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#### Abstract

W e develop a general fram ew ork to analyze the distribution functions of wealth and income. W ithin this fram ew onk we study wealth distribution in a society by using a m odelwhich tums on two-party trading for poor people while for rich people interaction w ith wealthy entities (huge reservoir) is relevant. At equilibrium, the interaction with wealthy entities gives a pow er law (P areto-like) behavior in the wealth distribution while the two party interaction gives a distribution sim ilar to that reported earlier $[\underline{11}, 1,1 / 2 \overline{1}]$.


The distribution of wealth in a nation has been a sub ject of interest for a long tim e. A n em piricalobservation due to $P$ areto $\left[\frac{1-1]}{]}\right]$ states that the higher incom e group distribution has a power law tailw ith exponent varying betw een 2 and 3. As for the low er incom e group distribution it is exponential or $G$ ibb's like. The G ibb's law has been shown to be obtainable when trading between two people, in the absence of any savings, is totally random $[\overline{4}, 1, \overline{1}, 1,1 \bar{\prime}, 1,1 \overline{2}]$. T he constant nite savings case has been
 Furthem ore a power law behavior has been reported for the higher incom e group


In this paper, we try to identify the processes that lead to the w ealth distribution in societies. O ur model involves two types of trading processes - $m$ icroscopic and $m$ acroscopic. The $m$ icroscopic process involves trading between two individuals while the $m$ acroscopic one involves trading betw een an individual and the $m$ acrosystem. The philosophy is that $s m$ all wealth distribution is govemed by two party trading while the large wealth distribution involves big players interacting with the $m$ acro-system. The big players $m$ ainly interact $w$ ith large entities/organizations (w hich they are not directly part of) such as govemm ent (s) , m arkets ofothernations, etc. These entities/organizations are treated as $m$ aking up the $m$ acro-system in our m odel. Them acro-system is thus a huge reservoir of wealth. W hereas the poor are $m$ ainly involved in trading with other poor individuals. H ence, our m odel invokes the $m$ icroscopic channel at $s m$ all wealths while at large wealths the $m$ acroscopic channel gets tumed on.

T he details of our m odel are as follow s. A n individual possessing wealth larger than a cuto wealth $\left(y_{c}\right)$ trades with a fraction [ (1 ) with 0 1] of his wealth ( $y$ ) w ith the $m$ acro-system w ith the latter putting forth an equal am ount of $m$ oney [(1 )y]. The trading involves the total sum $[2(1)$ distributed betw een the individual and the m acro-system. Thus on an average the m acro-system 's wealth is conserved. As regards individuals that possess wealth sm aller than $y_{c}$ they engage in two party trading $w$ here two individuals $i$ and j put forth a fraction of their wealth $(1 \quad) y_{i}$ and $(1 \quad) y_{j}$ respectively. Then the total m oney ( $1 \quad$ ) ( $y_{i}+y_{j}$ ) is random ly distributed between the two. The totalm oney between the two is conserved in the two-party trading process. W e assum e that probability of trading by individuals having certain $m$ oney is proportional to the num ber of individuals $w$ ith that $m$ oney.

W ew ill now develop a form alism sim ilar to Boltzm ann transport theory so as to obtain the distribution function $f(y ; y ; t)$ for wealth $(y)$, incom e $(y)$, and time $(t)$. Sim ilar to B oltzm ann's postulate we also postulate a dynam ic law of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f}{@ t}=\frac{@ f}{@ t}_{\text {ext source }}^{\left(\frac{@ f}{}_{@ t}^{\text {interaction }}\right.}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term describes the evolution due to extemal incom e sources only and can be w ritten as a continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f}{@ t}_{\text {ext source }}=\frac{@(y f)}{@ y} \frac{@(y f)}{@ y}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will nst analyze the microscopic channel. A s was derived earlier on by us (see Ref. [|=2]), for the case involving only two party interaction w ith no upper cuto , the distribution function for the $m$ oney of a person to lie betw een $y$ and $y+d y$ is given by

$$
f(y)=Z_{y}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d L \quad Z_{m i n}[; y=1}{(1 \quad) L} \quad \operatorname{max[0;fy\quad (1\quad )Lg=]} \quad d x f(x) f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L & x \tag{3}
\end{array}\right):
$$

