arXiv:cond-mat/0308561v1 27 Aug 2003

Quantum Phase Diagram for Homogeneous Bose-Einstein Condensate

Hagen K leinert¹, Sebastian Schm idt^{1;2}, and Axel Pelster¹

¹ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Freie Universitat Berlin, Arnim allee 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany ²Department of Physics, Yale University, P.O. Box 208120, New Haven, CT 06520-8120, USA

We calculate the quantum phase transition for a hom ogeneous Bose gas in the plane of s-wave scattering length a_s and temperature T. This is done by improving a one-loop result near the interaction-free Bose-E instein critical temperature $T_c^{(0)}$ with the help of recent high-loop results on the shift of the critical temperature due to a weak atom ic repulsion using variational perturbation theory. The quantum phase diagram shows a nose above $T_c^{(0)}$, so that we predict the existence of a reentrant transition above $T_c^{(0)}$, where an increasing repulsion leads to the form ation of a condensate.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Hh,03.75 Lm

I. IN TRODUCTION

So far, experimental work on Bose-E instein condensates (BECs) has dealt with magnetic traps, so that most of the recent theoretical investigations focus on such systems [1{4]. However, there are interesting theoretical problem s also in hom ogeneous BECs with weak two-particle interactions. For instance, a very fundamental property of hom ogeneous BECs with a local repulsive interaction $V^{(int)}(x) = g^{-3}(x)$ has been answered only recently: In which direction does this interaction push the critical temperature, and by which amount? Although this problem appears to be very simple, the answer has had an adventurous history [5{21].

The weak repulsion de ness an interaction temperature T ^(int) = $gn=k_B$ where n is the particle density and k_B the Boltzm ann factor. For small enough g, the interaction temperature is much smaller than the interaction-free critical temperature $T_c^{(0)} = 2 \ \sim^2 n^{2-3} = m k_B \ [(3=2)]^{2=3}$, implying that calculations near $T_c^{(0)}$ can be done in the high-temperature limit of the theory. They can therefore be derived from the classical limit of many-body theory, where only the zero M atsubara modes of the Bose elds are included [5]. In this approximation, many groups calculated that the leading shift of the critical temperature is linear in the s-wave scattering length $a_s = M g=4 \ \sim^2$:

$$\frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}^{(0)}} = c_{1}a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} + 0 \quad a_{s}^{c2}n^{2=3} \quad :$$
 (1)

The latest and most precise M onte C arb simulations gave a slope $c_1 = 1.32 = 0.02$ [13, 14], reasonably close to the theoretical numbers 1.48 in Ref. [15], 1.15 in Ref. [16], and 0.492 in Ref. [17], which were derived by resumming a divergent perturbation series for c_1 using the so-called expansion method. However, the application of this method to eld theory is unjustified, as pointed out in Refs. [18, 19], since it does not take into account that eld theory shows a nom alous dimensions in the strong-coupling limit. This fundamental aw was corrected in Refs. [18, 20] where the eld-theoretic variational perturbation theory (VPT) developed in Refs. [22{24] was used to self-consistently determine the anom alous dimension from ve-to six-loop expansions. The six-loop result is 1:25 0:13 [20], thus agreeing with the latest M onte C arb value 1:32 0:02 [13, 14].

If we draw a phase diagram in the a_s {T-plane, the result (1) gives a curve starting linearily to the right at the critical tem perature $T_c^{(0)}$, as illustrated in Fig. 1. A rst correction to this curve has been calculated recently by A mold and ∞ -workers [21] by going beyond the classical limit. They nd

$$\frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}^{(0)}} = c_{1}a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} + a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3}(c_{2}^{0}\ln a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} + c_{2}) + O \quad a_{s}^{c3}n \quad :$$
(2)

Their value for the coe cient $c_2^0 = 64$ (1=2)=3 (3= 2^{5^3} 19:75 is determined perturbatively, whereas q requires again resumming a divergent series which is unknown so far. With the help of M onte Carlo data they estimate c_2 75:7. If one plots (2) with q 1:3 in the phase diagram of Fig. 1, the transition curve bends to the right. This cannot go on for higher a_s since there cannot be a condensate for high T. The next correction terms must turn the transition curve in Fig. 1 to the left, so that it arrives, for T = 0, at a pure quantum phase transition [25].

In this paper we follow Ref. [26] and investigate in detail the full quantum phase diagram. As it is crucial to resum asymptotic perturbation series, we present in Section II a short introduction to VPT. In Section III we derive the self-consistent Popov approximation to the elective potential of a hom ogeneous Bose gas using VPT. This leads to a lowest-order quantum phase diagram which already shows the interesting reentrant phenom enon. The curve is



FIG.1: Phase diagram in the a_s {T-plane. The straight line indicates the prediction (1) of classical eld theory valid locally in the vicinity of $T_c^{(0)}$. The dashed curve illustrates the second-order result (2) of A mold et al. [21]. The full phase diagram will be given in Fig. 3.

variationally improved in Section IV to include the ve-to six-bop results near $T_c^{(0)}$ which weakens the nose in the phase diagram but does not destroy the reentrant transition.

II. VARIATIONAL PERTURBATION THEORY

In this section we brie y outline the general procedure for resum m ing an asymptotic perturbation series with the help of VPT following Refs. [22{24].

A. Sum m ary of P rocedure

Perturbation expansions can only be used to calculate a physical quantity f (g) for very sm all values of the coupling constant g. W e are usually able to nd a truncated power series at som e nite order N:

$$f_{N}(g) = \int_{n=0}^{X^{N}} a_{n}g^{n} :$$
(3)

If g is small enough, this can lead to an impressive agreem ent between theory and experiment, the most prominent example being the perturbation series for the anom abus magnetic moment of the electron g_e where g = 1=137. The smallness of g is necessary since the expansion (3) has a zero radius of convergence, as pointed out by Freem an D yson in 1952 [27]. The point g = 0 is the endpoint of a cut (see Fig. 2) which makes the expansion divergent, with only an asymptotic validity for g ! 0. The di erence between a convergent and an asymptotic series lies in the behavior of the last term $a_N g^N$ of (3). For xed g, a convergent series has decreasing $a_N g^N$, a typical divergent series of perturbation expansions has a_N growing factorially, so that the last term $a_N g^N$ decreases only at small g for a few low orders, after which it increases dram atically.

