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#### Abstract

W e study directed random graphs (random graphsw hose edges are directed) as they evolve in discrete tim e by the addition ofnodes and edges. For tw o distinct evolution strategies, one that forces the graph to a condition of near acyclicity at all tim es and another that allows the appearance of nontrivial directed cycles, we provide analytic and sim ulation results related to the distributions of degrees. W ithin the latter strategy, in particular, we investigate the appearance and behavior of the strong com ponents that w ere our sub ject in the rst part of this study.
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## 1 Introduction

In the rst part of this study [1], we considered random digraphs on a xed set of $n$ nodes w ith Poisson-distributed in-and out-degrees. For a w ide range of values for $z$ (the $m$ ean in- or out-degree of a node), we investigated the behavior of the strong com ponents of the digraph, which essentially are $m$ axim al subgraphs whose nodes can all.be reached from one another by follow ing

[^0]the directions of the edges. W e contributed analytic and sim ulation results to the special cases of cycle com ponents and knots. M ore speci cally, we dem onstrated that cycle com ponents are concentrated near $z=1$ and necessarily sm all, encom passing a num ber of nodes proportional to $\ln n$, while knots tend to occur only sparsely as size-one com ponents for very sm all values of $z$, then becom e practically absent as $z$ is increased, then nally occur again as a single knot that encom passes nearly all n nodes for larger values of $z$. T he latter transition is shapp and happens roughly at $z=\ln (2 n)$.

In this second part, our aim is to study the behavior of strong com ponents when the digraph is no longer static, but rather evolves in tim e. This type of study was also pioneered by E rdøs and Renyi [2], who considered undirected graphs on a xed set of nodes that progressively becom e m ore and $m$ ore interconnected by the random addition of edges between pairs of nodes, thus leading to a P oisson distribution of node degrees. R ecently, though, in an attem pt to m odel the netw orks that occur in $m$ any areas of interest, considerable e ort has been directed tow ards studying evolution scenarios in which nodes and edges $m$ ay enter and leave the netw ork continually. The reader is referred to the surveys in [3] for details related to several areas. O ne of the surveys [4] highlights the $m$ athem atics of a variety of such $m$ odels (e.g., 5]), $m$ any of which exhibit the power-law (as opposed to Poisson) distribution of degrees that is characteristic of netw orks that grow by som e sort of preferential (as opposed to random ) attachm ent of nodes to each other.

O ur focus is on the study of digraphs that evolve by the addition of new nodes, as well as new edges, in discrete tim e. A lso, although we do touch the issue of preferential attachm ents brie $y$ at one point, for the $m$ ost part we follow [1] and concentrate on the case of random connections. Even though som e of the $m$ odels discussed in the literature (cf. [3]) can be claim ed to include digraphs $\mid$ at least inherently $\mid$ we believe our em phasis on random connections in the evolution of digraphs covers new ground.

The follow ing notation is com $m$ on to all the rem aining sections. Fort 0 an integer, we let $D_{t}$ denote the digraph at timetand $N_{t}$, $w$ ith $n_{t}=\mathrm{N}_{t} j$ its node set. $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ is assum ed to have no nodes, $\mathrm{so}_{\mathrm{N}}=$; and $\mathrm{n}_{0}=0$. Unlike the static case [1], it is now necessary to consider in-and out-degrees separately. For node i, we let $d_{t}^{+}$(i) denote its in-degree at tim et and $d_{t}$ (i) its out-degree, $w$ th expected values $z_{t}^{+}$(i) and $z_{t}$ (i), respectively.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Section 2 we consider evolution scenarios in which the deploym ent of edges disallow s every nontrivial directed cycle. In Section 3 we modify the deploym ent rule in order to allow the appearance of arbitrary directed cycles, and with them nontrivial strong com ponents. C onclusions are given in Section 4.

2 N early acyclic evolution

### 2.1 A nalytic results

In the rst evolution scenario that we consider, at every tim e step $t>0$ a new node is added to the digraph, so we have $n_{t}=t . W$ e assum e that nodes are num bered consecutively from 1 as they enter the digraph, so node $i$ is the node added at tim et = i.W hen a node enters the digraph, a random num ber of edges is also added, all of them directed aw ay from the new ly added node. Except for the possibility of self-loops (an edge leading from a node to itself is called a self-loop), the digraph is at all tim es acyclic (i.e., has no directed cycles spanning $m$ ore than one node) and all its strong com ponents have one single node.

