Fractional generalization of the G in zburg-Landau equation: A n unconventional approach to critical phenom ena in com plex m edia

A.V.M ilovanov

Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 84/32 Profsoyuznaya street, 117997 Moscow, Russia

J. Juul Rasmussen

Department of Optics and Plasma Research, Ris National Laboratory, OPL-128, DK-4000 Roskible, Denmark

## A bstract

Equations built on fractional derivatives prove to be a powerful tool in the description of complex systems when the e ects of singularity, fractal supports, and long-range dependence play a role. In this paper, we advocate an application of the fractional derivative form alism to a fairly general class of critical phenom ena when the organization of the system near the phase transition point is in uenced by a competing nonlocal ordering. Fractionalm odi cations of the free energy functional at criticality and of the widely known G inzburg-Landau equation central to the classical Landau theory of second-type phase transitions are discussed in some detail. An implication of the fractional G inzburg-Landau equation is a renorm alization of the transition temperature ow ing to the nonlocality present.

Keywords: fractionalkinetics, phase transition, long-range dependence PACS: 7420De, 64.60Ak, 05.70Fh, 64.60.-i, 63.22.+m

The form ulation of fractional kinetics [1] has led to a considerable progress in our vision of complex systems at the microscopic level. The use of fractional derivative operators unconventional analytical tools extending the familiar high-school calculus to a broader class of mathematical objects has been recognized in the modern physics [2] owing to its elegance and the proximity

Corresponding author.

Em ailaddress: amilovan@mx.iki.rssi.ru (A.V.M ilovanov).

to the standard, well established algorithm s. The fractional kinetic equations dealing with generalized derivatives in space and time incorporate in a natural, uni ed way the key features of non-G aussianity and long-range dependence that offen break down the restrictive assumptions of locality and lack of correlations underlying the conventional statistical mechanical paradigm. From a probabilistic standpoint, fractional kinetics extends G aussian stochastic processes (i.e., Brownian random walks) by taking into account long-range correlated events in the tail of the probability density function. Such events dom inate, for instance, Levy-type processes  $\beta$  and fractal time random walks (FTRW 's) [4], the sim plest m odel realizations accounting for anom abus transport phenom ena in turbulent m edia [5]. M anifestations of fractional kinetics have been found in, e.g., point vortex ows [6], low con nem ent mode plasm as [7], non-Gaussianity of uctuations measured in the edge and scrape-o layer region of fusion devices [8], etc. A comprehension of the essential role played by FTRW and Levy statistics in the microscopic description of turbulence and chaos stipulated fractional generalizations of the di usion and Fokker-Planck-Kolm opprovequations, discussed in a series of publications [9,10,11,12,13]. Beside the theory of turbulent di usion, applications of fractional kinetics concern the fractional K ram ers problem [14], relaxation in polym er system s and rebinding phenom ena in proteins [15], scale-invariance and universality near a phase transition point [16], cosm ic rays acceleration [17], the dynam ics of fracton excitations [18], including modulational instability [19] and self-focusing of waves on fractals [20], and m any other realizations [2]. The current state of the art is sum m arized in review articles [19,21,22].

In this Letter, we advocate an application of the fractional derivative form alism to the therm odynam ics of second-type phase transitions in the presence of a coexisting nonlocal ordering which m ay in uence the properties of the basic \symmetric" phase below the transition point. As an example, we mention highly correlated electron liquid states, such as electron liquids with fractionally charged excitations [23], as well as high-tem perature superconducting uid phases in copper-oxide com pounds and their derivatives [24] where the nonlocal ordering can be associated with the so-called \stripy" order [25]. A growing evidence of stripes and of their role in the superconducting transition at high tem peratures is a hot topic in condensed matter research [26].

In what follows, we imply a phase diagram which accommodates two them odynamically distinct phases, the \symmetric" (superconducting) phase below the transition temperature  $T_c$ , and \asymmetric" (normal) phase above the  $T_c$ . A susual, the deviation between the symmetric and normal phases for  $T ! T_c$ is characterized by the order parameter, = (x), which is assumed to be an analytical function of a 1-dimensional coordinate variable, x.

Our study has two interconnected goals. First, we suggest that an interaction between the order and a coexisting nonlocal ordering can be characterized

by a fractional generalization of the free energy expansion near the transition point. Second, we demonstrate that the order parameter obeys an integrodi erential equation which can be fairly considered as a fractional extension of the widely known G inzburg-Landau equation, central to the classical Landau theory of second-type phase transitions. This unconventional, fractional equation incorporates in an analytically appealing way the elect of long-range dependence posed by the underlying nonlocal ordering.