These divergent series require resum m ation if we want to extract from them reliable results. The crudest m ethod to approxim ate f (g) is via P ade approxim ants [28]. These are rational functions with the same power series expansions as f (g). The P ade m ethod approxim ates the left-hand cut of the function f (g) in the com plex g-plane by a string of poles.

A better approximation can be found by using, in addition, the know ledge of the large-order behavior of the weakcoupling coe cients a $_n$. By means of B orel transformations the factorial growth of a_n can be eliminated [29, 30], and a P ade approximation is applied. A fler this, one returns back to the original function by an inverse B orel transform. This procedure can be improved further by a conformal mapping technique in which the complex g-plane is mapped into a unit circle which contains the original left-hand cut on its circum ference [31].

A further simple tool for extracting physical results is provided by simple variationalm ethods invented a long time ago by many research groups in quantum mechanics. For instance, the so-called -expansion amounts to a resummation of divergent perturbation series (see, for instance, the references cited in [19]). It is based on introducing articially a harm onic trial oscillator and optimizing the trial frequency by invoking the principle of minimal sensitivity [32]. It turns out that the -expansion procedure corresponds to a system atic extension of a variational approach in quantum statistics [33[36] to arbitrary orders [22, 24, 37] and is now called VPT. It allows us to evaluate the asymptotic series



FIG. 2: C om paring schem atically analytic properties of convergent a) and asymptotic b) series.

(3) for all values of the coupling constant g, even in the limit g ! 1. In particular, is it possible to derive from the initial weak-coupling expansion (3) a strong-coupling limit which has the generic form

$$f(g) = g^{p=q} \int_{m=0}^{X^{d}} b^{(m)} g^{2m=q} :$$
(4)

Here p and q are real growth parameters characterizing the strong-coupling behavior. For the ground-state energy of the anham onic oscillator with p = 1 and q = 3, the convergence was shown to be exponentially fast, even for in nite coupling strength [38{40}]. It also allows us to combine a weak-coupling expansion with information on the strong-coupling expansion and interpolate between them [41].

In recent years, VPT has been extended in a simple but essential way to become applicable to quantum eld theory with its anom alous dimensions. Applications to series expansions of ⁴-theory have led to extrem ely accurate critical exponents [23, 24, 42]. The eld-theoretic perturbation coe cients are available up to six and partly to seven loops in D = 3 [43, 44], and up to ve loops in D = 4 dimensions [45]. Them ost important feature of this new eld-theoretic VPT is that it accounts for the anom alous power approach to the strong-coupling limit which the -expansion cannot accomm odate. As we see in Eq. (4), this approach is governed by an irrational critical exponent ! = 2=q as was rst shown by W egner [46] in the context of critical phenom ena. In contrast to the -expansion, the eld-theoretic variational perturbation expansions cannot be derived from adding and subtracting a harm onic term in the underlying action. The W egner exponent ! is determ ined from (3) by making the variationally resummed logarithm ic derivative (ln f (g)=0 ln g vanish at g ! 1, as we have there p = 0. The theoretical results of the eld-theoretic VPT are in excellent agreement with experiment, in particular for the only challenging experimental value of the critical exponent

which governs the behavior of the speci c heat near the super uid phase transition of ${}^{4}\text{He}$. The high experimental accuracy is reached in one of the few physically relevant m icrogravity experiments performed in a satellite orbiting around the earth [47, 48].

B. Variational Expression

Consider the truncated weak-coupling series (3) and rewrite it with the help of an auxiliary parameter = 1 as

$$f_{N}(g) = {}^{p} {}^{X^{N}}_{n=0} a_{n} \frac{g}{q} {}^{n}_{=1} :$$
 (5)

Here p and q denote parameters which determ ine the strong-coupling behavior as we will see below . Now we introduce a variational parameter K using the substitution trick

$$^{2} = K^{2} (1 + gr);$$
 (6)

where

$$r = \frac{1}{g} - \frac{2}{K^2} - 1 \quad : \tag{7}$$

Substituting (6) into the truncated weak-coupling series (5), and reexpanding everything in powers of g at xed r, we obtain for = 1:

$$f_{N}(q;K) = \frac{\chi^{N} [N] \chi^{n}(p nq) = 2}{k} \frac{1}{K^{2}} [1] K^{p nq} a_{n} g^{n}:$$
(8)

A coording to the principle of m in in al sensitivity [32], we optim ize the in uence of K on f_N (g;K). At rst we search for local extrem a, i.e., we determ in e K from the condition

$$\frac{\partial f_N(\mathbf{g};\mathbf{K})}{\partial \mathbf{K}} = 0 :$$
(9)

It may happen that this condition is not solvable. In this case, we satisfy the principle of m inim al sensitivity by looking for turning points, i.e., we x the variational parameter according to

$$\frac{\varrho^2 f_N(\mathbf{g};\mathbf{K})}{\varrho \mathbf{K}^2} = 0:$$
(10)

The solutions of Eqs. (9) or (10) then yield the variational result f_N (g;K_N (g)) which turns out to be a good approximation for the function f (g) for all values of the coupling constant g. The quality of this approximation can be estimated by investigating the strong-coupling limit as a special case.

