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#### Abstract

A nalyzing diverse seism ic catalogs, we have determ ined that the probability densities of the earthquake recurrence tim es for di erent spatial areas and m agnitude ranges can be described by a unique universal distribution if the tim $e$ is rescaled w the the $m$ ean rate of occurrence. The shape of the distribution show s the existence of chustering beyond the short-term regim e, and scaling reveals the self-sim ilarity of the clustering structure. This holds from w orldw ide to local scales and for quite di erent tectonic environm ents. A ftershock sequences also follow this universal recurrence-tim e distribution if the rescaling is undertaken $w$ th the tim e-varying rate.


D epartam ent de F sica, Facultat de C iencies, U ni̇versitat A utonom a de B arcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, B arcelona, Spain

A though earthquakes are a phenom enon of great com plexity, certain sim ple general law s govem the statistics of their occurrence (1-5); however, no such a uni ed description has yet been established for the tim e intervalbetw een successive events (6-9). O n the contrary, the rich variability intrinsic to earthquakes has prom oted that all possibilities have been proposed, from totally random occurrence to the periodic ticking of great quakes. T hem ost extended view is that oftw o separated processes, one form ainshocks, which ought to follow a P oisson distribution (10) (or not (6-9)), and an independent process to generate aftershocks. C onsequently, the \standard practice" for this approach consists rst ofdelim iting the spatial area to be studied, on the basis of its tectonic characteristics, and then of a carefiul (and not so standard) identi cation of aftershocks, in order to separate them from the $m$ ain sequence.

Here we take an altemative perspective, com plem entary to the previous reductionist view . W e try to look at the system as a whole, irrespective of tectonic features and placing all the events on the same footing, whether these would be classi ed as mainshocks or aftershocks $(11,12)$. This follow s one of the key guidelines of com plexity philosophy, which is to nd descriptions on a general level; the existence of general laws ful lled by all the earthquakes $w$ ill unveil a degree of unity in an extrem ely com plex phenom enon (13).

W e analyze a global catalog, the P D E from the NE IC (14), as well as several local catalogs: that of the SC SN (Southem C alifomia) (15), the JUNEC (Japan) (16), the Bulletins of the IG N (the Iberian Peninsula and the North of A frica) (17), and the BGS catalog (the B ritish Islands and the N orth Sea ) (18). C atalogs generally characterize each earthquake by three $m$ ain quantities: tim e of occurrenœe, $m$ agnitude, and a vector of spatial coordinates for the hypocenter. These are also the variables that we focus on.

W ithout conceming ourselves w ith the tectonic properties, follow ing Bak et al ( 11,12 ), we consider spatial areas delim ited by a w indow of $L$ degrees in longitude and $L$ degrees in latitude (this corresponds to a square region if these angles are translated onto a rectangular coordinate system (19)). For each one of these regions, only events with magnitude M above a certain threshold $M_{c}$ are considered (the threshold should be larger than the $m$ in m um $m$ agnitude for which the catalog is considered com plete). In this way, we transform a
tim e process in four dim ensions (spatial coordinates and $m$ agnitude) into a sim ple process on a line for which events occur at tim es $t_{i}$, with $i=1 ; 2::: N$, and therefore, the time between successive events can be obtained as ${ }_{i}=t_{i+1} \quad t_{i} ; i=1 ; 2::: N \quad 1: T$ hese are the recurrence tim es in a given $L^{2}$ region for events above $M$, which can also be referred to as inter-occurrence or wating tim es. N ote that, w ith this transform ation, we have lost the structure in space and in the $m$ agnitude scale; nonetheless, the change in the process properties w ith the variation of $L$ and $M_{c}$ will allow us to recover som e of this inform ation.

D ue to the multiple tim e scales involved (from seconds or $m$ inutes to $m$ any years), the probability density of the recurrence time must be calculated w ith care. W e could work $w$ ith the logarithm of , but an equivalent and $m$ ore direct possibility is to de ne the bins over which the probability density is calculated exponentially grow ing as cn, with c>1 and $n$ labeling consecutive bins (we usually take $c=2: 5$ ). This ensures that we have the appropriate bin size for each tim e scale. W e then count the num ber of pairs of consecutive events separated by a tim e whose value lies into a given bin, and divide by the totalnum ber of pair of events (num ber of events $m$ inus one) and by the size of the bin, to attain the estim ation of the probability density $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{XY}}($ ) over that bin, where xy denotes the spatial coordinates of the region. ( $D_{x y}$ also depends on $L$ and $M_{c}$, but for the sake of sim plicity in the notation, we obviate this dependence.) M oreover, due to the incom pleteness of the catalogs in the short-tim e scale, we will not display in the plots time intervals that are sm aller than 2 m inutes.

