On the universality of the uctuation-dissipation ratio in non-equilibrium critical dynamics

Malte Henkela b and Gunter M. Schutzak

^aLaboratoire de Physique des Materiaux, ^yUniversite Henri Poincare Nancy I, B.P. 239, F {54506 Vand uvre—les—Nancy Cedex, France

^bCentro de F sica Teorica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gam a Pinto 2, P {1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

^c Institut für Festkorperforschung, Forschungszentrum Julich,
D {52425 Julich, Germany

February 8, 2022

A bstract

The two-time nonequilibrium correlation and response functions in 1D kinetic classical spin systems with non-conserved dynamics and quenched to their zero-temperature critical point are studied. The exact solution of the kinetic Ising model with G lauber dynamics for a wide class of initial states allows for an explicit test of the universality of the non-equilibrium limit uctuation-dissipation ratio X_1 . It is shown that the value of X_1 depends on whether the initial state has nitely many domain walls or not and thus two distinct dynamic universality classes can be identifed in this model. Generic 1D kinetic spin systems with non-conserved dynamics fall into the same universality classes as the kinetic G lauber-Ising model provided the dynamics is

perm anent addresses

^yLaboratoire associe au CNRS UMR 7556

invariant under the C-sym m etry of simultaneous spin and m agneticeld reversal. While C-sym m etry is satisfied for magnetic systems, it need not be for lattice gases which may therefore display hitherto unexplored types of non-universal kinetics.

PACS: 0520.-y, 05.40.-a, 05.70Jk, 64.60 Ht, 75.40 Gb

1 Introduction

The understanding of the long-time behaviour of strongly interacting systems with many degrees of freedom and evolving far from equilibrium is an active topic of much current interest, see [1, 2, 3, 4] for recent reviews. Besides the more far-reaching aspects of disordered systems undergoing glassy behaviour, many of the fundamental questions of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics can already be studied on the conceptually simpler kinetic ferromagnetic systems. In several instances, general ideas can be subjected to exacting tests because several non-trivial and exactly soluble models are available.

In this paper, we consider the kinetics of a purely classical spin system with a nonconserved order parameter and an equilibrium critical tempera-0 and quenched to a naltemperature T I from some initial state. Then uctuations of the initial state will lead on the microscopic level to the growth of correlated domains and the slow movement of the dom ain boundaries will drive the irreversible time-evolution of the macroscopic observables. It has been realized in recent years that the associated ageing e ects are more fully revealed through the study of two-time correlation functions C (t; t_w) and response functions R (t; t_w) (see section 2 for the precise de nitions) and where t is referred to as observation time while tw is called the waiting time. In addition, it has been understood that correlation and response functions must be studied together, since out of equilibrium the uctuation-dissipation theorem is no longer valid. It is usual to characterize the breaking of the uctuation-dissipation theorem in terms of the uctuation-dissipation ratio (FDR) [5]

$$X (t;t_w) := TR (t;t_w) \frac{\theta C (t;t_w)}{\theta t_w}$$
(1.1)

where at equilibrium, one would recover X (t; t_w) = 1 (at T = 0, some care is needed to absorb T into the denition of the response function). In the scaling limit, where simultaneously t_w and t_w become large, one actually nds that the uctuation-dissipation ratio X (t; t_w) = \hat{X} (t= t_w) satisfies a simple scaling law in terms of the scaling variable $x = t=t_w$, see [4] for a recent review . A quantity of particular interest is the limit uctuation-dissipation ratio X $_1$ dened by

$$X_1 = \lim_{t_w \mid 1} \lim_{t \mid 1} X(t; t_w) = \lim_{x \mid 1} \hat{X}(x)$$
 (1.2)

For a quench into the disordered phase, it is known that $X_1 = 0$ in general. But for a critical quench with $T = T_c$, G odreche and Luck [6,7] have proposed that X_1 should be an universal quantity.

The evidence supporting this conjecture (which in this paper we shall call universality' for short) was based on the available results coming from exactly solvable kinetic spin systems quenched from a fully disordered state and from simulations in the 2D and 3D kinetic Ising model with G lauber dynamics, see [2] for a review. Further supporting evidence in favour of the universality conjecture comes from eld-theoretic two-loop calculations of the O (n)-model, again starting from a fully disordered initial state [8, 9]. The universality of X₁ has also been con med numerically for the 2D G lauber-Ising and voter models [10].