Forsome xed $z$, we start by considering the case in which an edge is deployed from node ito each of nodes 1;:::;i independently w ith probability $z=i$ (provided, of course, that $z \quad i$; for $z>i$, it $m$ ust be assum ed that $i$ is connected to all of $1 ;::: ; i)$. In this case, for su ciently large $i$ and $t i$, the out-degree $d_{t}(i)$ is clearly P oisson-distributed $w$ ith $m$ ean $z_{t}$ (i) $=z$.

As for the in-degree $d_{t}^{+}$(i), its expected value $z_{t}^{+}$(i) is, for su ciently large i and $t$ i, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{+}(i)=X_{u=i}^{\mathrm{X}^{t}} \frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} 1\right) \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $H_{m}=\ln m+$, with denoting Euler's constant [6]. Thus, for $i \quad 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{+}(i) \quad \ln \frac{t^{z}}{i}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to discover how $d_{t}^{+}(i)$ is distributed, let $P_{t}(i ; k)$ denote the probability that $d_{t}^{+}(i)=k$ for $t \quad i$ and $k \quad 0$. If $t \quad i+1<k$, then clearly $P(i ; k)=0$. O therw ise, for $k \quad t \quad i$ and $z \quad i ; t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(i ; k) \quad{\frac{P_{t}(i ; 0)^{h}}{k!}}_{z_{t}^{+}(i)^{i_{k}} ;, ~ ; ~}^{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we dem onstrate in A ppendix $A . P_{t}(i ; 0)$ can now be approxim ated from (3)
by sum $m$ ing $P_{t}(i ; k)$ over the appropriate range of $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad P_{t}(i ; 0){ }_{k=0}^{t^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}^{i+1}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}!}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}(\mathrm{i})^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}} \quad P_{\mathrm{t}}(i ; 0) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}(i)} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $P_{t}(i ; 0) \quad e^{z_{t}^{+}}$(i) and (3) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(i ; k) \frac{{ }^{h} z_{t}^{+}(i)^{i_{k}} e^{z_{t}^{+}(i)}}{k!} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Poisson distribution $w$ ith $m$ ean $z_{t}^{+}$(i).
D enoting the overall in-degree distribution by $P_{t}(k)$, we see that it can be estim ated by averaging (5) over all nodes, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(k) \quad \frac{1}{t}_{i=1}^{x^{t}} \frac{[z \ln (t=i)]^{k} e^{z \ln (t=i)}}{k!} \quad \frac{1}{z k!}{ }_{x=0}^{z \ln t} x^{k} e^{(1+1=z) x} d x ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{z} \ln (\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{i})$ (hence $\mathrm{di}=\quad(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dx}$ ). The integrand in (6) peaks at $x=k z=(z+1)$ with a nite value, so the integral can be extended to in nity, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(k) \quad \frac{1}{z k!}_{x=0}^{z+} x^{k} e^{(1+1=z) x} d x=\frac{z^{k}}{(z+1)^{k+1}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now tum to the case in which node $i$, upon entering the digraph at tim e $t=$ i, connects out to node j 2 f1;:::;ig w ith probability proportional to how $m$ any incom ing edges $j$ already has (plus 1 , to ensure nonzero probabilities to start $w$ ith ) while aim ing at the sam em ean out-degree $z$. In this case, an edge is deployed from $i$ to $j w$ ith probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{1+d_{t}^{+}(j)}{t+\sum_{u=1}^{t} d_{t}^{+}(u)} \text { ! } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }_{P}^{W}$ ith the understanding that $d_{t}^{+}(i)=0$, provided $z\left[1+d_{t}^{+}(j)\right] \quad t+$ ${ }_{u=1}^{t} d_{t}^{+}{ }_{1}(u)$ (when this does not hold, then the deploym ent is assum ed to take place w ith probability 1). W hile (8) trivially ensures that the expected value $z_{t}$ (i) of $d_{t}$ (i) is equal to $z$ for all that render the expression in (8) a legitim ate probability, the underlying distribution of the out-degree $d_{t}$ (i) is not so easily deduced, although by the results surveyed in [3] its average at
tim et over all the $t$ nodes already present in the digraph $m$ ust be proportional to $k$ for some $>0$.

