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#### Abstract

W e have studied the roughness of a contact line of a liquid $m$ en iscus on a disordered substrate by $m$ easuring its $w$ idth distribution. T he com parison betw een the $m$ easured $w$ idth distribution and the width distribution calculated in previous works, extended here to the case of open boundary conditions, con $\mathrm{m} s$ that the Joanny-de $G$ ennes $m$ odel is not su cient to describe the dynam ics of contact lines at the depinning threshold. This conclusion is in agreem ent w ith recent m easurem ents which determ ine the roughness exponent by extrapolation to large system sizes.


PACS num bers: $46.65 .+\mathrm{g}, 64.60 \mathrm{Ht}, 68.45 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{d}$

The physics ofelastic interfaces in random $m$ edia is involved in a vast class of problem s , such as dom ain walls in ferrom agnetic [1] or ferroelectric [2] system $s$, and propagation of cracks in solids [3]. A notorious exam ple of an elastic interface is provided by the contact line of a liquid $m$ eniscus on a disordered substrate [4, 5, 6, 7].

In the past years, much e ort hasbeen devoted to shed light on both the equilibrium properties and the dynam ics of this system [8, [9, 10], which is characterized by long-range interactions. Very recently, experim ents $w$ ith water or $H$ elium $m$ oving on a substrate characterized by a well controlled disorder [10, 11], have explored the depinning threshold. In this regin e [12, 13] the contact line, driven by an extemal force, $m$ oves very slow ly. T he study of the roughness of the interface, and in particular its scaling behavior, tums out to be a fundam ental tool to test our understanding of the physics of system $s$ in which the elasticity and the disorder com pete in deter$m$ ining the shape of the interface.

In $F$ ig. 1 we display an experim ental sam ple: a glass plate with Chrom ium im purities (clear dots), partially covered by a waterm eniscus (dark region). T he so-called contact line is separating the wet and dry regions. T he contact line is de ned by an intemal coordinate $x$ and by the height $h$ along the motion direction. W e observe that a single-valued height function $h(x)$ is su cient to characterize the shape of the contact line. A sample of size $L=500 \mathrm{~m}$ extracted from the im age is also show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 ; to analyze its geom etric properties we are interested in the deviations from the $m$ ean height $u(x)=h(x) \quad$ hi, $w$ ith $h:: i=1=L{ }_{0} \quad d x:::$. Them ean square w idth is de ned as $w^{2}=h u^{2}(x) i$. The roughness exponent is introduced by considering an ensemble of lines of size $L$, where $L m$ ust be larger than the lengths which characterize the disorder. A veraging over this ensemble, we obtain $\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}} / \mathrm{L}^{2}$ [12].
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F IG . 1: B ottom : im age of a water $m$ eniscus receding on a disordered substrate. The wet region is darker. Chrom ium defects appear as clear dots on the dry region. T op: sam ple of size $L=500 \mathrm{~m}$ extracted from the digitalized contact line. $u(x)$ is the deviation from the $m$ ean height averaged over the sam ple.

The theoretical evaluation of the exponent has been up to now based on the assum ption that them otion of the line at the threshold is quasi-static [13]; this assum ption was show $n$ to be valid form ost viscous uids [11]. This $m$ eans that the equation ofm otion of the height $h(x)$ can be derived from an energy function, which inconporates the potential energy due to the driving force $f$ and the disorder potential $(x ; h)$, as well as an elastic energy. A ccording to this hypothesis, the equation ofm otion for $h(x)$ at zero tem perature is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{@}{@ t} h(x)=f+\quad(x ; h) \quad k^{Z} \quad d x_{1} \frac{h(x)}{(x} x_{1}\right)^{2}\right): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term in Eq. 1 accounts for the long-range elastic force calculated by Joanny and de Gennes [4]. At the equilibrium, independent approachesw ithin Eq. 1 led consistently to the value $=1=3$ [1, 8,14$]$. A the depinning threshold, the determ ination of the roughness exponent stim ulated a large debate [1, 13, 15, 16]: nally,
extended renorm alization group calculations up to the tw o-loop order proved that is larger than 1=3 [17]. T his nding was con $m$ ed by a num erical study by $m$ eans of an exact algorithm, which is able to detect directly the blocked interface at the depinning threshold: the precise resulting value is $=0: 388 \quad 0: 002$ [18].

