq. (51). At T > T (B), the e ective mass starts to depend on the tem perature M $_{\rm FC}$ / 1=T, and the resistivity possesses the non-Ferm i liquid behavior with a substantial linear term, (T) = aT + bT² [7,59]. Such a behavior of the resistivity was observed in the cuprate superconductor T $_{\rm L}$ Ba $_2$ C uO $_{6+}$ (T $_{\rm C}$ < 15 K) [65]. At B = 10 T, (T) is a linear function of the tem perature between 120 m K and 12 K, whereas at B = 18 T, the tem perature dependence of the resistivity is consistent with (T) = $_0 + AT^2$ over the same tem perature range [65].

In LFL, the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1=T_1$ is determined by the quasiparticles near the Fermi level whose population is proportional to M T, so that $1=T_1T / M$ is a constant [63,62]. As it was shown, when the superconducting state is removed by the application of a magnetic eld, the underlying ground state can be seen as the eld induced LFL with elective mass depending on the magnetic eld. As a result, the rate $1=T_1$ follows the $T_1T = \text{constant relation}$, that is the K orringa law is held. Unlike the behavior of LFL, as it follows from Eq. (50), $1=T_1T / M_{FC}$ (B) decreases with increasing the magnetic eld at T < T (B). While, at T > T (B), we observe that $1=T_1T$ is a decreasing function of the temperature, $1=T_1T / M_{FC} / 1=T$. These observations are in a good agreem ent with the experimental facts [63]. Since T (B) is an increasing function of the magnetic

eld, the K orringa law retains its validity to higher tem peratures at elevated magnetic elds. We can also conclude, that at tem perature $T_0 = T (B_0)$ and elevated magnetic elds $B > B_0$, the system shows a more pronounced metallic behavior since the elective mass decreases with increasing B, see Eq. (50). Such a behavior of the elective mass can be observed in the de Haas van Alphen-Shubnikov studies, $1=T_1T$ measurements, and the resistivity measurements [64]. These experiments can shed light on the physics of high- T_c metals and reveal relationships between high- T_c metals and heavy-electron metals.

VI. APPEARANCE OF FCQPT IN DIFFERENT FERMILIQUIDS

It is widely believed that unusual properties of the strongly correlated liquids observed in the hightem perature superconductors, heavy-ferm ion metals, 2D³He and etc., are determ ined by quantum phase transitions. Therefore, in m ediate experim ental studies of relevant quantum phase transitions and of their quantum critical points are of crucial in portance for understanding the physics of the high-tem perature superconductivity and strongly correlated system s. In case of the high-tem perature superconductors, these studies are di cult to carry out, because all the corresponding area is occupied by the superconductivity. On the other hand, recent experim ental data on di erent Ferm i liquids in the highly correlated regime at the critical point and above the point can help to illum inate both the nature of this point and the control param eter by which this point is driven. Experim ental facts on strongly interacting high-density two dimensional (2D) ³He [66,67] show that the e ective mass diverges when the density at which 2D³He liquid begins to solidify is approached [67]. Then, a sharp increase of the e ective mass in a metallic 2D electron system was observed, when the density tends to the critical density of the metal-insulator transition point, which occurs at su ciently low densities [23]. Note, that there is no ferrom agnetic instability in both Ferm i system s and the relevant 1 [23,67], in accordance with the alm ost localized ferm ion model [68]. Landau am plitude $F_0^a >$

Now we consider the divergence of the elective mass in 2D and 3D Fermiliquids at T = 0, when the density x approaches FCQPT from the side of normal Landau Fermiliquid, that is from the disordered phase. First, we calculate the divergence of M as a function of the dilerence ($x_{FC} = x$) in case of 2D ³He. For this purpose we use the equation for M obtained in [9], where the divergence of the elective mass M due to the onset of FC in dilerent Fermiliquids including ³He was predicted. At x ! x_{FC} , the elective mass M can be approximated as

$$\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{1}^{2^{1} Z^{0}} \frac{v(q(y))}{[1 - R(q(y)); ! = 0; g)_{0}(q(y); ! = 0)]^{2}} p \frac{y dy dg}{1 - y^{2}}$$
(54)

