

Multivariate distribution of returns in financial time series

E. Alessio^{y)}, V. Frappietro^{y)}, M. I. Krivonuchenko^{y) 1)}, L. J. Strecker^{y)}

^{y)}Metronome-Ricerca sui Mercati Finanziari, Corso Vittorio Emanuele 84

10121 Torino, Italy

¹⁾Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cherenuskinskaya 25

117259 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

Multivariate probability density functions of returns are constructed in order to model the empirical behavior of returns in financial time series. They describe the well-established deviations from the Gaussian random walk, such as an approximate scaling and heavy tails of the return distributions, long-ranged volatility-volatility correlations (volatility clustering) and return-volatility correlations (leverage effect). Free parameters of the model are fixed over the long term by fitting 100+ years of daily prices of the Dow Jones 30 Industrial Average. The multivariate probability density functions which we have constructed can be used for pricing derivative securities and risk management.

Key words: financial time series, scaling, heavy tails, volatility clustering, leverage effect

PACS: 89.65.Gh, 89.75.Da, 02.50.Ng, 02.50.Sk

The methods developed in studying complex physical systems have been successfully applied throughout decades to analyze financial data [13] and they continue to attract gradual interest [11]. The field of research connected to modeling financial markets and development of statistically based real-time decision systems has recently been named Econophysics. The properties of certain observables of different financial markets appear to be quite similar, indicating the existence of universal driving mechanisms behind market evolution. The understanding of the dynamics of complex financial systems has an influence on the decisions of market participants and on the market behavior and represents a scientific challenge for research. The methods of Econophysics have made previous progress connected to the occurrence of quantitatively based financial management systems and the development of high performance online systems which use methods of statistical inference from real-time and historical prices as well as other economic information.

The behavior of a financial time series can be described by a generalized Wiener process. In terms of a drift rate μ , the evolution of a market index value or a stock price $S(t)$ is governed by equation [4]:

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \mu dt + d(t) \quad (1)$$

The value $d(t)$ is a noise added to the path followed by $S(t)$ with the expectation value and variance of

$$E[d(t)] = 0; \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Var}[d(t)] = \sigma^2 dt; \quad (3)$$

The volatility $\sigma(t)$ represents a generic measure of the magnitude of market fluctuations. It quantifies risk and enters as an input to option pricing models. We consider a discrete random walk and set $dt = t_i - t_{i-1}$; $S_i = S(t_i)$; $d_i = d(t_i)$; and $\sigma_i = \sigma(t_i)$.

The random walk model proposed by Bachelier in the year 1900 [1] is equivalent to the Gaussian multivariate probability density function (PDF) of the increments d_i :

$$G_n(d_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2\right) \quad (4)$$

The statistically significant correlations of the increments Δ_i are absent from a time frame greater than $t_i = t_{i-1} = 20$ M in [5]. The absence of the correlations,

$$\text{Corr}[\Delta_i; \Delta_j] = 0; \quad (5)$$

has been widely documented (see e.g. Ref. [11]) and is often cited as a support for the efficient market hypothesis [9]. The model (4) constitutes a solid zero-order approximation to the empirical distributions of the increments Δ_i . The multivariate PDFs constructed in this work are extensions of the Gaussian PDFs, aimed to model the well-established deviations in the behavior of financial time series from the Gaussian random walk.

The Levy stable truncated univariate PDFs [2,10,11] are known to provide, for a financial time series, (i) an approximate scaling invariance of the univariate PDFs with a slow convergence to the Gaussian behavior and (ii) the existence of heavy tails. We propose the multivariate Student PDFs,

$$S_n(\Delta_i) = \frac{\binom{-n/2}{-n/2}}{\binom{-n/2}{-n/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i}; \quad (6)$$

for modeling the empirical PDFs with increments Δ_i . It is not difficult to verify that the marginal PDF (6) is again PDF (6). If we integrate out all of the Δ_i except for one, we get (6) with $n = 1$. The tails of the distributions behave empirically like [5] $\propto d^{-4}$; and so

3. In Fig. 1, we compare the $n = 1; \sigma = 3$ Student PDF with the Gaussian PDF and with the empirical univariate PDF constructed for the 100+ years of the daily prices of the Dow Jones 30 Industrial Average (DJIA), starting on the May 26, 1896 and ending on the December 31, 1999 (i.e. a total of 28507 trading days). The drift rate was determined to be $\mu = 0.000257$; while $\text{Var}[\Delta] = 0.000117$. The PDFs are shown as functions of the $\Delta = \Delta_i$ with $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[\Delta]$. The Student PDF fits the empirical data very well. The common scale parameter is derived from Eq.(8) using the empirical value of $\text{Var}[\Delta]$.