Statem ents about the universality of a physical quantity are best tested by varying important control parameters of suitable models and then studying their e ects. Indeed, the rôle of spatially long-ranged initial correlations of the form

$$C_{ini}(r)$$
 jrj (1.3)

where is a control parameter, was studied in the kinetic spherical model at $T=T_{\rm c}$ [11]. It was shown that there exists an unexpectedly rich kinetic phase diagram, depending on and the space dimension d. In most of these phases, either $X_1=0$ or else it is independent of , but in one phase X_1 was shown to be a function of [11], thus furnishing an important qualication against the unrestricted universality of X_1 . At present, it is not clear yet whether these results might not simply relect a peculiarity of the spherical model. Therefore, we shall study here the non-equilibrium critical dynamics of 1D ferrom agnetic spin systems quenched to their critical temperature T=0.

In order to get analytical results, we consider in section 2 the exactly solvable G lauber-Ising model. We shall show that for initial correlations of the form (1.3) with 0, we have indeed universality of the entire function \hat{X} (x), and thus in particular of X_1 , in agreement with the G od recheluck conjecture. However, in section 3 we study even more general initial states which consist of large ordered domains and then show that the scaling function \hat{X} (x) as well as X_1 are dierent from the ones obtained for initial states of the form (1.3). We thereby identify two dynamic universality classes. These results are extended in section 4 to generalized two-state spin systems

 $^{^1}$ In this phase both the space dimension daswell as the elective dimension D = 2+ of the initial correlations are below the upper critical dimension d.

evolving according to a non-conserved dynam ics with detailed balance. We show that those which satisfy a certain global symmetry (which we call C-symmetry) are in one of the two universality classes found for the G lauber-Ising model. We also comment on the fact that in lattice gases, there is no need to satisfy C-symmetry which may lead to further non-universalities. We conclude in section 5.

2 Glauber dynamics with correlated initial conditions

We pshall study the Ising chain with equilibrium Hamiltonian H = $J_n s_n s_{n+1}$ where s_n = 1 denotes the Ising spins and J > 0 is the interaction strength. We consider translation-invariant initial distributions such that the initial magnetization $m_0 = h s_n$ i should be dierent from 1, i.e. there is a nite density of domain walls at initial time. In the literature usually symmetric initial distributions with $m_0 = 0$ are considered. In this section, we allow for general non-symmetric initial distributions with $1 < m_0 < 1$. The case $j_0 j_0 = 1$ requires separate treatment and is studied in section 3. In the absence of a magnetic eld we assume G lauber dynamics [12] for the stochastic time evolution of the spins. We set the time scale for individual spin ips to unity.

2.1 Two-time correlation function

It is convenient to write the two-time correlation function

$$C_n (t+ ;t) = C_n (t;) = hs_n (t+)s_0 (t) i$$
 (2.1)

in the quantum Ham iltonian formalism, see [13, 14] for recent reviews. One has

$$C_n (t;) = hs j_n^z e^{H_0} j_0^z e^{H_0t} j_0^z i$$
 (2.2)

where $n^{x,y,z}$ are the Paulim atrices acting on the n^{th} site of the chain and

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} X \qquad (1 \quad x_n) \quad 1 \quad \frac{z}{2} x_n (x_{n-1} + x_{n+1}) \qquad (2.3)$$

is the M arkov generator (stochastic H am iltonian) for G lauber dynam ics [15]. Furtherm ore, jP_0 i is the probability vector representing the initial distribution of spins and the constant sum m ation vector hs j is the left steady state.

The generator is constructed such that it satis es detailed balance with respect to the equilibrium distribution at temperature T of the 1D zero-eld ferrom agnetic Ising model with interaction strength J. This is achieved by setting = tanh (2J=T) (we use units such that the Boltzmann constant $k_{\rm B}=1$). We introduce the shorthand

$$C_n = C_n(0;0) ; C_n(t) = C_n(t;0) = hs_n(t)s_0(t)i$$
 (2.4)

for the initial correlations and for the equal-time correlation function, respectively. Notice that

$$C_n(t) = C_n(t)$$
; $C_0(t) = 1$ 8t 0: (2.5)

For G lauber dynam ics the tim e-evolution of the spin-expectation is linear and at vanishing temperature T=0 satisfies a lattice disusion equation for a 1D random walk with hopping rate 1/2 [12]. The propagator

$$G_n(y) = e^{-y}I_n(y)$$
 (2.6)

of the lattice di usion equation involves the modi ed Bessel function I_n (y) [16] and describes the probability of moving a distance of n lattice units after time y. Hence

which immediately yields

It remains to calculate the equal-time two-point correlation function C_m (t). For special initial distributions this has already been done in the classical paper by G lauber [12]. For our more general treatment we observe that the total correlation function may be split into an interaction part C_m^{int} (t) and a correlation part C_m^{corr} (t). The latter one vanishes for uncorrelated (in nite-temperature) initial states. Then

$$C_{m} (t) = e^{2t} I_{m} (2t) + 2 e^{2t} I_{jm j+k} (2t)$$

$$k = 1$$

$$+ e^{2t} I_{jm j k} (2t) I_{jm j+k} (2t) C_{k} (2.9)$$

$$= : C_{m}^{int} (t) + C_{m}^{oor} (t) :$$

The two-time autocorrelation function then follows from (2.8) by setting n = 0.