The sam e holds for the average distribution $P_{t}(k)$ of the in-degrees $d_{t}^{+}$(i). The expected value $z_{t}^{+}$(i) of $d_{t}^{+}$(i), in tum, can be assessed as follow s. By (8), the expected probability that node $i$ connects out to node $j$ at tim $e t=i$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{1+z_{t}^{+}{ }_{1}(j)}{t+\underset{u=1}{t} z_{t 1}^{+}(u)}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, fort $\quad$ i, 舌 (i) obeys the recurrence

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{t}^{+}(i)= & z_{t 1}^{+}(i)+z \frac{1+z_{t}^{+}(i)}{t+\sum_{u=1}^{t} z_{t}^{+}(u)} \\
& t_{t}^{+}(i)+z \frac{1+z_{t 1}^{+}(i)}{t(z+1)} \\
= & 1+\frac{z}{t(z+1)} z_{t_{1}}^{+}(i)+\frac{z}{t(z+1)} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $z_{t}^{+}(i)=0$ for $t<i$.
It follow s from (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{+}(i)=Y_{x=i}^{y^{t}} 1+\frac{z}{x(z+1)}_{!}^{1:} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fort i 0, this solution can be approxim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{+} \text {(i) } \quad \frac{t}{i}^{\frac{z}{z+1}} \quad 1 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in Appendix B.

### 2.2 Results of sim ulations

W e have conducted extensive sim ulations to evaluate the results presented in Section 2.1 on the in-degree distributions when the digraph evolves in a nearly acyclic fashion. The results we present in this section are averages of the quantities of interest over a large num ber of repetitions.

Figures 1 and 2 refer to the case in which edges are deployed uniform ly, that is, the Poisson-distributed num ber of edges that outgo from each node as it


Fig. 1. A verage in-degree distribution in the nearly acyclic case for $i=10^{3}$ and $z=1 ; 4 ; 8 ; 12$ when edges are deployed uniform ly ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs). Solid plots give the analytic prediction of (5).
enters the digraph is directed uniform ly tow ards the nodes already present. $F$ igure 1 contains plots of $P_{t}(i ; k)$ from both the sim ulations and the analytic prediction of (5) for di erent values of $z$ and $t$, alw ays $w$ ith $i=10^{3}$. A s the plots indicate, agreem ent is very good throughout.

The plots ofF igure 2 all refer to $P_{t}(k)$, for which our analytic prediction is the one in (7). W e show data for $t=10^{4}$ and several values of $z$. $N$ otice that once again we obtain good agreem ent betw een sim ulation and analytic prediction, but the tw o start to separate as $k$ increases. The reason for this is clear: as $k$ increases, the peak of the integrand of (6), occurring at $x=k z=(z+1)$, shifts continually to the right, which renders the extension of the integral's upper lim it from the $z \ln t$ of (6) to in nity ever less justi able.

D ata for the case of preferential attachm ent, in which edges get directed towards nodes already in the digraph with probabilities that are proportional to how $m$ any incom ing edges those nodes already have, are given in $F$ igures 3 and 4.F igure 3 is given fort $=10^{4}$ and a set ofdi erent $z$ values, and con m s our expectation that in this case $P_{t}(k)$ is a power law .

For $i=10^{3}$ and a few di erent values of $z, F$ igure 4 contrasts sim ulation data for $z_{t}^{+}$(i) $w$ th the analytic prediction of (12). Evidently, the two agree very well.


Fig. 2. A verage in-degree distribution in the nearly acyclic case for $t=10^{4} \mathrm{w}$ hen edges are deployed uniform ly ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs). Solid plots give the analytic prediction of (7).


Fig. 3. A verage in-degree distribution in the nearly acyclic case for $t=10^{4} \mathrm{w}$ hen edges are deployed proportionally to the nodes' in-degrees ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs).

