Pair-breaking and superconducting state recovery dynam ics in $$\rm M$\ qB_{\,2}$$

J. Dem sar, 1,2 R. D. A veritt, A. J. Taylor, V. V. Kabanov, W. N. Kang, H. J. Kim, E. M. Choi, S. I. Lee Lee Los Alamos National Lab, M. ST-10, Los Alamos, N. M. 87545

2 "J. Stefan" Institute, Jamova 39, Ljubljana, Slovenia

3 National Creative Research Initiative Center for Superconductivity, Department of Physics,

Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790–784, Korea

Abstract

We present studies of the photoexcited quasiparticle dynam ics in MgB $_2$ where, using fem to second optical techniques, Cooper pair breaking dynam ics (PBD) have been temporally resolved for the rst time. The PBD are strongly temperature and photoexcitation intensity dependent. Analysis of the PBD using the Rothwarf-Taylor equations suggests that the anomalous PBD arises from the fact that in MgB $_2$ photoexcitation is initially followed by energy relaxation to high frequency phonons instead of, as commonly assumed, e-e thermalization. Furthermore, the bare quasiparticle recombination rate and the probability for pair-breaking by phonons have been determined.

In recent years, firm to second real-time spectroscopy has been shown to present an excellent experimental alternative for studying temperature (I) dependent changes in the low energy electronic structure of strongly correlated electron systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In these experiments, a fem to second laser pump pulse excites electron-hole pairs via an interband transition in the material. In a process which is similar in many materials including metals and superconductors (SC), these hot carriers rapidly them alize via electron-electron (e e) and electron-phonon (e ph) collisions reaching states near the Fermi energy within 10-100 fs. The subsequent relaxation and recombination dynamics (strongly a ected by the opening of the superconducting [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] or charge density wave [6] gap), are observed either by measuring photoinduced (PI) changes in rejectivity or transmission at optical frequencies [1, 2, 3, 4, 6], or by measuring conductivity dynamics at terahertz (THz) frequencies [5] While high-temperature superconductors have been extensively studied over the last decade or so [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], the data on more conventional BCS type superconductors is fairly limited [9, 10]. To our knowledge, no systematic study of photoexcited quasiparticle (QP) dynamics with fem to second resolution has been performed on low-Tc superconductors.

In this Letter, we present the rst fem to second time-resolved study of photoexcited carrier dynam ics in the recently discovered superconductor M gB_2 , utilizing both optical pump THz probe (OPTP) and optical pump optical probe (OPOP) techniques. The aim of this study is to elucidate the photoexcited carrier dynam ics in M gB_2 and in SC's in general, to compare the dynam ics to those in cuprates, and to obtain new and complementary knowledge of the electronic structure of M gB_2 . The discovery of bulk superconductivity below 39 K in M gB_2 [11] has generated a great deal of excitement since T_c is higher by nearly a factor of two in comparison to other previously known simple intermetallic superconductors. While the observation of a signicant boron isotope e ect [12], and the spin-singlet nature of the pairing [13] indicate conventional phonon mediated BCS pairing in M gB_2 , several experimental[14, 15, 16] and theoretical studies[17, 18, 19] suggest suggest the presence of two distinct energy gaps[14].

Since the SC gaps in M gB_2 lie in the THz range [20], OPTP spectroscopy is well-suited to study the dynam ics of PI quasiparticles. In particular, the Cooper pair-breaking dynam – ics (PBD) have been time-resolved for the rst time. The PBD are strongly temperature and photoexcitation—uence dependent. This is attributed to, following photoexcitation, an initially strong relaxation to high frequency phonons, and supported by detailed analysis in

term s of a phenom enological R othwarf-Taylor m odel[21]. The SC state recovery dynam ics, on the other hand, proceed on the time scale of hundreds of picoseconds. Systematic studies as a function of temperature, excitation intensity, and lime thickness suggest that pair recovery is governed by the anharm onic decay of 2 acoustic phonons.