For the Student PDF (6), we have

$$E[\Delta_i] = 0; \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Var}[\Delta_i] = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}; \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Corr}[j_{ij}^r; j_{kj}^r] = \rho = \frac{\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{-r}{2}\right) \left(\frac{-2r}{2}\right) \left(\frac{-r}{2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{2r+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{-r}{2}\right) \left(\frac{-2r}{2}\right) \left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{-r}{2}\right)^2} \quad (9)$$

The correlation of the increments is the same as in the Gaussian random walk and given by Eq.(5). According to Eqs.(2), (3), and (7), (8), the square of the volatility equals

$$\sigma_i^2 = \frac{!_i^2}{2} \quad (10)$$

The correlation function (9) is positive and does not depend on the lag $l = |i - j|$: Notice that $\text{Corr}[j_{ij}; j_{kj}] = 2 = (2 + \dots) = 0.39$, while the empirical value is two times lower (see below). The correlation function diverges at $r = \frac{3}{2}$ due to the heavy tails.

If all components of the vectors $\mathbf{X} = (X_1; \dots; X_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1; \dots; Y_n)$ are normally distributed $N(0;1)$, a random vector with components

$$X_i = \frac{!_i}{j} \quad (11)$$

and $Y_j = j$ has the PDF (6) (see e.g. [12]). The cumulative effect, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is described by

$$dW(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) S_n(\cdot) \quad d_i = S_1(\cdot) \frac{d}{j} \quad (12)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n !_i^2$: The value σ can be represented as $\sigma = (!_1 + !_2 + \dots + !_n) \frac{1}{j}$, where $j = \frac{1}{2}$, where $N(0;1)$, and so Eq.(12) easily follows. The variance of the σ increases linearly with n ; in agreement with the empirical observations. Eq.(12) represents the exact scaling law for the financial time series, observed empirically by Mandelbrot [3] and discussed by many authors [5,10,11].

The Student conditional PDF at $n = n - k$ that gives a forecast density l steps ahead has the form

$$S_n(n; \dots; k+1; j; \dots; 1) = \frac{S_n(n; \dots; 1)}{S_k(k; \dots; 1)} \frac{1}{(2^{-2})^{l=2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2^{-2}} \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i^2\right) \quad (13)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2 \quad (14)$$

The conditional PDF of the values $x_n; \dots; x_{k+1}$ is therefore close to the Gaussian PDF. The ARCH models [16] propose that the increments are distributed as $N(0; \sigma^2)$; with the volatility σ being a function of the lagged increments. The estimator (14) is one of the possible estimators quantifying the volatility within the ARCH framework.

The multivariate Student PDFs have therefore heavy tails and the exact scaling invariance from the start. The PDFs (6) are apparently a reasonable starting approximation for a precise modeling the empirical PDFs. These distributions can be modified further to describe two other well established stylized facts which are (iii) long ranged volatility-volatility correlations that are also known as volatility clustering [13] and (iv) return-volatility correlations that are also known as leverage effect [14,15].

