M odi ed dynam ics of weakly coupled BEC's Josephson Junction (BJJ)

Yu-ping Huang, Zhen-sheng Yuan, Lin-fan Zhu, Lin-jiao Luo, Xiao-jing Liu,Ke-zun Xu

Laboratary of Bond Selective Chemistry, Department of Modern Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230027, China

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The tunnelling quantum dynamics of bim odal BJJ system is modiled through introducing an equilibrium condition, which is based on the assumption that the BJJ is tend to keep on its ground state (with a lowest energy) during the oscillation. The tunnelling dynamics of BJJ with symmetric and asymmetric traps is discussed through numerically solving the modiled equations. Stationary states are found to exist in the both BJJs. Compared to previous works, the macroscopic quantum self trapping (MQST) is auto-avoided. Meanwhile, it is revealed that the BJJ oscillates with its inherent frequency which is only related to the Josephson energy, which has been testiled experimentally in other contexts.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi, 74.50.+r, 05.30 Jp, 32.80 Pj

I. IN TRODUCTION

Since Bose-Einstein condensation was rst detected in 1995 [1], there have been rapid and critical developm ents in experim ental techniques [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In 1997, interference fringes in two overlapping condensates were observed [2]. Consequently in 1998, the superposition of condensed atoms in di erent hyper ne levels was created [3, 4]. And the evolution of the relative phase of two coupled condensates was measured by interferom etry techniques [5, 7]. In 2001, the direct observation of an oscillating atom ic current in one-dimensional array Josephson junctions was realized [6]. W ith these precise manipulation of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC's), it has enhanced the possibility of tailoring the new quantum systems to a degree not possible with other quantum systems, like super uid. And the studies of spatial coherence naturally raise the question of m easurem ent and exploration often poralphase coherence between two condensates.

Theoretically, with the approximation of noninteracting atom s and sm all-am plitude Josephson oscillations, som e aspects of tem poral phase coherence have been investigated in the context of BEC by means of Josephson junctions [8, 9]. Yet many important features of this subject remained to be explored. A nonexcitation system of interacting bosons con ned within an external potential can be described by a m acroscopic wave function, which has the meaning of an order param eter and satis es the nonlinear G ross-P itaevskii (GP) equation [10]. The sem iclassical tunnelling quantum dynam ics using GP equation has been studied [11]. And m any interesting phenom ena such as m acroscopic quantum self-trapping (MQST) [11, 12, 13] and states [11, 13] were predicted in a weakly coupled double BEC. In those works, the discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation (DNLSE) has served as a pow erful tool for describing the boson Josephson junction (BJJ) [3, 4]. But so far, m any investigations have cast doubts on the validity of the DNLSE for conserved quasiparticles interacting with a boson eld [14]. The objections are not to the

GP equation itself, but to the quasiparticle approximation instead. The earlier results at the condition of short time scales obtained through the DNLSE in the context of coupled quasiparticle-boson systems were veried by the fully quantum version of the BJJ tunnelling model [4, 5]. But on long time scales, the MQST in symmetric traps, which is predicted by DNLSE, was found to be destroyed in fully quantum dynamics [6].

As revealed in other context[14], the MQST (or zsym m etry breaking) in a translationally invariant H am iltonian is an artifact of the factorization assumption inherent in the sem iclassical dynam ics. In the DNLSE procedure, atom s are assumed to be localized in either of the traps with discrete wavefunctions. Then, the quantum states for the total BJJ system is the superposition of those eigenstates in each trap individually. Furtherm ore, the population distribution is determ ined through the calculation of time dependent Schrodinger equation. Compared to the fully quantum dynamics, in the sem iclassical description, the quantum therm odynam ic equilibrium for BJJ system is overlooked. And a stationary BJJ is not restricted to its ground state with a lowest energy. A consequent result is the artifact of MQST, which would not break down in the sem iclassical description even on the condition of long time scales.