The Laplace transform of the interaction part of the two-time autocorrelation function has already been studied in detail by G odreche and Luck [6] and by Lippiello and Zannetti [17]. Here, we prefer to work in the more intuitive time-domain, which allows for an easier analysis of more general initial conditions. From (2.9), we also do not $C_n^{ini}(t;)$ and $C_n^{oorr}(t;)$ which will be calculated separately and $C_n(t;) = C_n^{ini}(t;) + C_n^{oorr}(t;)$. U sing the completeness property $C_n^{ini}(t;) = C_n^{ini}(t;) + C_n^{oorr}(t;)$ and a second contribution which is large at early times and a second contribution which dominates the late-time behaviour. We not

$$C_0^{int}(t;) = e^{(+2t)}I_0(+2t) + e^{-}I_0() 1 e^{2t}I_0(2t)$$

$$X^{i} X^{i} + e^{-2t}I_{jn j+k}(2t)e^{-}I_m(); \qquad (2.10)$$

$$x^{i} X^{i} + e^{-2t}I_{jn j+k}(2t)e^{-}I_m()$$

Fort; 1 only the late-time part (containing the double sum) plays a role. Using the asymptotic Gaussian form

$$G_n(y) = \frac{1}{2y} e^{\frac{n^2}{2y}} + 0 + 0 + y^{-1}$$
 (2.11)

of the lattice propagator we can turn the sum s into integrals. Setting

we obtain

$$C_0^{\text{int}}(t;) = \frac{4}{2} \int_0^{z_1} du dv e^{u^2 + (u + v)^2}$$

= $1 \int_0^{z_1} arctan = \frac{2}{2} arctan \frac{1}{2}$: (2.13)

The correlation function depends only on the scaling variable.

For the later comparison with the response function, we now make a small change in notation, in order to be compatible with the notations usually

 $^{^2}$ M ultispin correlators and associated response functions of the 1D G lauber-Ising model have been studied recently in [18, 19]. Two-time correlators of the Ising chain in a transverse eld are calculated in [20].

em ployed [6, 11, 17]. The quantity denoted time'tso far, we shall from now on call waiting times = t_w . In turn, we call t_w + observation time and write $t = t_w$ + . We repeat the correlation function in this notation, in agreement with [6, 17]

$$C_0^{\text{int}}(t;t_w) = \frac{2}{-\arctan}\arctan\frac{2t_w}{t_w}$$
 (2.14)

For later use, we also record the derivative of C $_0^{\rm int}$ w ith respect to t_w . K exping t xed, we have

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{w}} C_{0}^{\text{int}}(t; t_{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1+2^{2}}{(1+2)} \frac{1}{t_{w}} = \frac{P_{-}}{2} \frac{x}{x} \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{(x+1)} \frac{1}{t_{w}}$$
(2.15)

for either the scaling variable or $x = t = t_w$.

We still have to analyze the correlation part of the autocorrelation function which has not been studied previously. In order to do so, we use the integral representation

$$G_n(y) = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} dq \cos(qn)e^{-qy}$$
 (2.16)

of the lattice propagator with the dispersion relation $_{\rm q}$ = (1 $\cos{\rm q}$). This yields the exact expression

For t_w ; 1 the sum over m may be turned into an integral and the asymptotic expression (2.11) can be used. A fter some algebra we nd

$$C_{0}^{\text{corr}}(t_{w};) = \frac{4}{3=2t_{w}^{1=2}} X^{1} C_{n} dqqsin \frac{qn}{t_{w}} {}_{1}F_{1}(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; {}^{2}q^{2})e^{-q^{2}(1+ \cdot)^{2}}$$
(2.18)

where $_1F_1$ (a;b;x) is a con uent hypergeom etric series [16]. In order to analyze the leading behaviour for waiting times t_w 1 we have to distinguish three

cases, which depend on the form of the initial correlation. We shall in this section consider the following form

$$C_n(0;0) = C_n - \frac{B}{n}$$
 for n! 1 (2.19)

where 0 and B are { a priori nonuniversal { control parameters. We also de ne the unnormalized rst moment

$$A := nC_n$$

$$n = 1$$
(2.20)

of the initial correlation function. We can now identify three distinct situations.

