

Anonymous waiting times in high-frequency financial data

Enrico Scalas^a, Rudolf Gorenflo^b, Francesco Mainardi^c,
Maurizio Mantelli^a and Marco Raberto^d

^aDipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Avanzate, Università del Piemonte Orientale, via Cavour 84, I-15100 Alessandria, Italy

^bErstes Mathematisches Institut, Freie Universität Berlin, Am Mühlentempel 3, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

^cDipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna and INFN Sezione di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

^dDipartimento di Ingegneria Biofisica ed Elettronica, Università di Genova, via dell'Opera Pia 11a, I-16145 Genova Italy

Abstract

In high-frequency financial data not only returns, but also waiting times between consecutive trades are random variables. Therefore, it is possible to apply continuous-time random walks (CTRWs) as phenomenological models of the high-frequency price dynamics. An empirical analysis performed on the 30 DJIA stocks shows that the waiting-time survival probability for high-frequency data is non-exponential. This fact sets limits for agent-based models of financial markets.

Key words: Waiting-time; random walk; statistical finance; efficient market hypothesis

JEL: C32; G14

Corresponding author: Enrico Scalas (scalas@unipmn.it),
url: www.econophysics.org

1 Introduction

Recently, various authors have discussed the application of the continuous-time random walk (CTRW) [1] to finance (see Sec. 2 for details). The basic point is that, in financial markets, not only returns, but also waiting times between two consecutive trades can be considered as random variables. To our knowledge, the application of CTRW to economics dates back, at least, to the

1980s. In 1984, Rudolf Hilfer published a book on the application of stochastic processes to operational planning, where CTRWs were used for sale forecasts [2]. The (revisited) CTRW formalism has been applied to the high-frequency price dynamics in financial markets by our research group since 2000, in a series of three papers [3-5]. Other scholars have recently used this formalism [6-8]. However, CTRWs have a famous precursor. In 1903, the PhD thesis of Filip Lundberg presented a model for ruin theory of insurance companies, which was further developed by Cramér [9,10]. The underlying stochastic process of the Lundberg-Cramér model is a particular example of CTRW.

Among other issues, we have studied the independence between log-returns and waiting times for the 30 Dow-Jones-Industrial-Average (DJIA) stocks traded at the New York Stock Exchange in October 1999. For instance, according to a contingency-table analysis performed on General Electric (GE) prices, the null hypothesis of independence can be rejected with a significance level of 1% [11]. In this letter, however, the focus is on the empirical distribution of waiting times.

From our study, it turns out that the marginal density for waiting times is definitely not an exponential function. After the publication of our paper series, different waiting-time scales have been investigated in different markets by various authors. All these empirical analyses corroborate the waiting-time anomalous behaviour. A study on the waiting times in a contemporary FOREX exchange and in the XIXth century Irish stock market was presented by Sabatelli et al. [12]. They were able to fit the Irish data by means of a Mittag-Leffler function as we did before in a paper on the waiting-time marginal distribution in the German-bund future market [4]. Kyungsik Kim and Seong-Min Yoon studied the tick dynamical behavior of the bond futures in Korean Futures Exchange (KOFEX) market and found that the survival probability displays a stretched-exponential form [13]. Moreover, just to stress the relevance of non-exponential waiting times, a power-law distribution has been recently detected by T. Kaizoji and M. Kaizoji in analyzing the calm time interval of price changes in the Japanese market [14].

2 Theory

The importance of random walks in finance has been known since the seminal thesis of Bachelier [15] which was completed at the end of the XIXth century, more than a hundred years ago. The ideas of Bachelier were further carried out by many scholars [16,17].

The price dynamics in financial markets can be mapped onto a random walk whose properties are studied in continuous, rather than discrete, time [17].

Here, we shall present this mapping, pioneered by Bachelier [15], in a rather general way. It is worth mentioning that this approach is related to those of Clark [19] and to the introductory notes in Parkinson's paper [20]. As a further comment, this is a purely phenomenological approach. No specific assumption on the rationality or the behaviour of market agents is taken or even necessary. In particular, it is not necessary to assume the validity of the efficient market hypothesis [21,22]. Nonetheless, as shown below, a phenomenological model can be useful in order to empirically corroborate or falsify the consequences of behavioural or other assumptions on markets. In its turn, the model itself can be corroborated or falsified by empirical data.

As a matter of fact, there are various ways in which random walk can be embedded in continuous time. Here, we shall base our approach on the so-called continuous-time random walk in which time intervals between successive steps are random variables, as discussed by Montroll and Weiss [1].

Let $S(t)$ denote the price of an asset or the value of an index at time t . In a real market, prices are fixed when demand and offer meet and a transaction occurs. In this case, we say that a trade takes place. Returns rather than prices are more convenient. For this reason, we shall take into account the variable $x(t) = \log S(t)$, that is the logarithm of the price. Indeed, for a small price variation $S = S(t_{i+1}) - S(t_i)$, the return $r = S - S(t_i)$ and the logarithmic return $r_{\log} = \log[S(t_{i+1})/S(t_i)]$ virtually coincide.