C om pared to previous works, the BJJ is assumed to occupy its ground state in present study. And a corresponding lowest energy condition was deduced, based on which the sem iclassical dynam ics was modiled. The num erical calculation of the modiled dynam ics revealed that the MQST was auto-avoided. A lso, it was found that the BJJ oscillated with an inherent frequency, which was only related to the Josephson energy.

In the following Sec. II, the equilibrium behavior of BJJ is discussed, and the lowest energy state is found under a condition of a xed relationship between the population distribution and the quantum states of BEC's. In Sec. III, the sem iclassical dynamics is modiled by introducing the stationary condition into the DNLSE procedure. In Sec. IV, the tunnelling dynamics for BJJ with symmetric and asymmetric traps is discussed and some

num erical results are given.

II. THE EQUILIBRIUM BEHAVIOR OF W EAKLY COUPLED BJJ

The eective many-body Hamiltonian describing atom is BEC in a double-well trapping potential $V_{\rm trap}$ (r) can be written in the second-quantization form as [15]

$$\hat{H} = \frac{Z}{d^3 r^{\gamma}} \left[\frac{h^2}{2m} r^2 + V_{trap} \right]^2 + \frac{U_0}{2} \frac{Z}{d^3 r^{\gamma} r^{\gamma}} (1)$$

Here m is the atom icm ass and $U_0 = 4$ ah²=m (a denotes the s-wave scattering length, measuring the strength of the two-body atom ic interaction). The Heisenberg atom ic eld operators ^{^y} and [^] satisfy the standard bosonic commutation relation [^{^y}(r;t); [^](r⁰;t)] = (r r⁰). In the quasiparticle approximation, one can expand the eld operators [^] in term s of two localm odes

^(r;t)
$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \hat{a}_{i}(t) \\ & \hat{a}_{i}(t) \end{array}$$
 (2)

Here $[\hat{a}_{i}(t); \hat{a}_{i}^{y}(t)] = i_{j}$, and $i_{i}(r)$ stands for the local mode function of either well and satis es

Z
$$d^{3}r_{i}(r)_{i}(r)_{ij}$$
: (3)

Substituting Eq. (2) into H am iltonian (1), the two-m ode approximation of H is yielded

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1;2}^{V} (E_{i}^{0} \hat{a}_{i}^{y} \hat{a}_{i} + i \hat{a}_{i}^{y} \hat{a}_{i}^{y} \hat{a}_{i} \hat{a}_{i}) \qquad (J \hat{a}_{i}^{y} \hat{a}_{2} + J \hat{a}_{1} \hat{a}_{2}^{y}); (4)$$

where the param eters are estim ated by [12]

$$E_{i}^{0} = \frac{d^{3}r}{d^{3}r} \left[\frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + V_{trap}\right]_{i};$$

$$i = \frac{U_{0}}{2}\frac{d^{3}rj_{i}}{d^{3}rj_{i}};$$

$$J = \frac{d^{3}r[\frac{h^{2}}{2m}r_{1} + r_{2} + V_{trap}]_{2};$$
(5)

Here the interactions between atom s in di erent wells are neglected as in the weakly coupled BEC's. De ning two local num ber operators $\hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^y \hat{a}_i$, it is easy to verify that the total num ber operator $\hat{N} = \hat{a}_1^y \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^y \hat{a}_2 = N_T$ represents a conserved quantity. A fier neglecting the constant term, the two-mode H am iltonian \hat{H} can be rew ritten as[15]:

$$\hat{H}^{0} = E_{c} (\hat{n} - \hat{n}_{g})^{2} \quad (J\hat{a}_{1}\hat{a}_{2} + J - \hat{a}_{1}\hat{a}_{2});$$

$$\hat{n} = (\hat{n}_{1} - \hat{n}_{2}) = 2;$$

$$E_{c} = -1 + -2;$$

$$\hat{n}_{g} = \frac{1}{2E_{c}} [(E_{2}^{0} - E_{1}^{0}) + (N_{T} - 1)(1_{2})];$$

$$(6)$$

Here \hat{n} is the number di erence operator, E c is the \charging energy" and \hat{n}_g is known as the \gate charge" [16].