C. Strong-Coupling Lim it

The conditions (9) and (10) for the function (8) in ply that the optim al variational parameter K $_N$ (g) has the strong-coupling behavior

$$K_N(g) = g^{1=q} K_N^{(0)} + K_N^{(1)} g^{2=q} + \dots$$
 (11)

Thus f (g) is approximated in the limit g! 1 by

$$f_{N} (g; K_{N} (g)) = g^{p=q} b_{N}^{(0)} (K_{N}^{(0)}) + b_{N}^{(1)} (K_{N}^{(0)}; K_{N}^{(1)}) g^{2=q} + \dots$$
(12)

The ratio p=q determ ines the leading g-power, and 2=q the approach to scaling. The leading strong-coupling coe cient $b_{N}^{(0)}$ (K $_{N}^{(0)}$) is given by

$$b_{N}^{(0)}(K_{N}^{(0)}) = \begin{cases} X^{N} & X^{n} \\ & (p & nq)=2 \\ & k \end{cases} (1)^{k} (K_{N}^{(0)})^{p & nq} a_{n};$$
(13)

where the inner sum can be further simplied, using the identity [49, see Eq. (0.151) therein]

so that the strong-coupling coe cient (13) has the variational expression

$$\mathbf{b}_{N}^{(0)}(\mathbf{K}_{N}^{(0)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{N} & (\mathbf{p} & \mathbf{nq}) = 2 & 1 \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{n} & (\mathbf{K}_{N}^{(0)})^{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{nq}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}; \end{pmatrix}$$
(15)

to be optim ized in $K_N^{(0)}$. Inserting the optim ized $K_N^{(0)}$ in (15) then leads to the variational approximation $b_N^{(0)} (K_N^{(0)})$ of the strong-coupling one cient $b^{(0)}$.

III. EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL

In order to study the Bose gas below the critical temperature, we calculate the one-loop approximation to the elective potential. In this so-called Popov approximation we determ ine the number of non-condensed particles. A variational resummation yields the self-consistent Popov approximation which allows us to nd a lowest-order expression for the location of the quantum phase transition in the a_s (T-plane.

A. One-Loop Approxim ation

A grand-canonical ensemble of B ose particles with a repulsive two-particle -function interaction is governed by the Euclidean action

$$A[;] = \begin{pmatrix} Z & Z & n \\ d & d^{D}x & (x;) \\ & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} [*0 + (i * r)] (x;) + \frac{g}{2} (x;)^{2} (x;)^{2} ; \qquad (16)$$

where (k) denotes the one-particle energies, the chemical potential, $g = 4^{2} \sim^{2} a_{s} = M$ the coupling constant, and $1 = k_{B} T$. The one-loop approximation to the elective potential is most easily obtained with the help of the

background m ethod [22, see Subsection 3.19.4 therein]. We consider the functional integral for the partition function T

$$Z = D \quad D \quad e^{A[;]} =$$
 (17)

performed over all Bose elds (x;); (x;) periodic in 2 $(0;\sim)$, and change variables to eld uctuations (x;); (x;) around a constant background; , de ned by

$$(x;) = + (x;); (x;) = + (x;):$$
 (18)

The functional integral (17) is then evaluated including only the harmonic uctuations (x;); (x;). The linear terms in (x;); (x;) are ignored in the background method. Thus the partition function factorizes as $Z = Z^{(0)}Z^{(1)}Z^{(rest)}$, where the zero-loop term $Z^{(0)} = e^{A[;]^{=}}$ is given by the tree-level

A [;] = ~ V
$$jj^{2} + \frac{g}{2}jj^{4}$$
; (19)

and the one-loop contribution

$$I I Z^{(1)} = D D e^{A^{(quad)}[;]} =$$
 (20)

involves a quadratic part whose action which can be written in matrix form :

$$A^{(quad)}[;] = \frac{2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} z & z & z & z \\ 0 & d & d^{D} & x & d^{D} & x^{0} \end{bmatrix} (x; y; y) G^{1}(x; y; x^{0}; y) + (x^{0}; y) + (x^{0};$$

The functionalm atrix

$$G^{1}(\mathbf{x}; ;\mathbf{x}^{0}; {}^{0}) = (\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{x}^{0}) ({}^{0})\frac{1}{2} \ {}^{\sim} ({}^{0} + ({}^{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{0}) + 2gjf \qquad g^{2} \ {}^{\sim} ({}^{0} + ({}^{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{0}) + 2gjf \qquad (22)$$

is the inverse G reen function. The result of the G aussian integral in (20) reads

$$Z^{(1)} = \exp -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln G^{-1}$$
 : (23)

Diagonalizing (22) in momentum space leads to

$$G^{1}(\mathbf{k}; ^{0}) = (^{0})\frac{1}{2} ^{-(0)} + (\mathbf{k}) + 3gj^{2} 0$$

$$(24)$$

$$(24)$$

so that (23) reduces to

$$Z^{(1)} = \exp \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X & h & i \\ \frac{1}{2} & E(k) & \ln 1 & e^{E(k)} \end{array} \right)$$
(25)

Here we have introduced the ; -dependent quasiparticle energies

$$E(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{10} \frac{1}{[(k) + 2gj_{j}^{2}]^{2}} g_{j}^{2} j_{j}^{4} :$$
 (26)

C on bining the zero- and the one-loop contribution, we obtain for the elective potential V (;) $(\ln Z) =$ the simple expression

$$V(;) = V \qquad j j^{2} + \frac{g}{2} j j^{4} + \frac{X}{2} E(k) + - \sum_{k}^{X} ln[l e^{E(k)}] + O(2); \qquad (27)$$

where we have introduced an expansion parameter = 1 whose power serves to count the loop order of each term.

B. Popov Approxim ation

The one-bop e ective potential (27) is still a function of the background elds; . If extrem ized in these elds, it yields the therm odynam ic potential at its extrem um. The extrem alization condition is

$$+ gj j^{2} + \frac{X}{2V} \frac{2g[(k) + 2gj j^{2}] g^{2}j j^{2}}{E(k)} + \frac{X}{V} \frac{2g[(k) + 2gj j^{2}] g^{2}j j^{2}}{E(k) e^{E(k)} 1} + O(2) = 0: (28)$$

The extrem al value of de ness the condensate density $n_0 = j j^2$, for which (28) yields the one-loop equation

$$n_{0} = \frac{1}{g} - \frac{X}{V} \frac{p - 2(k) + 1}{(k)^{2} + 2(k)} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p - 1}{e^{(k)^{2} + 2(k)}} + O((^{2}):$$
(29)

For = 0 we obtain the tree-level condensate density $n_0^{(0)} = -g$, which leads in (26) to the quasiparticle energies found rst by Bogoliubov [52]:

$$E^{(0)}(k) = \frac{p}{(k)^{2} + 2}(k) :$$
(30)

!