The empirical facts show that there is a slow decay of the correlation function. An extension of the PDF (6) that has the value $\text{Corr}[x_i^f; x_j^f]$ which is decaying with time is rather straightforward. From the representation (11) it is clear that the long ranged correlations occur, since the denominator $\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$ is common to all of the increments x_i . In order to provide a decay of the correlations, it is sufficient to use different σ_i 's for different groups of the x_i 's. The analogy with the Ising model can be useful: The components x_i of the random vector x with the same denominator $\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$ can be treated as domains of spins aligned in the same direction. We assign the usual probability for every such conformation:

$$w[x_1; \dots; x_n] = N \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sigma_i\right) \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_i = \pm 1$: The normalization constant is given by $1/N = 2(2 \cosh(\sigma))^{n-1}$: The correlation of the absolute values of the increments equals (9) provided that x_i and x_k belong to the same domain. Otherwise the result is zero. The probability of getting the x_i and x_k within the same domain can be found to be

$$w_1 = e^{-l} \quad (16)$$

where $e^{-l} = e^{-l} = (e + e^{-1})^{-1} < 1$ and $l = |i - k|$: The coefficient $\text{Corr}[x_i^f; x_k^f]$ for the modified PDF has therefore the form of Eq.(9) multiplied by w_1 : To fit the empirical data,

we use a superposition of (16) with different values of the β . The absence of the correlations would formally correspond to $\beta = +1$ ($\alpha = +1$). This is the case when the multivariate PDF is a product of the n univariate PDFs.

In order to incorporate leverage effect, we consider the PDFs (6) with β_i depending on the signs $\epsilon_{ip} = \text{sign}(r_{ip})$ of the lagged increments ($p = 1; 2; \dots$): The values $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ are assumed to be independent variables which take the two values ± 1 with the equal probabilities. The function $\beta_i = \beta(\epsilon_{i1}; \epsilon_{i2}; \dots) > 0$ is defined as

$$\beta_i = C \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \beta \epsilon_{ip}}{1 + \beta} \quad (17)$$

A requirement of $0 < \beta < 1$ should be imposed to ensure the convergence of the series. For $0 < \beta < 1$; we have $0 < (1 - \beta^2) \beta_i < (1 + \beta)$. Negative recent returns ($\epsilon_{ip} = -1$ ($p = 1; 2; \dots$)) increase the volatility, so $\beta > 0$: The normalization condition is taken to be $E[\beta_i] = 1$; so $C = (1 + \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta})^{-2}$: The value of β is connected to the overall strength of leverage effect.

Taking volatility clustering and leverage effect into account, we obtain:

$$\text{Corr}[j_{ij}; j_{kj}] = \frac{2(1 - \beta^2)g_1 w_1 + \frac{2}{4}(g_1 - 1)}{g_0 - \frac{4}{2}}; \quad (18)$$

$$\text{Corr}[j_{ij}; j_{kj}] = \frac{2(1 - \beta^2)w_1 + \frac{2}{4}h_1}{(g_0 - \frac{4}{2})g_0} \quad (19)$$

where $g_1 = E[\beta_i \beta_k]$, $h_1 = E[\beta_i \beta_k]$; and $l = i - k > 0$: We have

$$(1 + \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta})^2 g_1 = (1 + \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta})^2 + 4 \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta} + 4 \frac{4}{(1+\beta)(1-\beta^4)} \beta^{2l}; \quad (20)$$

$$(1 + \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}) h_1 = 2 \frac{1}{1+\beta}; \quad (21)$$

The empirical correlation functions for the daily prices of the DJIA are fitted using a superposition $w_1 = c_1 e^{-\lambda_1 l} + c_2 e^{-\lambda_2 l}$ for $\lambda > 0$ with $c_1 = 0.18$; $c_2 = 0.08$; $\lambda_1 = 1/1200$; and $\lambda_2 = 1/233$ (else $c_0 = 0.74$ and $\beta_0 = +1$; so that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m = 1$): The values (15) can be interpreted as conditional probabilities, while the c_m are probabilities to get the ϵ_m . We have also used $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = \exp(-1/16)$: The results shown in Fig. 2 are in a very good agreement with the data. The value $\text{Corr}[j_{ij}; j_{kj}]$ vanishes at $i > k$ in agreement with the observations, since the β_i depend on lagged increments only.