3 Evolution allow ing for cycles

### 3.1 A nalytic results

O ur second evolution scenario allows for the appearance of directed cycles other than self-loops by incorporating a probability, denoted by $p_{r}$, to control the replacem ent of certain edges by certain others. At each tim e step $t>0$, one of the follow ing two actions is selected to take place, recalling that the initial num ber of nodes is $n_{0}=0$ and that, as before, nodes are num bered consecutively from 1 as they enter the digraph:


Fig. 4. A verage in-degree in the nearly acyclic case for $i=10^{3}$ and $z=1 ; 4 ; 8 ; 12$ when edges are deployed proportionally to the nodes' in-degrees ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs). Solid plots give the analytic prediction of (12).
$W$ ith probability $p$, the num ber of nodes in the digraph rem ains the sam e , thus $n_{t}=n_{t}$. In addition, a node i for which $d_{t}^{+}{ }_{1}$ (i) $>0$ is selected and one of its incom ing edges, chosen random ly with a uniform distribution, is replaced by a new edge directed aw ay from i. Letting $n_{t}^{+}{ }_{1}$ be the num ber of nodes that have nonzero in-degrees at tim et 1 , the probability that node $i$ is selected is $1=n_{t}^{+}{ }_{1}$, provided its in-degree is nonzero.
W ith probability 1 p a new node, say $i$, is added to the digraph, so $n_{t}=n_{t}+1$.Then a new edge is deployed from ito each of the digraph's $n_{t}$ nodes $w$ ith probability $m$ infz $=n_{t} ; 1 g$.

Readily, for $p_{r}=0$ this evolution scenario is the one we considered rst in Section 2. For $p_{r}>0$, what it does is to induce the appearance of directed cycles, and consequently of nontrivial strong com ponents.

U nder these new rules, $n_{t}$ is no longer determ in istically equal to $t$, nor is node i necessarily the node added to the digraph at tim e $t=i$. Instead, $n_{t}$ is now a random variable w ith expected value (1 p)t, so the expected tim e step at which node $i$ is added to the digraph is $t=i=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & R\end{array}\right)$, even though this can happen as early as tim et= i. O nce node i enters the digraph and receives its P oisson-distributed num ber ofoutgoing edges, the process ofedge replacem ent acts to alter this num ber of edges random ly, so we still expect the out-degree $d_{t}$ (i) to be Poisson-distributed w th $m$ ean $z_{t}$ (i) $=z$.

A nalyzing the distribution of the in-degree $d_{t}^{+}$(i), though, is signi cantly m ore com plicated and is achieved by setting up a system of nite-di erence equations to describe its behavior. A $s$ in Section $2, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}(i ; k)$ continues to denote the distribution of in-degrees for node $i$ at time $t w$ th $t \quad i$ and $k \quad 0$. In what follow, $S$, we assum $e$ that node $i$ is already present in the digraph at tim et 1 .

W e do this for the sake of sim plicity, and indicate how to com pensate for it when we retum to this issue later in this section.

W e start w ith the $k>0$ case. $W$ th probability $1 \quad P_{1}, P_{t}(j ; k)$ is the sum of tw o probabilities, each corresponding to one of the follow ing m utually exchusive events: (i) the node added to the digraph at tim e tonnects out to node i; (ii) the node added to the digraph at tim e t does not connect out to node i. W ith probability $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$, three other m utually exclusive events $m$ ust be considered: (iii) node $i$ is the node selected to have one of its incom ing edges replaced; (iv) node $i$ is not the node selected to have one of its incom ing edges replaced, nor is the replacing edge incom ing to $i ;(\mathrm{v})$ node $i$ is not the node selected to have one of its incom ing edges replaced, but the replacing edge is incom ing to i.