Inserting (29) into (27) yields the grand-canonical potential:

$$\frac{(iT)}{V} = \frac{2}{2g} + \frac{X}{2V} \frac{p}{k} \frac{(k)^2 + 2}{(k)^2 + 2} \frac{(k)}{k} + \frac{X}{V} \ln 1 e^{\frac{p}{(k)^2 + 2} \frac{(k)}{k}} + O(2):$$
(31)

From this, we obtain the total particle density from the derivative

$$n(;T) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{0}{0} \frac{(;T)}{0}_{T}$$
(32)

!

which has the explicit form

$$n = \frac{1}{g} - \frac{X}{V} \frac{k}{k} \frac{p}{(k)^{2} + 2} \frac{k}{(k)} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{e} \frac{1}{(k)^{2} + 2} \frac{k}{(k)} \frac{1}{1} + O((^{2}):$$
(33)

Since the interesting experimental quantity is the condensate density n_0 , we eliminate the chemical potantial in favor of n_0 via (29) and nd

n
$$n_0 = \frac{X}{V} \frac{(k) + gn_0}{(k)^2 + 2gn_0 - (k)} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{e} \frac{1}{(k)^2 + 2gn_0 - (k)} + O(2):$$
 (34)

The right-hand side of Eq. (34) denotes the num ber of non-condensed particles in the so-called Popov approximation [50, 51].

C. Self-Consistent Popov A pproxim ation

The result (34) is valid only for n_0 , i.e. for small. The quantum phase transition [25] which we want to locate, how ever, takes place for n_0 , i.e. at the large value = 1 of the loop counter. This strong-coupling regime can be reached by applying VPT, following the rules reviewed in Section II. Here we introduce a variational parameter M by replacing, as in (6) and (7),

$$= M + r ;$$
 (35)

with the abbreviation

$$r = -\frac{M}{2} :$$
 (36)

Inserting (35) into the grand-canonical potential (31), and reexpanding this in powers of at xed r, we obtain

$$\frac{\text{trial}(M; T)}{V} = \frac{M^2}{2g} \qquad \frac{M}{g}r + \frac{X}{2V} \qquad \frac{M}{k}r + \frac{M}{2}r + \frac{M}{2$$

Reinserting back r from (36), ignoring the term s O (2), and setting = 1, we arrive at the following trial function for the grand-canonical potential:

$$\frac{\text{trial}(M; ;T)}{V} = \frac{M^2}{2g} \quad \frac{M}{g} + \frac{1}{2V} \int_{k}^{X} p \frac{1}{(k)^2 + 2M} \int_{k}^{X} h = \frac{p}{(k)^2 + 2M} \int_{k}^{(k)} h = \frac{1}{V} \int_{k}^{X} h = \frac{p}{(k)^2 + 2M} \int_{k}^{(k)} h = \frac{1}{V} \int_{k}^{(k)} h = \frac{p}{(k)^2 + 2M} \int_{k}^{(k)} h = \frac{1}{V} \int_{k}^{(k)} h =$$

This is optim ized with respect to the variational parameter M , yielding

$$M^{opt} = \frac{g}{V} \frac{X}{k} \frac{p}{(k)^{2} + 2M^{opt}} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{e^{p} \frac{1}{(k)^{2} + 2M^{opt}} \frac{1}{k}}$$
(39)

Inserting (39) into (38) yields the optim ized grand-canonical potential

$$(;T) = {}^{\text{trial}} (M {}^{\text{opt}}; ;T):$$

$$(40)$$

!

However, we are more interested in a resummation of equation (34). To this end we compute the particle density (32) by using (40)

$$n = \frac{1}{V} \frac{(e^{\text{trial}}(M^{\text{opt}}; ;T))}{(e^{\text{opt}}; T)} + \frac{1}{V} \frac{(e^{\text{trial}}(M^{\text{opt}}; ;T))}{(e^{\text{opt}}; T)} + \frac{(e^{\text{M}})^{e^{\text{opt}}}}{(e^{\text{M}})^{e^{\text{opt}}}};$$
(41)

Because of Eqs. (38) and (39), this reduces to

$$n = \frac{M^{opt}}{g} :$$
 (42)

L

!

!

Furtherm ore, we perform a similar variational resummation for the condensate density (29). The substitution (35) yields the variational expression

$$n_{0} = \frac{M}{g} + \frac{r}{g} \frac{X}{V}_{k} \frac{2(k) + M}{(k)^{2} + 2M(k)} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{e} \frac{1}{(k)^{2} + 2M(k)} + O(2):$$
(43)

Reinserting (36) and ignoring the term $s \circ (2)$, this becomes at = 1

$$n_{0} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{1}{V} \frac{X}{k} \frac{2(k) + M}{p(k)^{2} + 2M(k)} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{e} \frac{1}{(k)^{2} + 2M(k)} \frac{1}{1}$$
(44)

Evaluating this expression at $M = M^{opt}$ with (39), we divergence into account (42)

n
$$n_0 = \frac{1}{V} \frac{X}{k} \frac{p(k) + gn}{(k)^2 + 2gn(k)} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p(k)^2 + 2gn(k)}{e^{-(k)^2 + 2gn(k)}} \frac{1}{1}$$
 (45)

Thus we have arrived at the self-consistent Popov approximation, which diers from the usual Popov approximation (34) by having the total particle density n on the right-hand side rather than the condensate density n_0 . Note that Eq. (45) could also be obtained by just replacing n_0 in the right-hand side of Eq. (34) by n, the error involved in this substitution being of order O (²). The above VPT analysis puts such a \dressing" procedure on a rigorous footing. Furtherm ore, we remark that the location of the quantum phase transition [25] for all T is obtained from (45) by solving this equation for $n_0 = 0$ [52, 53].

IV. LOW EST-ORDER TRANSITION CURVE

In this section we determ ine the quantum phase transitions for a hom ogeneous BEC in the a_s {T-plane from (45).

