

Rejuvenation and Memory in Migdal-Kadanoff Spin Glasses

M. Sasaki and O.C. Martin[†]

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, 277-8581, Japan.

[†]*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, bât. 100, Université Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay, France.*

Abstract. We study aging phenomena of Migdal-Kadanoff spin glasses in order to clarify relevancy of temperature chaos to rejuvenation and memory. By exploiting renormalization, we do efficient dynamical simulations in very wide time/length scales including the chaos length. As a consequence, we find that temperature chaos and temperature dependence of speed of equilibration cause two significantly different effects against temperature variations, i.e., rejuvenation for *positive* temperature variation and memory for *negative* temperature variation, as are observed experimentally in spin glasses.

It is well known that in spin glasses, dynamical effects strongly depend on the history of the system after quench from above the transition temperature T_c . These phenomena are called aging and have been studied using various experimental protocols [1, 2, 3]. Measurement of ac-susceptibility during T -cycle [4], which is employed in this work, is one of them. This experiment consists of the following three stages. In the first stage, the system is quenched from above T_c and it is kept at a temperature T ($< T_c$) during a time t_1 . In the second stage the temperature is temporarily changed to $T - \Delta T$ ($< T_c$) during a time t_2 , and then it is set back to T in the third stage. Ac-susceptibility χ is measured during all the three stages. In the case ΔT , χ in the third stage resumes its relaxation from the value at the end of the period t_1 as if the system remembers how far the relaxation at T had proceeded before the perturbation (*memory effect*). On the other hand, the system is *rejuvenated* by positive T -cycle, and we observe strong relaxation of χ in the third stage.

From a theoretical point of view, “temperature chaos” [5], decorrelation of the equilibrium states at two temperatures beyond the so-called chaos length $\ell(T; T^0)$, has been one of the most conceivable causes of rejuvenation. However, temperature chaos seems to be incompatible with memory if one naively thinks. Therefore, relation among temperature chaos, rejuvenation and memory has been vigorously studied [6, 3] as a key to understand aging phenomena. In this work, we also address this issue by studying Migdal-Kadanoff (MK) spin glasses. There are mainly two merits in working on MK spin glasses. First the existence of temperature chaos is shown in this model, and whose exact renormalization [7] allows one to measure the chaos length $\ell(T; T^0)$. Second we can do efficient dynamical simulations at very long *time* scales by exploiting renormalization [8]. (See also [9] as a similar approach.) In the previous work [8], we have investigated dynamics of MK spin glasses by utilizing these advantages, and found that temperature chaos causes rejuvenation, but

it also destroys most of the memory if the length scale equilibrated during the second stage is much larger than $\ell(T; T^0)$. The main purpose of this work is to show that memory is preserved if we take temperature dependence of speed of equilibration into account.

The outline of the paper is as follows. First, we introduce MK spin glasses. Second, we briefly recall temperature chaos in MK spin glasses. Third, we explain how renormalized dynamics can be used to probe rejuvenation and memory on very wide time/length scales. Finally, we show results of the both positive and negative T -cycle simulations. The last section is devoted for discussion and conclusions.

The model — We consider MK lattices following the standard real space renormalization group approximation [7] to the Edwards Anderson (EA) model [10]. The recursive construction of such hierarchical lattices is described in Fig. 1; edges are replaced by $2b$ edges so the “length” of the lattice is multiplied by 2. We call generation “level” the order of the recursion and G the total number of these. Then the lattice length L is 2^G and the number of bonds is $(2b)^G$ (which is also roughly the number of sites); one can thus identify $1 + \ln b = \ln 2$ with the dimension of space on such a lattice. When all the edges are constructed, each is assigned a random coupling J_{ij}^0 . The superscript “0” implies that J_{ij}^0 are bare couplings. Similarly, on each site i we put an Ising spin $S_i = \pm 1$. The Hamiltonian is

$$H_J(\{S_i\}) = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^0 S_i S_j; \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over all the nearest neighbor spins of the lattice. All of the work presented here will be for three dimensions ($b = 4$) with couplings J_{ij}^0 taken from a Gaussian of mean 0 and width 1. The model then undergoes a spin glass transition at $T_c = 0.896$ [11].