Let us assum e that, when node i is the node selected to have one of its incom ing edges replaced, the probability that the random ly chosen replacing edge form s a self-loop, or connects out to a node tow ards which an edge from i already exists, is negligible. This is certain to hold as the digraph acquires m ore nodes, and allow sus to conclude the follow ing. In case ofevent (i) or ( v ), the in-degree of node $i$ is increased by 1 from tim et 1 to time $t$, while in cases (ii) and (iv) it rem ains the sam e and in case (iii) it is decreased by 1. Fork $>0, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{k})$ is then such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{t}(i ; k) \quad(1 \quad p)\left[m i n f z=n_{t} ; 1 g P_{t}(i ; k \quad 1)\right. \\
& \text { " } \left.\quad+_{!}\left(1 \quad m \operatorname{infz}=\eta_{z} ; 1 g\right) P_{t 1}(i ; k)\right] \\
& +p_{r} \frac{1}{n_{t 1}^{+}} P_{t 1}(i ; k+1) \\
& +1 \frac{1}{n_{t}^{+}} 1 \frac{1}{n_{t}} \quad P_{t 1}(i ; k) \\
& +1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t} 1}^{+}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 1}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1) ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $n_{t}=n_{t}$ in the case ofedge replacem ent at timet. For $n_{t} n_{t}^{+} \quad 1$, and approxim ating $n_{t}$ by its expected value ( $\left.1 \quad \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{t}$, (13) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t}(i ; k) \quad P_{t 1}(i ; k) \quad \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}!}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}^{+}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 1}(i ; k+1) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { P }
\end{array}\right)_{t}+\frac{p_{r}}{n_{t}^{+} 1}+\frac{p_{r}}{(1 \quad p) t} P_{t 1}(i ; k) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{P}
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{t}}+\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}}{(1 \mathrm{R}) \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 1}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1) ;(14)
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ ith $t=m$ in $f z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { R }\end{array}\right) t ; 1 g$.

For the case of $k=0$, the only $m$ eaningful possibilities are events (ii) $\{$ (iv), hence

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t}(i ; 0) \quad P_{t}(i ; 0) \quad \frac{p_{r}}{n_{t}^{+} 1} & P_{t 1}(i ; 1) \\
& (1 \quad \mathrm{P}) t_{t}+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}}{(1 \quad \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{t}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 1}(i ; 0): \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote in addition that, for $t>0$, it is also possible to approxim ate $n_{t}^{+}$by its expected value, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{t}^{+} \quad n_{t} \quad{ }_{j=1}^{x_{t}^{t}} P_{t}(j ; 0) \quad(1 \quad p) t{ }_{j=1}^{x^{t}} P_{t}(j ; 0) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $m$ ations give the expected num ber of nodes that have zero indegree at timet. The system of nite-di erence equations given for $k \quad 0$ by (14) $\{$ (16) can then be solved num erically for allt 1 and all $i \quad t$. The greatest possible in-degree for xed iand $t$ is $t$ i+ 1 , corresponding to the case in which node $i$ is added to the digraph at time step $i$ and acquires a new incom ing edge at every subsequent step, so k 2 f0;:::;t i+ 1g. All boundary conditions can be set to zero, and the value of $\mathrm{n}_{0}^{+}$is im m aterial, so long as it is set to som e nonzero constant.

In order to com pensate for the fact that the nite-di erence equations being solved for tim e $t$ and node i are based on the assum ption that node $i$ is in the digraph at timet 1 , and also to ensure that a nontrivial solution is obtained, we m ay heuristically correct the equations for all $t$, all i $t$, and every appropriate k , by adding adequate probability term sto re ect the appearance of edges. If $t$ (i) is the probability that node $i$ is added to the digraph at tim e t , then we add 1 k t (i) m infz=i; 1 g to (14), where 1 k is the K ronedker delta function for $k=1$, and $t(i)(1 \quad m$ infz $=i ; 1 g)$ to (15).

As for $t$ (i), it is given by

$$
\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{i})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{t} & 1  \tag{17}\\
i & 1
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right)^{i}{ }^{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{i} ;
$$

and thus adm its an approxim ation based on the P oisson distribution, that is,

$$
\left.t(i) \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{P}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{P} \tag{18}
\end{array}\right)(\mathrm{t} \quad 1) ; i \quad 1\right) ;
$$

where we recall that $P(x ; k)$ denotes the $P$ oisson distribution $w$ ith $m$ ean $x$ (cf. [1]).


F ig. 5. A verage out-degree distribution under directed-edge replacem ents for $i=10^{3}, z=1 ; 4 ; 8 ; 12$, and $p_{r}=0: 25 ; 0: 75\left(10^{5}\right.$ sim ulation nuns). Solid plots show the P oisson distribution $w$ ith $m$ ean given by the corresponding value of $z$.