In time-domain THz spectroscopy a nearly single-cycle electric eld transient, containing Fourier components from $100~\mathrm{GHz}$ to several THz is generated via optical rectication of 150 fs optical pulses in a ZnTe crystal. The THz electric eld transmitted through a sample, E_{sam} (t), is detected using the Pockelse ect in ZnTe[5, 7]. A measurement of the transmitted electric eld is also made using a suitable reference, E_{ref} (t), which, for these experiments, is a blank sapphire substrate. Dividing the Fourier transforms of the time domain sample and reference data gives the complex transmissivity $T(!) = E_{sam}(!) = E_{ref}(!)$. The real and imaginary conductivities ($_{r}(!)$, $_{i}(!)$) of the limate determined using the appropriate complex Fresnel equation, without the need for K ramers K ronig analysis [22]. For the OPTP experiments, the induced change in the transmitted electric eld, E_{sam} (t), is measured as a function of time-delay with respect to an optical excitation pulse. From E_{sam} (t), it is then possible to determine the PI change of (!) with picosecond (ps) resolution [7, 23, 24].

The OPTP experiments were performed on 80 nm and 100 nm MgB₂ thin lms (T_c 34 K) on sapphire [25], whereas the lms used in OPOP experiments had thicknesses of 300 and 400 nm, and T_c = 39 K (T_c 0:15K). Further details of the lm growth [25] and a detailed description of experimental techniques are given elsewhere [1, 2, 5]. The photoexcitation uence F ranged from 0:1 5 J/cm², corresponding [26] to an absorbed energy density = 2 110 eV/unit cell. For comparison, corresponding to complete destruction of SC state was found to be 110 eV/unit cell, in agreement with the condensation energy in the BCS limit [26].

Figure 1 shows $_{i}$ (!) and $_{r}$ (!) at several time delays after photoexcitation with a 150 fs pulse with F $_{i}$ J/cm $_{i}$. Since $_{i}$ provides a direct probe[22] of the condensate density, $_{i}$ ns, by measuring PI changes in $_{i}$ direct information of $_{i}$ ns dynamics can be extracted. On the other hand, the increase and subsequent recovery of $_{r}$ (!) corresponds to an initial increase in the number of QPs followed by their recombination[5]. The decrease of $_{i}$ (!) corresponding to a reduction of $_{i}$ ns occurs on the timescale of several ps, followed by recombination dynamics on the timescale of several hundred ps. To obtain a more detailed time evolution of the PI changes in (!), we utilize the fact that the induced changes in

the electric eld transient, E $_{sam}$, are mainly due to a phase shift of the electric eld – see inset to Fig. 1a). The origin of the phase shift is the so-called kinetic inductance due to SC pairing, i.e. the conductivity due to the SC pairs is purely in aginary with a 1=! dependence resulting in the overall phase shift in E $_{sam}$ (t) below T $_{c}$. When F is smaller than the uence corresponding to destruction of the SC state, E $_{sam}$ (t = t_{0}) (t_{0} is a xed point of E $_{sam}$ (t) which, for these experiments, was at the point of maximum time derivative of the electric eld { indicated by the arrow in inset to Fig.1) is proportional to the PI conductivity (both $_{i}$ and $_{r}$ have the same dynamics – see inset to Fig. 1b). Therefore by measuring E $_{sam}$ (t = t_{0}) while scanning the pump line, the PI conductivity dynamics (t) can be extracted rapidly (avoiding the signal drifts associated with the long term laser stability) and with much higher temporal resolution.

Fig. 2a) presents the induced conductivity dynam ics as a function of T (F $= 1 \text{ J/cm}^2$). The relaxation time $_R$, obtained by thing the data to exp(= 1 to R), shows a pronounced T-dependence, plotted by circles in the inset to Fig. 2a). Upon increasing T, = 1 rst decreases, reaches a minimum, followed by a quasi-divergence as T_C is approached.

Sim ilar results are obtained from the OPOP experiments – Fig. 2b), where PI changes in re-ectivity (R=R) at optical frequencies are measured (20 fs pulses at ~! 1:54 eV have been used as a source of both pump and probe pulses). The normal state dynamics[27] are characterized by a resolution limited risetime (40 fs), followed by a two-exponential decay, with decay times $_1$ 0:15 ps and $_2$ 3:5 ps (Fig. 2b)). After the initial ps dynamics, R=R changes sign and the recovery dynamics proceed on a timescale longer than 1 ns. Below T_c, however, an additional sub-nanosecond response becomes evident, whose amplitude and recovery dynamics is strongly T-dependent[28]. In particular, the T-dependence of R, plotted in the inset to Fig. 2b), displays the same T-dependence as the OPTP data.

