## Fracture precursors in disordered systems

G. Meacci<sup>1;2</sup>, A. Politi<sup>1</sup>, and M. Zei<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Ottica Applicata, L.go E. Ferm i 6, 50125 Firenze, Italy
<sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institute for Physics of Complex Systems, Nothnitzer Strae 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany
<sup>3</sup> CECM -CNRS-Vitry, 15 rue Georges Urbain, 94407 Vitry-sur-Seine, France
(Dated: April 14, 2024)

A two-dim ensional lattice model with bond disorder is used to investigate the fracture behaviour under stress-controlled conditions. A lithough the cumulative energy of precursors does not diverge at the critical point, its derivative with respect to the control parameter (reduced stress) exhibits a singular behaviour. Our results are nevertheless compatible with previous experimental ndings, if one restricts the comparison to the (limited) range accessible in the experiment. A power-law avalanche distribution is also found with an exponent close to the experimental values.

PACS num bers: 46.50.+ a, 62.20 M k, 05.70 Ln

Fractures are very complex phenomena which involve a wide range of spatial and som etim es tem poral scales. A coordingly, the developm ent of a general theory is quite an ambitious goal, since it is not even clear whether a continuous coarse-grained description makes sense; additionally, for the very same reason, realistic simulations are alm ost un feasible. How ever, such di culties have not prevented making progress on several aspects of fracture dynamics such as propagation velocity, roughness, or the failure tim e under a constant stress [1, 2, 3]. In this paper we are interested in studying the developm ent of the socalled precursors, microcracks preceding the macroscopic fracture in a brittle disordered environm ent. Som e recent experim ents [4, 5, 6] suggest that we are in the presence of a critical phenomenon, although the accuracy is not yet high enough not only to discuss its universality properties, but also to assess the order of the transition.

Fractures are typically studied either by increasing the strain or the stress and recording the acoustic em issions generated by the m icrofractures preceding the nal breakup. In both cases, there is experimental evidence that the probability density N (") of m icrofractures with energy between " and " + d", follows a power law

although it has been found that dierent materials are characterized by dierent values of the exponent : 1:3 in synthetic plaster [7], 1:51 in wood [4], 1:9 in berglass [5], and 1:25 in paper [6].

On the other hand, the cumulative energy emitted while approaching the fracture exhibits a qualitatively dierent behaviour depending whether the strain or the stress is controlled. In the former case, after a bond breaks, lattice rearrangements lead to a stress reduction which, in turn, increases the overall stability. As a consequence, a critical behaviour can be realistically observed only in the latter case. For instance, in Refs. [4, 5] it was found that

$$E(P_r) = \frac{P_c P}{P_c} \Rightarrow P_r$$
; (2)




FIG. 1: Sketch of the triangular lattice. The solid lines refer to the nearest neighbour interactions involving the site denoted by a full triangle. Left and right boundaries are pulled apart by a force u. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed along upper and lower borders (e.g., the point A can be identified with B).

where E is the cumulative energy released up to pressure P, Pc is the critical pressure corresponding to the macroscopic failure, and Pr is the so-called reduced param eter. In the past, simpli ed models have been introduced in the hope to capture the essential ingredients of the process. Am ong them, random fuse networks have been quite popular, since only one scalar variable (the electric current) is needed to describe the onset of a macroscopic failure [8]. A fter the concept of self-organized criticality (SOC) was introduced by P.Bak [9], the possible interpretation of fractures as instances of such critical phenom ena becam e an appealing perspective to many researchers. As a result, probabilitatic models inspired by the simplest SOC ideas have been introduced and num erically investigated [10]. A ltogether, it has em erged a scenario of the fracture as a critical phenom enon, but the relative \distance" between models and physical reality leaves doubts about the validity of such conclusions.

M ore realisticm odels where each particle feels the force eld induced by its nearest neighbours were already introduced in the '80s[11, 12, 13, 14], when prelim inary studies of small2-dimensional lattices with quenched disorder have been carried out. However, only in the late '90s it has become possible to simulate succently large systems to attempt a scaling analysis. In Ref. [15] a square lattice with two-and three-body interactions was studied, nding = 2.5 in agreement with the mean-eld behaviour of the ber bundle model [16, 17], but larger than the experimental values. On the other hand, large statistical uncertainties prevent drawing any conclusion about the scaling behaviour of E  $(P_r)$ .