G enerally, the BJJ system woks in Fock regime, which gives E_c J, and H am iltonian (6) can be well approximated by

$$\hat{H}^{0} = E_{c} (\hat{n} + \hat{n}_{a})^{2} :$$
 (7)

A BJJ system in ground state should yield a minim ized energy (or say a maxim ized entropy) and the Ham iltonian (7) should be approximate zero, which gives

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{q} : \tag{8}$$

Eq. (8) denotes the relationship between the population distribution and \gate charge" of an stationary B JJ system in its energy ground state. It is important to notice that the \gate charge", which is related to the eigenstates of BEC in the either trap, is determined by two components, i.e. the boson-eld interaction and self interaction, as shown in Eq. (6). In the present work, the number di erence $\hat{n} = \hat{n}_g$ is not necessarily an integer, and the artifact of the factorization of assumption inherent in the sem iclassical dynam ics is autom atically avoided. In this work, the boson-eld interaction energy is considered as independent of the number di erence n, while the self interaction energy is shifted by the atom numbers in either trap [10].

III. MODIFIED TUNNELLING DYNAM ICS

In the DNLSE procedure, the wavefunction Eq. (2) is rewritten in the quasiparticle form, with

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \text{(r;t)} & _{i}(t) & _{i}(r); \\ q & \\ q & \\ 1;2 & (t) = & N_{1;2} & (t) e^{i_{1;2} & (t)}; \end{array}$$
(9)

where N and denote the population and phase shift of BJJ, and $_{\rm i}$ represents the local mode function ${\rm a}_{\rm i}$ (t). Then, the H am iltonian (6) is reformed to

$$ih \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{t}} = (E_{1}^{0} + {}_{1}N_{1})_{1} \quad J_{2};$$

$$ih \frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{t}} = (E_{2}^{0} + {}_{2}N_{2})_{2} \quad J_{1}: \quad (10)$$

D e ning the fractional population in balance and relative phase [2] as

$$z(t) = (N_{1}(t) N_{2}(t))=N_{T};$$

(t) = $_{1}(t) _{2}(t)$: (11)

Eq.(10) becom es

$$\underline{z}(t) = \frac{p}{1 - z(t)^{2}} \sin[(t)];$$

-(t) = E = (2J) + $\frac{z(t)}{1 - z(t)^{2}} \cos[(t)];$ (12)

Here t has been rescaled to a dimensionless time t2J=h. And E denotes the particle energy di erence between atom s in either traps, which acts as an asymmetric parameter in the tunnelling quantum dynamic of BJJ. In present work, the BJJ system is assumed to stay at its ground state. So the stationary condition Eq. (8) should be met. By introducing Eq. (8), Eq. (12) should be modi ed. It was found that the dynamic equations keep its form as shown by Eq. (12), but with the energy difference modi ed to

$$E = (E_1^0 E_2^0) = 2:$$
 (13)

And the total conserved energy is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2J} E z \frac{p}{1-z^2} \cos z$$
 (14)

Eq. (12) can be rewritten in the Hamiltonian form [11]

$$\frac{\Theta z}{\Theta t} = \frac{\Theta H}{\Theta};$$

$$\frac{\Theta}{\Theta t} = \frac{\Theta H}{\Theta z};$$
(15)

