Coulom b gap and variable range hopping in a pinned W igner crystal

B. I. Shklovskii

W illiam I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of M innesota, M inneapolis, M innesota 55455

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

It is shown that pinning of the electron W igner crystal by a small concentration of charged impurities creates the nite density of charged localized states near the Ferm i level. In the case of residual impurities in the spacer this density of states is related to nonlinear screening of a close acceptor by a W igner crystal vacancy. On the other hand, intentional doping by a rem ote layer of donors is a source of a long range potential, which generates dislocations in W igner crystal. D islocations in turn create charged localized states near the Ferm i level. In both cases C oulom b interaction of localized states leads to the soft C oulom b gap and E S variable range hopping at low enough tem peratures.

The growth of G aA s-heterostructures m obilities has m ade possible to study collective properties of the hole gas with a very sm all concentration. The average distance between holes r_s , calculated in units of the hole B ohr radius, has reached 80 and exceeded the theoretically predicted freezing point of the hole liquid, $r_s = 38$, where it should become the W igner crystal. The transport of such low density system s with and without m agnetic eld was studied recently. If a strong m agnetic eld applied along the hole plane the low tem perature conductivity of the W igner-crystal-like phase seem s to be related to the variable range hopping (VRH)¹. Thus, it seems s tim ely to discuss ohm ic transport of the W igner crystal. O f course, any W igner crystal is always pinned by in purities and can not slide as a whole in a sm all electric

eld. Interstitials and vacancies of the W igner crystal provide conductivity but they have large activation energies and freeze out at low tem peratures. On the other hand, the VRH conductivity requires nonzero density of states of charge excitations near the Ferm i level, both in the case of M ott law or E fros-Shklovskii (ES) law. If pinning centers produce such "bare" density of states then the long range C oulom b interaction creates the C oulom b gap around the Ferm i level and leads to the ES variable range hopping. In this paper, I study the origin of the bare density of states of the pinned W igner crystal, the C oulom b gap on its background and the resulting VRH conductivity at low tem peratures.

Let me start from a clean electron W igner crystal on uniform positive background. Consider an additional electron added to the perfect W igner crystal and let the lattice relax locally. This addition costs the interstitial energy $0:14e^2 = a$, where a is the lattice constant or the optim al triangular lattice, is the dielectric constant of G aA s^2 . On the other hand, the energy cost of the extraction of an electron and the corresponding relaxation around the vacancy is equal to the vacancy energy $0.26e^2 = a$. Thus, the density of states of relaxed excitations (electronic polarons³), consists of two delta-like peaks at energies $0.26e^2 = a$ and $0.14e^2 = a$. The lower peak corresponds to occupied states, the upper one contains empty states. Between them there is the hard (com pletely empty) gap of the width $0:40e^2 = a$. The Ferm i levelofelectronic polarons (the zero of energy) is situated

in this gap, therefore the density of states at the Ferm i level is zero.

In typical samples the W igner crystal is pinned by charged im purities: donor and acceptors. Even if there is no intentional doping and the two-dimensional electrons gas (2D EG) is created in a heterostructure by a distant metallic gate, there is always a small concentration of residual donors and acceptors. M any high mobility heterostructures are intentionally doped by a donor layer, which is separated from 2D EG by the spacer with a large width s a. This is an additional source of disorder. In the beginning of this paper we neglect this source and dealwith residual in purities only. We return to the role of doped layer in the second part of this paper.

Let m e argue that close residual donors and acceptors create localized states at the Ferm i level. I use theory of pinning of the W igner crystal by charge in purities developed in Ref. 4. As in that paper I talk about W igner crystal of electrons and concentrate on close residual acceptors which according to Ref. 4 produce stronger pinning than donors. (For the hole crystal the role of acceptors is of course played by donors.) Because the spacer width, s, and the lattice constant of the W igner crystal, a, are much larger than the lattice constant of A IS aA s the authors of Ref. 4 assumed that acceptors are random ly distributed in space with three-dim ensional concentration N . Each acceptor is negative because it has captured one electron from 2DEG.