In this Letter, studying a slightly simpler model [18], we are able to investigate the behaviour of E ( $P_r$ ) obtaining results that are compatible with the Lyon experiment [4]. However, having here investigated a wider range of parameter values, we are led to exclude a divergence of E ( $P_r$ ) near the critical point.

Our model consists of point-like particles sitting on a 2d triangular lattice with nearest-neighbour interactions mediated by central forces (see solid lines in Fig 1). More precisely, the force  $f_{ij}$  acting on the ith particle due to the interaction with the jth one is

$$f_{ij} = F_{ij}(jr_i \quad r_j) \frac{r_i \quad r_j}{jr_i \quad r_jj}$$
; (3)

where  $r_i$  denotes the ith particle position, j j represents the modulus operation. Moreover,  $F_{ij}$  (x=a) = 0, with a being the lattice spacing at equilibrium and  $k_{ij} = dF_{ij} = dx_{ii} > 0$  so as to ensure stability. Moreover, as soon as the mutual distance  $jr_i$   $r_j j$  becomes larger than some threshold  $a_{ij}$ , the interaction strength is irreversibly set equal to 0. As the  $a_{ij}$ 's are generally chosen close to a (see also Ref. [12]), only small deviations from equilibrium can be expected and, accordingly, the force can be linearized. Here, we have however preferred the equivalent choice (at the institute of a square root for each bond, thus ensuring faster simulations.

Furtherm ore, an external force  $\, u \, (\, u \, )$  is applied to each particle of the right (left) boundary along a direction  $\, u \,$  orthogonal to the edges,  $\, w \, h$  ile periodic boundary conditions are assumed along the upper and lower boundaries. Since  $\, w \, e \,$  are interested in investigating the fracture process in a nearly static regime, a damping term  $\, r_i \,$  has been added to the forces acting on the  $\, i \,$  the particle. The last key element of the  $\, m \,$  odel is disorder,  $\, w \, h \,$  ich can be introduced by assuming that either the bond strengths  $\, k_{ij} \,$  or the thresholds  $\, a_{ij} \,$  are distributed according to some law. Here,  $\, w \, e \,$  assume  $\, a_{ij} \, = \, a \,$  and a dichotomic distribution of bond strengths: a fraction cofthem is set equal to 0 from the very beginning,  $\, w \, h \,$  let he rest are set,  $\, w \, i \,$  this choice is equivalent to a dichotomic distribution of




FIG. 2: The stress as a function of the strain d, for one disorder realization in a lattice with 40 42 particles. Part of the curve is zoom ed in the inset so as to identify single avalanches (the numbers over the horizontal steps represent the number of broken bonds in each avalanche).

## thresholds.

It is convenient to express all variables in adim ensional units, since this helps scaling out some parameters. In particular, the lattice spacing a and the particle masses can be both set equal to 1. This implies that the modulus of the applied force can be identified with the stress. A parameter that cannot be scaled out is the threshold, here exed equal to a = 1.04. This choice, besides being compatible with the linearization of the force eld, allows us reaching sizeable large-scale deviations from a purely crystalline structure (we have been able to study lattice sizes up to L = 80).

The equations of motion have been integrated by using a Runge-Kutta algorithm with = 0.7 and a time step equal to 0.3. We have veri ed that this choice guarantees the fastest convergence (in CPU-time units) to the asymptotic state[19]. Finally, we have chosen to x the fraction of initially missing bonds equal to c=0.3, a value close to, but denitely below, the rigidity-percolation threshold ( $c_r=0.3398$ ).

Num erical experim ents consist in monitoring several observables while the stress is increased until a macroscopic failure occurs at = c. In order to ensure that the sampled con gurations remain close to equilibrium during the whole stretching process, (i) is slowly increased, (ii) additional relaxation loops are allowed when new bonds break. One of the relevant observables is the  $r_i^0$ ) uj, where the overline denotes the  $strain d = j(r_i)$ average over all particles of the left and right edges and  $r_i^0$  represents the equilibrium position. As a typical exam ple of the observed phenom enology, in Fig. 2, we plot the stress-strain curve for one realization of the disorder. After an initially monotonous growth, a series of steps follows, each corresponding to the \sim ultaneous" breaking of s bonds. Since the same amount of energy is




FIG. 3: A verage cum ulative fraction of broken bonds versus the reduced stress for L=20 (triangles), 40 (plusses), and 80 (circles). The dashed curve corresponds to the scaling behaviour observed in Ref. [4]. In the inset, 1 is plotted versus with the same meaning of the symbols.

released in each bond breaking, it is natural to identify s with " and interpret the phenomenon as the occurrence of an avalanche.