Here we adopt the notation $p_F^{-2}(1 - y) = q(y)$ with q(y) being the transferred momentum, M is the bare mass, ! is the frequency, v(q) is the bare interaction, and the integral is taken over the coupling constant g from zero to its real value g_0 . In Eq. (54), both $_0(q; !)$ and R (q; !), being the linear response function of a noninteracting Ferm i liquid and the elective interaction respectively, de ne the linear response function of the system in question

$$(q; !; g) = \frac{_{0} (q; !)}{1 \qquad R (q; !; g)_{0} (q; !)};$$
(55)

In the vicinity of the charge density wave instability, occurring at the density x_{cdw} , the singular part of the function ¹ on the disordered side is of the well-known form (see e.g. [40])

¹ (q; !; g) / (
$$x_{cdw}$$
 x) + (q g)² + (g_0 g); (56)

where $q_{\rm e} = 2p_{\rm e}$ is the wavenum ber of the charge density wave order. Upon substituting Eq. (56) into Eq. (54) and integrating, the equation for the elective mass M can be cast into the following form

$$\frac{1}{M} = \frac{1}{M} \qquad \frac{P}{\overline{x_{cdw}}}, \qquad (57)$$

with C being some positive constant. It is seen from Eq. (57) that M diverges at some point x_{FC} referred to as the critical point, at which FCQPT occurs as a function of the di erence $(x_{FC} = x)$ [57]

$$M / \frac{1}{x_{FC} - x}$$
: (58)

It follows from the derivation of Eqs. (57) and (58) that their forms are independent of the bare interaction v(q). Therefore both of these equations are also applicable to 2D electron liquid or to another Ferm i liquid. It is also seen from Eqs. (57) and (58) that FCQPT precedes the form ation of charge-density waves. As a consequence of this, the electron system s diverges at high densities in case of 2D 3 He, and at low densities in case of 2D electron system s, in accordance with experimental facts [23,67]. Note, that in both cases the dilerence (x_{FC} x) has to be positive, because x_{FC} represents the solution of Eq. (57). Thus, in considering the many-electron system s we have to replace (x_{FC} x) by (x x_{FC}). In case of a 3D system, at x ! x_{FC} , the electrice mass is given by [9]

$$\frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{M} + \frac{p_{F}}{4^{2}} \int_{1}^{Z^{1} Z^{30}} \frac{v(q(y))ydydg}{\left[1 - R(q(y); ! = 0; g)_{0}(q(y); ! = 0)\right]^{2}}$$
(59)

A comparison of Eq. (54) and Eq. (59) shows that there is no fundamental dierence between these equations, and along the same way we again arrive at Eqs. (57) and (58). The only dierence between 2D electron systems and 3D ones is that in the latter FCQPT occurs at densities which are well below those corresponding to 2D systems. For bulk ³He, FCQPT cannot probably take place since it is absorbed by the rst order solidi cation [67].

Now we address the problem of the ferm ion condensation in dilute Ferm i gases and in a low density neutron matter. We consider an in nitely extended system composed of Ferm i particles, or atom s, interacting by an artically constructed potential with the desirable scattering length a. These objects may be viewed as trapped Ferm i gases, which are system s composed of Ferm i atom s interacting by a potential with alm ost any desirable scattering length, sim ilarly to that done for the trapped B ose gases, see e.g. [69]. If a is negative the system becomes unstable at densities x $j_2 j_3^3$, provided the scattering length is the dom inant parameter of the problem. That means that $j_2 j_3$ much bigger than the radius of the interaction or any other relevant parameter of the system. The compressibility K (x) vanishes at the density $x_{cl} = j_2 j_3^3$, making the system completely unstable [70]. Expressing the linear response function in term s of the compressibility [71],

$$(q! 0;i!! 0) = \frac{d^2 E}{dx^2};$$
 (60)

we obtain that the linear response function has a pole at the origin of coordinates, q' 0; ! ' 0, at the same point x_{c1} . To nd the behavior of the elective mass M as a function of the density, we