W ew illnow obtain the above equation w ithin the Boltzm ann type transport form alism. If we assum e that there is no extemal incom e source, then $\frac{\mathrm{Qf}^{0}}{@ t}$ ext source $=0$. The second (non-trivial) term can be obtained as follows in term $s$ of a balance equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f}{@ t}=\frac{@ f}{@ t}_{\text {interaction }}^{( }=\text {gains losses: } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation the two term $s$ on the right hand side can be expressed in term $s$ of transition rates $r\left(y_{1} ; y_{2} ; Y_{1}^{0} ; y_{2}^{0}\right)$ for a pair ofpersons to go from $m$ oneys $y_{1} Y_{2}$
to $m$ oneys $y_{1}^{0} y_{2}^{0}$. Then we have on assum ing that the distribution function is only a function of wealth and time (such as when income is proportional to one's wealth)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ f\left(y_{1} ; t\right)}{@ t}=\quad \underset{Z}{Z}\left(y_{1}^{0} ; y_{2}^{0} ; y_{1} y_{2}\right) f\left(y_{1}^{0} ; t\right) f\left(y_{2}^{0} ; t\right) d y_{2} d y_{1}^{0} d y_{2}^{0} \\
& r\left(y_{1} ; y_{2} ; y_{1}^{0} ; y_{2}^{0}\right) f\left(y_{1} ; t\right) f\left(y_{2} ; t\right) d y_{2} d y_{1}^{0} d y_{2}^{0}: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation, conservation law requires $y_{1}+y_{2}=y_{1}^{0}+y_{2}^{0}$. $H$ ence in the rst integral we treat $y_{2}$ as redundant and integrate out $w$ ith respect to it to yield a norm alization constant. Sim ilarly in the second integraly $y_{2}^{0}$ is integrated out. N ow for the transition rate in the rst integral of the above equation we have

$$
\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{y}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{2}\right) / \quad \begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{1}{(1}\right)\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{y}_{2}^{0}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& 0
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \mathrm{y}_{1}^{0} \quad \mathrm{y}_{1} \\
& \text { otherw ise: }
\end{align*} \mathrm{y}_{1}^{0}+(1 \quad) \mathrm{y}_{2}^{0}
$$

On taking into account the restriction that no one can have negative $m$ oney and setting $y_{1}^{0}+y_{2}^{0}=L$, the rst integral is proportional to

A s regards the second integral, at equilibrium we assum e that the transition from $y_{1}$ to all other levels is proportional to $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$. A lso since at equilibrium $\frac{@ \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{t}\right)}{@ t}=0$, we obtain the distribution function to be that given by Eq. (

O n introducing an upper cuto $y_{c}$ for the two party trading, the contribution to the distribution function $f(y)$ from the $m$ icroscopic channelbecom es

N ext, we w ill analyze the $m$ acroscopic contribution to the distribution fiunction $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$. T he m acroscopic contribution to ${\frac{@ f\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, t\right)}{\varrho t}}^{\circ}$ interaction is given by

Total money involved in trading (between individual and the m acro-system ) is $2 y_{1}^{0}(1 \quad)$. A fter interaction, the resulting $m$ oney $y_{1}$ of the individual satis es the follow ing constraints $y_{1}^{0} \quad y_{1} \quad(2 \quad) y_{1}^{0}$. The rst transition rate $r\left(y_{1}^{0} ; y_{1}\right)$ in the above equation is given by

$$
r\left(y_{1}^{0} ; \boldsymbol{i} y_{1}\right) /{ }^{\left.\frac{1}{2 y_{1}^{0}(1}\right)} \quad \begin{align*}
& \text { if } \mathrm{y}_{1}^{0} \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}  \tag{10}\\
& 0
\end{align*} \text { otherw ise: }
$$

A s before, at equilibrium the second integral on the other hand is proportional to $f\left(y_{1}\right)$ when only the $m$ acroscopic channel is operative.