A nother relevant observable is the number N ( ) of bonds broken at stresses smaller or equal to , a quantity analogous to the cumulative energy E in Eq. (2). M oreover, having the possibility to average over many dierent realizations of the disorder (actually, we have studied 1000 realizations for each lattice size), it is also necessary to de neameaningful way of performing ensemble averages. We have decided to consider the fraction

$$=\frac{N()}{N()}; \qquad (4)$$

as the independent variable (N (  $_{\rm c}$ ) being the total number of broken bonds in each realization) and to average the stress values where is attained. Moreover, analogously to Ref. [4], where the reduced pressure P  $_{\rm r}$  has been introduced, here we dene the average reduced stress

$$= \frac{c}{c} ; \qquad (5)$$

where h i denotes an average over disorder. Our simulations indicate that, in the therm odynam ic lim it L! 1, the average becomes irrelevant. Indeed, we have veried that  $_{\rm c}$  is a self-averaging quantity, by observing that the normalized variance

$$= \frac{h_{c}^{2} i h_{c} i^{2}}{h_{c} i^{2}};$$
 (6)

decreases as ' L  $^{2=3}$  with increasing L.

The resulting behavior for L = 20, 40, and 80 is plotted in Fig. 3. The data for the L = 40 and 80 nicely




FIG. 4: Probability density P (s) of avalanches preceding the macroscopic failure, scaled to its maximum value. Dashed, dashed-dotted, and solid lines refer to L = 20, 40, and 80, respectively. The straight line, corresponding to a decay s  $^{1:75}$  is the t { shifted for clarity { of data for L = 80

overlap, indicating that nite-size e ects are already negligible for L 40. In comparison with the experiment of Ref. [4], the reduced stress here covers a three-times wider range; this allows us ruling out the existence of a power-law behaviour of . On the other hand, if we restrict the analysis to the range accessible in the experim ent, we do not nd relevant di erences with respect to the experiment itself, as indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 3. Next, one notices that remains strictly smaller than 1 even close to = 0, suggesting that the fraction of bonds broken in the macroscopic failure is nite. However, the most re ned presentation in the inset reveals that, though slowly, eventually converges to 1. Indeed, by thing the small-region with = 0 b, we nd that o increases with L and is equal already to 0.94 for L = 80. As for the value, our best estimate is = 0:12 0:01. A Lithough the tisquite good, the smallness of leaves doubts about the e ective behaviour of in the vicinity of the macroscopic failure.

The dependence of on sheds light on the critical behaviour around the onset of the macroscopic fracture. It is interesting to investigate also the dependence of the total number of broken bonds N (  $_{\rm c}$ ) on L . Here, we have studied too a few sizes, to convincingly determ ine the scaling behaviour; however, assuming that eventually N (  $_{\rm c}$ ;L) = L , we not that 1:5, a value that is compatible with the simulations of the same system with imposed strain [18].

A nother characterististic of fracture processes often studied both in experim ents and simulations is the distribution of microfractures (i.e. avalanches). In the present context, this amounts to computing the number N  $_{\rm S}$  of avalanches of size s; in order to increase the statistics, we sum over all disorder realizations. The probability distributions for L = 20,40, and 80 are plotted in Fig.4, where




FIG. 5: The probability density Q (y) of the nalavalanche size y. The size is shifted around the mean value and scaled to ensure a unit variance. Crosses, triangles, squares and circles correspond to L=10,20,40, and 80. The solid line corresponds to the Gumbel distribution.