W e start up with the conventional free energy expansion (R efs. [27] and [28])

$$F = F_{n} + \int_{1}^{z_{1}} dx \frac{h^{2}}{4m} jr_{x} j^{2} + aj j^{2} + \frac{b}{2} j j^{4}$$
(1)

in vicinity of the critical point T !  $T_c$ . The term marked by  $F_n$  denotes the contribution from the normal phase. Equation (1) concerns with the real-space derivative  $r_x$  along the coordinate x. For the sake of simplicity, we shall also use the notation  ${}^0_x$  for  $r_x$ . The coe cient  $a = (T T_c)$  in Eq. (1) is proportional to the deviation between T and  $T_c$ . Note that  $a = (T T_c)$  changes sign at criticality: This behavior does not depend on the nature of the symmetric and normal phases and mirrors the generic features of topology of the phase diagram. The system speci c information is contained in the parameters and b. The value of > 0 in accordance with the fact that the symmetric phase occurs below  $T_c$ . The coe cient b > 0 depends solely on the mass density of the material (but not on the therm odynam ic temperature T). The bulk distribution of the order parameter extrem izes the free energy functional in Eq. (1), leading to the classical G inzburg-Landau equation [28]

$$\frac{h^2}{4m}r_x^2 + a + bj f = 0:$$
 (2)

The key issue about Eq. (2) is the in nitesimal local character of the

(x) variation, manifest in the assumption that only a dimential contribution /  $jr_x \quad j$  in the free energy density comes into play for T !  $T_c$ . Statistically, this means that the blobs of the symmetric phase appear at random throughout the material as the temperature T approaches the critical range. The property of random ness is explicit from the convolution of  $r_x$  with a G aussian G (x) = exp [  $x^2 = j = -$ , where is the correlation (coarse-graining) length, physically corresponding to the typical size of the blobs:

$$r_{x} = G(x) = dy_{y}^{0}G(x = y)$$
: (3)

At length scales x large compared to , the Gaussian G (x) in Eq. (3) can be

fairly approximated by the D irac delta function (x), yielding  $r_x = G(x)$ !  $r_x = (x)$ . The convolution  $r_x = (x)$  is then exactly the local derivative  $r_x = \frac{0}{x}$ .

We now turn to the order parameter = = = (x) for the coexisting nonlocal symmetry. The interaction between and = orders may be envisaged as a nonrandom appearance of the \superconducting" blobs for T !  $T_c$  over a broad range of scales x . In this connection, the parameter = (x) acquires the role of the Gaussian G (x) ! (x) in Eq. (3). Replacing (x) by = (x), one encounters the convolution  $r_x = (x)$ , which substitutes the local derivative  $r_x$  (x) in the free energy expansion (1). We may now postulate the free energy expansion for a long-range correlated therm odynam ical system at criticality in the generalized form

$$F = F_n + dx A_{\pm} jr_x = {}^{2}j + a_{\pm} jj' + \frac{1}{2}b_{\pm} jj'$$
(4)

where = = (x) quanti es the underlying nonlocal symmetry. The coe cients  $A_{=}$ ,  $a_{=}$ , and  $b_{=}$  introduced in Eq. (4) replace  $h^2=4m$ , a, and b, respectively, in the conventional free energy expansion in Eq. (1).

O ur further interest is on the speci c type of nonlocality consistent with a self-sim ilar fractal organization. The focus on fractals [29] is motivated by a general tendency of complex systems to reveal, at or near a critical point, scale-invariant dynam ical properties [16]. The phenom enon is often associated with the issue of self-organized criticality [30]. Self-organized critical behavior, due to multiscale Josephson coupling of the superconducting domains, was advocated for granular and polycrystalline superconductors in Ref. [31]. In the fram ework of our study, we assume the nonlocal symmetry has fractal support considered as a C antor set [29] on the 1-dimensional Euclidean axis x. The fractal geometry of the support appears in the power-law behavior of the order parameter = : This behavior, in turn, may be identied with the scaling of the two-point correlation function for the fractal distribution [29]:

$$= (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{y}) = =_0 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{y} \mathbf{j}$$
(5)

where  $=_0$  is a norm alization constant. The power exponent in Eq. (5) can further be expressed in terms of the Hausdor fractal dimension  $d_f$  of the Cantor set:

 $= 1 \quad d_{\underline{f}} : \tag{6}$ 

By its de nition [29], the Hausdor dimension of a Cantor set ranges from 0 to 1. In the latter case, the set occupies the entire Euclidean axis x. A coordingly,

the power exponent varies from a maximal value equal 1 to a minimal value equal 0. In view of Eq. (5), the convolution  $r_x = (x)$  becomes

$$r_{x} = (x) = \frac{z^{1}}{z} dy y_{y}^{0} j k y j :$$
 (7)

The integration in Eq. (7) can be expressed in a suitable compact form by using the notion of a fractional derivative [32]. In fact, integrating by parts in Eq. (7), one gets

$$r_{x} = (x) = = \frac{z^{1}}{0} dy (y) r_{y} j x y j :$$
 (8)

The operation  $r_y$  applied to  $j_x$  yj is equivalent with the derivative  $r_x$  over the parameter x: This derivative can then be taken out of the integral sign, yielding

$$r_{x} = (x) = \exists r_{x} dy (y) jx yj :$$
  
(9)

Splitting the integration from 1 to + 1 into two integrals, from 1 to x and from x to + 1, and taking into account the rejection symmetry (y) = (y) for the order, from Eq. (9) one obtains

$$r_x = (x) = 2_{\overline{0}}r_x dy (y) (x y) :$$
 (10)

Setting the norm alization  $2=_0 = 1= (1 )$  in Eq. (5), we ndr  $_x = (x)$  r  $_x$  , where

$$r_{x} = \frac{1}{(1)} r_{x} dy (y) (x y)$$
 (11)

is exactly the Riesz de nition [32] of the fractional derivative of order 0 < 1, and denotes the Euler gamma function. Note that  $r_x$  is integrodi erential operator for all 0 < < 1. In the \integer" limit of ! 1, the operation in Eq. (11) is equivalent with the conventional rst-order derivative  $r_x$ : The proof rests on the Abel identities discussed in Ref. [33]. In term s of fractional derivatives, the free energy expansion in Eq. (4) reads

$$F = F_{n} + \frac{dx}{1} A jr_{x} j^{2} + a j j^{2} + \frac{1}{2} b j j^{4} :$$
(12)

Here we changed the subscript = to everywhere in  $A_{=}$ ,  $a_{=}$ , and  $b_{-}$ . Expression (12) leads to the issue of a fractional G inzburg-Landau equation, as we now proceed to show. In fact, varying the integral in Eq. (12) over the complex conjugate and considering and as independent order parameters, we have

$$F = dx A r_{x} r_{x} + a + bj \overset{i}{j} : \qquad (13)$$

Making use of the integration-by-part form ula [32]

from Eq. (13) one arrives at

$$F = dx A r_{x}r_{x} + a + bjf$$
(15)

yielding, in view of the extrem um F = 0,

$$A r_{x}r_{x} + a + bjf = 0:$$
(16)

Varying the integral in Eq. (12) over leads to the conjugate equation

 $A r_{x}r_{x} + a + bj_{j}^{2} = 0$  (17)

which is physically identical to Eq. (16). Equation (16) can be considered as a fractional generalization of the G inzburg-Landau Eq. (2). The fractional G inzburg-Landau Eq. (16) determ ines the bulk distribution of the order param eter in the presence of a coexisting nonlocal symmetry, whose support is a C antor set of the H ausdor dimension  $d_f = 1$ . The coe cient A consistent with the fractional dimension in Eqs. (12) and (16) could be dened by

$$A = {}^{2} {}^{2} \frac{h^{2}}{4m}$$
(18)

where is the microscopic correlation length. In the conventional case of ! 1, the value of A reduces to  $h^2=4m$ .

Let us now discuss a likely form for the coe cient a in Eq. (16).W ithout loss of generality, we may admit that a is a linear function on therm odynamic temperature T. (W e assume that all explicit nonlinearities have already been allocated to a single term traced by b.) Let a cross zero at some T = T, i.e.,

a = (T T): (19)