substitute Eq. (56) into Eq. (59) taking into account that $x_{cdw} = x_{cl}$ and $q_c = p_F$ 1 due to Eq. (60). At low momenta $q=p_F$ 1, the potential v (q) is attractive because the scattering length is the dom inant parameter and negative. Therefore, the integral on the right hand side of Eq. (59) is negative and diverges at x ! x_{1c} . The above considerations can also be applied to the claric cation of the fact that the elective mass M is again given by Eq. (58) with $x_{FC} < x_{cl}$. Note that the super uid correlation cannot stop the system from squeezing, since their contribution to the ground state energy is negative. A fter all, the super uid correlations can be considered as additional degrees of freedom, which can therefore only decrease the energy. We conclude that FCQPT can be observed in traps by measuring the density of states at the Ferm i level, which becomes extremely large as x ! x_{FC} . Note that at these densities the system remains stable because $x_{FC} < x_{cl}$. It seems quite probable that the neutron-neutron scattering length (a ' 20 fm) is su ciently large to be the dom inant parameter and to perm it the neutron matter to have an equilibrium energy, density, and the singular point x_{cl} , at which the compressibility vanishes [72]. Therefore, we can expect that FCQPT takes place in a low density neutron matter leading to stabilization of the matter by low ering its ground state energy. A more detailed analysis of this possibility will be published elsewhere.

A few remarks are in order. We have seen that above the critical point x_{FC} the elective mass M is nite and, therefore, the system exhibits the Landau Fermiliquid behavior. If $j_{K} = x_{FC} = j_{FC} = 1$ the behavior can be viewed as a highly correlated one because the elective mass, being given by Eq. (58), strongly depends on the density, temperature and magnetic elds and is very large, see Sec. V II. Beyond this region, the elective mass is approximately constant and the system becomes a normal Landau Fermiliquid. We can expect to observe such a highly correlated electron (or hole) liquid in heavily overdoped high-T_c compounds which are located beyond the superconducting dome. We recall that beyond the FCQPT point the superconducting gap $_1$ can be very small or even absent, see Eq. (28). Indeed, recent experimental data have shown that this liquid exists in heavily overdoped non-superconducting La₁₇Sr_{0.3}CuO₄ [49].

VII.FIELD-INDUCED LANDAU FERMILIQUID IN HIGHLY CORRELATED FERMI LIQUIDS

When a Fermi system approaches FCQPT from the disordered phase it remains the Landau Fermi liquid with the elective mass M strongly depending on the deviation of the density $x = j_{x_{FC}} x j_{z_{FC}} x j_{z_{F$

In this Section, we study the behavior of a highly correlated electron liquid in magnetic elds. We show that at T T (x) the electrice mass starts to depend on the temperature, M / T $^{1=2}$. This T $^{1=2}$ dependence of the electrice mass at elevated temperatures leads to the non-Fermi liquid behavior of the resistivity, (T) $_0 + aT + bT^{3=2}$. The application of magnetic eld B restores the common T² behavior of the resistivity, $'_0 + AT^2$ with A / (M)². Both the electrice mass and coelectrice the magnetic eld, M (B) / B $^{2=3}$ and A / B $^{4=3}$ being approximately independent of the temperature at T T (B) / B $^{4=3}$. At T T (B), the T $^{1=2}$ dependence of the electrice mass is re-established. We demonstrate that this B T phase diagram has a strong in pact

on the magnetoresistance (MR) of the highly correlated electron liquid. The MR as a function of the temperature exhibits a transition from the negative values of MR at T ! 0 to the positive values at T > T (B) / B⁴⁼³. Thus, at T T (B), MR as the function of the temperature possesses a node at T / B⁴⁼³. Such a behavior is of general form and takes place in both 3D highly correlated systems and 2D ones.

It follows from Eq. (58) that e extive mass is nite provided that $j_x x_{FC} j x > 0$. Therefore, the system represents the Landau Fermi liquid. In case of electronic systems the Wiedem ann-Franz law is held at T ! 0, and K adow aki-W oods ratio is preserved. Beyond the region $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}_{FC} + \mathbf{x}_{FC}$ 1, the elective mass is approximately constant and the system becomes conventional Landau Fermi liquid. On the other hand, M diverges as the density x tends to the critical point of FCQPT. As a result, the e ective mass strongly depends on such quantities as the tem perature, pressure, magnetic eld given that they exceed their critical values. For example, when T exceeds som e tem perature T (x), Eq. (58) is no longer valid, and M depends on the tem perature as well. To evaluate this dependence, we calculate the deviation x(T) generated by T. The temperature smoothing out the Ferm i function (p. p) at p-induces the variation $p_F p=M$ (x) T, and M (x)T = p_F^2 , with p_F is the Ferm in one ntum and M is the bare electron mass. The х(Т)=х_{гс} deviation x can be expressed in terms of M (x) using Eq. (58), $x=x_{FC}$ M=M (x). Comparing T (x) the elective mass depends noticeably on the tem perature, these deviations, we nd that at T and the equation for T(x) becomes