It was found⁴ that e ect of an acceptor dram atically depends on its distance, d, from the plane of the W igner crystal. If d is larger than 0:68a the electron crystal adjusts to acceptor in such a way that in the ground state an interstitial position of the crystal is exactly above the acceptor. In this case, interaction of the acceptor with the W igner crystal can be calculated in the elastic approximation. On the other hand, when d is smaller than 0:68a acceptor creates a vacancy in the crystal, which positions itself right above the acceptor. This means that the close acceptor e ectively builds in the crystal cite pushing away to in nity its electron. We can consider the latter acceptor as an empty localized state for electron, while the form er acceptor can be considered as a localized state occupied by an electron. Their energies are equal at d = 0.68a, so that all acceptors with d > 0.68a

are occupied and all acceptor with d < 0.68a are empty. Thus, acceptors with d = 0.68a are at the Fermi level and the bare density of states near the Fermi level

$$g_{\rm B} = \frac{{\rm N} {\rm a}}{{\rm e}^2 = {\rm a}}; \qquad (1)$$

where N a is an estimate of the two-dimensional concentration of acceptors located within distance a (only they provide substantial pinning) and $e^2 = a$ is the characteristic energy of their pinning. The nonzero density of states g_B makes possible VRH conductivity of the pinned W igner crystal. For example, an electron from an acceptor with d = 0.68a + 0 can hop to a distant one with d = 0.68a = 0.

The long range C oulom b interaction between localized states leads to the soft C oulom b gap at the Ferm i level⁵. Shape of the C oulom b gap depends on the interaction, U (r), of the hopping electron in the nal state of the hop and the empty place it has left behind (excitonic term)^{3,5}. If the interaction can be described by the standard C oulom b law U_c (r) = $e^2 = r$, where r is the two-dimensional distance between initial and nal state, then the C oulom b gap has the standard for two-dimensional case form

$$g(E) = \frac{2}{e^4} \frac{j^2}{e^4}$$
: (2)

The attraction potential U (r) generally speaking is different from U_C (r) due to screening of the C oulomb interaction by elastic deformations of the W igner crystal or in other words by its polarization. In order to calculate Fourier in age U (q) of U (r) we have to introduce the Larkin length L at which acceptors destroy the long range order of the crystal. In the two-dimensionalW igner crystal pinning by close acceptors is so strong that this length is close to the average distance between them, L (N a) 1^{-2} . Using L, we can write

$$U(q) = 2 = q(q);$$
 (3)

where

$$(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{qr_D} \frac{q^2}{q^2 L^2} : \qquad (4)$$

is given by interpolation between large and sm allq cases. Here $r_D = 0.32a$ is the linear D ebye screening radius of the W igner crystal. (The asymptotics of Eq. (4) at large and sm allq are similar to those of the dielectric constant of a two-dimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic $eld^{1,7,8}$, where the Larm our radius plays the role of L.)

In the real space the Fourier in age of Eq. (4) results in the Coulomb potential U (r) = U_c (r) only at r $L^2=a = 1=N a^2$. One can say that by these distances all electric lines of a probe charge located in the reference point in the plane of W igner crystal leave the plane. This leads to the standard Coulomb gap Eq. (2), but only at energies $f = j < e^2N a^2 = .$ At sm aller distances r 1=N a^2 potential U (r) is weaker than U_C (r) and grows only logarithm ically with decreasing r. But this does not lead to faster (exponential) grow th of the density of states at f j $e^2N a^2$ = as it would if g_B were very large. The reason is that at energy f j $e^2N a^2$ = the C oulom b gap density of states, Eq. (2), already reaches the bare density of states, g_B , given by Eq. (1). The C oulom b gap is just a depletion of the density of states on the background of the bare density. Therefore, the density of states in the C oulom b gap can not be larger than g_B^3 . This means that at f j $e^2N a^2$ = the density of states saturates at the level of Eq. (1).

The role of distance $L^2=a = 1=N a^2$ can be interpreted in another way. When we add an electron to the clean W igner crystal it makes an interstitial but its charge spreads to in nity. In the a pinned W igner crystal an added charge is smeared in the disc of the nite radius R. W e can nd R minimizing the sum of the C oulomb energy $e^2 = r$ of the disc of radius r and the shear energy

 $(u=L)^2 r^2$ necessary for the disc dilatation by the area a^2 . Here $e^2=a^3$ is the shear modulus and $u = a^2 r$ is the necessary displacement. It is important that the characteristic distance of the variation of the shear displacement (which percolates between strongly pinning acceptors) is the average distance between close acceptors L (N a) $^{1=2}$. M inimizing this sum we nd that $R = L^2 = a = 1 = N a^2$. This again tells us that at distances larger than $L^2 = a = 1 = N a^2$ we deal with the unscreened C oulom b potential.

At low enough tem peratures the C oulom b gap leads to E fros-Shklovskii(ES) law of the tem perature dependence of the variable range hopping^{3,5}

$$= _{0} \exp \left[(T_{E S} = T)^{1=2} \right]:$$
 (5)

Here $_{0}$ is a prefactor, which has only an algebraic T- dependence and

$$T_{ES} = Ce^2 = ;$$
 (6)

where C is a numerical coe cient close to 6 and is the localization length for electron tunnelling with energy close to the Ferm i level in the W igner crystal. W e will discuss the value of in the end of the paper.