As we mentioned before, in financial markets, not only prices can be modelled as random variables, but also waiting times between two consecutive transactions vary in a stochastic fashion. Therefore, the time series $\{x(t_i)\}$ is characterised by $f(x; t)$, the joint probability density of log-returns $x_i = x(t_{i+1}) - x(t_i)$ and of waiting times $\tau_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$. The joint density satisfies the normalization condition $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(x; \tau) dx d\tau = 1$.

Montroll and Weiss [1] have shown that the Fourier-Laplace transform of $p(x; t)$, the probability density function, pdf, of finding the value x of the price logarithm (which is the disusing quantity in our case) at time t , is given by:

$$\tilde{p}(x; s) = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{e}(s) \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{f}(x; s)}; \quad (1)$$

where

$$\tilde{p}(x; s) = \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{st + ix} p(x; t); \quad (2)$$

and $\tilde{f}(x) = \int_0^{\infty} d\tau f(x; \tau)$ is the waiting time pdf.

The space-time version of eq. (1) can be derived by probabilistic considerations

[4]. The following integral equation gives the probability density, $p(x;t)$, for the walker being in position x at time t , conditioned by the fact that it was in position $x = 0$ at time $t = 0$:

$$p(x;t) = S(x)(t) + \int_0^t \int_{-1}^{+1} S(x - x^0; t - t^0) p(x^0; t^0) dt^0 dx^0; \quad (3)$$

where $S(x)$ is the so-called survival function. $S(x)$ is related to the marginal waiting-time probability density $f(x)$. The survival function $S(x)$ is:

$$S(x) = 1 - \int_0^x f(t) dt = \int_x^\infty f(t) dt; \quad (4)$$

The CTRW model can be useful in applications such as speculative option pricing by Monte Carlo simulations or portfolio selection. This will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

3 Empirical evidence

In Fig.1, the waiting-time complementary cumulative distribution function (or survival function) $S(x) = 1 - \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is plotted for three different periods of the day (morning 9:00 - 10:59; midday 11:00 - 13:59, afternoon 14:00 - 17:00) and for the GE time series of October 1999. In the above formula, $f(x)$ represents the marginal waiting-time probability density function. $S(x)$ gives the probability that the waiting time between two consecutive trades is greater than the given x . The lines are the corresponding standard exponential complementary cumulative distribution functions: $S(x) = \exp(-x/\tau_0)$, where τ_0 is the empirical average waiting time. An eye inspection already shows the deviation of the real distribution from the exponential distribution. This fact is corroborated by the Anderson-Darling test [24]. The Anderson-Darling (AD) A^2 values for the three daily periods are, respectively, 352, 285, and 446. Therefore, the null hypothesis of exponential distribution can be rejected at the 5 % significance level.

In Tab. 1, the values of the AD A^2 statistics are given for the 30 DJIA stocks traded in October 1999. In all these cases the null hypothesis of exponentiality can be rejected at the 5 % significance level.

4 Conclusions and discussion

Why should we care about these empirical findings on the waiting-time distribution? This has to do both with the market price formation mechanisms

and with the bid-ask process. A priori, one could argue that there is no strong reason for independent market investors to place buy and sell orders in a time-correlated way. This leads to a Poisson process with exponential survival function for the bid-ask process. If price formation were a simple thinning of the bid-ask process, then exponential waiting times should be expected between consecutive trades as well [23]. Eventually, even if empirical analyses should show that time correlations are already present at the bid-ask level, it would be interesting to understand why they are there. In other words, the empirical results on the survival probability set limits on statistical market models for price formation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge useful discussions with Sergio Focardi of The Intertek Group. This work has partially been carried out in the frame of the INTAS project 00-0847.

References

- [1] E.W. Montroll and G.H. Weiss, Random walks on lattices, II, J. Math. Phys. 6, 167{181 (1965).
- [2] R. Hilfer, Stochastische Modelle für die betriebliche Planung, (GBI-Verlag, Munich, 1984).
- [3] E. Scalas, R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance, Physica A 284, 376{384 (2000).
- [4] F. Mainardi, M. Raberto, R. Gorenflo and E. Scalas, Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance II: the waiting-time distribution, Physica A 287, 468{481, (2000).
- [5] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, E. Scalas and M. Raberto Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance III: the diffusion limit, in M. Kohlmann and S. Tang (Editors): Trends in Mathematics – Mathematical Finance, pp. 171{180 (Birkhauser, Basel, 2001).
- [6] J. Masoliver, M. Montero, and G.H. Weiss, Continuous-time random-walk model for financial distributions, Phys. Rev. E 67, 021112/1{9 (2003).
- [7] J. Masoliver, M. Montero, J. Perello. and G.H. Weiss, The CTRW in finance: Direct and inverse problem <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/0308017>
- [8] R. Kutner and F. Switala, Stochastic simulation of time series within Wienerstrass-Mandelbrot walks, Quantitative Finance 3, 201{211 (2003).

