

# Dielectric photonic crystal as medium with negative electric permittivity and magnetic permeability

A. L. Efros and A. L. Pokrovsky

University of Utah, Salt Lake City UT, 84112 USA

(Dated: March 22, 2004)

## Abstract

We show that a two-dimensional photonic crystal (PC) made from a non-magnetic dielectric is a left-handed material in the sense defined by Veselago. Namely, it has negative values of both the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability in some frequency range. This follows from a recently proven general theorem. The negative values of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  are found by a numerical simulation. Using these values we demonstrate the Veselago lens, a unique optical device predicted by Veselago. An approximate analytical theory is proposed to calculate the values of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  from the PC band structure. It gives the results that are close to those obtained by the numerical simulation. The theory explains how a non-zero magnetization arises in a non-magnetic PC.

About 35 years ago Victor Veselago [1] considered theoretically propagation of the electromagnetic waves (EMW's) in a hypothetical left-handed medium (LHM), where both the electric permittivity and the magnetic permeability are negative in some frequency range. Since the square of the speed of light  $c^2 = c_0^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0$  is positive, the EMW's propagate but they have unusual properties, like anomalous Doppler and Cherenkov effects, negative light pressure, and negative refraction (NR) at the interface with a regular medium. The latter property enables three-dimensional (3D) imaging. The origin of all these properties is the fact that the energy flux,  $S$  and the wave vector,  $k$  are antiparallel in the LHM. An important assumption of Veselago's theory is the absence of spatial dispersion, which means that both  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  are  $k$ -independent. However both of them are functions of frequency. A generalization of Veselago's theory for the case of spatial dispersion would not be simple because in this case the expression for the Poynting vector includes an extra term [2].

To create the LHM Veselago proposed to combine two independent different subsystems [1], one with a negative  $\epsilon$  and another with a negative  $\mu$ . As an example, he considered a ferromagnetic metal at frequency close to the ferromagnetic resonance and below the plasma frequency of the metal. Following this line, the San Diego group [3] has observed a NR in an artificial composite system and attributed this effect to a two-dimensional (2D) LHM. Their system consists of two elements: 2D metallic photonic crystal (PC) creating negative  $\epsilon$  below a cutoff frequency and the so-called split-ring resonators creating negative  $\mu$  due to anomalous dispersion near the resonance. The experiments of the San Diego group are very important because they have ignited the whole field, started by Veselago about 35 years ago but completely forgotten since then. However they caused new hot debates concerning different aspects of their experiments and interpretations [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Many groups [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] predicted and observed NR in PC's using for the prediction the dispersion relation of EMW's and methods of geometrical optics. However, the "effective refractive index" they found was, in general, a function of  $k$ . We think that it originates from the spatial dispersion of the dielectric constant for EMW with  $k$  deep in the Brillouin zone. Several authors [9, 10, 12] found that near the  $\Gamma$  point this index becomes  $k$ -independent. As we show below, together with the negative group velocity this indeed is a manifestation of the LHM.

The main idea of this work is based upon the theorem proved in Ref. [14]. It reads that the group velocity  $v_g = \partial \omega / \partial k$  in an isotropic medium is positive in the regular

media (RM) ( $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ) and negative in the LHM. This means that vectors  $\partial \epsilon / \partial k$  and  $k$  are parallel in the RM and antiparallel in the LHM. This theorem follows from the thermodynamics requirement that the energy of electromagnetic fields is positive.

Now we generalize this theorem to a 2D PC illustrated in the left bottom inset of Fig. 1. The PC represents a square lattice of period  $d$ , comprising cylindrical holes in a dielectric medium with the axes of cylinders along  $z$ -direction. We consider propagation of EMW's with the electric field  $E$  in this direction (s-wave). The calculated [15] low frequency spectrum of EMW's in this PC is shown in Fig. 1 for the case when the dielectric material of the crystal is characterized by  $\epsilon_m = 12$ ,  $\mu_m = 1$ . The fields in the PC are represented by the Bloch functions with a 2D wave vector  $k = (k_x, k_y)$ . One can see from Fig. 1 that in the frequency range a little below the top of the band 1 at the  $\Gamma$  point, the group velocity  $\partial \epsilon / \partial k$  is negative and there are no other bands in this frequency range. It is also important that band 1 is not degenerate at  $\Gamma$ -point. Near the  $\Gamma$ -point the wavelength is much larger than the lattice period of the PC and one can introduce  $k$ -independent  $\epsilon_{ik}$  and  $\mu_{ik}$ . Since  $z$ -axis is the axis of  $C_4$  symmetry, we have a uniaxial crystal and both tensors in the principal axes have only two components  $\epsilon_{zz}, \mu_{zz} = \epsilon_{xx} = \epsilon_{yy}$  and  $\mu_{zz}, \mu_{zz} = \mu_{xx} = \mu_{yy}$  respectively. The electromagnetic energy density of the s-wave can be represented in the form [2]