In deducing Eq. (15), we have put forward the fact that at low oscillation frequency, the BJJ system would stay in its lowest energy state, say ground state, and the population distribution would be related to the \gate charge". In the previous tunnelling quantum dynamic description of BJJ, the GP equation describing them eaneld dynam ics of a BEC is reformed to the bim odal discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation, and the calculation is carried out by solving self-consistent equation in each trap respectively [17]. It would bring about artifact since the equilibrium behavior has been overboked. And a consequent result of previous tunnelling dynam ics is the MQST [14]. Generally, MQST is based on the condition that the initial conserved energy

$$H_0 = H[z(0); (0)] > 1;$$
 (16)

where z(0) and (0) are the initial values of z and respectively. In the earlier work [11], the energy gap E is the function of population in balance z , which would result in some certain initial value of z satisfying Eq. (16). Thus the BJJ system would maintain in MQST state even at the condition of long tim e scales. C om pared to the previous work, a equilibrium condition Eq. (8) was introduced to modify DNLSE procedure in present work. It was found the artifact induced by DNLSE is autoavoided because in the BJJ with symmetric traps the 1 z cos 1 is satis ed by any expression $H_0 =$ given z (0) and (0). Naturally, because the tunnelling quantum dynam ics behavior is restricted by equilibrium condition, it is a self-consisted result of Eq. (8) and Eq. (12) that the oscillation frequency should be independent of initial condition z(0) and (0).

IV. TUNNELING DYNAM ICSOF BJJW ITH SYMMETRIC AND ASYMMETRIC TRAPS

A. The sym metric trap case

For a symmetric BEC Josephson Junction (BJJ), the motion equations (12) is given by

$$\underline{z}(t) = \frac{p}{1} \frac{z(t)^{2}}{z(t)^{2}} \sin[(t)];$$

-(t) = $\frac{z(t)}{1} \frac{z(t)^{2}}{z(t)^{2}} \cos[(t)];$ (17)

with a conserved energy $H = \frac{p}{1-z^2} \cos z$. The ground state is a symmetric stationary solution of Eq. (17), with a conserved energy and E = 1 and

The next stationary state with higher energy $E_+ = 1$ is an antisymmetric solution with

$$= (2n + 1)$$
;
z = 0: (19)

The imbalanced initial population, i.e. $z(0) \notin 0$, would result in an oscillating solution of Eq. (17), as shown in Fig. 1. It is seen that for a given z(0), the population imbalance oscillates around it's zero-value, and the z-sym m etry of bim ode BJJ is preserved. M eanwhile, the oscillation amplitude A is determined by the initial population imbalance z(0), i.e. A = jz(0)j.

B. The asym m etric trap case

W hen the trap is asymmetric, the energy di erence is not equal to zero, i.e. $E \in 0$. The numerical solution of



FIG.1: Population in balance as a function of dimensionless time t2J=h. The BJJ is of sym metric traps with initial relative phase (0) = 0, and the initial population in balance z (0) takes the values 0, 0.1, 0.4 and 0.7 respectively.

Eq. (12) is given in Fig2 with the trap asymmetry number E = 2J = 1 and z(0) = 0, 0.4, and 0.7 respectively. It is shown that the population in balance z(t) oscillates around itsm ean value z with an amplitude A = iz(0) z j. A lso the z(t) plotted against relative phase (t) is given in Fig3. It is expected that when z(0) = z = 0.447, oscillating amplitude would be zero, i.e. A = 0, and the BJJ system should arrive at a stationary state. The corresponding ground state is obtained with the symmetric phases,

$$z = 2n$$
;
 $z = z$; (20)



FIG.2: Population in balance as a function of dimensionless time t2J=h. The BJJ is of asymmetric traps with E = 2J =

0.5, and a initial relative phase (0) = 0, with the initial population in balance z (0) takes the value 0:1, 0:4, 0:447 and 0:7 respectively.



FIG. 3: Population in balance as a function of relative phase (t). The BJJ is of asymmetric traps with E = 2J = 0.5, and a initial relative phase (0) = 0. As the initial population in balance z (0) approach a mean value of about z = 0.45, the oscillating amplitude approach zero.