Case 1: A < 1

Consider rst the situation when the series A converges to a nite value. Physical examples might be antiferrom agnetic alternating-sign correlations or for rapidly decaying ferrom agnetic correlations with > 2. For large waiting times t_w the leading contribution to the integral in (2.18) comes from small values of the integration variable q (which describe the long wavelength uctuations of the spin system). We may then expand the sine in its Taylor series and the integration can be performed explicitly from the series representation of the hypergeometric function. Sum ming up the in nite series of Gaussian integrals yields the surprisingly simple exact asymptotic expression, to leading order in t_w

$$C_0^{\text{corr}}(t_w;) = \frac{A}{1+\frac{2}{1+\frac{2}{1+1}}} \frac{1}{t_w};$$
 (2.21)

Because of the extra factor $1=t_w$, this is asymptotically smaller than the interaction part (2.13). Hence the nonuniversal amplitude A does not contribute to the leading late-time asymptotics of the two-time autocorrelation function.

Case 2: Slow ly decaying ferrom agnetic correlations

We now consider the initial correlator (2.19) with 0 < < 2 (such that A does not converge). Replacing the sum mation over n by an integration

This yields

$$C_0^{\text{corr}}(t_w;) = \frac{B 2^1}{2} \qquad 1 \qquad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1+2)^{(1+1)-2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1+2}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1+2}{1+2}) \qquad {}_{w}t^{-2};$$
(2.24)

A lso in this case the correlation part of the two-time autocorrelation function is asymptotically small compared to the interaction part. We conclude that the non-universal quantities B and , which characterize the initial distribution before the quench, do not enter into the leading late-time behaviour.

Case 3: Partial ferrom agnetic long range order

The case = 0 corresponds to an asymptotically constant spin-spin correlation function in the initial state, m in icking (partial) ferrom agnetic long range order. Such initial states m ay for example be obtained by quenching from a uniform ly magnetized initial state to zero temperature and zero eld and had already been studied in [11] where $B = m_0^2$ was related to the initial magnetization. Perform ing the same steps as in case 2 we nd

$$C_0^{\text{corr}}(t_w;) = \frac{2B}{}$$
 arctan : (2.25)

This is of the same order of magnitude as the interaction contribution to the correlation function. For the total correlation function we obtain

$$C_0 (t_w;) = 1 (1 B + arctan : (2.26)$$

and therefore, for t xed we have from (2.15)

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{w}} C_{0} (t; t_{w}) = \frac{1}{t_{w}} \frac{B}{1+x} \frac{r}{x} \frac{2}{x-1}$$
 (2.27)

in terms of the scaling variable $x = t = t_w$. This is of the same from as in the uncorrelated case, but with a nonuniversalam plitude 1 B determined by the initial long range order. The form (2.27) proves universality with regard to details of the initial distribution of the two-time correlation function, except for a nonuniversalam plitude.

2.2 Two-time response function

Now we consider the time evolution of the local magnetization

$$S_n(t) = h_n^z(t) i = hsj_n^z e^{Ht} jP_0 i$$
 (2.28)

for an initial distribution with initial magnetization m $_0$ \in 1. In zero eld S_n (t) = m $_0$ for T = 0 G lauber dynam ics. To study the linear response of the system to a small localized perturbation by a magnetic eld we let an external eld act at site 0 of the lattice. In the quantum Hamiltonian formulation this perturbation of the zero-eld dynam ics is represented by the perturbed Markov generator

$$H = H_0 + V (h)$$
: (2.29)

The perturbation V (h) is determined by the requirement that the full generator H satisfies detailed balance with respect to the equilibrium distribution

P
$$\exp \frac{1}{T} X (Js_n s_{n+1} + hs_0)$$
 (2.30)

of the ferrom agnetic Ising system with interaction strength J and localm agnetic eld h at site 0. This requirement, on which the usual equilibrium uctuation-dissipation theorem is based, does not uniquely $\times V$, as dierent dynamical rules m ay lead to the same equilibrium distribution (2.30). In [6] a heat bath prescription was used to implicitly de ne V. Here we follow m ore closely the philosophy of G lauber [12] and de ne A B in imally perturbed dynamics by

$$V = \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad {\atop x}^{x}) \quad 1 \quad {\atop z}^{z} ({\atop z}^{z} + {\atop z}^{z}) \quad e^{(h=T) {\atop z}^{z}} \quad 1 : \quad (2.31)$$

At zero tem perature one has = 1. We shall use the dim ensionless eld strength h=T throughout this work.