Temperature chaos in MK spin glasses — A great advantage of MK lattices is that it allows us to do renor-




FIGURE 1. Construction of a MK lattice ($b = 2$).

TABLE 1. Size dependence of C ($L; T = 0.7; T^0 = 0.65$).

L	2^5	2^7	2^9	2^{11}	2^{13}	2^{15}
C	1.00	0.99	0.92	0.62	0.10	0.00

malization procedure exactly [7]. Now let us denote a set of spins of level n as $\mathcal{E}S_n\mathcal{G}$. By tracing over spins of lower levels, we explicitly obtain

$$P_k(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^k\mathcal{E}S\mathcal{G}) = \frac{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}S_{G-k+1}\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{E}S_{G\mathcal{G}} \exp(-H_J^0)}{\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{E}S_{G\mathcal{G}} \exp(-H_J^0)} \propto \exp[-H_J^k(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^k\mathcal{E}S\mathcal{G})] \quad (2)$$

$$H_J^k(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^k\mathcal{E}S\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \in G_k} \tilde{J}_{ij}^k S_i S_j \quad (3)$$

where the sum $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle \in G_k}$ is over all the nearest neighbor spins of a MK lattice with generation n . Effective couplings \tilde{J}_{ij}^k are $J_{ij}^0 = T$ for $k = 0$. Otherwise, they are calculated by the recursion formula

$$\tilde{J}_{ij}^{k+1} = \sum_{l=1}^4 \text{arctanh}[\tanh \tilde{J}_{il}^k \tanh \tilde{J}_{lj}^k] \quad (4)$$

In this equation, \tilde{J}_{il}^k and \tilde{J}_{lj}^k lie on the l -th path connecting i and j .

Now let us turn to temperature chaos in MK spin glasses. If we start from the same set of bare couplings, $\mathcal{E}\tilde{J}_{ij}^0(T)\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{E}\tilde{J}_{ij}^0(T + \Delta T)\mathcal{G}$ are completely correlated for any T and ΔT . Does the correlation still survive after renormalization is repeated again and again? This question was first addressed by Banavar and Bray [12], and they have found that for arbitrarily small ΔT $\mathcal{E}\tilde{J}_{ij}^k(T)\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{E}\tilde{J}_{ij}^k(T + \Delta T)\mathcal{G}$ become completely decorrelated when k is large enough, indicating that spin polarizations at two temperatures are different at all. In table 1, we show the linear correlation coefficient

$$C(L; T; T^0) = \frac{\overline{\tilde{J}^k(T) \tilde{J}^k(T^0)}}{\sigma(T) \sigma(T^0)} \quad (5)$$

for $T = 0.7$ and $T = 0.65$. In this definition, $L = 2^k$, $\overline{\tilde{J}^k}$ is the disorder average, σ is the standard deviation of \tilde{J}^k , and we have used the fact that $\overline{\tilde{J}^k} = 0$. We see $C(L; T; T^0)$ rapidly drops from 1 to 0 around $L = 2^{11}$. We hereafter utilize $C(L; T; T^0)$ as an indicator of temperature chaos, and define the chaos length $\ell(T; T^0)$ as the value of L where $C = 1/e$. (In this case, $\ell(T; T^0) = 2^{12}$.)