T (x)
$$p_{\rm F}^2 \frac{M}{(M (x))^2} = {}^{\rm H}_{\rm F} (x) \frac{M}{M (x)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (61)

Here "_F (x) is the Ferm ienergy of noninteracting electrons with mass M. It follows from Eq. (61) that M is always nite at temperatures T > 0. We can consider T (x) as the energy scale $e_0(x)$ 'T (x). This scale de nest the area ($e_0(x)$) in the single particle spectrum where M is approximately constant, being given by M = d" (p)=dp [4]. A coording to Eqs. (58) and (61) it is easily veried that $e_0(x)$ can be written in the form

$$e_0(x) = \frac{x}{F} \frac{x}{x_{FC}}^2 (62)$$

At T $e_0(x)$ and above the critical point the elective mass M (x) is nite, the energy scale E_0 given by Eq. (62) vanishes and the system exhibits the LFL behavior. At temperatures T $e_0(x)$ the elective mass M starts to depend on the temperature and the NFL behavior is observed. Thus, at $j_x = x_{FC}$ 1 the system can be considered as a highly correlated one: at T $e_0(x)$, the system is LFL, while at temperatures T $e_0(x)$, the system possesses the NFL behavior.

At T T(x), the main contribution to x comes from the tem perature, therefore

$$M \qquad M \frac{X_{FC}}{X(T)} \qquad M \frac{{}^{"}_{F}}{M T}:$$
(63)

As a result, we obtain

M (T) M
$$\frac{{}^{H}_{F}}{T}$$
 ¹⁼²: (64)

Equation (64) allow sus to evaluate the resistivity as a function of T. There are two terms contributing to the resistivity. Taking into account that A $(M)^2$ and Eq. (64), we obtain the rest term

1 (T) T. The second term $_2$ (T) is related to the quasiparticle width . When M =M 1, the width / (M)³T²= (M) / T³⁼², with (M) / (M)² is the dielectric constant [16,53]. Combining both of the contributions, we not that the resistivity is given by

(T)
$$_{0}$$
 aT + bT³⁼²: (65)

Here a and b are constants. Thus, it turns out that at low temperatures, T < T (x), the resistivity

(T) $_0$ AT². At higher temperatures, the elective mass depends on the temperature and the main contribution comes from the rst term on the right hand side of Eq. (65). While (T) $_0$ follows the T³⁼² dependence at elevated temperatures.

In the same way as Eq. (64) was derived, we can obtain the equation determining M (B) [58]. The application of magnetic eld B leads to a weakly polarized state, or Zeem an splitting, when some levels at the Ferm i level are occupied by spin-up polarized quasiparticles. The width $p = p_{r1} p_2$ of the area in the momentum space occupied by these quasiparticles is of the order

$$\frac{p_F p}{M}$$
 B eff:

Here $_{eff}$ $_{B}$ is the electron m agnetic electron m om ent, p_{F1} is the Ferm imomentum of the spinup electrons, and p_{F2} is the Ferm imomentum of the spin-down electrons. As a result, the Zeem an splitting leads to the change x in the density x

$$\frac{x}{x_{FC}} \quad \frac{p^2}{p_F^2}:$$

We assume that $x=x_{FC}$ 1. Now it follows that

We note that M is determined by Eq. (66) as long as M (B) M (x), otherwise we have to use Eq. (58). It follows from Eq. (66) that the application of a magnetic eld reduces the elective mass. Note, that if there exists an itinerant magnetic order in the system which is suppressed by magnetic eld B = B_{c0} , Eq. (66) has to be replaced by the equation [59], see also Sec. V,

M (B) /
$$\frac{1}{B B_{c0}}^{2=3}$$
: (67)

The coe cient A (B) / (M (B))² diverges as

A (B) /
$$\frac{1}{B - B_{c0}}^{4=3}$$
: (68)

At elevated tem perature, there is a tem perature T (B) at which M (B) ' M (T). Com paring Eq. (64) and Eq. (67), we see that T (B) is given by

T (B) / (B
$$B_{c0})^{4=3}$$
: (69)

At T T (x), Eq. (69) determines the line in the B T phase diagram which separates the region of the B dependent e ective mass from the region of the T dependent e ective mass. At

the tem perature T (B), a crossover from the T² dependence of the resistivity to the T dependence occurs: at T < T (B), the elective mass is given by Eq. (67), and at T > T (B) M is given by Eq. (64).