Following standard procedures we let the eld act at time t_w and calculate the linear response function (in units of T)

$$R_n(t;t_w) = R_n(t_w; t_w) = \frac{1}{h(t_w)} S_n(t)$$
 (2.32)

at observation time t. As before = t t 0 is the time elapsed after the perturbation. By expanding the full time evolution operator exp (Ht) in powers of hwe nd from (2.28), (2.32)

$$R_n (t_w; j) = hs j_n^z e^{H} V^0 e^{Ht_w} j P_0 i$$
: (2.33)

Here V^0 is the derivative of V with respect to h=T taken at h = 0. Using (2.7) we see after a little algebra that the autoresponse function (n = 0) factorizes

$$R_0(t_w;) = e I_0()[1 C_1(t_w)]$$
 (2.34)

into the autopropagator $G_{\,0}\,($) and a contribution involving the two-point correlation function at time $t_{\rm w}$.

To calculate the interaction part of the response function we deduce by analogy with (2.10)

1
$$C_1^{\text{int}}$$
 (t) = e^{2t} (I_0 (2t) + I_1 (2t)): (2.35)

For large $tim es t_w$ 1 we then nd

$$R_0^{int}(t_w;) = p \frac{1}{2} p \frac{2}{t_w} = \frac{1}{t_w} \frac{1}{1};$$
 (2.36)

With 2 = (x 1)=2 one obtains the autoresponse function in terms of the scaling variable x = t=t_w. Interestingly, the same asymptotic result was found in [6] for heat bath dynamics.

The calculation of the correlation part of the autoresponse function proceeds along the same lines as the calculation of the autocorrelation function. We nd

Case 1: A < 1

H ere

$$C_1^{\text{corr}}(t_w) = \frac{A}{4^{1-2}t_w^{3-2}}$$
 (2.37)

for large t_w . Comparison with (2.35) shows that we have a subleading behaviour of the correlation contribution.

Case 2: W eakly decaying ferrom agnetic correlations

Computing the correlation as above leads to

$$C_1^{\text{corr}}(t_w) = \frac{B}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} t_w^{(+1)=2};$$
 (2.38)

which corresponds again to subleading behaviour. Hence initial correlations decaying to zero do not change the asymptotic behaviour of the autoresponse

function.

Case 3: Ferrom agnetic long range order

For = 0 (correlations decaying to a constant value B) we obtain

$$C_1^{\text{corr}}(t_w) = \frac{B}{t_w}$$
 (2.39)

which is of the same order as the interaction part. Therefore

$$R_0(t_w; t) = \frac{(1 B)}{2 t_w} = \frac{1 B}{2 t_w} = \frac{1 (2.40)}{2 t_w}$$

We see from equations (227), (2.40) that the same nonuniversal amplitude enters the two-time correlation function and the response function respectively.

We can now state the main result of this section: in each of the cases 1-3 the uctuation-dissipation ratio X = R = C-does not depend on the initial state and is given by

$$X (t;t_w) = R (t;t_w) \frac{\theta C (t;t_w)}{\theta t_w} = \hat{X} (x) = \frac{x+1}{2x}$$
: (2.41)

The same result was obtained in [6] for dierent microscopic dynamics and uncorrelated initial states. We note in passing that one may easily check that also for complete initial antiferrom agnetic order $C_n=(1)^n$ the FDR has the same asymptotic form. In the limit $x \,! \, 1$ we not

$$X_1 = \lim_{x \mid 1} \hat{X}(x) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (2.42)

in full agreem ent with the conjecture [6, 7] that X_1 is an universal constant.

3 Low-temperature initial states

In the previous section we assumed a translation invariant state with a nite density of domain walls in the Ising system at the initial time. However, at very low temperatures it is more relevant to study the time evolution of an almost ordered system with only nitely many domain walls at the initial

time. For de niteness we consider two domain walls located at sites L and L respectively of the lattice. This corresponds to the initial con guration

$$P_0 = ::: ###"" ::: ""### ::: (3.1)$$

where the inversions of the spins occurs at the positions $\[L \]$ and $\[+ L \]$, respectively. A lthough these initial conditions break translation invariance, we have chosen the coordinate system such that rejection symmetry with respect to the origin is maintained. This is not crucial, but $\[simpli \]$ es some of the exact expressions to be derived below.

In order to calculate the two-time correlation function we use the enantiodromy relation

$$H_0^T = B H_A B^{-1}$$
 (3.2)

between G lauber dynam ics and di usion-lim ited pair annihilation (DLPA) [21,13]. The process DLPA describes independent random walkers hopping with rate 1=2 to a nearest-neighbour site and annihilating instantaneously upon encounter. Here H $_0^{\rm T}$ is the transpose of the Ham iltonian for zero-tem perature G lauber dynam ics, H $_{\rm A}$ is the M arkov generator for DLPA and B is the factorized similarity transform ation B = b $^{\rm N}$ with the local transform ation m atrix

$$b = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array} : \tag{3.3}$$

W ith the enantiodromy relation (32) and the initial state (3.1) one obtains in the therm odynamic $\lim it N ! 1$

$$C_{m,n}$$
 (t) = $hsj_{m,n}^{z} e^{H_0t} jP_0 i = hsj_{k=L}^{z} e^{H_At} jm; ni; (3.4)$

By identifying spin up with a vacancy and spin down with a particle in the process of di usion-limited annihilation the vector jm; ni is the state with two particles located at sites m; n and empty sites everywhere else [13]. Hence the calculation of the two-point correlation function is reduced to a two-particle problem of annihilating particles.

