

# Why only half of the fermionic atoms were converted to molecules by a Feshbach resonance?

Hui Hu<sup>1</sup>, Feng Yuan<sup>1,2</sup>, and Shaojin Qin<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics, P.O. Box 586, Trieste 34100, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

(Dated: January 19, 2022)

In some recent experiments an ultracold gas of  $^{40}\text{K}_2$  (or  $^6\text{Li}_2$ ) molecules has been produced from a degenerate two-component Fermi gas of  $^{40}\text{K}$  (or  $^6\text{Li}$ ) atoms by adiabatic passage through a Feshbach resonance. The maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency is reported to be about 50%. We propose a simple microscopic model to characterize the ground state of the gas in the vicinity of the resonance, and show that the term describing the atom-molecule coupling is responsible for the observed efficiency 50%. Our result also suggests that the experiments have produced a molecular condensate.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Fk, 32.80.Pj

Recently the group at the Joint Institute for Laboratory Astrophysics (JILA) has succeeded in converting an ultracold Fermi gas of  $^{40}\text{K}$  atoms into an ultracold gas of  $^{40}\text{K}_2$  molecules by sweeping a magnetic field across a Feshbach resonance [1]. Using a similar method with fermionic  $^6\text{Li}$  atoms, several experiments have also reported the production of  $^6\text{Li}_2$  molecules [2, 3, 4]. This impressive experimental achievement of ultracold molecules from fermionic atoms not only raises the prospect of creating novel molecular Bose-Einstein condensates, but also sparks a hot race to realize the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) transition to superfluid phase of the fermionic components [5, 6], in which the superfluid transition temperature is predicted to be maximum near a Feshbach resonance [7, 8, 9].

At present, several of the experimental results are not well understood. At first, a common feature observed in these experiments is that the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency is limited to 50% at low temperatures [1, 2, 4]. This observation is not within the prediction of the well-known Landau-Zener theory [10, 11], and therefore constitutes a theoretical challenge. The Landau-Zener model, which is expected to be applicable in this case, predicts that the transfer probability is proportional to  $1 - \exp(-B^{-1})$ ; when the magnetic field is ramped from a field far above the Feshbach resonance to the one far below, with  $B^{-1}$  being the inverse ramp rate. Actually, the measured fraction of fermionic atoms remaining in the gas as a function of  $B^{-1}$  exhibits the predicted exponential dependence. However, the model does not predict that the conversion should be 50% at the resonance when the ramp rate is sufficiently slow. What measured in the experiments thus can not be comprehended by the Landau-Zener theory. Another major problem of interest is whether the molecular gas is actually a molecular Bose-Einstein condensate. In the JILA experiment, the number of the molecules produced is very large [1]. If one assumes that the molecules have a temperature comparable to that of the fermionic atoms from which they were made, the number of molecules is

in fact already large enough to imply that a molecular Bose-Einstein condensate might be formed [1].

The scope of the present paper is to give the simplest possible physical picture of an atom-molecule mixture in close proximity to the Feshbach resonance, essentially at zero temperature. The model is not made to give quantitative predictions, but, as we shall see, it qualitatively reproduces the experimental observations of the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency of 50%, and, of course, it is consistent with the expectation that the experiments have indeed produced a molecular condensate.

The model: Our starting point is a spatially homogeneous gas of the atom-molecule mixture, in which the molecules are created from a degenerate two-component Fermi gas by adiabatic passage through a Feshbach resonance. The model system in the grand-canonical ensemble can then be qualitatively described by [7, 8, 9]

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \sum_k \left( \epsilon_k^0 c_k^\dagger c_k + U_{bg} \sum_{k,k'} c_k^\dagger c_{k'}^\dagger c_{k\#} c_{k'\#} \right) \\ & + \sum_q \left( E_q^0 + 2 \right) b_q^\dagger b_q \\ & + g \sum_{k,q} b_q^\dagger c_{k+q=2\#} c_{k+q=2} + \text{h.c.} : \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here we describe the two components of fermionic atoms as pseudospins  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ . The operators  $c_k$  and  $b_q$  represent, respectively, the annihilation operator of a fermion atom with the kinetic energy  $\epsilon_k^0 = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$  and a molecular boson with the energy spectrum  $E_q^0 + 2 = \hbar^2 q^2 / 4m + 2$ . The detuning or the binding energy of the Bose molecules is denoted by 2 and can be conveniently adjusted by an external magnetic field. The background fermion-fermion interaction  $U_{bg}$  originates from the non-resonant processes, while the  $g$  is a coupling constant associated with the Feshbach resonance. The chemical potential  $\mu$  is used to impose the conservation of the fermion number:  $H = \sum_k \left( \epsilon_k^0 c_k^\dagger c_k + 2 \sum_q b_q^\dagger b_q \right) = N$ .