W hen with increasing temperature the width of the band of energies used for ES hopping $(T T_{ES})^{1=2}$ reaches $e^2N a^2 = ES$ law is replaced by the M ott conductivity

$$= _{0} \exp \left[(T_{M} = T)^{1-3} \right];$$
 (7)

where $T_M = C_M = (g_B^2)$. Transition from the ES law to the M ott law happens at T $T_{ES}^3 = T_M^2$ $T_{ES} (N a^2)^2$. Thus, while ES law does not depend on the acceptor concentration N the range of ES law shrinks when concentration of acceptors decreases.

This example emphasizes universality of the C oulom b gap and ES law. The C oulom b gap was derived for lightly doped sem iconductors, where disorder is as strong as interactions⁵. Later E fros⁹ suggested a m odel, where electrons are located on sites of a square lattice with + 1/2

charges, the num ber of sites being twice larger than num ber of electrons. R andom energies of sites are uniform ly distributed in the band A (they are measured in units of Coulomb interaction of two electrons on nearest sites). In this model the Coulomb gap does not survive in the small disorder case A << 1 because in this case in spite of small disorder positive charges of empty sites and negative charges of occupied sites alternate in the perfect N aC l-like order. O ne could, therefore, say that the C oulom b gap is the property of strong disorder only. The above example of the Wigner crystalon the uniform background pinned by rare strong in purities how ever show s that such impression m ay be m isleading. Even in the case when concentration of acceptors N is sm all (one can call it the weak disorder case) the Coulom b gap and ES law survive.

One can interpret what happens with the W igner crystal in the term s of the E fros m odel. At strong disorder when Na³ 1 ourm odel is close to the E frosm odelw ith 1. W hen parameter N a^3 becomes much smaller Α than unity and the disorder becom es weak, the energy scatter of states created by in purities stays at the level of $e^2 = a_1 w$ hile the long C oulom b interaction between these states becom es much sm aller, of the order of $e^2 (N a)^{1=2}$ kappa. Introducing a two-dimensional random lattice with cites occupied by acceptors we can come to a renor- $1=(N a^3)^{1=2}$ malized E fros model with A 1. This brings us again to the Coulom b gap at sm all energies.

Until now we talked about the role of residual acceptors. Let us qualitatively discuss intentionally doped hetero junctions where donors are situated in the narrow layer parallel to the plane of the 2DEG (delta-doping) at a large distance s a from 2DEG.Random distribution of donors in this layer creates uctuations of their potential of all wavelengths, but only harm onics of the random potential with wavelengths larger than s reach 2DEG.Let us assume that the two-dimensional concentration of charged donors in the doped layer is N $_2$. In principle N₂ can be di erent from the two-dimensional concentration of electrons in the W igner crystaln (2D EG can be compensated by acceptors or created by illum ination). If N_2 n the W igner crystal screens external potential by sm all, purely elastic deform ations. Due to these deform ations energies of an interstitial and a vacancy depend on a coordinates. Therefore, both deltalike peaks of the density of states are som ew hat sm eared. Their width, how ever, is much sm aller than the hard gap between them . Thus, the hard gap is preserved and no states at the Ferm ilevelappear. W hen the concentration of donors, N₂, grows, the amplitude u of displacements of electrons in the W igner crystal with wavelength of the orders reaches the lattice constant of the crystala. Such large deform ations resolve them selves by creation of dislocations^{10,11}, because the energy price of the dislocation core becomes smaller than an elastic energy, which is elim inated by the dislocation. This happens when the donor concentration, N 2, is of the order of electron concentration, n. Indeed, if we cover the layer of donors by

squares with the side s the typical uctuation of number of charges in a square is equal $(N_2 s^2)^{1=2}$. Potential of the charge of this uctuation reaches 2D EG practically without compensation by oppositely charged uctuations in neighboring squares. As a result at $n = N_2$ 2D EG has to provide $(N s^2)^{1=2} = s=a$ new electrons to screen random potential in a square. This may be done by an additional raw of electrons in the square or, in other words, by two dislocations. Thus approximately one dislocation appears in a square with a side s. This picture is actually a simple visualization of the Larkin domain. Below we concentrate on the case N n. In this case, the length s plays the role of the Larkin length L.