### 3.2 Results of sim ulations

W e start w ith a presentation of sim ulation data intended to be confronted w ith our predictions in Section 3.1 regarding the distributions of in-and outdegrees when, during its evolution, the digraph is allow ed to have directed cycles other than self-loops by the action of edge replacem ents. T hese data are presented in $F$ igures 5 and 6 .
$F$ igure 5 contains plots for $p_{r}=0.25$ and $p_{r}=0: 75$, along $w$ ith a few values of $z$ and $t . E$ ach plot show sim ulation data on the distribution of the out-degree $d_{t}$ (i) of node $i=10^{3}$. In the gure, we use $Q_{t}(i ; k)$ to denote the probability that $d_{t}(i)=k$, and also show the corresponding Poisson distribution, P (z;k). As we m entioned earlier, our expectation is for P oisson-distributed out-degrees, because the num ber of outgoing edges a node is given upon entering the digraph is thus distributed, and from there onw ard all that the edge-replacem ent $m$ echanism does is to random ly alter the initial deploym ent ofedges. W e see in $F$ igure 5 that this is indeed the case to a very good degree of agreem ent betw een $Q_{t}(i ; k)$ and the P oisson distribution $w$ ith $m$ ean $z$.
$D$ ata for the in-degree distribution $P_{t}(i ; k)$ are shown in Figure 6 for $i=10^{3}$ and tw o values of $p_{r}\left(p_{r}=0: 25\right.$ and $\left.p_{r}=0: 75\right)$. A $l l p l o t s$ are show $n$ as functions

$F$ ig. 6. A verage in-degree distribution under directed-edge replacem ents for $i=10^{3}$, $\mathrm{z}=1 ; 4 ; 8 ; 12$, and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 25 ; 0: 75$ ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs). Panels placed side by side share the sam e value of $z$. Solid plots give the solution of the system of -nite-di erence equations in (14) \{ (16).
of $t$ for a few di erent values of $z$ and $k$. For each combination of $z$ and $k$ values, tw o plots are given, one for sim ulation data and another to depict the solution of the system of nite-di erence equations in (14) \{ (16) that fork 0 describes the behavior of $P_{t}(i ; k)$ for $x e d p_{r}$ and $z$. It is clear from the gure that agreem ent is very good between the tw o plots in all cases, w ith the very few exceptions of som $e$ of the higher values of $k$, but such discrepancies are the result of insu cient statistics for those particular values of $k$, despite the $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs. A greem ent is so good, in fact, that solving the system of equations becom es largely preferable to sim ulating the system a su ciently large num ber of tim es, since the latter is unavoidably slow er by several orders ofm agnitude.

A by-product of our sim ulations has been the em pirical nding that the expected value of $n_{t}^{+}$is $[z=(z+1)](1 \quad \mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{t}$, where we recall that $(1 \quad \mathrm{l}) \mathrm{t}$ is the expected value of $n_{t}$. Th his fact is very usefiul, since it decouples the calculation of $n_{t}^{+}$from that of the in-degree distributions of all nodes (cf. (16)). C onsequently, it becom es possible to solve the equations in (14) and (15) for only a selected set of nodes of interest.

W e now present a series of four gures, F igures $7\{10$, where we show data related to the behavior of the digraph's strong com ponents, which under the policy of edge replacem ent exist in nontrivial form. All the plots given in


Fig. 7. A verage num ber of strong com ponents $(\mathbb{N} S C)$, of cycle com ponents $(\mathbb{N} C C)$, and of knots ( $\mathrm{N} K$ ) as a function of $z$ for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 25 ; 0: 75$ ( $10^{5}$ sim ulation runs).
these gures are show $n$ as functions of $z$ for $p_{r}=0: 25, p_{r}=0: 75$, and a few relevant $t$ values. The data presented henceforth are then to be contrasted w ith the expectation we have from the static case studied in [1], since the dynam ic case is expected to becom e qualitatively equivalent to the static case for large enough $t$, so long as $p_{r}>0$ (the larger the value of $p_{r}$, the sooner the equivalence can be observed ast grow s). The intuition behind this statem ent is that, when edges are continually replaced, the passage of enough tim e steps is expected to add such a degree of random ization to the positioning of the edges that it becom es probabilistically indistinguishable from any positioning that could result if edges were deployed totally random ly to begin with, as in the static case.