In spite of the large am ount of theoreticalw ork devoted to the sub ject, experim ents are very few (see [19] and references therein). The $m$ ain di culty consists in controlling the disorder; in Ref. [11] this di culty has been overcom e by using photolithographic techniques. Sm all squares of C hrom ium (size: $10 \quad 10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) w ere deposited random ly on a glass plate, such that the $22 \%$ of the surface is covered. T his procedure generates a disorder correlated on a scale 10 m , su ciently large to prevent them al uctuations from playing any role. The correlation length is $m$ ore than two orders of $m$ agnitude below the capillary length $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ( $2: 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in this system) where gravity begins to $\lim$ it the uctuations. W hen the experim ent is carried out, the glass plate is w ithdrawn very slow ly from the liquid bath at a xed velocity ranging betw een $0: 2$ and $20 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$. The liquid is pure water or an aqueous solution of glycerolw ith a viscosity up to 20 tim es that of water. O ne observes that the shape of the contact line is independent of the velocity. This is a clear signature of the depinning lim it [11]. From these $m$ easurem ents it has been obtained, for $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{C}}=2$,
$=0: 51 \quad 0: 03$, in disagreem ent w ith all theoretical predictions [18].

The discrepancy betw een the theoretical and the $m$ easured roughness exponent suggest that a richer m odel, $m$ ore com plicated than the one described by Eq. 1 , is needed to account for the criticalbehavior of the contact line at the depinning. H ow ever, as the range of accessible scales is less than tw o orders ofm agnitude, the rigorous determ ination of the exponent is a very delicate experi$m$ entaltask. Thus, to reach $m$ ore convincing conclusions, it is desirable to com pare som e other universal quantities characterizing the depinning threshold. In particular, special care $m$ ust be devoted to evaluate nite size e ects, which could a ect drastically the nal results. To this purpose, we study here the com plete w idth distribution $P\left(w^{2}\right)$, and we com pare our theoretical predictions, based on the solution of Eq. 1 , to experim ental data.
$T$ he theoretical studies perform ed on system $s$ w ithout disorder such as stochastic models [20, 21, 22] or magnetic system $s$ [23] have show $n$ that it ispossible to express $P\left(w^{2}\right)$ in a universal scaling form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(w^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\overline{w^{2}}} \quad\left(x=w^{2}=\overline{w^{2}}\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is the renom alized width $\mathrm{w}^{2}=\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}}$. In Eq. 2 the size dependence appears only through the average $\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}}$ : the non-trivial scaling function $(\mathrm{x})$ is universaland characterizes a full class of system s. Recently [24], the


FIG. 2: Experim ental scaling function exp (x): study of nite size e ects. O pen sym bols: A queous solution of glycerol, $\mathrm{v}=1 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$ (square: $\mathrm{L}=124 \mathrm{~m}$, circle: $\mathrm{L}=186 \mathrm{~m}$, triangle: $\mathrm{L}=372 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Full sym bols: water (circle: $\mathrm{L}=$ $366 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{v}=20 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$, square: $\mathrm{L}=500 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{v}=1 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}) . \mathrm{W} \mathrm{e}$ observe that for $L>300 \mathrm{~m}$ the n ite size e ects are negligible and the scatter of the data is m ostly due to the nite width of histogram bins. In this way we have access to the large scale form of $\exp (x)$.
sam e scaling properties have been proved for elastic interfaces in random $m$ edia at the depinning threshold. The $w$ idth distribution of these system $s$ is, for all intents and purposes, given by a generalized G aussian approxim ation of independent $m$ odes, which decay $w$ ith a characteristic propagator $G(q) \quad q^{d+2}$. W ithin this approxim ation, for interfaces of a xed intemal dim ension and for the required boundary conditions, (x) depends only on the roughness exponent .