The two-time autocorrelation function at site n=0 is given by (2.8) in term softhe equal-time correlation function. By rejection symmetry one has

³This relation is not to be confused with the duality relation [22] between G lauber dynam ics and di usion-lim ited pair annihilation.

 $C_{m;0}$ (t) = $C_{0,m}$ (t) and therefore we may write

$$C_0 (t_w;) = 1$$
 $2 e I_m ()[1 $C_{0,m} (t_w)]$: (3.5)$

 $W \pm h (3.4)$ one has

where the two-particle propagator

$$P(x;y;t;k;l;0) = e^{2t}[I_{k-x}(t)I_{l-y}(t) I_{k-y}(t)I_{l-x}(t)]$$
 (3.7)

for DLPA is the probability that two independent random walkers who started at k; lhave reached sites x; y after time twithout having met on the same site. This yields

1
$$C_{0,m}$$
 (t) = 2 $\sum_{y=L}^{X^L} e^{2t}I_y$ (t) I_x (t): (3.8)

We are interested in the derivative $(C(t;t_w)=0)t_w$ for large t. For an initial size 2L+1 of the domain of ipped spins we consider the regime where t_w L^2 since at earlier times uctuations in the initial positions of the domain walls have not reached the origin and hence would lead to trivial behaviour. To calculate the derivative of the correlator we express the exact expression (3.5) in terms of the variables t_w ; t as in (2.14). Some algebra similar to the previous sections yields for xed t

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{w}} C_{0}(t; t_{w}) = \frac{2L + 1}{\frac{2}{3}t_{s}^{3}} + \arctan^{\frac{p}{2}}$$
: (3.9)

W ith (2.31), (2.33) the autoresponse function is given by

$$R (t_{w};) = G_{0}()\frac{1}{2}(2 C_{0;1} C_{1;0}(t_{w}))$$

$$= G_{0}()[G_{L}(t_{w}) + G_{L+1}(t_{w})] G_{y}(t_{w})$$

$$= G_{0}()[G_{L}(t_{w}) + G_{L+1}(t_{w})] G_{y}(t_{w})$$
(3.10)

where we used (3.6). In the regime t_w L^2 this reduces to

$$R(t_w;) = \frac{2L + 1}{2^{-3}} p \frac{1}{2} \quad _{w} t^{3-2};$$
 (3.11)

A sexpected both the autocorrelation function and the autoresponse function contain the nonuniversal amplitude 2L+1 which is the initial size of the ipped domain. However, the FDR is a universal function of the scaling variable $x=1+2^2$. We nd

$$X (t;t_w) = \hat{X}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x 1 \arctan(x 1)}$$
: (3.12)

This scaling function is di erent from (2.41), in particular

$$X_1 = 0$$
 (3.13)

in contradiction to the universality hypothesis for critical dynamics as formulated in [6].

It m ight be helpful to restate our results in terms of scaling functions. In the ageing regime, where both t_w and t_w become large, one expects at criticality, see e.g. [2]

$$C (t;s) = s^{a} f_{C} (t=s) ; R (t;s) = s^{1} f_{R} (t=s)$$
 (3.14)

where a is a non-equilibrium exponent and such that for large arguments x! 1 , $f_{C,R}$ (x) $x^{-c,R} = z$ which do not the autocorrelation and autoresponse exponents c and c respectively. This implies that \hat{X} (x) c c c c c c c for c ! 1 . The dynamical exponent c = 2 throughout in the model at hand but the other exponents depend on the initial conditions as follows. If we take an initial state with decaying correlation of the power-law form (2.22), we read o from the results of section 2

$$a = 0$$
; $_{C} = 1 = _{R}$ (3.15)

However, for an initial state of the form (3.1), we nd

$$a = \frac{1}{2}$$
; $_{C} = 0$; $_{R} = 1$ (3.16)

We therefore see explicitly that the dierent forms of the scaling function \hat{X} (x) signal two distinct dynamical universality classes.