The entire Earth has been analyzed by this $m$ ethod. Figure 1A show $s$ the results for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}}()$ for worldw ide earthquakes in the N E IC PD E catalog for the 1973-2002 period, using L from 180 to $2: 8$ (about 300 km ) and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ from 5 to 6.5 . N ote the variation of the recurrenœ tim e across several orders of $m$ agnitude. F igure 1B show $s$ the rescaling of all the distributions w ith the $m$ ean rate $R$ in the region, de ned as the total num ber of events divided by the total tim e interval over which these events span. The perfect data collapse im plies that we can write

$$
D_{X Y}()=R_{X Y} f\left(R_{X Y}\right) ;
$$

where $R_{x y}$ stresses that the rate refers to the ( $x ; y$ ) -region (of size $L^{2}$ and with $M \quad M_{c}$ ). $T$ he scaling function $f$ can be well $t$ by a generalized gam $m$ a distribution,

$$
\mathrm{f}()=\mathrm{C} \frac{1}{1} \exp (\quad=\mathrm{B}) ;
$$

w ith param eters $=0: 67 \quad 0: 05,=0: 98 \quad 0: 05, B=1: 58 \quad 0: 15$, and $C=0: 50 \quad 0: 10$, which has a coe cient of variation $C V$ ' 12 . In fact, the value of delta can be approxim ated to one, which corresponds to the standard gam $m$ a distribution; we therefore essentially have a power law w th exponent about $0: 33$, up to the largest values, where the exponential factor com es into play.

The scaling function ts the rescaled distributions surprisingly well for interm ediate and large values of the recurrence tim e, about $>0: 01=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{xy}}$, (this usually contains from $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of probability). The deviations are considerable for sm all values of ; although the statistic is low in this case (few events in the sm all bins being considered), for certain regions there is a clear tendency for the distribution to exceed the value given by the scaling function, that is, there is an excess of very short recurrence tim es, in the form of another power law but w ith the exponent close to ( $m$ inus) one. This occurs when the rate in the region is not stationary, due to the sudden increase and slow decay of the activity provoked by aftershock sequences. Furtherm ore, these increm ents becom e m ore apparent when the size of the region decreases, in such a way that, for L 11 , not all the regions in the w orld verify the scaling law ; this can be solved in som e cases by rescaling $w$ th the $m$ ean rate in the region calculated, not over the whole tim e-span of the catalog, but only over the period for which the rate is stationary (no activity peaks). N evertheless, the aftershocks can be so im portant for certain particular regions that a stationary period $m$ ay not exist.

The sam e analysis is perform ed on local catalogs and identical results hold, as Fig. 1C illustrates. For Southem C alifomia for the 19842001 period, a num ber of sm all regions w ith stationary activity are show $n$; for larger regions, the tim e w indow m ust be reduced to 19881991 or to 1995 1998, for instance, in order to nd stationariness. For Japan, we also analyze large regions for the 19951998 period, for the Iberian Peninsula the period
is 1993 1997, and for the B ritish Islands, 1991 2001. Them agnitude thresholds range from 2 to 4 , and L from 30 to $0: 16$ (approxim ately 3300 to 17 km ).

The shape of the distribution $D_{X Y}()$ indicates that the $m$ em ory of the last earthquake is conserved up to the largest tim es, $w$ ith the probability of a subsequent event being $m$ aximum im m ediately after the last shock, and slow ly decreasing with time. This constitutes a clustering e ect (20), in which earthquakes attract each other, and has as a counter-intuitive consequence the fact that the longer it has been since the last earthquake, the longer the expected tim e will be till the next (21). On the other hand, the scaling of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{xy}}(\mathrm{)}$ under changes in $M_{c}, L$, and the region coordinates implies that the clustering structure is selfsim ilar over di erent regions and $m$ agnitude ranges. The robustness of the distribution under such changes is therefore notew orthy. It is also rem arkable that if the region is kept xed and only $M_{c}$ varies, the scaling w th the rate $R_{x y}$ can be substituted by the factor $10{ }^{\mathrm{bM}} \mathrm{c}$, where $b$ refers to the $b$ value of the frequency-m agnitude relation $(1,2)$ in that particular region $(11,12)$; despite the regional variability of $b$, the universality of the scaling function f rem ains valid.