The next stationary state with higher conserved energy is given by

=

$$z = z;$$
 (21)

with antisym m etric phases. M oreover, as shown in Eq. (12), the stationary state m ay be arrived only on the condition of z(0) = z, and is independent of its initial relative phases.

It is important to notice that, both in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the oscillation frequency f is independent of either the trap asymmetry number E = 2J or the initial value z (0). It is the inherent character of B JJ, which is only related to the Josephson energy J, with

$$f / \frac{h}{J}$$
 (22)

Since Josephson energy is determined by the interwell potential height, it is experimentally feasible to testify the modi ed dynamics through Eq.22. In fact, it has provided a testi cation of the present scheme [18].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the tunnelling quantum dynam ics of bim odalBJJ system is modi ed under an assumption that the BEC's is tend to keep on the ground state with a lowest energy. And the lowest energy is determined by the population distribution. The dynam ics of BJJ with symmetric and asymmetric traps is discussed with the modi ed equations. Compared with previous works, the MQST is auto-avoided in this work, as has been testi ed by previous experim entalworks. A lso, it is revealed that the BJJ oscillates with its inherent frequency, which is only determ ined by the Josephson energy. This has been testi ed experim entally. To the end, it is necessary to point out that it remains to be seen how quasipaticle excitation and energy dissipation of BEC would a ect the tunnelling dynam ics.

A cknow ledgm ents

The discussion with P rof. Zeng-bing C hen is very helpful to this work. And supports by N ational N ature Sci-

- [1] M.H.Anderson, M.R.M atthews, C.E.W iem an, and E. A.Comell, Science 269, 198 (1995); K.B.Davis, M.O. Mewes, M.R.Andrews, N.J.van Druten, D.S.Durfee, D.M.Kum, and W.Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 3969 (1995); C.C.Bradley, C.A.Sackett, J.J.Tollett, and R.G.Hulet, ibid.bf75, 1687 (1995); E.Cornell, ibid.81, 1539 (1998).
- [2] M.R.Andrews, C.G.Townsend, H.-J. Miesner, D.S. Durfee, D.M.Kurn, and W.Ketterle, Science 275, 637 (1997).
- [3] D.M. Stam per-Kum, M.R. Andrews, A.P. Chikkatur, S. Inouye, H.-J.M iesner, J. Stenger, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2027 (1998).
- [4] D. M. Stam per-Kum, H. -J. M iesner, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Inouye, J. Stenger, and W. Ketterle, e-print condmat/9805022.
- [5] D.S.Hallet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1543 (1998).
- [6] F.S.Cataliotti, S.Burger, C.Fort et al, Science 293, 843 (2001).
- [7] D.S.Hall, M.R.Matthews, J.R.Ensher, C.E.W iem an, and E.A.Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1539 (1998).
- [8] J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 3164 (1986).

ence Fund of China (10134010) and the Youth Foundation of the University of Science and Technology of China are gratefully acknow ledged.

- [9] F.Dalfovo, L.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 54, 4213 (1996).
- [10] F.Dalfovo, S.Giogini, L.P.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [11] S. Raghavan, A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, and S. R. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. A 59, 620 (1999).
- [12] G.J.M ilburn, J.Corney, E.M. W right, and D.F.W alls, Phys. Rev. A 55, 4318 (1997).
- [13] A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S. R. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4950 (1997).
- [14] S.Raghavan, A.Sm erzi, and V.M.Kenkre, Phys.Rev. A 60, R1787 (1999).
- [L5] Zeng-Bing Chen and Yong-De Zhang, Phys. Rev. A 65, 022318 (2002).
- [16] Y. Makhlin, G. Schon, ans A. Shnim an, Reb. Mod. Phys. 73, 357 (2001).
- [17] J.W illiam s, R.W alser, J.Cooper, E.Cooper, and M. Holland, Phys. Rev. A 59, R 31 (1999).
- [18] F.S.Cataliotti, S.Burger, C.Fort, PM addaloni, etal Science, 293, 843 (2001).