A. Zero-Tem perature Lim it

Inserting into (45) the free-particle energies

$$(k) = \frac{-2k^2}{2m};$$
(46)

and going to the therm odynam ic lim it, in which we can replace the momentum sum by an integral

Х

k

$$! V \frac{d^{D} k}{(2)^{D}}; (47)$$

we nd the zero-tem perature equation

$$n = n_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^D k}{(2)^D} \frac{1}{E(k)} \frac{-k^2 k^2}{2m} + gn ; \qquad (48)$$

with the Bogoliubov quasi-particle energies

$$E(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2k^2}}{2m} + 2gn \frac{\sqrt{2k^2}}{2m} :$$
(49)

For large values of k, the Bogoliubov energies coincide with (46). For sm all values of k, however, the Bogoliubov spectrum (49) has a linear k-dependence E (k) $c \sim kj$ where c is the velocity of second sound:

$$c = \frac{r}{\frac{4 - 2na_{s}}{m^{2}}};$$
 (50)

Since E (k)=k j is bounded from below, the interacting Bose gas is super uid. Recently, the Bogoliubov sound velocity (50) was measured in a trapped BEC at M IT [54].

As the integrand in (48) depends only on the absolute value of the wave vector k, the integrals are e ectively one-dimensional, and we may rewrite (48) as

n
$$n_0 = \frac{1}{2} J_D 1; \frac{1}{2}; 2gn + gn J_D 0; \frac{1}{2}; 2gn :$$
 (51)

Here we have introduced the master integral

$$J_{D}(;;a) = \frac{1}{(D=2)} \frac{m}{2 \sim^{2}} \frac{dx}{dx} \frac{x^{+D=2}}{(x+a)};$$
(52)

which is related to the integral representation of the Beta function

$$B(x;y) = \frac{(x)(y)}{(x+y)} = \int_{0}^{L-1} dt \frac{t^{x-1}}{(t+1)^{x+y}}$$
(53)

according to

$$J_{D}(;;a) = \frac{a^{D^{-2+2}}}{(D^{-2})} \frac{m}{2^{-2}} B \frac{D^{-2}}{2} + ; \frac{D}{2} + 2 :$$
(54)

Thus we nd for the particle density (51) in $D = 3 \dim$ ensions the equation:

$$\frac{n \quad n_0}{n} = \frac{p \quad \frac{m^3 g^3 n}{3^2 a^3}}{3^2 a^3};$$
(55)

which gives the so-called depletion of the condensate. Expressing g in terms of the s-wave scattering length a_s leads to the well-known B ogoliubov depletion [52]:

$$\frac{n}{n} \frac{n_0}{n} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{r}{\frac{a_s^3 n}{s}}$$
(56)

Since this self-consistent equation was derived by variational perturbation theory which we know to be very good up to strong couplings [22], we may use it to nd the strong-coupling value of a_s where the depletion is complete. This is the point of a quantum phase transition [25] at zero temperature, taking place at

$$a_{s}^{c}(T = 0)n^{1=3} = \frac{9}{64} \stackrel{1=3}{:}$$
 (57)



FIG. 3: Quantum phase diagram of Bose-E instein condensation in variationally improved one-loop approximation without (dotted) and with properly imposed higher-loop slope properties at $T_c^{(0)}$ (dashed length increasing with VPT order). Short solid curve starting at $T_c^{(0)}$ is due to A mold et al. [21]. D ashed straight line indicates the slope of our curve extracted either from M onte-C arb data [13, 14] or recent analytic results [18, 20]. D iam onds correspond to the M onte-C arb data of R ef. [6] and dots stem from R ef. [58], both scaled to their critical value a_s (T = 0) 0:63.

B. FullQuantum Phase Diagram

We now calulate the temperature dependence of the quantum phase transition. Using (45) { (47), we obtain instead of the zero-temperature equation (55)

$$a_{s} n^{1=3} 1 + \frac{3}{16} I()^{2=3} = \frac{n n_{0}}{n}^{2=3} \frac{9}{64}^{1=3};$$
 (58)

where I() abbreviates the integral

$$I() = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{x + 8}{2^{p} x + 16} (e^{p x^{2} - 16 + x} - 1)$$
(59)

The dimensionless parameter is given by

$$= \frac{t}{a_{s}n^{1-3}} \frac{t}{(3-2)^{2-3}}^{2};$$
(60)

where $t = T = T_c^{(0)}$ denotes the reduced tem perature. The quantum phase transition at $n_0 = 0$ obeys now the equation

$$a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3}$$
 1 + $\frac{3}{16}^{c}I(c)$ = $\frac{9}{64}^{1=3}$: (61)

Near T = 0 we perform a Taylor expansion in the parameter $_{c}$, i.e.

$$a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{k} c^{k} + O(c^{N+1}_{c});$$
(62)

and obtain for the coe cients:

$$a_0 = \frac{9}{64} \stackrel{1=3}{0.762}; a_1 \quad 0.313; a_2 \quad 0.200; a_3 \quad 0.207:$$
 (63)

The series has B orel character | the sign of the coe cients is alternating. This expansion is inapplicable for small a_s since diverges in this limit. There we solve (61) by rescaling and expanding the integrand in (59), yielding

$$I() = \frac{p - 2}{2} \int_{0}^{1} dz \, \overline{z} \frac{p - 1}{exp(z - 4 + 2 - 1)} + 0 \, \frac{1}{1} :$$
(64)

U sing the series representation of the Bose distribution function, we obtain

$$I() = \frac{4 (3=2)}{1=4} = (e^{2})^{p} + O = \frac{1}{2};$$
(65)

where we have introduced the polylogarithm ic function

$$(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{z^{n}}{n} :$$
 (66)

It has the Robinson expansion [55]

(e) = (1) ()
$$^{1} + \frac{X^{k}}{k!} \frac{()^{k}}{k!}$$
 (k); < 0; (67)

which can easily be proved with the help of Poisson's sum mation form ula [2, Chap. 2]. Thus we obtain for large :

$$I() = \frac{2^{p} - (3=2)}{1=4} + \frac{4^{p} - 2}{p} + 0 + \frac{1}{3=4}$$
(68)

Inserting this into (61) yields:

$$1 = t_c^{3=2} \quad \frac{2^{p}}{2} \frac{1}{(3=2)^{p-3}} \frac{1}{(3=2)^{p-3}} t_c}{(3=2)^{p-3}} :$$
(69)

From this equation we can derive a shift in the critical tem perature due to the interaction. We expand

$$t_{c} = 1 + \frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}^{(0)}};$$
(70)

and obtain from (69)

$$\frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}^{(0)}} = \frac{4^{p} \frac{p}{2}}{3 (3=2)^{2=3}} q \frac{q}{a_{s}^{c} n^{1=3}} + O(a_{s}^{c} n^{1=3}):$$
(71)

This has the square-root behavior found before in Refs. [56, 57], with the positive sign agreeing with Ref. [57]. The full phase diagram is displayed in Fig. 3. It shows the interesting phenom enon of a reentrant transition above the critical temperature $T_c^{(0)}$ of the free system, which shows up as a nose in the transition curve. This implies that a condensate can be produced above $T_c^{(0)}$ by increasing a_s , which disappears upon a further increase of a_s . The transition curve di ers so far considerable from early M onte-C arlo simulations [6], also shown in Fig. 3.