Finally, the multivariate PDF of the increments is given by

$$S_n(n_1; \dots; n_s)_F = \prod_{m=0}^{X^2} G_m \frac{\exp(-\sum_{s=0}^{X-1} (n - 2s - 1) X)}{(2 \cosh(X))^{n-1}} \prod_{f=1}^{Y-1} S_{n_f - n_{f-1}}(n_{f-1}; \dots; n_{f-1}) \quad (22)$$

where $n_{s+1} = n + 1; n_s > \dots > n_1 \geq 2$; and $n_0 = 1$: The number of terms entering Eq.(22) and therefore the calculation time increases exponentially with n . Using a Pentium IV Microprocessor, the straightforward calculation of the $n = 13$ PDF (22) takes 10 sec. The marginal probability of the PDF (22) is a PDF (22) again, but for a smaller sequence of the increments:

$$S_n(n_1; \dots; n_s)_F = \prod_{i=k+1}^n S_k(k_1; \dots; k_s)_F : \quad (23)$$

The value of $c_0 = 0.74$ turned out to be unexpectedly large. It indicates that approximately 75% of the empirical PDF consists of the product of the univariate Student PDFs. The second moments of ϵ_i are finite, so the product does converge to the Gaussian PDF due to the central limit theorem [12]. One should thus verify that the PDF (22) nevertheless supports the approximate scaling observed for financial time series [3,5,11]. In Fig. 3, we plot the scaled PDFs of the values $\epsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i$ for $n = 1; 2; 3; 5; 8$; and 13 as functions of $\epsilon = \text{Var}[\epsilon_i]^{1/2}$; together with the scaled Gaussian PDF. We see that the convergence to the Gaussian PDF is very slow. A qualitative explanation of this phenomenon is based on the fact that the Levy stable PDF fits the central part of the empirical distributions [5,10] and therefore the central part of the Student PDF (see Fig. 1), providing an exceptionally slow convergence to the Gaussian PDF. In Fig. 4, we compare the scaled $n = 13$ distributions based upon the PDF (22) with the empirical $n = 13$ PDF. The results are in excellent agreement, whereas the $n = 1$ Student PDF and the Gaussian PDF disagree clearly with the data.

The PDF (22) is constructed for the daily increments. There are no a priori reasons to believe that the daily scale is more appropriate than other time scales. The criterion for selection of the best time interval is the quality of the fit of the empirical univariate PDF. It is seen from Fig. 4 that e.g. $t = 13$ days would be an inaccurate choice. At the same time,

the excellent fit of the daily empirical PDF, shown in Fig. 1, indicates that the assumption $t = 1$ day on which the PDF (22) is based is quite reasonable.

If we work with an ensemble of uncorrelated stocks and calculate ensemble averages, we should use the marginal probabilities. If we work with a single index, we only calculate the time averages. In such a case, the conditional probabilities should be used. The previous discussion refers to the calculation of the marginal probabilities. If no correlations exist, as in the case of the Gaussian random walk, the marginal probabilities coincide with the conditional probabilities.

We now present some results for the time averages represented by the conditional probabilities. They are distinct from the marginal ones, since the absolute values of the increments \dot{x}_i are correlated. In our case, the ergodic hypothesis is valid, since all of the values \dot{x}_k and $\ln(1 - \rho)$ are distinct from zero, so the correlation lengths are all finite. This means that the time averages are equivalent to the ensemble averages, provided that the time scale used for the estimation is much longer than the largest correlation length ($t = 1 = 5$ calendar years). Using smaller time scales, however, the distinction between these two types of the probabilities can be significant.

The conditional PDF of the increments $\dot{x}_n; \dots; \dot{x}_{k+1} | \dot{x}_k; \dots; \dot{x}_1$ equals

$$S_n(\dot{x}_n; \dots; \dot{x}_{k+1} | \dot{x}_k; \dots; \dot{x}_1)_F = \frac{S_n(\dot{x}_n; \dots; \dot{x}_1)_F}{S_k(\dot{x}_k; \dots; \dot{x}_1)_F} \quad (24)$$