Using the B T phase diagram just presented, we consider the behavior of MR

$$m_{r}(B;T) = \frac{(B;T)(0;T)}{(0;T)};$$
(70)

as a function of magnetic eld B and T. Here (B;T) is the resistivity measured at the magnetic eld B and temperature T. We assume that the contribution $m_r(B)$ coming from the magnetic eld B can be treated within the low eld approximation and given by the well-known K ohler's rule,

$$m_{r}(B) = B^{2}(0; D) = (0;T);$$
 (71)

with $_{D}$ is the D ebye tem perature. Note, that the low eld approximation implies that $_{mr}(B)$ (0;T) (T). Substituting Eq. (71) into Eq. (70), we determine that

$$m_{r}(B;T) = \frac{c(M (B;T))^{2}T^{2} + m_{r}(B) c(M (0;T))^{2}T^{2}}{(0;T)};$$
(72)

Here M (B;T) denotes the elective mass M which now depends on both the magnetic eld and the tem perature, and c is a constant.

Consider MR given by Eq. (72) as a function of B at some temperature $T = T_0$. At low temperatures $T_0 = T$ (x), the system behaves as common Landau Fermilliquid, and MR is an increasing function of B. When the temperature T_0 is su ciently high, $T = (B) < T_0$, and the magnetic eld is small, M = (B;T) is given by Eq. (64). Therefore, the difference M = M = M = (B;T) = (0;T) is small and the main contribution is given by $m_r(B)$. As a result, MR is an increasing function of B. At elevated B, the difference M becomes a decreasing function of B, and MR as the function of B reaches its maximum value at $T = (B) = T_0$. In accordance with Eq. (69), T = (B) determines the crossover from T^2 dependence of the resistivity to the T dependence. D i erentiating the function $m_r(B;T)$ given by Eq. (72) with respect to B, one can verify that the derivative is negative at su ciently large values of the magnetic eld when $T = (B) - T_0$. Thus, we are led to the conclusion that the crossover manifests itself as the maximum of MR as the function of B.

We now consider MR as a function of T at some B_0 . At T T (x), we have a norm all andau liquid. At low tem peratures T (x) T (B $_0$), it follows from Eqs. (64) and (67) that Т M (B_0)=M (T) 1, and MR is determined by the resistivity (0;T). Note, that B_0 has to be comparatively high to ensure the inequality, T (x) T T (B_0) . As a result, MR tends to 1. Dierentiating the function $m_r(B_0;T)$ with respect to B_0 we can 1, mr(B₀;T ! 0) ' check that its slope becomes steeper as B_0 is decreased, being proportional / ($B_0 = B_{c0}$)⁷⁼³. At T (B $_0$), M R possesses a node because at this point the elective m as M (B $_0$) ' M (T), $T = T_1$ and $(B_0;T)'$ (0;T). Again, we can conclude that the crossover from the T² resistivity to the T resistivity, which occurs at T T (B_0), manifests itself in the transition from negative MR to positive MR.AtT > T (B_0), the main contribution to MR com es from $_{m r}$ (B₀), and M R reaches its maximum value. Upon using Eq. (71) and taking into account that at this point T has to be determ ined by Eq. (69), T / (B₀ B_{c0})⁴⁼³, we obtain that the maximum value $m_{mr}(B_0)$ of MR is m_{mr}^{m} (B₀) / (B B_{c0})²⁼³. Thus, the maximum value is a decreasing function of B₀. At T (B₀) т, M R is a decreasing function of the tem perature, and at elevated tem peratures M R eventually vanishes $since mr(B_0) = (T)$ 1.