From recent work we know, however, that the square-root behavior (71) is incorrect, since its derivation ignores the pile-up of infrared singularities to high orders at the critical point. As explained in the beginning, M onte-C arlo simulations [13, 14] and precise high-tem perature calculations [18, 20] have derived a tem perature shift (1) which is linear in the scattering length a_s , with a slope c_1 1:3. Thus it becomes necessary to improve our resummed one-loop approximation (61) for the transition line via VPT in such a way that the $_c$! 1 behavior of the expansion (62) is given by (1) and not by (71). In doing so we can follow the interpolation program between weak and strong-coupling expansions which was developed in Ref. [41].

C. Variationally Improved Quantum Phase Diagram

We now calculate a more reliable transition curve for the quantum phase diagram interpolating the weak-coupling expansions (62) to a strong-coupling expansion which is compatible with the linear increase (1). The generic strong-coupling expansion is

$$a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} = \sum_{k=0}^{p=q} b_{k} c^{2k=q} :$$
(72)

W e shall use only the accurate know ledge of the sm all- a_s behavior (1) so that we possess only the two leading term s in (72):

$$a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} = {\substack{p=q \\ c}} b_{0} + b_{1} {\substack{2=q \\ c}} :$$
 (73)

Expressed in term s of the parameter of Eq. (60) and replacing t_c via (70), this reads:

$$b_0 \qquad a_s^c n^{1=3} \qquad {}^{1+2p=q} \qquad (3=2)^{4p=3q} + b_0 \frac{2p}{q} \frac{T_c}{T_c^{(0)}} + b_1 \quad (3=2)^{8=3q} \quad a_s^c n^{1=3} \quad {}^{4=q} = 0:$$
(74)

This equation is satis ed if

$$1 + \frac{2p}{q} = 0; \qquad \frac{4}{q} = 1;$$
 (75)

which xes the values of p, q to p = 2, q = 4. Comparison between (1) and (74) yields

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{(3=2)^{2=3}}; \qquad b_1 = \frac{c_1}{(3=2)^{4=3}};$$
 (76)

This result will now be combined with our self-consistent one-loop approximation (61) for small . The philosophy will be to use only the rst W + 1 weak-coupling coe cients a $_0$;:::; a_W in (62). We allow for two extra weak-coupling coe cients a $_{W+1}$ and a_{W+2} chosen such that the strong-coupling limit calculated with VPT m atches the coe cients b_0 and b_1 in (76). A flerwards we determ ine the whole quantum phase diagram from the variationally resummed series

$$a_{s}^{c}n^{1=3} = \frac{X}{a_{k}} a_{k}^{c} + a_{W+1} a_{k}^{W+1} + a_{W+2} a_{k}^{W+2}$$
(77)

Let us illustrate this procedure for W = 0 and determ ine the subsequent weak-coupling coe cients $a_1; a_2$ from the strong-coupling coe cients $b_0; b_1$. To this end we follow the VPT procedure of Section II by identifying _c g and by specifying (8) for N = 2, p = 2, and q = 4:

$$f_{2}(g;K) = \frac{3a_{0}}{K^{2}} - \frac{3a_{0}}{K^{4}} + \frac{a_{0} + 4ga_{1}}{K^{6}} - \frac{3ga_{1}}{K^{8}} + \frac{a_{2}g^{2}}{K^{10}};$$
(78)

where the last two coe cients in (8) were replaced by $a_1; a_2$. According to the principle of m inimal sensitivity [32] we have to optimize (78) with respect to K and solve Eq. (9) which leads to

$$\frac{6a_0}{K^3} + \frac{12a_0}{K^5} = 6\frac{a_0 + 4ga_1}{K^7} + \frac{24ga_1}{K^9} = \frac{10a_2g^2}{K^{11}} = 0:$$
(79)

Inserting the ansatz (11) with the two leading coe cients K $_2^{(0)}$ and K $_2^{(1)}$, i.e.

$$K_{2}(g) = K_{2}^{(0)}g^{1=4} + K_{2}^{(1)}g^{1=4} + O(g^{3=4});$$
 (80)

into (79) and comparing the coe cients of the two leading powers $g^{1=2}$; $g^{1=4}$ in the coupling constant g, yields the following two equations:

$$0 = 3K_{2}^{(0)^{8}}a_{0} + 12K_{2}^{(1)^{4}}a_{1} + 5a_{2};$$
(81)

$$0 = 6K_{2}^{(0)^{7}}a_{0} + 9K_{2}^{(0)^{8}}K_{2}^{(1)}a_{0} + 12K_{2}^{(0)^{3}}a_{1} + 84K_{2}^{(0)^{4}}K_{2}^{(1)}a_{1} + 55K_{2}^{(1)}a_{2} :$$
(82)

A swe have four unknown variables $a_1; a_2; K_2^{(0)}$ and $K_2^{(1)}$, we need two more equations for their unique determ ination. It turns out that they can be obtained from the known strong-coupling coe cients b_0 and b_1 in (76) as follows. Inserting (80) into (78) and comparing with (12) yields:

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{K_2^{(0)^{10}}} 3K_2^{(0)^8} a_0 + 4K_2^{(0)^4} a_1 + a_2 ;$$
(83)

$$b_{1} = \frac{1}{K_{2}^{(0)^{11}}} \qquad 3K_{2}^{(0)^{7}}a_{0} + 6K_{2}^{(0)^{8}}K_{2}^{(1)}a_{0} + 3K_{2}^{(0)^{3}}a_{1} + 24K_{2}^{(0)^{4}}K_{2}^{(1)}a_{1} + 10K_{2}^{(1)}a_{2} :$$
(84)