On taking into account an upper cuto $y_{c}$, the contribution to the distribution function $f(y)$ from the $m$ acroscopic channel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{y=(2}{z_{y=},} \frac{d x f(x)}{2 x(1)}\left(x \quad y_{c}\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f(y)$ is given by the sum of the two expressions given in Eqs. ( $\overline{-1})$ and ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ for the $m$ icrosoopic and the $m$ acroscopic channels respectively. $N$ ow it is interesting to note that the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})={\underset{y=(2}{\mathrm{z}=}, \frac{\mathrm{dxf}(\mathrm{x})}{2 \mathrm{x}(1)} ; ~ ; ~}_{2} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}$. To obtain n one then has to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \quad)^{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{n}(1 \quad) \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtains $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$. Only $\mathrm{n}=2$ is a realistic solution because it gives a realistic cum ulative probability. It is of interest to note that the solution is independent of
. N ext, we would like to $m$ ention that we have chosen the trading probability of a person $w$ ith certain $m$ oney, whether above the cuto $y_{c}$ orbelow it, is determ ined purely by the num ber ofpeople at that m oney. H ow ever, if the interactions above $y_{c}$ occur w th a sm aller probability govemed by a prefactor then Eq. (İ2i) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)={\underset{y}{y=(2},}_{Z_{y},}^{2 x(1)}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the above equation is again a power law of the form $1=y^{n} w$ th $n$ depending on both and and is plotted in Fig. 1. W hen < 1, at sm aller values of , there are two solutions for $n$ with the sm aller solution being less than unity and at larger values of there is only one solution. W e have plotted only the larger solution for all values of as it is the only realistic solution from a cum ulative probability point of view. From Fig. 1 we see that at a given value of < 1, as increases the value ofn and its gradient increases. Furtherm ore, for a xed value of , as decreases the value of $n$ increases.

Hence the distribution function, after sim pli cation, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{y} & (2 & ) y_{c}
\end{array}\right] \frac{\mathrm{f}[\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & ) y_{c}\right] \mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}} & (2 \\
) y_{c}\right]^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}:\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere it m ust be pointed out that Eq. (155) yields, as in the case of the purely $m$ icroscopic channelw ithout an upper cuto , when the savings are zero $(=0)$ and y! 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0}(y) \quad f(y) f(0): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In obtaining the above equation we again assum ed that the function $f(y)$ and its rst and second derivatives are wellbehaved. Then the solution for sm ally is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y) \quad f(0) \exp [y f(0)]: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e solve Eq. (15י1) iteratively by choosing a trial function, substituting it on the RHS and obtaining a new trial function and successively substituting the new trial functions over and over again on the RHS until the di erence between the new trial function $f_{n}$ and the previous trial function $f_{p}$ satis es the accuracy test ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} \dot{f}_{n}\left(y_{i}\right) \quad f_{p}\left(y_{i}\right) j \quad 0: 005$.

In Fig. 2 we depict, for the zero savings case $(=0)$ and $=1, f(y)$ with the cuto set at ten tim es the average $m$ oney per person and the average $m$ oney person (Yav) being set to unity. The distribution, as expected, decays exponentially for $s m$ all values of $y$ and has power law ( $1=y^{2}$ ) behavior for $y>2 y_{c}=20$. In F ig. 3 we plot $f(y)$ w th the cuto $y_{c}=0: 25, y_{\text {av }}=1$, and $=1$ for values of savings fraction
$=0: 1 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 9$. H ere the power law behavior $\left(1=y^{2}\right)$ takes over for $y>(2 \quad)=4$. At sm aller values ofy they allbecom e zero w ith the curves at higher 's approaching zero faster sim ilar to the case of the purely two-party trading model (see Ref. 绿]). Next, in $F$ ig. 4 we show the $f(y)$ behavior at values of the cuto $y_{c}=1=4 ; 1=5 ; 1=6$, $Y_{\text {av }}=1,=0: 5$, and $=1$. As expected the $1=y^{2}$ behavior starts at $y=1: 5 y_{c}$. At sm aller values of $y$ the behavior is sim ilar to the purely tw o-party trading $m$ odel studied earlier (see Ref. 何) . Lastly, in F ig. 5 we depict $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ for $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}=2, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{av}}=1$,
$=0: 5$, and values of $=0: 8 ; 0: 9$. The power law behavior ( $1=\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) starts at $\mathrm{y}=3$ w th $\mathrm{n}=4(3: 209)$ for $=0: 8(0: 9)[8 / 1]$.

In this paperwe introduced a new ingredient -interaction ofthe ridh w ith wealthy entities and obtain power law behavior sim ilar to Pareto law. On the other hand the wealth distribution of the poorer part of the society is accounted for through two-party trading.
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