The recent paper [73] reports on measurements of the resistivity of $C \in C \circ In_5$ in a magnetic eld. With increasing eld, the resistivity evolves from the T temperature dependence to the T² dependence, while the eld dependence of A (B) $(M (B))^2$ displays the critical behavior best tted by B_{c0}), with '1:37 [73]. All these facts are in a good agreement the function, A(B) / (B)T phase diagram given by Eq. (69). The critical behavior displaying = 4=3 [58] and with the B described by Eq. (68) is also in a good agreem ent with the data. A transition from negative MR to positive M R with increasing T was also observed [73]. We believe that an additional analysis of the data [73] can reveal that the crossover from T² dependence of the resistivity to the T dependence B_{c0})⁴⁼³. As well, this analysis could reveal supplementary peculiarities of M R. occurs at T / (B W hile the behavior of the heavy ferm ion m etal CeCoIn₅ in magnetic elds displayed in Ref. [73] can be identied as the highly correlated behavior of a Landau Ferm i liquid approaching FCQPT from the disordered phase [58]. Recent m easurem ents on the heavy m etal compound $C \in Ru_2Si_2$ carried out at microkelvin temperatures down to 170 K shows that the critical eld B $_{c0}$ can be at least as small as 0.02 m T [74]. Our analysis of the behavior of C eR u_2 Siz based on the experimental evidences [74] shows that the electron system of this metal displays the highly correlated behavior similar to the behavior of $C \in C \circ In_5$. The results will be published elsewhere.

VIII.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have discussed the appearance of the ferm ion condensation, which can be compared to the Bose-Einstein condensation. A number of experimental evidences have been presented that are supportive to the idea of the existence of FC in di erent liquids. We have demonstrated also that experimental facts collected in di erent materials, belonging to the high-T_c superconductors, heavy ferm ion metals and strongly correlated 2D structures, can be explained within the fram ework of the theory based on FCQPT.

We have shown that the appearance of FC is a quantum phase transition, that separates the regions of norm all and strongly correlated liquids. Beyond the FCQPT point the quasiparticle system is divided into two subsystems, one containing norm all quasiparticles, the other being occupied by ferm ion condensate localized at the Ferm i level. In the superconducting state the quasiparticle dispersion "(p) in systems with FC can be represented by two straight lines, characterized by elective masses M_{FC} and M_L, and intersecting near the binding energy E₀ which is of the order of the superconducting gap. The same quasiparticle picture and the energy scale E₀ persist in the norm all state. We have demonstrated that ferm ion systems with FC have features of a "quantum protectorate" and shown that the theory of high temperature superconductivity, based on the ferm ion condensation quantum phase transition and on the conventional theory of superconductivity, perm its the description of high values of T_c and of the maximum value of the gap $_1$, which may be as big as

 $_1$ 0:1" or even larger. We have also traced the transition from conventional superconductors to high-T_c ones. We have shown by a simple, although self-consistent analysis that the general features of the shape of the critical tem perature T_c(x) as a function of the density x of the mobile carriers in the high-T_c compounds can be understood within the fram ework of the theory.

We have demonstrated that strongly correlated electron liquids with FC, which exhibit strong deviations from the Landau Ferm i liquid behavior, can be driven into the Landau Ferm i liquid by applying a magnetic eld (B B cO) at low temperatures. A re-entrance into the strongly correlated regime is observed if the magnetic eld (B B cO) decreases to zero, while the elective mass M diverges as M / 1 = B B cO. The regime is restored at some temperature T / B B cO.

This B T phase diagram is in good quantitative agreement with the experimental data and allow us to conclude that a new type of the quantum critical point observed in a heavy-fermion metal $YbRh_2Si_2$ can be identified as FCQPT with the order parameter (p) and with the gap 1 being in nitely small. This behavior is of a general form and takes place in both three dimensional and two dimensional strongly correlated systems, and demonstrates the possibility to control the essence of strongly correlated electron liquids including heavy-fermion metals by magnetic elds.