4 C-violation and nonuniversality

In the previous sections we considered special spin ip dynamics which in zero eld reduce to G lauber dynamics. The most general ip rates for a local magnetic eld which (i) satisfy detailed balance with respect to the equilibrium distribution (2.30), (ii) correspond to rejection-symmetric nite-range interactions, and (iii) are nonconserved and in particular lead to G lauber rates for h=0 are as follows:

Here $f_i=f_i$ (h=T) which m ay also depend on the neighbouring spin variables and must be such that $f_i(0)=1$. W ith these functions the ip rates at site 0 m ay be written

The short range terms srt (which vanish for pure nearest-neighbour interactions) involve lattice sites at distances kj > 1 from the origin. G lauber dynam ics [12] corresponds to $g_0 = 1$, $g_1 = g_2 = 0$. W ith these rates the M arkov generator H takes the form $H = {}_n h_n$ with the local spin ip generators h_n de ned by (2.3) for $n \in 0$ and

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad {}_0^x) \hat{w} (h) :$$
 (4.2)

Here \hat{w} (h) is the diagonal matrix obtained from (4.1) by replacing the classical spin variables s_i by the Pauli matrices i [13].

To rst order in h the perturbation V of the stochastic time evolution that corresponds to this general choice of rates is obtained from the expansion of \hat{w} (h) to rst order in h. This leads to the following general form of the response function

where the correlation function is evaluated at time t_w . The dots denote contributions from the srt next-nearest neighbour interactions and the constants g_i^0 are de ned by $g_i^0 = T (g_i = 0) \cdot G$ lauber dynamics as used in the previous sections corresponds to the choice $g_i^0 = 0$ [12]. The heat bath dynamics of [6] corresponds to the choice $g_0^0 = g_2^0 = 0$, $g_1^0 = -2$.

4.1 C -invariance

In the context of spin systems the stochastic dynamics defined by the rate functions f_i must remain invariant under simultaneous reversal of all spins s_k ! s_k and change of sign in the external magnetic eld. This global symmetry is an automorphism on the state space analogous to charge conjugation in the quantum eld theory of elementary particles. Because of this analogy, we shall refer to it as C-symmetry. C-symmetry of the rates requires the following properties

$$f_1(h) = f_3(h)$$
 (4.4)

$$f_2(h) = f_2(h)$$
 (4.5)

of the rate functions. Therefore $g_0^0(0) = g_2^0(0) = 0$ for C-invariant systems. For translation-invariant initial distributions the response function (4.3) at T = 0 (corresponding to = 1) then takes the form

$$R^{(C)}(t_{w};) = G_{0}() 1 C_{1}(t_{w}) + g_{1}^{0} \frac{d}{dt_{w}} C_{1}(t_{w}) h(s_{0} \frac{1}{2}(s_{1} + s_{1})) (:::) i$$

$$(4.6)$$

where eqs. (22,23) were used. The dots denote further srt terms coming from unspecied non-nearest-neighbour short range interactions. For long times the rst contribution (proportional to $1 - C_1(t)$) contains no nonuniversal parameter of the dynamics. This is indeed the leading contribution since the second contribution, being a time derivative with respect to t_w , is

subleading. Finally, the third term is a second-order (lattice) partial space derivative which by dynam ical scaling is of the same subleading order in time as a time-derivative.

4.2 Consequences for non-universality

The C-sym m etry is a physical requirem ent that any spin dynam ics must satisfy. However, the Ising model may also be regarded as a classical lattice gas model, see e.g. [23, 24]. In this interpretation the equilibrium distribution (2.30) describes a system of hard-core particles with attractive nearest-neighbour interactions which may occupy the sites of a lattice, as indicated by the occupation numbers $n_i = (1 - s_i)=2.2 \text{ f0;1g.}$ The external magnetic eld then corresponds to a chemical potential and a spin-ip corresponds to particle/vacancy interchange, i.e., an exchange of particles with an external reservoir. Clearly, in this interpretation of the same model there is no need to enforce the constraints (4.4), (4.5). Hence it is interesting to investigate universality in the absence of this symmetry.

The response function contains the same universal part $R^{(C)}$ from eq. (4.6) as in the symmetric case plus two further terms

$$R (t_w;) = R^{(C)} (t_w;)$$

$$G_0() [(1) m_0 g_0^0 (m_0) h_S (t_w) s_0 (t_w) s_1 (t_w) i) g_2^0]; (4.7)$$

The rst term in the second line vanishes at T=0 since then =1. The second term is non-zero only for sym metric initial states with $m_0=0$. As a function of time it is of the same order as $R^{(C)}$ (t_w ;).