Exploiting renormalization for dynamics — Since our purpose is to examine relevancy of temperature chaos on dynamics, we should do dynamical simulations at very long time so that the length scale equilibrated during simulation is comparable with the chaos length. However, this condition is hardly satisfied by usual Monte-Carlo simulations because frustration and randomness inherent in spin glasses make their dynamics extremely slow. In fact, measurements of $L_{\text{eq}}(\ell)$, the equilibrated length during t , in 3d-EA spin glass model have shown that $L_{\text{eq}}(\ell)$ for $t = 10^6$ Monte Carlo Sweeps (MCS) is less than 10 at any temperatures below T_c [13], while it is almost impossible to go beyond the time scale by the present computer resource. This length scale seems to be hopelessly shorter than the chaos length. (Recall that $\ell(T; T^0) = 2^{12}$ in the previous case though the temperature difference is not so small.)

In order to overcome the difficulty, we exploit renormalization for dynamics [8]. The basic idea is as follows. Suppose we focus on a time window $t_{\min} \leq t \leq t_{\max}$. Between $t = 0$ and $t = t_{\min}$ the system has had time to equilibrate up to the length scale $\ell(t_{\min})$; essentially all out of equilibrium physics comes from larger length scales. On MK lattices, this means that the spins whose generation “level” is larger than G_{\min} (with $2^{\text{NRG}} = \ell(t_{\min})$ and $\text{NRG} = G - G_{\min}$) are in local equilibrium; the other spins have dynamics that is well described by the effective Hamiltonian $H_J^{\text{NRG}}(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{E}S_{\min}}\mathcal{G})$. In practice, we adopt the following procedure to implement this idea with taking temperature dependence of speed of equilibration into account.

1. Calculate the effective couplings at T_H (the higher temperature used in T-cycle protocol) and those at T_L (the lower one). We first generate a large number of bare couplings from a Gaussian of mean 0 and width 1. Then, we do renormalization procedure to produce an ensemble of effective couplings. This process is iterated NRG times. The final effective couplings are then randomly assigned to the edges of a MK lattice of size $2^{G_{\min}}$.
2. The direction of each spin at $t = 0$ is chosen randomly with equal probability, corresponding to a quench from an infinitely high temperature at an infinite rate.
3. At T_L , we simply do standard Monte Carlo by using $H_J^{\text{NRG}}(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{E}S_{\min}}\mathcal{G})$ prepared at step 1.
4. At T_H , dynamics is further accelerated by the following procedure. We first calculate $H_J^{\text{NRG}^0}(\mathcal{E}S_0\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{E}S_{\min}}\mathcal{G})$, where $\text{NRG}^0 = G - G_{\min}^0$ and $\text{NRG}^0 > \text{NRG}$. Then we do Monte Carlo by using $H_J^{\text{NRG}^0}$ to update the spins whose level is smaller (or equal to) G_{\min}^0 . After each MCS, the lower spins $\mathcal{E}S_k\mathcal{G}$ ($G_{\min}^0 < k < G_{\min}$) are locally equilibrated with fixing the spins of smaller levels.

Note that one MCS at T_L (T_H) on the renormalized lattice corresponds to a huge number of sweeps on the non-renormalized lattice, in fact to the number needed to

equilibrate on the length scale 2^{NRG} (2^{NRG^0}).

Results — We use the standard temperature cycling protocol and measure a quantity similar to the ac-susceptibility defined as [14]

$$\chi(\omega; t) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{Q(t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}; t)}{T}; \quad (6)$$

where $Q(t; t^0) = \sum_i h W_i S_i(t) S_i(t^0) = \sum_i W_i$ and W_i is the weight of site i . Our results concentrate on the choice that W_i is proportional to the connectivity of site i . However, our additional simulations of the case $W_i = 1$ have shown that the both choices lead to the same results qualitatively. Every MCS updates all the spins once. An alternative choice is to sweep the bonds, updating their end spins as in [15]; we have checked that the results are hardly affected by the method used. In the both positive and negative T -cycle simulations, we use $T_H = 0.7$ and $T_L = 0.65$. Note that these two temperatures are the same as those in Table 1. The period $2\pi = \omega$ of ac-field is 16 MCS. All the simulations are done on MK lattices with five generations ($G_{\min} = 5$) using $0 \leq \text{NRG} \leq 15$. Since we calculate renormalized couplings at T_L and T_H from the *same* set of bare couplings, they are highly correlated when NRG is small. However, their correlation vanishes for large NRG due to temperature chaos. The difference $\text{NRG}^0 - \text{NRG}$ is 1 for all the simulations. We hereafter denote χ with a negative (positive) T -cycle as χ_{Ncycle} (χ_{Pcycle}) and the isothermal χ at T as $\chi_{\text{iso}}(T)$.