$$U = \frac{1}{16} \frac{d[\epsilon^2 n^2]}{d\omega} \omega^2; \quad (1)$$

where  $n^2 = \epsilon_{zz} \mu_{zz}$ . In the rest of the paper we use notation  $\epsilon_{zz} = \epsilon$ ,  $\mu_{zz} = \mu$ . Since  $U > 0$  we get  $(v_g - k) > 0$ , where 2D group velocity  $v_g = 2c_0^2 k = [d(\epsilon^2 n^2)/d\omega]$ . Thus, negative  $v_g - k$  means negative  $\epsilon$  and vice versa. For the propagating waves it also means  $\mu < 0$ . It follows that this PC is a LHM in the frequency range under study.

Note, that the p-wave (magnetic field in  $z$  direction) is characterized by  $\epsilon_{\perp}$  and  $\mu_{zz}$ . These components might also be negative in the vicinity of the  $\Gamma$  point but within a different frequency range.

We find  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  by microscopic calculations and show that they are indeed both negative in the frequency range under study. For this purpose we consider a PC slab infinite in  $z$ -direction and embedded in a homogeneous medium with  $\epsilon_0, \mu_0$ . A 2D point source (an infinite dipole along  $z$ -axis) is located in the homogeneous medium to the left of the slab. If we now choose  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  so that the cylindrical wave in the system is the same as it would be in a completely homogeneous medium with  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  (though inside the slab there is a

microscopic field), the values of  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  obtained in this way are the macroscopic  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  of the slab at a given frequency. The best choice for  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  at the working frequency  $\omega = 0.32$  ( $2c_0 = d$ ) is obtained by a fitting procedure and the result is shown in Fig. 2. It yields  $\epsilon = 1.2$ ,  $\mu = 0.096$ . Thus, at this frequency the PC slab is a LHM and this result is in accordance with the above theorem. Note, that in the case of the LHM the vectors  $S$  and  $k$  are in the opposite directions (see animation [16]).

One can calculate independently the refractive index of the slab  $n^2 = \epsilon\mu$  from the dispersion relation of the band 1. At small  $k$  it can be written in a form  $\omega^2 = \omega_1^2 - c_0^2 k^2$ . Our calculation of spectrum gives  $\omega = 0.94$ ,  $\omega_1 = 0.314$  ( $2c_0 = d$ ). Using the alternative definition of  $n^2$  namely,  $\omega^2 n^2 = c_0^2 k^2$  one gets

$$n^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega^2}} : \quad (2)$$

Note, that  $n \rightarrow 0$  as  $\omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ . At the working frequency  $n^2 = (0.32)^2$ , which agrees with  $\epsilon\mu$  found above.

If we choose  $\epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = 1$  as the parameters of the homogeneous medium surrounding the PC, we obtain a unique device proposed by Veselago [1] that we call the Veselago lens. It is shown schematically in Fig. 3a. The computer simulation of the Veselago lens is shown in Fig. 4 (also see animation [16]). In the above simulations the PC is cut in the direction [10]. We have checked that the results do not change if the PC is cut in the direction [11]. This confirms the absence of spatial dispersion at the working frequency. The size of the focus is of the order of the wavelength as predicted by the diffraction theory [17].

Now we explain how the starting theorem that follows from Eq.(1) and is formulated in terms of macroscopic electrodynamics can be interpreted microscopically. The negative group velocity shown at Fig. 1 results from the repulsion of bands 1 and 2, 3. Therefore a microscopic explanation of negative  $v_g$  should be found in terms of the interaction between these bands. We propose a quantitative explanation by writing Maxwell's equation for the microscopic field  $e_z(r)$  in a matrix form [18, 19] in the basis of Bloch functions at  $k = 0$ . Taking into account that near the  $\Gamma$ -point the bands 1, 2, 3, 4 are far from the other bands, we truncate the matrix keeping only these four bands. This approximation is analogous to the Kane model in semiconductor physics [20]. By diagonalizing the truncated matrix we find the spectra of all four bands and the correct combinations of basis functions for each band at finite  $k$ . We have checked that the dispersion law for all bands 1-4 as obtained from

the truncated model does not differ by more than 4% from the result presented in Fig. 1 at  $kd=2 < 0.1$ . At small  $k$  the microscopic electric field for the band 1 has the form