Equation (19) is a simplest extension of the conventional form  $u \mid a = (T \mid a)$ T\_) central to the classical Landau theory (Refs. [27] and [28]). An important issue about Eq. (19) is that the cross-over tem perature T where a changes sign may not coincide with the transition temperature  $T_c$  when the e ects of nonlocality play a role (i.e., when the index is smaller than 1). From the standpoint of a form all derivation, the value of  $T_c$  enabling the occurrence of a nontrivial order in the presence of the competing nonlocal symmetry decouples from T owing to the fractional nature of the Riesz operators r  $_{x}$ and r  $_{\rm x}$  which integrate the response from the heavy-tailed correlation funcy) in Eqs. (11) and (16) and thereby contribute (along with the tion = (x)parameter a ) into an amplitude in front of . (This amplitude then balances the nonlinear term b j j below the transition point.) Physically, the deviation between  $T_c$  and  $T_c$  has the sense of a characteristic energy of correlations contained in the fractional Laplacian, A r <sub>x</sub> r <sub>x</sub>. By order of m agnitude,

 $T_{c} T A = {}^{2} {}^{2} (1)$  (20)

where is the Euler gam ma function. As tends to 1, the deviation in Eq. (20) vanishes: (1) ! 1 leading to T !  $T_c$ . The conventional expression  $a = (T T_c)$  is then recovered from Eq. (19). In this limit, the nonlocal symmetry con nes on a set whose Hausdor measure is zero, i.e.,  $d_f$  ! 0 for ! 1. This behavior reproduces the assumptions of the classical theory [28].

In the opposite limit of ! 0, the fractal support underlying the nonlocal symmetry extends to the whole of the 1-dimensional coordinate space whose Hausdor measure is now maximized to be  $d_f !$  1. This almost regular distribution bears features enabling to associate it with a \stripe" [25], a long-range ordering that seem s to mediate superconductivity in complex materials such as copper-oxide compounds and their derivatives [26].

As ! 0, the gam m a function in Eq. (20) drops to a m inim al value equal 1, enabling a considerable deviation between  $T_c$  and T. It can be conjectured that, in general, this deviation accounts for the \anom alous" transition

tem peratures observed in high-tem perature superconductors. A self-consistent estim ate of the parameter  $T_c$  m ay then be obtained from Eq. (20). A key step is the expression (Refs. [28] and [34])

$$(T_c = T_F)$$
 (21)

which relates the quantity to the system specic characteristics such as electron energy at the Ferm iedge, " $_{\rm F}$  (typically, of the order of few eV). The coe cient in Eq. (21) depends on the nature of the microscopic pairing mechanism (e.g., phonon, fracton, or exciton) (see Refs. [18] and [35]). In the case of phonons acoustic or (quasi)acoustic modes serving as an interface for the conventional Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) picture of superconductivity in regular crystals [36] the value of 7 (Refs. [28] and [34]), but it can be much smaller (of the order of 1 or even less) in the Little's exciton scenario, in which phonons are replaced by other electrons [37]. Combining Eqs. (20) and (21), we nd, in the extreme of ! 0,

$$T_{c} = \frac{h}{2} \left[ \frac{r}{m} \right]_{F}$$
(22)

T. Assuming, further, the correlation length provided that T<sub>c</sub> is of the range typically found for the high-tem perature superconducnanoscales from Eq. (22) one concludes a characteristic transition tem perature tors [38] 100 K where the estimate 7 has been used. Non-BCS pairing pro- $T_{c}$ cesses corresponding to smaller values of would imply a considerably higher critical tem perature T<sub>c</sub>, up to room -tem perature values. A feasible role of such processes in two-dimensional electron system swith multi-scale long-range correlations is addressed in Refs. [35] and [39]. A fractional kinetics constituent in the occurrence of the ensuing superconducting uid state will be the subject of a forthcom ing publication. We believe this constituent is crucial for the unconventional superconductivity in materials with complex microscopic organization.

A.V.M. is grateful to G.M. Zaslavsky for illum inating discussions on different aspects of fractional kinetics. This study was sponsored by the Science Support Foundation, INTAS G rant 03-51-3738, RFBR project 03-02-16967, and \Scienti c School" G rant 1739.2003.2.

## References

 M.F.Shlesinger, G.M.Zaslavsky, and J.K lafter, Nature (London) 363, 31 (1993).