Figure 7 is devoted to show ing how the num ber of strong com ponents behaved during the sim ulations we conducted, and also in particular the num ber of cycle com ponents and of knots. In the static case, the num ber of strong com ponents tends to one quidkly right past $z=1$ as $z$ is increased and the giant strong com ponent appears. In the dynam ic case we expect a sim ilar effect as both $z$ and $t$ are increased, that is, we expect the num ber of strong com ponents to be dram atically reduced. T his is w hat the gure dem onstrates, particularly for the higher value of $p_{r}$.

Regarding the num ber of cycle com ponents, in the static case it peaks at $\mathrm{z}=1$ and decreases rapidly to either side, eventually approaching zero. The
data in $F$ igure 7 tend to support the expected peak at $z=1$, but even for the higher value of $p_{r}$ a nonzero num ber of cycle com ponents, albeit $s m$ all, seem s to be sustained as both $z$ and $t$ grow. W ew ill see shortly that this is due to the presence of cycle com ponents that are self-loops, and presum e that it can be explained by dem onstrating that such com ponents have a non-negligible probability of appearing for sm all values of $t$, being on the other hand less likely to be pidked for replacem ent as $t$ increases.

In the static case, knots are expected to com prise one single node and to occur sparsely forvery sm allvalues of $z$, then to be altogether absent as $z$ is increased but stillkept below $\ln (2 n)$, and then to occur as a single, all-encom passing knot for $z>\ln (2 n)$. In the dynam ic case, we expect a sm ilarbehavior, including the $e$ ect around the threshold $z=\ln \left(2 n_{t}\right) \quad \ln (2(1 \quad \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{t})$. Taking $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 75$ and $t=8000$, for exam ple, yields $z=8: 3$, which agrees $w$ ell $w$ ith $w$ hat is show $n$ in $F$ igure 7. But the absence of knots for the interm ediate values of $z$ seem s too brief when contrasted with the expectation created by the static case. Retuming to the corresponding data in [1], speci cally Figure 6, we see that our expectation was buitt on one single sim ulation nun, which $m$ ay have been the cause for the seem ingly larger intervals of $z$ inside which knots were totally absent.

These observations are com plem ented by the data shown in F igures 8\{10, where $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um sizes are show $n$ for the strong com ponents in general, the cycle com ponents, and the knots, respectively, observed during the $\operatorname{sim}$ ulations. A s show $n$ in $F$ igure 8, them inim um size of a strong com ponent is one, particularly as $t$ grow $s$, while the $m$ axim um approaches $n_{t} \quad(1 \quad p) t$ as $z$ grow $s$ aw ay from one, re ecting the expected appearance of the giant strong com ponent com prising all $n_{t}$ nodes.
$M$ inim um and $m$ axim um sizes observed for the cycle com ponents are as show $n$ in $F$ igure 9. A side for a sm all vicinity near $z=1$, where sizes larger than one occur, cycle com ponents have size one for all values of $t$. This lends support to our earlier suspicion that the cycle com ponents still persisting as both $z$ and $t$ grow are in fact size-one com ponents.

The case of knots is ilhustrated by the data in F igure 10. It show s that the single knot that appears suddenly roughly around $z=\ln (2(1 \quad \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{t})$ is indeed all-encom passing, as its size tends to $n_{t} \quad(1 \quad p)$ t as $z$ grow $s$, thus con $m$ ing our expectations from the static case.


Fig. 8. A verage $m$ inim um and $m$ axim um sizes of strong components (SC) as a function of $z$ for $p_{r}=0: 25 ; 0: 75\left(10^{5} \mathrm{sim} u\right.$ lation runs).

$F$ ig. 9. A verage $m$ in im um and $m$ axim um sizes of cycle com ponents ( $C C$ ) as a function of $z$ for $p_{r}=0: 25 ; 0: 75\left(10^{5}\right.$ simulation nuns).