From (81) and (83), we determ in a_1 and a_2 as functions of K $\frac{0}{2}$:

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} = \frac{3}{2} K_{2}^{(0)^{4}} \mathbf{a}_{0} \frac{5}{12} \mathbf{b}_{0} K_{2}^{(0)^{2}} ; \qquad \mathbf{a}_{2} = 3 K_{2}^{(0)^{8}} \mathbf{a}_{0} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}_{0} K_{2}^{(0)^{2}} :$$
(85)

Furthermore, Eq. (82) can be solved for $K_2^{(1)}$:

$$K_{2}^{(1)} = K_{2}^{(0)^{3}} \frac{b_{1} K_{2}^{(0)^{8}} + 3a_{0} K_{2}^{(0)^{4}} + 3a_{1}}{6K_{2}^{(0)^{8}} a_{0} + 24K_{2}^{(0)^{4}} a_{1} + 10a_{2}}$$
(86)

This expression has the interesting property, that the denom inator is zero, if we insert (85). As $K_2^{(1)}$ should be a nite quantity, we have to dem and that the num erator also vanishes. This leads to an explicit algebraic expression for $K_2^{(0)}$, which is solved by

$$K_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{3a_{0}}{2b_{1}} + \frac{9a_{0}^{2}}{4b_{1}^{2}} \frac{3a_{1}}{b_{1}} :$$
(87)

Note that with that the choice (84) is satis ed although it was not needed for deriving (87). We now insert the weak-coupling coe cients $_0$ 0:762 from (63) and the correct strong-coupling coe cients (76) with c_1 1:3 to yield $K_2^{(0)}$ 0:93461. This result leads via (85) to the new coe cients:

$$W = 0: a_1 \quad 0.654; a_2 \quad 0.935:$$
 (88)

Finally, the trial function (78) follows to be

$$f_{2}(g;K) = 2\cdot284\frac{1}{K^{2}} = 2\cdot284\frac{1}{K^{4}} + (0:761 = 2:616g)\frac{1}{K^{6}} + 1:962g\frac{1}{K^{8}} + 0:935g^{2}\frac{1}{K^{10}}$$
(89)

and leads together with the optimization (9) to our nst resummation improved transition line which is valid for arbitrary values of the coupling constant. The result, shown in Fig. 3 as VPT order 1, has now the correct asymptotic behavior near $T_c^{(0)}$ as well as near T = 0. In a similar way we also determined the new computed weak-coupling coe cients of the improved resummed one-loop approximation for the two subsequent orders W = 1;2:

$$W = 1: a_2 = 1:864; a_3 = 16:66;$$
 (90)

$$W = 2: \quad a_3 = 29.53; \quad a_4 = 622.0: \tag{91}$$

A resumm ation of the corresponding weak-coupling series (77) shows the fast converging phase curves with VPT order 2 and 3 in Fig. 3. It is interesting that the new computed coe cients in (90) and (91) deviate signi cantly from the original ones in (63). The reason is that the in uence of higher orders becomes smaller in a weak-coupling expansion and it needs higher deviations to obtain the correct strong-coupling behavior.

The agreem ent with the M onte C arb points in Fig. 3 is now much better than with the initial one-bop transition curve. However, it is not perfect since the simulations are quite inaccurate in the nose region. In fact, the slope parameter of the M onte C arb data is $c_1 = 0.3$ [6], which is a factor 4 sm aller than the true value. The disagreem ent is therefore no cause of worry.

Let us end by remarking that a nose in the phase diagram was also found in Ref. [59]. The authors did not, how ever, take this phenom enon seriously but considered it as an artefact of their slave boson approach.

V. SUM MARY AND OUTLOOK

In this article we have investigated the condensation of a hom ogeneous Bose gas in the a_s {T-plane. The result was obtained by applying VPT at two stages. First, we variationally resum med the Popov approximation to the e ective potential in order to reach the quantum phase transition. Second, the lowest-order quantum phase diagram was variationally improved by taking into account recent results on the leading tem perature shift for sm all scattering length a_s .

Finally, we mention that the self-consistent Popov approximation is also applicable to BECs trapped in optical lattices [26]. There the periodicity of the lattice leads to a quasi-free behavior due to Bloch's theory which enables

us to treat this system as e ectively hom ogeneous $[60{65}]$. At T = 0, this yields a quantum phase transition from a super uid phase to a M ott insulator, in good agreement with recent experimental data [66]. The theory in Ref. [26] predicts again a reentrant phenomenon in the quantum phase diagram which might be observed experimentally. The only problem is the need of a soft magnetic trap with frequency of the order of 10 H z which holds the atom s in the optical lattice. This could in principle destroy the reentrant transition, since the temperature shift in a trap is negative. It is not yet clear whether for very small trap frequency, there exists a crossover from negative to positive slope c_1 .

A cknow ledgem ents

We thank Robert G raham, Franck Laloe, and F lavio Nogueira for clarifying discussions as well as the Priority Program SPP 1116 Interactions in Ultra-Cold Atom ic and Molecular Gases of the German Research Foundation (DFG) for nancial support. The research was also partially supported by the ESF COSLAB Program and by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft) under Grant K 1-256. One of us (S.S.) acknow ledges support from the German National A cadem ic Foundation.