An isolated dislocation brings the electronic polaron state right to the Ferm i level. Indeed, if we add an electron from the Ferm i level to the end of an additional raw term inated by a dislocation and let the rest of electrons relax, the dislocation just moves along this additional raw by one lattice constant a and the energy remains unchanged. Sim ilarly, if we extract an electron from the end of the raw to the Ferm i level, the dislocation moves in the opposite direction and the energy does not change. This means that if we neglect the interaction of dislocation with long range ptential of donors and interaction between dislocations they create a delta peak of the density of states right at the Ferm i level. This peak is norm alized on the concentration of dislocations. The Ferm i level is pinned in the middle of this peak.

In the long range uctuating potential of donors the peak of the density of dislocation states is sm eared, because dislocations strongly interact with the gradient of potential. The peak is sm eared also due to the interaction between the dislocations. W hen an additional electron is absorbed by a dislocation and, as a result, the dislocation m oves by one lattice site, the logarithm ic interaction with other dislocation changes.

To understand the role of C oulom b interaction in the density of states of the pinned W igner crystal one has to concentrate on the fate of the charge of an added electron. In the clean W igner crystal, if we have a single dislocation and move it by one lattice constant adding a new electron, the charge of this electron spreads to in nity, leaving the dislocation neutral.

If we have a gas of dislocations in the positions xed by disorder and their interaction, a single charge can only spread to the nite distance, R. It can be estimated in the way we did for residual random ly distributed acceptors. If we assume that dislocation are xed in space by uctuating donor potential the extra electron charge spreads optimizing the sum of its C oulomb energy and the energy of the shear deformation. Optimization leads to R s. Thus, the C oulomb potential of charges inside the pinned W igner crystal is valid at distances in the plane r s.

This system is clearly similar to the Coulomb glass and therefore has the Coulomb gap. Indeed, as we mentioned above the derivation of the Coulomb gap is based upon the observation that when a localized electron is transferred to another localized state one should take into account its 1/r C oulom b attraction with the hole it has left (the excitonic e ect). We claimed above that that the C oulom b interaction is valid if r s. Therefore, the linear in energy C oulom b gap appears at the Ferm i level. The width of this gap is $e^2 = R = e^2 = s$. At s a the C oulom b gap occupies only sm all fraction of the energy range between interstitial and vacancy peaks. A way from the C oulom b gap the density of states is alm ost constant. Thus, in the case of intentional -doping by rem ote donors we again arrive to the C oulom b gap of density of states and correspondingly to ES law at low tem peratures.

Let us discuss the value of localization length in Eq. (6). In classical W igner crystal $a=g^{1=2}$. This is a quite small value which leads to too large T_0 and very large resistances in the range of ES law. However, close to the melting point of the W igner crystal can be

- ¹ H.Noh, Jongsoo Yoon, D.C.Tsui, M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev.B 64,081309 (2001)
- ² D.S.Fisher, B.I.Halperin, R.Morf, Phys. Rev. B 20, 4692 (1979).
- ³ B. I. Shklovskii and A. L. E fros, Electronic Properties of D oped Sem iconductors, (Springer, New York, 1984).
- ⁴ I.M. Rouzin, S.M arianer, B.I. Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. B 46, 3999 (1992).
- ⁵ A.L.E fros and B.I.Shklovskii, J.Phys.C 8, L49 (1975).
- ⁶ I.V.Kukushkin, S.V.M eshkov, and V.B.T in ofeev, Usp. Fiz.Nauk 155, 219 (1988) [Sov.Phys.U sp. 31, 511 (1988)].

much larger, making an observation of ES law in pinned W igner crystal more realistic. M agnetoresistance, observed in Ref. 1 may be related to ES variable range hopping conductivity.

In conclusion, Iem phasize again that Iam dealing with the W igner crystal which in the absence of impurities slides on the positive background. Impurities pin this W igner crystal and lead to ESVRH conductivity due to hops between its pieces. This situation is similar to what happens in a system of many quantum dots situated in random electrostatic potential of stray charges or in a system of many electron puddles with random positive charge of their background. Similar physics was recently theoretically studied in quasi-one-dimensional system s^{12} .

Iam gratefulto M .M .Fogler, A .L.E fros and S.Teber form any important discussions. This paper is supported by NSF DMR-9985785.

- ⁷ I.L.A leiner and L.I.G lazman, Phys. Rev. B 52, 11 296 (1995).
- ⁸ M.M.Fogler, A.A.Koulakov, B.I.ShklovskiiPhys.Rev. B 54, 1853 (1996).
- ⁹ A.L.E fros, J.Phys.C 9, 2021 (1976).
- ¹⁰ D.Fisher, M.P.A.Fisher, and D.A.Huse, Phys.Rev.B 43, 130 (1991).
- ¹¹ M in-ChulCha and H A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B 50, 14388 (1994).
- ¹² M .M .Fogler, S.Teber, B.I.Shklovskii, cond-m at/0307299