The SC state recovery dynamics do not show any dependence on F in the range 0.1 < F < 5 J/cm 2 . This suggests that the SC recovery dynamics are governed by the phonon-bottleneck mechanism, proposed by Rothwarf and Taylor[21] (biparticle recombination should be intensity dependent). In this case recovery dynamics are governed by the lifetime of! & 2 phonons, which are in thermal equilibrium with QPs.[21] Since in MgB $_2$ is much smaller than the energy of the lowest optical phonon mode ($_40 \text{ meV}$)[29], the SC recovery is governed by the decay of acoustic phonons. The decay of! & 2 phonon

population is governed either by anharm onic decay to ! < 2 phonons or escape of! & 2 phonons to the substrate [28]. The fact that no change in $_{\rm R}$ is observed when comparing the data taken on 80, 100 and 400 nm $_{\rm R}$ in suggests that in M gB $_{\rm R}$ the predom inant mechanism that governs the SC condensate recovery is anharm onic phonon decay. This assignment is further supported by the observed T-dependence of $_{\rm R}$, which near T $_{\rm C}$ [1] shows $_{\rm R}$ = 1= (T), and the estimated anharm onic decay time[30] of the 10 meV longitudinal acoustic phonon in M gB $_{\rm R}$ of 1 ns[26].

When discussing the OPOP data we should mention the peculiar ps normal state dynam ics, present also in the SC state. In metals, psQP dynam ics are usually interpreted in term s of the two-tem perature model (TTM) [8, 31, 32]. Here the assumption that the e-e scattering is much faster than the e-ph scattering leads to the description of the PI transignt in term s of the time evolution of the electronic temperature T_{e} , i.e. R(t) = R = RT $_{\rm e}$ (t). The dynam ics are due to e-ph therm alization which is proportional to the e-ph coupling constant [8, 32]. For M gB 2, however, the ps dynam ics are inconsistent with the TTM since: i) the R=R transient changes sign with time[27], ii) @R=@T at 1.5 eV is negative [33], so R=R < 0 in the TTM, contrary to what is observed, iii) the expected e-ph therm alization time [34] is ep 20 fs, which is much shorter than the experimental values of either $_1$ or $_2$, and iv) the initial dynam ics do not change upon cooling below $T_{\rm c}$, where the bottleneck in the relaxation due to the presence of the SC gap should strongly a ect the recovery dynam ics[1, 21]. The above argum ents suggest that the origin of the ps dynamics measured in OPOP experiments is not associated with e-ph thermalization, and indicates that the assum ption of e-e therm alization being much faster than the e-ph scattering is invalid in M gB2. The coupling between electrons and high frequency optical phonons in M gB_2 is very strong (in particular the coupling of band electrons to the E $_{2g}$ phonon m ode at 60-80 m eV) [18, 19, 35]. Thus, it is quite possible that the situation in M gB $_2$ is reversed (or at least that the two timescales are comparable). In this scenario the initial relaxation of photoexcited electrons proceeds via emission of high frequency (60-80 m eV) optical phonons which only subsequently release their energy to the electron system via phonon-electron scattering, and to low energy phonons via anharm onic decay. Therefore we argue that the ps dynam ics observed in OPOP experim ents is due to the energy relaxation of the optical phonon population, rather than e-ph them alization.

Finally, let us discuss the rise-time dynamics, rejecting the Cooper-pair breaking pro-

cesses (i.e. the initial reduction of n_s). Fig. 2a) and the inset to Fig. 1b) clearly show a nite risetime in the induced change in conductivity, indicating that it takes some time for the completion of pair-breaking following optical excitation. Furthermore, Fig. 2a) shows that the PBD are T-dependent (the PBD becomes faster as T is increased). Also, the PBD depend on the photoexcitation intensity. Fig. 3a) shows the early time (t) taken at 7K for dierent uences. While the solid symbols represent the data obtained by measuring E_{sam} (t), the open symbols represent the induced change in the conductivity obtained directly using the two-dimensional scanning technique. The agreement between the two data sets clearly shows that the phase changes accurately reveal the condensate dynamics. This is particularly important, since measuring E_{sam} (t) enables the study of condensate dynamics with sub-picosecond resolution (limited by optical pulsewidths to 0:3 ps), while the two-dimensional scanning technique is limited by the THz pulse width (2 ps) [24].