- [1] F.Dalfovo, S.Giorgini, L.P.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [2] A J. Leggett, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 307 (2001).
- [3] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [4] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [5] G.Baym, J.P.Blaizot M.Holzmann, F.Laloe, and D.Vautherin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1703 (1999).
- [6] P.G ruter, D.Ceperley, and F.Laloe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3549 (1997).
- [7] JD. Reppy, B.C. Crooker, B. Hebral, A.D. Corwin, J. He, and G.M. Zassenhaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2060 (2000).
- [8] M.W ilkens, F. Illum inati, and M.K raem er, J. Phys. B 33, L779 (2000).
- [9] E.J.Mueller, G.Baym, and M.Holzmann, J.Phys. B 34, 4561 (2001).
- [10] M.Holzmann and W.Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2687 (1999).
- [11] G.Baym, J.P.Blaizot, and J.Zinn-Justin, Europhys. Lett. 49, 150 (2000).
- [12] H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 45, 8398 (1992).
- [13] V A.Kashumikov, N V. Prokof ev, and B.V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 120402, (2001).
- [14] P.A mold and G.Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 120401 (2001); Phys. Rev. E 64, 066113 (2001).
- [15] F F. de Souza Cruz, M B. Pinto, and R O. Ram os, Phys. Rev. B 64, 014515 (2001); Phys. Rev. A 65, 053613 (2002).
- [16] JL.Kneur, M B.Pinto, and R O.Ramos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 210403 (2002).
- [17] E.Braaten and E.Radescu, Phys. Rev. A 66, 063601 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 271602 (2002).
- [18] H.Kleinert, eprint: cond-mat/0210162.
- [19] B. Ham precht and H. K leinert, eprint: hep-th/0302116.
- [20] B.Kastening, eprint: cond-mat/0303486.
- [21] P.Amold, G.D.Moore, and B.Tomasik, Phys. Rev. A 65, 013606 (2002).
- [22] H.K Leinert, Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets, Third Edition, W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 2003.
- [23] H.K.Leinert and V.Schulte-Frohlinde, Critical Phenom ena in ⁴-Theory, World Scientic, Singapore, 2001.
- [24] W. Janke, A. Pelster, H.-J. Schmidt, and M. Bachmann (Editors), Fluctuating Paths and Fields { Dedicated to Hagen K leinert on the Occasion of H is 60th Birthday, W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2001.
- [25] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [26] H.K leinert, S.Schm idt, and A.Pelster, eprint: cond-mat/0307412.
- [27] F.Dyson, Phys. Rev. 85, 631 (1952).
- [28] G A. Baker, Essentials of Pade Approximants, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [29] E.Borel, Lecon sur les Series Divergentes, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1928.
- [30] C M . Bender and S A . O rszag, Advanced M athem atical M ethods for Scientists and Engineers { A sym ptotic M ethods and P erturbation T heory, M cG raw H ill, N ew York, 1978.
- [31] J.C. Le Guillou and J.Zinn-Justin (Editors), Large-Order Behaviour of Perturbation Theory, North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1990.
- [32] P.M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. D 23, 2916 (1981).
- [33] R P. Feynm an, Statistical M echanics, Reading, M assachusetts, 1972.
- [34] R.P. Feynm an and H.K leinert, Phys. Rev. A 34, 5080 (1986).
- [35] R.Giachetti and V.Tognetti, Phys.Rev.Lett. 55, 912 (1985).
- [36] A. Cuccoli, R. Giachetti, V. Tognetti, R. Vaia, and P. Verrucchi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7, 7891 (1995).
- [37] H.Kleinert, Phys. Lett. A 173, 332 (1993).
- [38] W . Janke and H. K leinert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2787 (1995).

- [39] H.K leinert and W. Janke, Phys. Lett. A 206, 283 (1995).
- [40] R.Guida, K.Konishi, and H.Suzuki, Ann.Phys. 249, 109 (1996).
- [41] H.Kleinert, Phys. Lett. A 207, 133 (1995).
- [42] H.K leinert, Phys.Rev.D 57, 2264 (1998) Addendum : Phys.Rev.D 58, 107702 (1998); Phys.Rev.D 60, 085001 (1999).
 [43] B.G.Nickel, D.I.M eiron, and G.B.Baker, University of Guelph preprint, 1977;
- http://www.physik.fu-berlin.de/~kleinert/b8/program.s/program.s.html.
- [44] D B.M urray and B.G.N ickel, University of Guelph preprint, 1998;
- http://www.physik.fu-berlin.de/~kleinert/b8/program.s/program.s.html.
- [45] H.K Leinert, J.Neu, V.Schulte-Frohlinde, K.G.Chetyrkin, and S.A.Larin, Phys.Lett. B 272, 39 (1991); Phys.Lett. B 319, 545 (E) (1993).
- [46] F.J.W egner, Phys.Rev.B 5, 4529 (1972).
- [47] H.Kleinert, Phys.Lett.A 277, 205 (2000).
- [48] JA. Lipa, D.R. Swanson, JA. Nissen, ZK. Geng, PR. William son, D.A. Stricker, T.C.P. Chui, U.E. Israelsson, and M. Larson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4894 (2000).
- [49] I.S. G radshteyn and IM. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, Connected and Enlarged Edition, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [50] J.O. Andersen, eprint: cond-mat/0305138.
- [51] H. Shiand A. Grin, Phys. Rep. 304, 1 (1998).
- [52] N N. Bogoliubov, Izv. A kad. N auk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 11, 77 (1947); Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 41 (1958).
- [53] A A . A brikosov, L P.G orkov, and IE.D zyaloshinski, M ethods of Q uantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics, Prentice-H all, New Jersey, 1963.
- [54] M R.Andrews, and C G. Townsend, and H.-J.Miesner, and D S.Durfee, and D M.Kum, and W.Ketterle, Science 275, 637 (1997).
- [55] J.E. Robinson, Phys. Rev. 83, 678 (1951).
- [56] T. Toyoda, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 141, 154 (1982).
- [57] K.Huang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3770 (1999).
- [58] E.L. Pollock and K.J. Runge, Phys. Rev. B 46, 3535 (1992).
- [59] D B M . D ickerscheid, D . van O osten, P J H . D enteneer, and H . T C . Stoof, eprint: cond-mat/0306573.
- [60] M PA.Fisher, PB.W eichman, G.Grinstein, and DS.Fisher, Phys.Rev.B 40, 546 (1989).
- [61] K. Sheshadri, H. R. Krishnam urthy, R. Pandit, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Europhys. Lett. 22, 257 (1993).
- [62] J.K. Freericks and H.Monien, Europhys. Lett. 26, 545 (1995).
- [63] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J.I. Cirac, C.W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [64] D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601 (2001).
- [65] W .Zwerger, eprint: cond-mat/0211314.
- [66] M.Greiner, O.Mandel, T.Esslinger, T.W. Hansch, and I.Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).