It is normalized to unity according to Eq.(23). Note that for $i > k$

$$E[\dot{x}_i | \dot{x}_k; \dots; \dot{x}_1]_F = 0; \quad (25)$$

$$\text{Var}[\dot{x}_i | \dot{x}_k; \dots; \dot{x}_1]_F = 2^{-(i-k)} \sum_{m=0}^{i-k} C_m \sum_{v=1}^{i-k-m} \frac{X^m \exp(-\rho^m (i-v-\{1\}))}{(2 \cosh(\rho^m))^{i-v-\{1\}}} \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 + \{1\}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{p=v}^{i-k} \rho^{2p} \right)$$

where $\{1\} = m \text{ in } (1; v - 1)$; and $\{1\} = \max(0; k + 1 - v)$: The conditional volatility, according to Eqs.(2) and (3), equals

$$\sigma_{ii}^2 = \text{Var}[\dot{x}_i | \dot{x}_1; \dots; \dot{x}_1]_F; \quad (27)$$

while the volatility (10) can be referred to as the marginal (unconditional) volatility. The ensemble average of (27) provides (10).

The value $\ln S_i = S_{i-1}$ determines the log-return on an asset per interval $[t_i; t_{i-1}]$: The increments $\ln S_i \approx 10^{-2}$ and the drift rate are small, and so $\ln S_i \approx S_{i-1}$ to a first approximation. The Ito's lemma (see e.g. [4]) can be applied to $\ln S(t)$ where $S(t)$ is governed by Eq.(1) provided that $E[(dS(t))^n] < 1$ for all n . In our case, the asymptotic distributions of the increments are such that $E[(dS(t))^n] = 1$ for $n \geq 2$. Eq.(1) is therefore equivalent to equation

$$dS_i = S_{i-1} (r + \sigma dW_i) \quad (28)$$

that cannot be simplified further by expanding the logarithm in the power series of the dW_i and discarding the averages of the higher order terms in dW_i .

The multivariate PDF of log-returns can be written as $dW_i = \sum_{j=1}^Q dW_{ij}$ where dW_{ij} is defined directly by Eq.(28): The knowledge of the multivariate PDF provides, in principle, the most complete information on the future market behavior.

To make a simple check, let us consider the fair value of a stock or a market index at $t = t_k$:

$$E[S_n] = S_k E \left[\exp \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n (r + \sigma dW_i) \right) \right] = (1+r)^{n-k} S_k$$

where r is the risk-free discount rate corresponding to the expiration date t_n . The result has the conventional form. The dispersion of S_n , however, diverges: $\text{Var}[S_n] = S_k^2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma^2 = S_k^2 (n-k)$. One can probably assume the existence of a cutoff in the PDFs at j_i . The largest one-day variation of the DJIA took place on the October 19, 1987, so the value of σ is constrained from below by $\sigma \geq 0.25$:

To illustrate possible applications of the model, let us consider pricing of the log contracts [17]. The fair value of a long position, $L = \ln S_n = S_k$; is defined as the conditional expectation value:

$$E[L] = E \left[\sum_{i=k+1}^n (r + \sigma dW_i) \right]$$

The integrals are determined by the region $j_i \leq 10^2$: The values ϵ_i can be expanded in the power series up to $O(\epsilon_i^2)$; in which case the integrals are well defined. Using the conditional PDF (24), we obtain:

$$E \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^n X_i^{\alpha} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \right] = \prod_{i=k+1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sigma_{ik}^2 \right) \quad (29)$$

The result depends on the lagged increments through $\sigma_{ik}^2 = \text{Var}[X_i \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$ given by Eq.(26). The pricing of log contracts is well defined both with respect to the fair value and the dispersion.

It is hard to distinguish empirically between the increments ϵ_i and log-returns ϵ_i . The small distinction results in particular to small return-return correlations due to the non-vanishing correlations of ϵ_i^2 and ϵ_k : This effect is, however, statistically not significant for empirical tests. The asymptotic $dW \approx d = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^3 d$ implies $E[S_n] < 1$; and $\text{Var}[S_n] < 1$; while $dW \approx d = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 d$ would cause severe theoretical problems: $E[S_n] = \text{Var}[S_n] = 1$: The asymptotic behavior $d = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^3 d$ refers apparently to the increments ϵ_i .

The asymptotic behavior of the return distribution is important for correct estimates of financial risks. The Gaussian walk underestimates the probability of large fluctuations, and in particular, the probability of crashes. The use of the multivariate Student PDF (22) gives a more precise idea on the involved risks, and in particular, on those connected to large market fluctuations.