In Fig. 2, we show three typical behaviors observed in positive T -cycle simulations. In the main frame, we omit t_2 part of data and connect t_1 and t_3 parts to compare with the isothermal data drawn by line. For small NRG, χ_{Pcycle} is remarkably below $\chi_{\text{iso}}(T_L)$ in the third stage, as illustrated in the main frame of Fig. 2(a). This means that equilibration at T_L is sharply accelerated in the second stage because renormalized couplings at T_L and T_H are strongly correlated and equilibration is accelerated at T_H . This trend (acceleration of equilibration) arises until $\text{NRG} \approx 8$. Fig. 2(b) shows that χ_{Pcycle} begins to have a strong curvature in the third stage as a sign of rejuvenation, while renormalized couplings at T_L and T_H are still highly correlated. (As shown in Table 1, $C = 0.92$ for $\text{NRG} = 9$.) However, χ_{Pcycle} is still below $\chi_{\text{iso}}(T_L)$ at later times of the third stage. Finally, Fig. 2(c) is the result for $\text{NRG} = 13$. Renormalized couplings are very decorrelated now ($C = 0.10$). We see strong rejuvenation in the third stage, as is found in experiments. We have checked that rejuvenation is *perfect* in the sense that χ_{Pcycle} in the first stage and that in the third stage completely overlap. We have also found that χ_{Pcycle} in the second stage and $\chi_{\text{iso}}(T_H)$ collapse into a single curve for any NRGs, meaning that aging at lower temperature is not helpful in equilibration at higher temperature.

For negative T -cycle case, we only show two extreme cases in Fig. 3, i.e., a highly correlated case and a highly decorrelated one. Now a surprising fact is that *perfect* memory is observed in the both cases. Especially, in the decorrelated case ($\text{NRG} = 13$), memory appears in the




FIGURE 2. $\chi(\omega; t)$ with a positive T -cycle (crosses) for $\text{NRG} = 5, 9$ and 13 (inset). Temperature is temporarily increased from $T_L = 0.65$ to $T_H = 0.7$ during the period t_2 . In the main frame, t_1 and t_3 parts are connected after omitting t_2 part to compare with the isothermal data at T_L (line). The average is from 6×10^3 samples.

third stage though rejuvenation is observed for positive T -cycle (Fig. 2(c)). This result is very contrast with [8] which has shown that negative T -cycle leads to strong (but not perfect) rejuvenation when $\text{NRG} = \text{NRG}^0$. These findings tell us that temperature dependence of speed of equilibration is crucial for memory effect. Lastly, we have compared χ_{Ncycle} in the second stage with χ_{Pcycle} in the third stage, and found that they perfectly overlap for any NRGs. Since their difference is whether previous aging at T_L exists or not, this result suggests that equilibration at higher temperature makes previous aging at lower temperature insignificant.

Discussion and conclusions — In this work, we have found that positive T -cycle and negative one cause quite different effect, i.e., rejuvenation in the former and




FIGURE 3. $\chi(\omega; t)$ with a negative T -cycle for $\text{NRG} = 5$ and 13. Temperature is temporarily decreased from $T_H = 0.7$ to $T_L = 0.65$ during the period t_2 . In the main frame, t_1 and t_3 parts are connected after omitting t_2 part to compare with the isothermal data at T_H (line). The average is from $6 \cdot 10^3$ samples.