F ig. 10. A verage m inim $u m$ and $m$ axim um sizes of knots as a function of $z$ for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 25 ; 0: 75$ ( $10^{5}$ simulation runs).

W e have in this paper considered random digraphs that grow in discrete tim e by the continual addition of new nodes and edges. W ithin this context, we started w ith a study of digraphs that rem ain nearly acyclic at all tim es as nodes are given a P oisson-distributed num ber of outgoing edges upon entering the digraph. For tw o m odes of attachm ent to the nodes already in the digraph, uniform and preferential, we contributed analytic and sim ulation results that describe the digraph's degree distributions.

In the second part of the paper, we allowed edges to be random ly replaced during the evolution of the digraph, aim ing at allow ing nontrivialstrong com ponents to appear. In this case, too, we contributed analytic and sim ulation results, w ith em phasis on the introduction ofa system of nite-di erence equations for the com putation of a node's in-degree distribution at all tim es. W e nalized by retuming to the strong com ponents that were our main sub ject in [1] and investigated their appearance and behavior along the evolution of the digraph.

W em ention that it is possible to extend our study of the evolution of digraph and its strong com ponents to, in principle, all kinds of connection rules and evolution strategies, particularly those that have special signi cance within a
certain application area. T he ones we studied were ultim ately targeted at the study of strong com ponents, and for this reason the absence or presence of directed cycles, as well as the conditions for their appearance during the evolution, have been crucially im portant. O ther guiding principles will certainly exist as the focus is shifted by som e other m otivation.

A D erivation of (3)

N ote rst that, for each subset of fi;:::;tg com prising $k$ nodes, $P_{t}(i ; k)$ expresses the probability that an edge exists directed from each of those $k$ nodes to node $i$, but not from any of the rem aining $t \quad i+1 \quad k$ nodes of fi; :::;tg. Let C denote the set of all partitions (C ; C ) of fi;:::;tg such that C contains exactly $k$ nodes. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\begin{array}{cccccccc}
X & Y & 1 & 1 & \frac{Z}{X} & Y & 1 & \frac{Z}{X} \\
(C ; C) 2 C \times 2 C
\end{array} \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $P_{t}(i ; 0)={ }_{x=i}^{t_{x}}(1 \quad z=x)$, we can write (A.1) as

Fork $t \quad i$, in (A 2) we can use the approxim ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{{\underset{k}{i+1}}_{t \underset{j}{i+1}} \quad \frac{j!(t}{i+1)^{k}}}{k!(t} \quad i+1\right)^{j} \tag{A,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{j!} t \quad i+1+\ln \frac{t z}{i z^{z}}{ }^{j} ; \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

which yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{t}(i ; k) \quad \frac{P}{t}(i ; 0)_{k!}^{j=0}{ }_{j}^{k} j^{!}\left(t+i \quad 1^{k}\right)^{j} t \quad i+1+\ln \frac{t \quad z}{i z^{z} l^{j}} \\
& =\frac{P_{t}(i ; 0)}{k!} \ln \frac{t \quad z}{i z^{z k}}{ }^{z k} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and, for $z \quad i ; t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(i ; k) \quad \frac{P_{t}(i ; 0)}{k!} \ln \frac{t}{i}^{z k} \quad{\frac{P_{t}(i ; 0)}{k!}}_{z_{t}^{+}}^{z^{+}}{ }^{i_{k}} \tag{A..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2).

B D erivation of (12)

From (11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{t}^{+}(i)=y_{x=i}^{y^{t}} 1+\frac{z}{x(z+1)} \quad 1 \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Fort i 0, in (B.1) the innerm ost sum $m$ ation can be approxim ated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{j!} x_{x=i}^{t} \frac{1}{x}^{!j} \frac{1}{j!} \ln \frac{t}{i}^{j} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus yielding, for i 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{+} \text {(i) } \quad{ }^{t} X^{i+1} \frac{1}{j=0} \frac{t^{\prime}}{j^{\frac{z}{z+1}}} \frac{\#_{j}}{i} \quad 1 \quad \frac{t^{\frac{z}{z+1}}}{\frac{i}{z}} \quad 1: \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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