General Electric Corporation (DJIA) October 1999




Fig.1. Waiting-time complementary cumulative distribution function () for GE trades quoted at NYSE in October 1999. Open diamonds represent () for the morning hours (9:00 { 10:59). There were 16063 trades in this period in October 1999. The solid line is the corresponding standard exponential complementary cumulative distribution function with $\tau_0 = 7.0$ s. Open circles represent () for the period around midday (11:00 { 13:59). There were 20214 trades in this period in October 1999. The dashed line is the corresponding standard exponential complementary cumulative distribution function with $\tau_0 = 11.3$ s. Open squares represent () for the afternoon hours (14:00 { 17:00). There were 19372 trades in this period in October 1999. The dash-dotted line is the corresponding standard exponential complementary cumulative distribution function with $\tau_0 = 7.9$ s. The day was divided into three periods to evidence seasonalities.

Stock	τ_0^o (s)	τ_0^i (s)	τ_0^{af} (s)	A^2 (m o)	A^2 (m i)	A^2 (af)
AA	27.1	40.0	28.8	29.2	66.0	44.8
ALD	21.2	30.8	23.4	21.8	55.5	33.8
AXP	11.8	18.5	11.7	81.7	102.5	130.7
BA	22.0	32.0	22.6	17.4	20.2	21.2
C	7.1	10.5	8.2	252.2	142.8	210.7
CAT	29.2	42.4	31.6	72.3	128.7	64.6
CHV	22.1	34.3	27.1	104.4	121.5	64.9
DD	20.3	30.8	22.1	22.9	44.3	36.1
DIS	15.2	20.8	16.6	53.4	53.4	74.7
EK	34.1	51.2	36.3	24.8	34.8	44.3
GE	7.0	11.3	7.9	351.9	284.7	445.6
GM	24.6	36.6	27.0	22.4	60.8	40.9
GT	34.3	55.5	37.9	73.7	95.7	54.1
HP	10.4	16.1	12.7	94.8	77.8	100.8
IBM	8.9	10.0	9.2	409.6	472.5	489.5
IP	24.8	36.3	27.0	25.0	37.2	19.4
JNJ	16.1	23.0	17.7	30.4	35.6	38.0
JPM	17.0	29.5	19.0	33.0	85.2	85.8
KO	12.9	18.3	14.4	44.5	37.8	44.1
MCD	19.4	29.3	22.1	40.9	72.7	44.1
MMM	30.1	42.0	30.4	80.1	86.8	37.5
MO	11.4	15.6	12.9	74.2	89.0	75.2
MRK	11.7	16.8	13.2	133.1	136.0	189.8
PG	16.2	23.6	17.9	43.5	37.2	48.8
S	23.4	38.8	28.6	40.1	23.0	41.6
T	8.8	12.2	10.6	193.2	179.1	208.9
UK	40.4	69.1	46.7	33.8	72.4	47.2
UTX	28.5	39.3	29.0	33.7	62.9	58.0
WMT	12.5	18.2	14.9	105.2	110.6	139.1
XON	12.0	19.6	14.1	104.8	121.4	129.0

Table 1

For each daily period, the table gives the values of the empirical average waiting time τ_0 and the AD statistics A^2 [24].

- [9] F. Lundberg, Approximerad Framställning av Sannolikhetsfunktionen. ÅAterforsäkering av Kollektivrisker, (Almqvist & Wiksell, Uppsala, 1903).
- [10] H. Cramér, On the Mathematical Theory of Risk, (Skandia Jubilee Volume, Stockholm 1930).
- [11] M. Raberto, E. Scalas and F. Mainardi, Waiting-times and returns in high-frequency financial data: an empirical study, *Physica A* 314, 749{755 (2002).
- [12] L. Sabatelli, S. Keating, J. Dudley and P. Richmond, Waiting time distribution in financial markets, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 27, 273{275 (2002).
- [13] K. Kim and S.-M. Yoon, Dynamical behavior of continuous tick data in futures exchange market, *Fractals* 11, 131{136 (2003).
- [14] T. Kaizoji and M. Kaizoji, Power law for the calm-time interval of price changes, preprint.
- [15] L.J.B. Bachelier *Theorie de la Speculation*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1900, Reprinted in 1995, Editions Jacques Gabay, Paris, 1995).
- [16] P.H. Cootner (ed.) *The Random Character of Stock Market Prices*, (MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1964).
- [17] R.C. Merton, *Continuous Time Finance*, (Blackwell, Cambridge, MA, 1990).
- [18] P. Levy, *Theorie de l'Addition des Variables Aleatoires*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937).
- [19] P.K. Clark, A subordinated stochastic process model with finite variance for speculative prices, *Econometrica* 41, 135-156 (1973).
- [20] M. Parkinson, Option pricing: The American put, *Journal of Business* 50 21{36 (1977).
- [21] E.F. Fama, Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work, *The Journal of Finance* 25, 383{417 (1990).
- [22] E.F. Fama, Efficient capital markets: II, *The Journal of Finance* 46, 1575{1617 (1991).
- [23] D. Cox and V. Isham *Point Processes*, (Chapman and Hall, London, 1979).
- [24] M.A. Stephens, EDF statistics for goodness of fit and some comparison, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 69, 730{737 (1974).