At the outset of this exposition, we suggested that our results were valid for all events, including aftershocks; how ever, the aftershocks can break the scaling of the distribution up to very large tim e values. W e can then say that the universal distribution does not describe the short-tim e intervals over which aftershocks replicate. This m ay appear to be correct, but can be tum out to be m istaken in the follow ing way: for aftershock sequences, the $m$ ean rate is not stationary, but changes with tim e; therefore, we should rescale the recurrence tim es using the \instantaneous" rate $r_{\mathrm{Xy}}(\mathrm{t})$. For m any sequences, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{XY}}(\mathrm{t})$ is found to decay follow ing the $\mathrm{O} m$ ori law (3),

$$
r_{X Y}(t)=\frac{A}{t p}
$$

$w$ here $t$ is the tim e elapsed since the $m$ ainshock (and the param eters depend on $x ; y ; L$; and $M_{c}$ ). Figure 2A show s precisely this for several im portant earthquakes in Southem C alifomia (15). The corresponding recurrence-tim e distributions, calculated over the period
for which the O m ori law is ful lled, are displayed in F ig. 2B; the results after rescaling w ith $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{XY}}(\mathrm{t})$ appear in F ig. 2C, again in agreem ent w ith the universal distribution. The scaling is outstanding, taking into account that the p values spread from 0.9 to 1.35 . N ote also that this scaling im plies the existence of a secondary clustering inside the prim ary clustering of the aftershock sequence, and therefore the process is not a nonhom ogeneous P oisson process. The present characterization of the stochastic spatio-tem poraloccurrence ofearthquakes by $m$ eans of a unique law would indicate the existence of universal $m$ echanism $s$ in the earthquake-generation process (22), the understanding of which, how ever, is still far beyond us. N evertheless, the context of self-organized critical phenom ena (11-13) provides a coherent fram ew ork at this stage. These ndings can also be relevant to continuous-time random -w alk m odels ofseism icity $(23,24)$, tim e-dependent hazard, and forecasting in general (25-28).
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## Figure C aptions

Fig. 1. Recurrence-time distributions w ithout and with rescaling. (A ) Probability densities from the NEIC PDE worldw ide catalog for several regions, $L$, and $M_{c}$. For L 45 , all the regions $w$ th $m$ ore than 500 events are show $n$, whereas for $L$ 22:5, only representative regions $w$ th $m$ oderate aftershodk activity are displayed. The vector ( $k_{x} ; k_{y}$ ) labels the di erent regions, for which the coordinates of the center can be obtained as $x=x_{m}$ in $+\left(k_{x}+0: 5\right) L, y=y_{m \text { in }}+\left(k_{y}+0: 5\right) L, w$ ith $x_{m \text { in }}=180, y_{m}$ in $=90$. (The 360180 region, which covers the whole planet, has been included for com pleteness.) (B ) $P$ revious data, after rescaling, w th a tof the scaling function $f$. (C ) Rescaled distributions from localcatalogs. SC 88, SC 95, SC 84 refer to Southem C alifomia for the years 1988 1991, 1995 1998, and 1984 2001. To obtain region coordinates, use the previous form ula $w$ th $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right.$ in $; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $)=(124 ; 29)$; ( $\left.123 ; 30\right)$; (125;25); (20;30), and (10;45) for SC 8895, SC 84, Japan, the Iberian Peninsula, and the B ritish Islands respectively. The fiunction displayed is the t obtained from the NEIC PDE catalog.

Fig. 2. A nalysis of aftershock sequences. (A ) D ecay of the rate after a m ainshock and illustration of the Om ori law for the follow ing earthquakes in Southem C alifomia: C halfant Valley (July 21, 1986, M = 5:9), Landers (June 28, 1992, M = 7:3), N orthridge (Jan. 17, 1994, $M=6: 7$ ), and $H$ ector $M$ ine ( $O$ ct. $16,1999, M=7: 1$ ). $R$ egions of diverse size $L$ are considered, all of these including the $m$ ainshock. Som e curves are shifted for the sake of clarity. (B ) D istributions of recurrence tim es for the previous sequences. (C ) D istributions of the dim ensionless tim e $r_{\mathrm{xy}}(\mathrm{t})$, in total agreem ent w ith the universal scaling function f.
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