 $N_s$  is scaled to its maximum value (P (s) =  $N_s = N_s = N_s$ ). All curves exhibit a seem ingly power-law decay followed by a peak at large s, which is clearly a nite-size e ect, since it corresponds to avalanche sizes that are comparable with the lattice size. By tting P (s) in the meaningfuls range with as , we nd = 1:75 value that lies inside the interval corresponding to the various experim ental m easures, [1:25;1:9], [20]. Finally, we have investigated the size distribution of the macroscopic avalanche. The results plotted in Fig. 5 reveal increasing deviations from a Gaussian behaviour, when L is increased. The Gumbel distribution, derived to describe extrem e-value statistics (see the solid line) appears to provide a m ore convincing description of the avalanche distribution.

Once the fracture process is recognized to be a non-equilibrium phase transition, it becomes important to establish the order of the phenomenon. From the existing literature, it is unclear whether we are in front of a second order transition or the spinodal point of a rst order transition [15,21]. Such an uncertainty is mainly due to the fact that a convincing order parameter has not yet been identified. On the basis of our simulations and of the Lyon experiment, it seems reasonable to consider the cumulative fraction of broken bonds as a proper order parameter. As a consequence, since the contribution of the

nal avalanche is increasingly negligible in the large size lim it, we are led to conclude that the fracture is indeed a continuous transition. However, it seems necessary to investigate yet larger systems in order to convingly identify the asymptotic scaling behaviour of in the vicinity of the critical point.

While we are quite condent about the validity of our conclusions in this specien odel (since the same scenario arises when both the lattice geometry and the direction

of the applied stress is changed), we should add that a di erent behaviour has been found for rectangular distribution of elastic constants. In such a case, although the num erical results are again compatible with the experimental ones (within the experimentally accessible range),

() seem s to exhibit a discontinuity at = 0. It thus not illogical to conjecture that the fracture m ay fall within (a few) di erent universality classes, depending on som e microscopic details of the model that have still to be identified.

This work has been partially funded by the FIRB-contract n.RBNE01CW 3M L001.

- [1] J. Fineberg and M. Marder, Phys. Rep. 313, 2 (1999).
- [2] E. Bouchaud, J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 9, 4319 (1997).
- [3] Y. Pomeau, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 314, 553 (1992).
- [4] A. Garcim artin, A. Guarino, L. Bellon, and S. Ciliberto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3202 (1997).
- [5] A. Guarino, S. Ciliberto, A. Garcim artin, M. Zei, and R. Scorretti, Eur. Phys. J. B 26, 141 (2002).
- [6] L J. Salm inen, A J. Tolvanen, and M J. A lava, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 185503 (2002).
- [7] A. Petri, G. Paparo, A. Vespignani, A. Alippi, and M. Costantini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3423 (1994).
- [8] Statisticalm odels for the fracture of disordered media, ed. by H. J. Herrm ann and S. Roux (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1990).
- [9] P. Bak, How nature works, Springer-Verlag (New-York, 1996)
- [10] G. Caldarelli, F.D. Di Tolla, and A. Petri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2504 (1996).
- [11] B K . Chakrabarti, D . Chowdury, and D . Stau er, Z .
  Phys.B:Condensed M atter, 62, 343 (1986).
- [12] P.D. Beale and D.J. Srolovitz, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5500 (1988).
- [13] A. Hansen, S. Roux, and H.J. Herrmann, J. Phys. (France) 50,733 (1989).
- [14] G N . H assold and D J. Srolovitz, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9273 (1989).
- [15] S. Zapperi, P. Ray, H. E. Stanley, and A. Vespignani, Phys. Rev. E, 59, 5049 (1999).
- [16] P.C.Hemmer and A.Hansen, ASM E.J.Appl.Mech.59, 909 (1992).
- [17] M .K loster, A .H ansen, and P  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  .H em m er, P hys.R ev.E 56, 2615 (1997).
- [18] A. Politi and M. Zei, Phys. Rev. E, 63, 056017 (2001).
- [19] The relaxation process becomes, however, increasingly slow, when L is increased and this problem should be seriously considered, if one were interested in studying yet larger systems.
- [20] A similar -value (1.7) has been recently obtained in a strain-controlled numerical experiment: M. Minozzi, G. Caldarelli, L. Pietronero and S. Zapperi, condmat/0207433 (2002).
- [21] D . Somette and J.V . Andersen, Eur. Phys. J. B 1, 353 (1998).