memory effect in the latter, if we take temperature dependence of speed of equilibration into account. In fact, the both lead to strong rejuvenation when renormalized couplings are decorrelated and $\text{NRG} = \text{NRG}^0$ [8]. These results are interpreted as follows. Concerning negative T -cycle, what happens in the second stage is reconstruction of spin polarizations at shorter length scales. Since the structure at larger length scales (polarizations of spins with smaller generations in our model) created in the first stage is not destroyed at the time, we see memory in the following third stage. On the other hand, for positive T -cycle, the length scale reconstructed in the second stage is larger than the equilibrated length scale in the first stage. As a result, the order created in the first stage is completely destroyed, and we see rejuvenation. This scenario is very similar to the picture of [16] where separation of the relevant length scale at each temperature plays a crucial role in memory and rejuvenation. However, the only difference is that temperature chaos exists for sure in MK spin glasses, while their picture relies on not temperature chaos but reweighing of Boltzmann factor by temperature variations as the cause of rejuvenation.

Lastly, we comment on acceleration of equilibration observed in positive T -cycle for small NRGs. In experiments, this behavior is observed in many glassy systems like polymer glasses [17] and orientational glasses [18].

Furthermore, the same behavior is also observed in spin glasses if the equilibrated length scale during the second stage is much smaller than $\sqrt{t_2/T^0}$. (See Fig. 14 of [3].) These results may suggest that temperature chaos is absent, or the equilibrated length scale in experimental time scale is much smaller than $\sqrt{t_2/T^0}$ for these systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. S. was partially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Japanese Junior Scientists. The present simulations have been performed on SGI 2800/384 at the Supercomputer Center, Institute for Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo. This work was also supported in part by the European Community under contract HPRN-CT-2002-000307 (Dyglagemem). M. S. acknowledges support from the MENRT while he was in France. The LPTMS is an Unité de Recherche de l'Université Paris XI associée au CNRS.

REFERENCES

1. Vincent, E. *et al.*, "Slow dynamics and aging in spin-glasses," in *Complex Behaviour of Glassy Systems*, edited by E. Rubi, Springer-Verlag, 1997, pp. 476.
2. Nordblad, P., and Svedlindh, P., "Experiments on Spin Glasses," in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, edited by A. P. Young, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998, pp. 1-27.
3. Jönsson, P. E. *et al.*, cond-mat/0307640, (2003).
4. Vincent, E., Bouchaud, J.-P., Hammann, J., and Lefloch, F., *Phil. Mag. B* **71**, 489-500 (1995).
5. Bray, A. J., and Moore, M. A., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 57-60 (1987).
6. Yoshino, H., Lemaître, A., and Bouchaud, J.-P., *Eur. Phys. J. B* **20**, 367-395 (2001).
7. Southern, B. W., and Young, A. P., *J. Phys. C* **10**, 2179-2195 (1977).
8. Sasaki, M., and Martin, O. C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 097201 (2003).
9. Scheffler, F., Yoshino, H., and Maass, P., *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 060404(R) (2003).
10. Edwards, S. F., and Anderson, P. W., *J. Phys. F* **5**, 965-974 (1975).
11. Ney-Nifle, M., and Hilhorst, H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 2992-2995 (1992).
12. Banavar, J. R., and Bray, A. J., *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 8888-8890 (1987).
13. Komori, T., Yoshino, H., and Takayama, H., *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **68**, 3387-3393 (1999).
14. Komori, T., Yoshino, H., and Takayama, H., *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. A* **69**, 228-237 (2000).
15. Ricci-Tersenghi, F., and Ritort, F., *J. Phys. A* **33**, 3727-3734 (2000).
16. Bouchaud, J.-P., Dupuis, V., Hammann, J., and Vincent, E., *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 024439 (2001).
17. Bellon, L., Ciliberto, S., and Laroche, C., cond-mat/9905160, (1999).
18. Alberich, F., Doussineau, P., and Levelut, A., *J. Phys. I (France)* **7**, 329-348 (1997).