In order to determ ine the experim entalw idth distribution we have em ployed the sam e experim ental setup as described in $\mathrm{Ref}$. [11]; the contact line is im aged w ith a progressive scan CCD cam era equipped with a microscope. Two di erent magni cations are used, corresponding to a pixelofsize $x=6: 10$ and $x=2: 14 \mathrm{~m}$. A fter the analysis of the im ages we obtain a digitized line of 760 pixels. Thanks to the good contrast and signal-to-noise ratio of the CCD, the nal resolution is still one pixel. T he experim ental lines are cut into sam ples of size $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{n} x, w$ here n is the num ber ofpixels in the sam ple. From each line we extract an ensem ble of (760 $\mathrm{p}^{n}$ ) sam ples, whose width is sim ply given by $w^{2}=1=L_{i=1}^{P_{i}^{n}} u_{i}^{2}$. $T$ hese operations are repeated over all the con gurations detected by the cam era. C learly the values of $w^{2}$ are not independent[31], but this procedure ensures that no inform ation is lost.

T he histogram derived by the data gives access to the
universal function $\exp (x)$ which is plotted in $F$ ig. 2 for various sizes $L$ and for various experim ental conditions. For sam ples of size in the range $300 \mathrm{~m}<\mathrm{L}<800 \mathrm{~m}$, the obtained function $\exp (x)$ is independent of the size, the viscosity and the velocity of the receding $m$ eniscus. In sm aller sam ples ( $100 \mathrm{~m}<\mathrm{L}<300 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the distribution is sensitive to nite size e ect related to the details of the way the disorder is created. Sam ples bigger than $\mathrm{L}=$ 800 m cannot be treated due to the signi cant statistical noise.

At this stage, the universal function (x) which we have extracted from experim ents can be com pared to the theoretical evaluation within the Gaussian approxim ation. P revious theoretical w orks [20, 21, 22, 24] deal w ith sam ples where the boundary conditions were periodic, which is obviously not the case of our experim ent (see Fig. (1). In order to calculate (x) in the G aussian approxim ation for open boundary conditions, we generalize the discussion of $R$ ef. [24]. Them ain di erence, as it is brie y discussed in Refs. [25, 26], lays in the Fourier decom position of the path $u(x)$. In the case ofopen boundary conditions, the generalpath of size $L$ takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} a_{n} \cos \left(f_{n} x\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $o_{n}=\frac{n}{L}$. The probability associated $w$ ith this path is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[u]=N{ }_{n=1}^{\mathbb{Y}^{Z}} e^{1=2 a_{n}^{2} G_{n}^{1}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $N$ is the norm alization factor and, for large $L$, the exact disorder-averaged 2 -point function $G_{n}$ takes the form $G_{n}!C=n^{1+2}$. The expression for $P\left(w^{2}\right)$ follow $s$ from the generating fiunctions of the $m$ om ents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d w^{2} P\left(w^{2}\right) e^{z w^{2}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly to the case of periodic boundary conditions [24], w e w rite

$$
W(z)=\underbrace{Y}_{n}{\frac{1}{G_{n}^{1} z+1}}^{1=2}
$$

The function $(x)$ is given by $W(z)$ through an inverseLaplace transform :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\sum_{i 1}^{Z+i 1} \frac{d z}{2 i} e^{z x=1} \frac{Y}{Z}_{z+n A}^{n=2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $A=\frac{1}{2}^{P}{ }_{n=1}^{1} \frac{1}{n}$ and $=1+2$. This complex integral can be written as a sum of all the tadpole contributions:


FIG. 3: Top: we compare the scaling function $\exp (x)$ obtained from the experim ental data (squares: water $\mathrm{L}=$ $500 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{v}=1 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$ ) w ith the curves calculated by m eans of Eq.7. W e notice the good agreem ent w ith the curve obtained for $=0: 505$ (continuum line). B ottom : we com pare the scaling function num ( $x$ ) obtained from the num erical data (circles: $\mathrm{L}=32,10^{6}$ independent sam ples) w th the curves calculated by m eans of Eq.7. W e notice a perfect agreem ent w ith the curve obtained for $=0: 39$ (dashed line).
w th $\mathrm{a}_{1}=A(2 n+2)$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2}=A(2 n+1)$. The product in Eq. 7 converges slow ly, and several thousand term s need to be com puted. O nce this is done, how ever, only a few term $s$ in the extemalsum ofEq.7are su cient to obtain ( $x$ ) w ith high precision.

In Ref. [24] it is discussed how the width distribution of an interface $m$ oving in a random $m$ edium, characterized by short range elastic interactions, is described in an excellent way by the distribution obtained w ithin the G aussian approxim ation. For sake of com pleteness, we show the scaling function num ( $x$ ) com puted from the num erical study of Eq. [1 at the depinning threshold. We use the algorithm from Ref. [18] and we calculate critical lines of size $L=256 \mathrm{w}$ th periodic boundary conditions. num ( $x$ ) is obtained $w$ ith the sam e procedure em ployed to dealw ith experim entaldata, by using sam ples of size $\mathrm{L}=32$. In this way, we nd the scaling function corresponding to the case of open boundary conditions.

In $F$ ig. 3 we sum $m$ arize our results. A s expected from Ref. [24], the function num derived from the num erical
study of Eq. 1 is in perfect agreem ent w ith the function obtained in the G aussian approxim ation w th $=0: 39$. The shape of exp is also in good agreem ent w th the calculated function, but it is clearly shifted w ith respect to num and best approxim ated w ith $=0: 505$.

To our know ledge, this kind of analysis is applied for the rst time to experim ental data. It o ers the possibility to evaluate the roughness exponent from a unique value of $L$ and it can be useful if the accessible range of scaling is sm all. M oreover we have detected nite size e ects that are invisible on the sim ple representation of $\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}}(\mathrm{~L})$. D ue to the reduction of range of scaling, the analysis of $\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}}(\mathrm{~L})$ yields $=0: 52 \quad 0: 04$, slightly larger than the previous determ ination 11].

O ur study con m s that a real contact line cannot be described by the Joanny-de $G$ ennes $m$ odel. The sam e type ofequation ofm otion has been proposed to describe the propagation of crack fronts [3]. In this case the experim ents yield $0: 50: 6$ [27, 28]. D ynam icalm echanism havebeen introduced recently [29] to account for the anom alous value of for crack fronts. O $n$ the other hand, for the contact line, a precise analysis of the $m$ otion justies the quasi-static hypothesis[11] and no such dynam ical $m$ echanism has to be considered. T he study of the width distribution of crack fronts could help to understand if the two system s belong to the same universality class and to unravel the origin of the discrepancy betw een the theoretical and the experim ental values of. In fact, in both cases, the derivation of the long-range elastic term in Eq. 1 is obtained by a developm ent to rst order in the deform ation. This truncation avoids the existence in the equation of $m$ otion of non-ham onic corrections which, as it has been shown for the short-range elastic interactions [30], can drastically change the criticalbehavior of the interface. M oreover the presence of non-linear term s has already been introduced in Ref. [9] to understand the dynam ics of a contact line in the high velocity lim it. It would be very interesting to study the e ect of these term $s$ on the critical properties at the depinning transition. Unfortunately, up to now the num erical com putation of this new equation ofm otion is im possible because of the presence of non-convex term $s$ in the elastic energy.
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