The Hamiltonian (1) has been suggested to be valid at all detunings [8]. In this paper, however, we are only interested in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance, in which  $\Delta = 0$ . The dominant term in this region is the last term in Eq. (1) describing the atom-molecule coupling. We wish to show that it alone is responsible for the observed maximum transfer efficiency of 50%. For this purpose, we therefore write down a truncated effective Hamiltonian in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance

$$H_{eff} = \sum_{k,q} b_q^\dagger c_{k+q=2\pi} c_{k+q=2\pi} + \hbar \omega_c + \sum_k c_k^\dagger c_k + 2 \sum_q b_q^\dagger b_q ; \quad (2)$$

where the coupling constant  $g = 1$  hereafter. The model is still too complicated to be exactly solvable. To proceed, we further restricted ourself at zero temperature in accordance with the expectation of the appearance of the molecular Bose-Einstein condensation. The bosons are then replaced by a Bose condensate in the  $q = 0$  state, described by  $\hbar b_{q=0} = \sum_m = j_m j e^i$ . Within this simple mean-field approximation, the Hamiltonian  $H_{eff}$  can be easily diagonalized by constructing the Bogoliubov quasiparticles according to the general canonical transformation [12, 13]

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{k\#} \\ c_{k\#}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ e^i \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{k\#} \\ b_{k\#}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ; \quad (3)$$

where the transformation angle is specified as  $2\theta = \pi + 2 \arctan(\sum_m j_m j)$ . The resulting many-body Hamiltonian now becomes diagonal in the fermion operators

$$H_{eff} = \sum_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_m j_m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k c_{k\#}^\dagger c_{k\#} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\#} c_{k\#}^\dagger c_{k\#} \right) ; \quad (4)$$

with  $\epsilon_k = (\frac{1}{2} + \sum_m j_m^2)^{1/2}$  being the corresponding quasiparticle spectrum. Hence at zero temperature the ground state energy is obtained as

$$E_{gs} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_m j_m^2 M + (\frac{1}{2} + \sum_m j_m^2)^{1/2} ; \quad (5)$$

where  $M = \sum_k 1$  represents the number of available states for the fermionic atoms. The saddle point equations are derived by minimizing the ground state energy with respect to  $\sum_m j_m^2$ ;

$$\frac{\partial E_{gs}}{\partial \sum_m j_m^2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{2(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_m j_m^2)^{1/2}} = 0 ; \quad (6)$$

and by requiring the conversion of the number of atoms,  $\partial E_{gs} / \partial N = 0$ , or

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_m j_m^2 + M + \frac{M}{2(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_m j_m^2)^{1/2}} = N ; \quad (7)$$




FIG. 1: The fraction of the molecules of a homogeneous atom-molecule mixture, as a function of  $N/M$ . In the dilute limit of  $N/M \rightarrow 1$ , the calculated fraction of the molecules is in excellent agreement with the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency of 50%, as observed in some recent experiments [1, 2, 4].

By solving those equations, we can determine the occupation of the molecules and the chemical potential as a function of  $N/M$ .

Discussion: We first consider the limit  $N/M \rightarrow 1$  that may correspond to the real experimental situation of dilute atomic gases. In this limit, Taylor-expanding the saddle point equations in powers of  $\sum_m j_m^2 \ll M$  and  $N/M$  yields to the solution (the factor  $g$  has been restored)

$$2 \sum_m j_m^2 = N/2 ; \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{gM^{1/2}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_m j_m^2}{M} \right) ; \quad (9)$$

in leading order. This is the main result in this work. The obtained fraction of the molecules in the mixture,  $2 \sum_m j_m^2 / N = 0.5$ , is in excellent agreement with the experimental result by Jochim and co-workers, that is, either a pure atomic or molecular sample relaxes to an atom-molecule mixture with equal fraction [4]. On the other hand, it should also be compared with the experimental observations of the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency of 50% [1, 2, 4]. As seen in the experiments, the molecules are predominantly formed when the magnetic field traverses the Feshbach resonance peak [1, 2, 3, 4]. Due to the sufficiently slow ramp of the magnetic field, the fraction of the molecules in the ground state of the mixture is in fact equivalent to the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency, if we don't care about the small loss due to inelastic processes.

Another important feature of our model is that the chemical potential is pinned at

$$\mu = gM^{1/2} = 2 = g/2 \quad (10)$$

in the dilute limit of  $N/M \rightarrow 1$ , where  $g = gM^{1/2}$  is independent on the volume  $V$ , since  $g \propto V^{-1/2}$  and  $M \propto V$ .




FIG. 2: The chemical potential of a homogeneous atom-molecule mixture, as a function of  $N/M$ .