$$e_z(\mathbf{r}) = e^{ik \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left[ u_1 - \frac{ik_0 k_x c_0^2}{\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2} u_2 - \frac{ik_0 k_y c_0^2}{\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2} u_3 - \frac{ik_0 k_1 k^2 c_0^4}{(\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2)(\omega_4^2 - \omega_1^2)} u_4 \right]; \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}$  is the 2D -vector,  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  and  $u_4$  are the Bloch functions at  $k = 0$ , the positive parameters  $k_0, k_1$  have the dimensionality of a wave vector and they are integrals from these functions. The symmetry of the function  $u_1$  is  $X^2 + Y^2$ . The function  $u_4$  has  $X^2 + Y^2$  symmetry. The functions  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  have the symmetries  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. The parity of  $X$  and  $Y$  functions within the elementary cell is shown in the central inset of Fig. 1.

If the material of the PC were metallic, the electric field in the bands 2 and 3 would create two currents in opposite directions. These currents would induce a magnetic moment in  $x$  and  $y$  directions. In case of the dielectric PC these currents are imaginary displacement currents and they do not create any magnetic moment in the modes 2 and 3. However, as follows from Eq.(3) the admixture of bands 2 and 3 to band 1 is also imaginary. Therefore the band 1 has a real magnetic moment.

The magnetization can be calculated using the expression

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{2c_0 d^2} \int_V \mathbf{r} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} d\mathbf{s}; \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{P} = ze_z(\mathbf{r})[\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) - 1] = 4\pi \mathbf{P}$ ,  $z$  is a unit vector in  $z$  direction,  $\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) = 1$  inside the holes and  $\epsilon_m$  otherwise, the integration is performed over the unit cell, and  $e_z(\mathbf{r})$  is given by Eq.(3). Only the second and the third terms from Eq.(3) contribute to the magnetization. The result can be expressed through the integrals from  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . These functions have been taken from the computation of the spectrum shown at Fig. 1. The macroscopic vectors  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  are connected by the relation  $\mathbf{B} = c_0 k \times \mathbf{E}$ , where  $\mathbf{E} = z \langle \mathbf{e}_z(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$  and  $\langle \dots \rangle$  means averaging over the unit cell. Only the function  $u_4$  gives a non-zero contribution after the averaging. It gives the main contribution to  $\mathbf{E}$ . Other basis functions give non-zero contribution only when multiplied by  $\mathbf{r}$ -dependent terms in the expansion of the  $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$  within the unit cell. Their contributions to  $\mathbf{E}$  are of the same order in  $k$  but they are much smaller than the contribution from  $u_4$  since  $(\omega_4^2 - \omega_1^2) = \omega_1^2 - 1$ , where  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_4$  are the frequencies of the bands 1 and 4 at  $k = 0$ . In fact, the latter strong inequality permits truncation of the matrix in our analytical model.

Now we can find  $\epsilon_1$  from the definition  $\epsilon_1 = 1 - 4\pi\beta_j$ . The result is

$$\epsilon_1 = 0.89(1 - \epsilon_1^2)^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

Comparing Eq.(2) and Eq.(5) one can see that the root of  $\epsilon$  at  $\omega = \omega_1$  is responsible for the root of  $n^2$ . This means that  $\epsilon$  is non-zero and negative at  $\omega = \omega_1$ . On the other hand,  $\epsilon$  changes its sign at  $\omega = \omega_1$ . Thus, the gap at  $\omega > \omega_1$  is due to  $\epsilon < 0$ . At  $\omega = 0.3(2\omega_0 = d)$  one gets  $\epsilon = 0.084$  and that is very close to the value obtained above by the fitting procedure. Thus, we have found analytically the value of  $\epsilon$ .

The 3D LHM can be obtained in the same way, for example, using the PC with the cubic symmetry proposed by Luo et al.[12]. This PC also has a negative group velocity near the point with no other bands at this frequency.

Thus, we have shown that the LHM can be readily obtained using only one dielectric PC that creates both negative  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$ . We have presented the physical explanation of this phenomenon in a 2D case. The working frequency can be easily changed in a wide range by changing the lattice constant and  $\epsilon_m$  of the PC. It is important for applications that the losses in a dielectric PC are much smaller than in a metallic one. We have found not only  $n$  but also  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  that are necessary to describe the dynamical properties of the interfaces. This is especially important for the creation of the Veselago lens, which requires no reflection at interfaces, thus imposing two matching conditions on  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$ . By matching  $n$  only one gets a modification of the Veselago lens with multiple foci (Fig. 3b) [21]. We realize, that we have not presented a method of matching the LHM with the surrounding medium. This matching is very easy in computational approach but it must be handled creatively on the way toward real devices.