The following four stylized facts (i) heavy tails, (ii) scaling of the returns, (iii) volatility clustering and (iv) leverage effect are well established empirically, but missing in the Gaussian random walk model. We constructed the multivariate PDFs of increments and returns for financial markets, that take approximately those four effects into account. This model can be useful for more accurately pricing derivative securities and risk management.

The authors wish to thank the Dow Jones Global Indexes for providing the DJIA historical prices and the permission to use results based on the analysis of these prices for present publication. M.I.K. is grateful to Metronome-Ricerca sui Mercati Finanziari for

kind hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Bachelier, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Suppl.* 3, 21 (1900).
- [2] P. Levy, *Theorie de l'Addition des Variables Aleatoires* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937).
- [3] B. B. Mandelbrot, *J. Business* 36, 294 (1963).
- [4] J. C. Hull, *Options, Futures, and other Derivatives*, 4-th edn. (Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 2000).
- [5] P. Gopikrishnan, V. Plerou, L. A. Nunes Amaral, M. Meyer, and H. E. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. E* 60, 5305 (1999).
- [6] E. Alessio, A. Carbone, G. Castelli, and V. Frappietro, *The European Physical J. B* 27, 197 (2002).
- [7] J. P. Bouchaud and M. Potters, *Theory of Financial Risks* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [8] A. Pagan, *J. Empirical Finance* 3, 15 (1996).
- [9] E. F. Fama, *Journal of Finance* 25, 383 (1970).
- [10] R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, *Nature* 376, 46 (1995).
- [11] R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, *An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [12] V. S. Korolyuk, N. I. Portenko, A. V. Skorokhod, A. F. Turbin, *Handbook on Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1985).
- [13] Z. Ding, C. W. J. Granger and R. F. Engle, *J. Empirical Finance* 1, 83 (1993).
- [14] F. Black, *Proceedings of the 1976 American Statistical Association, Business and Econo-
mical Statistics Section*, p. 177 (1976).
- [15] J. C. Cox and S. A. Ross, *J. Fin. Eco.* 3, 145 (1976).

[16] R. F. Engle, *Econometrica* 50, 987 (1982).

[17] A. Neuberger, *Journal of Portfolio Management, Winter*, 74-80 (1994); A. Neuberger,
in: *The Handbook of Exotic Options*, edited by I. Nelken, 200-212 (1996).

FIGURES




FIG .1. The $\nu = 3$ Student and Gaussian univariate PDFs as compared to the histogram for the 100+ years of daily prices of the Dow Jones 30 Industrial Average. The value of ξ is the increment (noise) added to the path followed by the index value, $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[\xi]$. The common scale of the both distributions is fixed by fitting the variance. The solid line describes the Student PDF, the short-dashed line corresponds to the Gaussian PDF.




FIG .2. Correlation functions $\text{Corr}[j_i, j_k]$ and $\text{Corr}[j_i, j_k]$ versus time lag $l = |i - k|$ between two increments ξ_i and ξ_k . The empirical data are constructed using the daily prices of the DJIA. The volatility clustering (circles) and the leverage effect (boxes) can be clearly seen. The data is compared to predictions of the multivariate Student PDF, shown by the solid lines.




FIG .3. The $\nu = 3$ scaled Student PDF for $n = 1; 2; 3; 5; 8; \text{ and } 13$ (solid lines) as compared to the Gaussian PDF (short-dashed line). The lower values of the n correspond to the higher values of the PDF at the origin $\xi = 0$ and at high absolute values of the ξ . The convergence to the Gaussian PDF with increasing the n is slow. The value of ξ is the noise added to the path followed by the index and σ^2 is variance of the ξ .




FIG .4. The $n = 13$ and $n = 1$ Student and Gaussian PDFs as compared to the histogram for the 100+ years of prices of the DJIA with sampling $t = 13$ days. The value of ξ is the increment (noise) added to the path followed by the index value, $\xi^2 = \text{Var}[\Delta I]$. The common scale of the distributions is fixed by fitting the variance of the ξ . The solid line stands for the $n = 13$ Student PDF, the long-dashed line stands for the $n = 1$ Student PDF, and the short-dashed line denotes the Gaussian PDF.