5 Conclusions

Our study of universality in the critical, nonconserved, dynam ics of purely classical quenched lattice models has uncovered some of the basic mechanisms behind the universality of the scaling of correlation and response functions. Explicit calculations for 1D kinetic spin systems with non-conserved dynamics and which generalize the G lauber-Ising model have shown that the asymptotic form of the two-time response function does not depend on the microscopic form of the stochastic dynamics, provided only that C-symmetry

holds.⁴ Furtherm ore, in the scaling lim it t_w 1 and t t_w 1 both the response function and the time-derivative of the associated two-time correlation are largely independent of the form of of the correlations in the initial state, in agreement with the expected universality of the uctuation-dissipation ratio $X = \hat{X}(x)$ and its lim it values $X_1 = \lim_{x \to 1} \hat{X}(x)$. This universality holds true with respect to the long-range decay of the initial correlations (see eq. (1.3) with 0) and also with respect to the details' of the dynamics. On the other hand, we have also shown the existence of two distinct kinetic universality classes which arise for initial magnetization in 0 j = 1 and in 0 j < 1, respectively.

In the absence of C-invariance these results only hold true for sym metric initial states with m $_0=0$. For m $_0 \in 0$, a non-universal part will contribute to the long-time behaviour of two-time correlation and response functions. Since lattice gases are in general not C-invariant, a study of these system will make such terms apparent. In this context, recent attempts [25, 26] to construct algorithms which allow to measure the two-time response directly, may be of value.

Finally, the available exactly solvable models (the 1D G lauber-Ising model and the kinetic mean spherical model) have revealed two distinct possible routes towards modi cations of critical dynamics beyond a fully disordered initial state: (1) the presence of large ordered domains and (2) the interplay of strong initial uctuations with the thermal uctuations of the bulk. However, in these models, the two-points functions decouple and can be studied independently of any longer-ranged correlations. A test of our scenario of possible non-universalities in more general systems, such as the 2D G lauber-Ising model, is called for.

A cknow ledgem ents: MH thanks the Centro de F sica Teorica e Computacional (CFTC) of the Universidade de Lisboa for warm hospitality. GMS thanks the LPM in Nancy for kind hospitality and providing a stimulating environment.

⁴For the 1D G lauber-Ising model, we have explicitly shown that our realization of G lauber dynam ics reproduces the same results as the heat-bath dynam ics studied in [6,17].

R eferences

- [1] M E.C ates and M R.E vans (eds), Soft and Fragile M atter, Proc. 53rd Scottish University Summer Schools in Physics, (IOP, Bristol 2000).
- [2] C. Godreche and JM. Luck, J. Phys. Cond. M att. 14, 1589 (2002).
- [3] L.F. Cugliandolo, cond-mat/0210312.
- [4] A. Crisanti and F. Ritort, J. Phys. A 36, R181 (2003).
- [5] L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and G. Parisi, J. Physique I4, 1641 (1994).
- [6] C.Godreche and JM. Luck, J. Phys. A 33, 1151 (2000).
- [7] C.Godreche and JM. Luck, J. Phys. A 33, 9141 (2000).
- [8] P.Calabrese and A.Gambassi, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066120 (2002).
- [9] P.Calabrese and A.Gambassi, Phys. Rev. B 66, 212407 (2002).
- [10] F. Sastre, I. Domic and H. Chate, cond-mat/0308178.
- [11] A. Picone and M. Henkel, J. Phys. A 35, 5575 (2002).
- [12] R.G lauber, J.M ath. Phys. 4, 294 (1963).
- [13] G M .Schutz, Exactly solvable models for many-body systems far from equilibrium, in: Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 19 Eds. C.Domb and J.Lebow itz (Academic Press, London 2000).
- [14] M. Henkel, in A. Kundu (ed), Classical and Quantum Nonlinear Integrable Systems: Theory and Application, (IDP, Bristol 2003), ch. 10 (cond-mat/0303512).
- [15] B.J. Felderhof and M. Suzuki, Physica 56, 43 (1971).
- [16] IS.G radshteyn and IM.Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (A cadem ic Press, Orlando 1981).
- [17] E. Lippiello and M. Zannetti, Phys. Rev. E 61, 3369 (2000).
- [18] P.M ayer, L.Berthier, J.P.G arrahan and P.Sollich, Phys.Rev.E 68, 016116 (2003).
- [19] P.M ayer and P. Sollich, cond-mat/0307214.

- [20] V . Subrahm anyam , cond-mat/0308393.
- [21] M . Henkel, E . O rlandini and G . M . Schutz, J. Phys. A 28, 6335 (1995).
- [22] J.E. Santos, J. Phys. A 30, 3249 (1997).
- [23] R.Kubo, Statistical Mechanics (North Holland, Amsterdam 1965).
- [24] B.Chopard and M.Droz, Cellular automata modelling of physical systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998).
- [25] C.Chatelain, cond-mat/0303545.
- [26] F.Ricci-Tersenghi, cond-mat/0307565.