This pinning can be qualitatively understood by considering a two-particle mixture, with either two atoms or one molecule, described by an effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_i \left( \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \epsilon_i \right) c_i^\dagger c_i + \text{h.c.} \quad (11)$$

in real space. The mixture has two states:  $| \mathcal{P}_A; 0_M \rangle$  or  $| \mathcal{P}_A; 1_M \rangle$ . On the basis of these two states, the Hamiltonian takes the form,

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (12)$$

Its ground state, which is the bond state of  $| \mathcal{P}_A; 0_M \rangle$  and  $| \mathcal{P}_A; 1_M \rangle$ , can be nicely regarded as a composite molecule with energy  $-g$ . In the dilute limit, the ground state of the  $N$ -particle mixture may therefore be viewed as a non-interacting gas with  $N/2$  such kind of composite molecules, distributed uniformly in the real space. This scenario is agreement with the half-populated fraction of the molecules. Adding or removing a particle from the mixture thus costs energy  $g/2$ , which is the precise value of the chemical potential in Eq. (10).

The full solution of the saddle point equations as a function of  $N/M$  is shown in Figs. (1) and (2). The fraction of the molecules is only weakly dependent on the value of  $N/M$ . We believe that, it will also be robust against the inclusion of the kinetic and fermion-fermion interaction terms, i.e., the terms in the first and second lines of the full Hamiltonian (1), which we have treated as irrelevant perturbations in the vicinity of the resonance [14].

Further, analogous to the Bose-Einstein condensates with spin [15, 16], more profound structures may be expected for the atom-molecule mixture. Besides the condensation of the molecules, the fermionic atoms may also acquire an off-diagonal long range order since the resonance induced an attractive pair interaction in form of  $c_{i\#}^\dagger c_{i\#}^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_j^\dagger$  among the atoms. The interplay between the phase of the molecule condensate and the phase of the off-diagonal long range order for atoms is a new problem for both experimental and theoretical studies.

The results presented so far is only valid at zero temperature, at which the mixture is strongly degenerate. The fraction of the molecules, of course, will be qualitatively affected by the inclusion of a finite temperature. This is the case in the ENS experiment [3], in which the atomic component in the gas is no longer quantum degenerate [17] and therefore atom-molecule transfer efficiency can reach 85%. A theoretical investigation of the effective Hamiltonian (2) at finite temperature is highly non-trivial, since it requires the treatment beyond the mean-field approximation. We will discuss these points elsewhere.

In conclusion, we have proposed a simple physical model to characterize the essential physics of an atom-molecule mixture in close proximity to the Feshbach resonance at zero temperature. The fraction of the molecules obtained from this model agrees well with the maximum atom-molecule transfer efficiency of 50%, as observed in some recent experiments [1, 2, 4]. This excellent agreement also justifies our mean-field treatment for the molecule operator, and suggests that the experiments have indeed produced a molecular condensate.

[1] C. A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, *Nature* 424, 47 (2003).  
 [2] K. E. Strecker, G. B. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 80406 (2003).  
 [3] J. Cubizolles, T. Bourdel, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkekmans, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and C. Salomon, *cond-mat/0308018* (2003).  
 [4] S. Jochim, M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, G. Hendl, C. Chin, J. H. Denschlag, and R. Grimm, *cond-mat/0308095* (2003).  
 [5] P. S. Julienne, *Nature* 424, 24 (2003).  
 [6] A. Cho, *Science* 301, 750 (2003).  
 [7] E. Timmermans, K. Furuya, P. W. Milonni, and A. K. Kerman, *Phys. Lett. A* 285, 228 (2001).

[8] M. Holland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkekmans, M. L. Chiofalo, and R. W. alser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 120406 (2001).  
 [9] Y. Ohashi and A. Gri n, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 130402 (2002).  
 [10] L. Landau, *Phys. Z. Sow jetunion* 2, 46 (1932).  
 [11] C. Zener, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 137, 696 (1932).  
 [12] N. N. Bogoliubov, *Nuovo Cimento* 7, 6 (1958); 7, 794 (1958).  
 [13] J. Valatin, *Nuovo Cimento* 7, 843 (1958).  
 [14] Within the mean-field approximation, these perturbations can be easily treated. However, to do so, one has to introduce an additional energy scale  $\epsilon_L = \hbar^2/2m L^2$  with  $L$  being the characteristic size of the system, which can hardly be determined from the experiments.

- [15] T. L. Ho and L. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2302 (2000);
- [16] Y. Wu, X. X. Yang, and C. P. Sun, Phys. Rev. A 62, 63603 (2000).
- [17] S. J. J. M. F. Kokke~~m~~ans, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and C. Salmon, cond-mat/0308384 (2003).