- [2] R.Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics (W orld Scienti c, R iver Edge, N.J., 2000).
- [3] E.W. Montrolland M.F. Shlesinger, in Studies in Statistical Mechanics, edited by J. Lebow itz and E.W. Montroll (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), Vol. 11, p.1.
- [4] B.D.Hughes, E.W. Montroll, and M.F. Shlesinger, J. Stat. Phys. 28, 111 (1982).
- [5] J.K lafter, M.F.Shlesinger, and G.Zum ofen, Phys. Today 49, 33 (1996).
- [6] X. Leoncini, L. Kuznetsov, and G. M. Zaslavsky, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 19, 259 (2004).
- [7] B. A. Carreras, IEEE Transactions of Plasma Science 25, 1281 (1997); G. Zimbardo, Commun. in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 8, 443 (2003).
- [8] G.M.Zaslavsky, M.Edelman, H.W. eitzner, B.Carreras, G.M.CKee, et al., Phys. Plasmas 7, 3691 (2000); B.A.Carreras, V.E.Lynch, and B.LaBom bard, Phys. Plasmas 8, 3702 (2001).
- [9] M.Giona and H.E.Roman, J.Phys. A 185, 87 (1992).
- [10] G.M. Zaslavsky, Chaos 4, 25 (1994); Physica D 76, 110 (1994).
- [11] A. Saichev and G. M. Zaslavsky, Chaos 7, 753 (1997).
- [12] H.Weitzner and G.M. Zaslavsky, Chaos 11, 384 (2001).
- [13] A.V.M ilovanov, Phys. Rev. E 63, 047301 (2001).
- [14] I.M. Sokolov, J.K lafter, and A.Blum en, Phys. Today 55, 48 (2002).
- [15] W.G.Glockle and T.F.Nonnenm acher, J.Stat. Phys. 71, 755 (1993).
- [16] P.C. Hohenberg and B.I.Galperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 435 (1977); J.L. MoCauley, Phys. Rep. 189, 225 (1990).
- [17] A.V.M ilovanov and L.M. Zelenyi, Phys. Rev. E 64, 052101 (2001).
- [18] T. Nakayama, K. Yakubo, and R. L. Orbach, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 381 (1994);
   A. V. Milovanov and J. J. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 134505 (2002).
- [19] L.M. Zelenyi and A.V. Milovanov, Physics-U spekhi 174, 809 (2004).
- [20] H.W eitzner and G.M. Zaslavsky, Commun.Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 8, 273 (2003).
- [21] R.M etzler and J.K lafter, Phys. Rep. 339, 1 (2000).
- [22]G.M.Zaslavsky, Phys.Rep. 371, 461 (2002).
- [23] R.B.Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).

[24] J.G. Bednorz and K.A. Muller, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 64, 189 (1986).

- [25] A. Cho, Phys. Rev. Focus 9, story 12 (2002).
- [26] J.Zaanen, J.Phys. Chem. Solids 59, 1769 (1998); E.W. Carlson, D.Orgad, S. A.Kivelson, and V.J.Emery, Phys. Rev. B 62, 3422 (2000); S.A.Kivelson, D.H.Lee, E.Fradkin, and V.Oganesyan, Phys. Rev. B 66, 144516 (2002); Y. Ando, K.Segawa, S.Komiya, and A.N.Lavrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137005 (2002).
- [27] V.L.G inzburg and L.D.Landau, JETP. (USSR) 20, 1064 (1950).
- [28] R. P. Feynm an, Statistical M echanics (Benjam in, M assachusetts, 1972); E. M. Lifshitz and L.P.P itaevsky, Theoretical Physics. Volum e IX : Statistical Physics (N auka, M oscow, 1978).
- [29] J. Feder, Fractals (Plenum, New York, 1988); M. Schroeder, Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws (W. H. Freeman, New York, 1991).
- [30] P. Bak, C. Tang, and K. W iesenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 381 (1987); Phys. Rev. A 38, 364 (1988); H. J. Jensen, Self-Organized Criticality (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [31] S.L.G inzburg and N.E.Savitskaya, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026128 (2002).
- [32] K.B.Oldham and J.Spanier, The Fractional Calculus (A cadem ic P ress, San D iego, 1974); S.G.Sam ko, A.A.K ilbas, and O.I.M arichev, Fractional Integrals and D erivatives and Their Applications (N auka i Tekhnika, M insk, 1987) (translated by H arwood A cadem ic); K.S.M iller and B.Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional D i erential Equations (W iley, New York, 1993).
- [33] V.S.V ladim irov, Equations of M athem atical Physics (Nauka, M oscow, 1988).
- [34] L.P.Gorkov, JETP (USSR) 9, 1364 (1959).
- [35] H. Buttner and A. Blum en, Nature 329, 700 (1987).
- [36] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrie er, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [37] W .A.Little, J.Polym.Sci., Part C: Polym.Symp.29, 17 (1970).
- [38] M. Prester, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3100 (1999).
- [39] J.W. Clark, V.A. Khodel, M.V. Zverev, and V.M. Yakovenko, Phys. Rep. 391, 123 (2004).