We are grateful to Serge Luryi and Valy Vardeny for helpful discussions. The work has been funded by the NSF grant DMR-0102964.

---

Electronic address: efros@physics.utah.edu

[1] V.G.Veselago, Sov.Phys.-Solid State 8, 2854 (1967).

[2] L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1960).

[3] R.A.Shelby, D.R.Smith, and S.Schultz, Science 292, 77 (2001).

- [4] A . L . Pokrovsky and A . L . Efros, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 093901 (2002).
- [5] P . M . Valanju, R . M . Walser, and A . P . Valanju, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 187401 (2002).
- [6] D . R . Smith, S . Schultz, P . M . arkos, and C . M . Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B 65, 195104 (2002).
- [7] D . R . Smith, D . Schurig, and J . B . Pendry, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 2713 (2002).
- [8] N . Garcia and M . Nieto-Vesperinas, Opt. Lett. 27, 885 (2002).
- [9] H . Kosaka, T . Kawashima, A . Tomita, M . Notomi, T . Tamamura, T . Sato, and S . Kawakami, Phys. Rev. B 58, 10096 (1998).
- [10] M . Notomi, Phys. Rev. B 62, 10696 (2000).
- [11] C . Luo, S . G . Johnson, J . D . Joannopoulos, and J . B . Pendry, Phys. Rev. B 65, 201104(R) (2002).
- [12] C . Luo, S . G . Johnson, J . D . Joannopoulos, and J . B . Pendry, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 2352 (2002).
- [13] E . Cubukcu, K . Aydin, E . Ozbay, S . Foteinopolu, and C . M . Soukoulis, Nature 423, 604 (2003).
- [14] A . L . Pokrovsky and A . L . Efros, Solid State Comm. 124, 283 (2002).
- [15] All computations in this paper are performed using the commercially available software FEM - LAB.
- [16] <http://www.physics.utah.edu/efros>.
- [17] A . L . Pokrovsky and A . L . Efros, Physica B (accepted for publication). Preprint at cond-mat/0202078 (2002).
- [18] J . Joannopoulos, R . Meade, and J . Winn, Photonic crystals (Princeton Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995).
- [19] K . Sakoda, Optical properties of photonic crystals (Springer Verlag, 2001), p. 38.
- [20] E . O . Kane, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 1, 249 (1957).
- [21] A . L . Pokrovsky and A . L . Efros, Appl. Optics (in press). Preprint at cond-mat/0212312 (2002).




FIG . 1: The lowest bands of the 2D photonic crystal that is shown in the left bottom inset. The cylindrical hole radius is  $0.35d$ . Dashed line indicates the working frequency. Central insets show signs of electric field in a unit cell for bands 2, 3 at  $k = 0$ . Points M and X are at the boundary of the Brillouin zone in directions  $[1;1]$  and  $[1;0]$  respectively.






FIG. 2: Finding the values of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$  of PC using the propagation of EMW's from the 2D point source through the slab of the PC. Parameters of the homogeneous medium are  $\epsilon^0 = 1.2$ ,  $\mu^0 = 0.096$ . Black curves show the circles centered at the point source. One can see that EMW's in the homogeneous medium almost ignore the slab. We conclude from this that  $\epsilon = \epsilon^0$ ,  $\mu = \mu^0$ . Vectors  $S$  and  $k$  are opposite to each other as it should be in the LHM. The computations are performed by the finite-element method with perfectly matched layers at the boundaries.




FIG . 3: 3D imaging by the lenses based upon LHM . The objects are marked by bulbs. The negative refraction at the interfaces is obtained from electrodynamics conditions of continuity of the tangential component of  $\mathbf{k}$  and the normal component of  $\mathbf{S}$ , and from the main LHM property that the direction of  $\mathbf{S}$  is opposite to the direction of  $\mathbf{k}$  (shown by arrows). a) The lens proposed by Veselago (Veselago lens[1]). In this case  $\epsilon = -\epsilon'$ ,  $\mu = -\mu'$ , the reflected waves are absent and the lens has only one external focus. b) Multifocal lens[21] with  $\epsilon = -\epsilon'$ ,  $\mu = -\mu'$ . The multiple foci appear due to reflected waves.




FIG. 4: Computer simulation of the 2D Veselago lens. In this case  $\epsilon_0 = -j$ ,  $\mu_0 = j$ . The curves show the circles centered in the point source to the left of the PC slab and at the focus to the right of the slab. Details of the simulation are similar to Fig. 2.