The appearance of FCQPT in 2D strongly correlated structures, in trapped Ferm i gases and in a low density neutron matter has been considered. We have provided an explanation of the experimental data on the divergence of the elective mass in a 2D electron liquid and in 2D ³He, as well as shown that above the critical point the system exhibits the Landau Ferm i liquid behavior. We expect that FCQPT takes place in trapped Ferm i gases and in a low density neutron matter leading to stabilization of the matter by low ering its ground state energy. At $j_X = x_{FC} = j_{FX_{FC}} = 1$, the behavior of a Ferm i liquid approaching FCQPT form the disordered phase can be viewed as a highly correlated one because the elective mass is very large and strongly depends on the density, tem perature and magnetic elds. Beyond this region, the elective mass is approximately constant and the system becomes a norm al Landau Ferm i liquid.

The behavior in magnetic elds of a highly correlated electron liquid approaching FCQPT from the disordered phase has been considered. We have shown that at su ciently high temperatures the elevated phase has been considered. We have shown that at su ciently high temperatures the elevated temperatures leads to the non-Ferm i liquid behavior of the resistivity. The application of a magnetic eld B restores the comm on T² behavior of the resistivity. A re-entrance into the highly correlated regime is observed if the magnetic eld (B B c0) decreases to zero, while the elevate mass M diverges as M / (B B_{c0})²⁼³. At nite magnetic elds, the regime is restored at some temperature T / (B B_{c0})⁴⁼³. We have demonstrated that this B T phase diagram has a strong in pact on the magnetoresistance (M R) of the highly correlated electron liquid. The M R as a function of the temperature exhibits a transition from the negative values of M R at T ! 0 to the positive values at T / (B B_{c0})⁴⁼³. Such a behavior was observed in the heavy ferm ion metal C eC oIn₅.

W e conclude that FCQPT can be viewed as a universal cause of the non-Ferm i liquid behavior observed in di erent m etals and liquids.

IX . ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project No 01-02-17189.

- [1] S.T. Belyaev, Sov. Phys. JETP, 7, 289 (1958).
- [2] S.T. Belyaev, Sov. Phys. JETP, 7, 299 (1958).
- [3] M.Ya. Amusia, A.Z. M sezane, and V.R. Shaginyan, Yademaya Fizika 66, 1 (2003); condm at/0301077.
- [4] L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP 3 (1956) 920.
- [5] V A.Khodeland V R.Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 51, 553 (1990).
- [6] G.E.Volovik, JETP Lett. 53, 222 (1991).
- [7] M.Ya. Amusia and V.R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 73, 232 (2001); M.Ya. Amusia and V.R. Shaginyan, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224507 (2001); V.R. Shaginyan, Physica B 312-313C, 413 (2002).
- [8] V A. Khodel, V R. Shaginyan, and V.V. Khodel, Phys. Rep. 249, 1 (1994).
- [9] V A. Khodel, V R. Shaginyan, and M. V. Zverev, JETP Lett. 65, 253 (1997).
- [10] IE.D zyaloshinskii, J.Phys. I (France) 6 (1996) 119.
- [11] V.Yu. Irkhin, A.A. Katanin, M.J. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 076401.
- [12] J. Dukelsky, V.A. Khodel, P. Schuck, and V.R. Shaginyan, Z. Phys. 102, 245 (1997); V.A. Khodel and V.R. Shaginyan, Condensed Matter Theories, 12, 222 (1997).
- [13] J. Bardeen, LN. Cooper, and JR. Schrie er, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [14] V R. Shaginyan, Phys. Lett. A 249, 237 (1998).
- [15] V A.Khodel, JW. Clark, and V R.Shaginyan, Solid Stat. Comm. 96, 353 (1995).
- [16] S.A. Artam onov and V.R. Shaginyan, JETP 92, 287 (2001).
- [17] R.B. Laughlin and D. Pines, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. USA 97, 28 (2000).
- [18] P.W. Anderson, cond-m at/0007185; cond-m at/0007287.
- [19] A. Paramekanti, M. Randeria, and N. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 217002 (2001); A. Paramekanti, M. Randeria, and N. Trivedi, cond-m at/0305611.
- [20] P.V. Bogdanov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2581 (2000).
- [21] A.Kam inskiet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86, 1070 (2001).
- [22] T. Valla et al, Science 285, 2110 (1999);
 - T.Valla et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 828 (2000).
- [23] A A. Shashkin, S.V. Kravchenko, V.T. Dolgopolov, and T.M. Klapwijk, Phys. Rev. B 66, 073303 (2002); A A. Shashkin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 046403 (2003).
- [24] G. Gruner, Density W aves in Solids (Addison-W esley, Reading, MA, 1994).
- [25] D. R. Tilley and J. Tilley, Super uidity and Superconductivity, (Bristol, Hilger, 1985).
- [26] N.N.Bogoliubov, Nuovo Cimento 7, 794 (1958).
- [27] M.Ya.Amusia and V.R.Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 77, 671 (2003).
- [28] H.Matsuiet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 217002 (2003).
- [29] M.Ya. Amusia, S.A. Artamonov, and V.R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 74, 435 (2001).
- [30] V.R. Shaqinyan, JETP Lett. 68, 527 (1998).
- [31] M.Ya. Amusia and V.R. Shaginyan, Phys. Lett. A 298, 193 (2002).
- [32] M.Kugler et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4911 (2001).
- [33] A A . A brikosov, Phys. Rev. B 52, R15738 (1995); cond-m at/9912394.
- [34] S.A.Artam onov, V.R.Shaginyan, and Yu.G.Pogorelov, JETP Lett. 68, 942 (1998).
- [35] N.-C. Yeh et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 087003 (2001).
- [36] A.Biswas et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 207004 (2002).
- [37] JA. Skinta et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 207005 (2002).
- [38] JA. Skinta et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 207003 (2002).
- [39] C.-T. Chen at el, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 227002 (2002).

- [40] C M . Varma, Z. Nussinov, and W im van Saarbos, Phys. Rep. 361, 267 (2002).
- [41] M.Ya.Amusia and V.R.Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 76, 651 (2002).
- [42] N.M iyakawa et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1018 (1999).
- [43] A. Ino et al, Phys. Rev. 65, 094504 (2002).
- [44] X J. Zhou et al, Nature 423, 398 (2003).
- [45] D L. Feng et al, cond-m at/0107073.
- [46] D.S.Dessau et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2160 (1991).
- [47] A B. Migdal, Theory of Finite Ferm i Systems and Applications to Atom ic Nuclei (Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1977).
- [48] Z.Yusofet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 167006 (2002).
- [49] S.Nakam ae et al, cond-m at/0212283.
- [50] M. de Llano and J.P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C 19, 1083 (1979); M. de Llano, A.P. Lastino, and J.G. Zabolitsky, Phys. Rev. C 20, 2418 (1979).
- [51] M.V. Zverev and M. Baldo, cond-m at/9807324.
- [52] K.Kadowakiand S.B.Woods, Solid State Commun. 58, 507 (1986).
- [53] V A.Khodeland P.Schuck, Z.Phys.B 104, 505 (1997).
- [54] P.Gegenwart et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 056402 (2002).
- [55] K. Ishida et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107202 (2002).
- [56] P.Colem an et al, J.Phys.Condens.M atter 13, R723 (2001); P.Colem an, cond-m at/0206003.
- [57] V.R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 77, 99 (2003).
- [58] V.R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 77, 178 (2003).
- [59] Yu.G. Pogorelov and V.R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 76, 532 (2002).
- [60] C. Proust et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 147003 (2002).
- [61] R.Belet al, cond-m at/0304111.
- [62] J.Korringa, Physica (Utrecht) 16 (1950) 601.
- [63] G.-q. Zheng et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 197005.
- [64] M.Ya. Amusia and V.R. Shaginyan, Phys. Lett. A 315, 288 (2003).
- [65] A P.M ackenzie et al, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5848 (1996).
- [66] K.-D. Morhard et al, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2658 (1996).
- [67] A. Casey et al, J. Low Temp. Phys. 113, 293 (1998); A. Casey et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 115301 (2003).
- [68] M.P tzner and P.W olfe, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2003 (1986).
- [69] S. Inouye et al., Nature, 392 (1998) 151.
- [70] M.Ya. Amusia, A.Z. M sezane, and V.R. Shaginyan, Phys. Lett. A 293, 205 (2002).
- [71] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics I (A dison-W esley, Reading, MA, 1970).
- [72] M.Ya.Amusia and V.R.Shaginyan, Eur.Phys.J.A 8, 77 (2000).
- [73] J. Paglione et al., cond-m at/0212502.
- [74] D. Takahashiet al, Phys. Rev. B 67, 180407 (R) (2003).