In view of the normal state OPOP data, which suggest that high energy electrons initially release their energy (or at least a signi cant portion of it) via emission of high frequency phonons (which only subsequently break Cooper pairs), there is a natural explanation of the observed uence and T-dependence of the PBD in MgB $_2$ [28]. To show this, we consider the phenomenological Rothwarf-Taylor model [21], where the dynamics of the QP and high frequency (! > 2) phonon densities, n and N, are described by a set of two coupled differential equations [21]. Since the SC recovery dynamics proceed on a much longer time scale than the PBD, the term describing the loss of ! > 2 phonons by processes other than pair excitation can be neglected, and the equations are given by:

$$dn=dt = N R n^2 ; dN = dt = \frac{1}{2} [R n^2 N]$$
 (1)

Here R is the bare quasiparticle recombination rate and is the probability for pair-breaking by phonons [21]. With the initial condition that after photoexcitation (and initial subpicosecond e-e and e-ph dynamics) the QP and high frequency phonon densities are n_0 and N_0 , the subsequent time evolution of n is given by [28]

n (t) =
$$\frac{1}{R}$$
 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ + $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ K exp (t =) (2)

where K and are dimensionless parameters determined by the initial conditions:

$$K = \frac{\frac{2}{2} \frac{4Rn_0 + 1}{4Rn_0 + 1 + 1}}{\frac{2}{2} \frac{4Rn_0 + 1}{4} + 1}; \frac{1}{4} = \frac{S}{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2R}{4} (n_0 + 2N_0)};$$
(3)

Eq.[2] has three distinct regimes, depicted in inset to Fig. 3a). K=0 corresponds to the stationary solution, when n_0 and N_0 after photoexcitation are already in quasi-equilibrium (at a somewhat elevated T), i.e. $R n_0^2 = N_0$, and n(t) is a step function. The regime 0 < K 1 corresponds to the situation when, following excitation, the number of QPs is higher than the quasi-equilibrium value, while 1 K < 0 represents the opposite situation when the initial T of ! > 2 phonons is higher than the quasi-equilibrium one. As Fig. 3 shows, the latter situation seems to be realized in the case of MgB₂, consistent with the analysis of the OPOP data.

At low T, when the density of thermally excited QPs and ! > 2 phonons is exponentially small, the change in conductivity is proportional to the PI quasiparticle density. Therefore we can t[36] the conductivity dynam ics using Eq.(2). Best to the data taken at various intensities are plotted by solid lines in Fig. 3a) showing extremely good agreement with the data. The two thing parameters = and K are plotted in panels b) and c).

Importantly, the microscopic parameters R and can be extracted by thing the = and K vs. the absorbed energy density to Eqs.(3). We assume that photoexcitation with creates $n_0 = p = QPs$ (with energy) and $N_0 = (1 p) = 2$ high frequency 2 phonons, where p is the portion of that initially goes to QPs. A best to the two data sets (dashed lines) is obtained when p 6% (further supporting OPOP data analysis) giving $^1 = 15$ 2 ps, R = 100 30 ps 1 unit cell 1 .

In conclusion, we have presented the rst fem to second studies of photoexcited carrier dynam ics in M gB_2 using both OPTP and OPOP techniques. The T-dependence of the SC state recovery dynam ics have a similar behavior as for the cuprates [1, 2, 5]. The main dierence is that in the cuprates $_R$ is a factor of 100 shorter, which we attribute to their larger gap value, causing the dynam ics (and $_R$) to be governed by the lifetime of optical phonons, instead of acoustic phonons as in M gB_2 [28]. Furthermore, we have presented the rst observation of time-resolved PBD. The PBD are found to be temperature and uence dependent, which is attributed to the initial creation of high frequency phonons, rather than QPs, and supported by detailed analysis of the PBD in terms of the Rothwarf-Taylor equations [21]. Importantly, similar physics may be responsible for the longer risetimes observed in single layer cuprates (500 fs) [4] in comparison to double layered ones (0.1 ps) [1, 2, 5].

Research supported by the USDOE. We wish to thank G. L. Carr, I.I. Mazin, T. Merteli,

D.M. ihailovic, K.A.M. uller, and J.J. Tu. for valuable discussions.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1: The a) imaginary and b) real conductivity as a function of frequency shown at various time delays following excitation with a uence F 3 J/cm 2 at 7K. Inset to a): E_{sam} (t) at 7K and 35K. Inset to b): the time evolution of $_{\rm r}$ and $_{\rm i}$ taken at = 0.8 THz. Figure 2: a) The PI change in conductivity (/ E $_{sam}$) as a function of tem perature. b) The PI rejectivity traces at various temperatures plotted on a semi-log scale. The normal state trace (90K) is vertically shifted for clarity. The T-dependence of the superconducting state recovery time, $_{\rm R}$, determined by single exponential to the data (solid lines) is presented in the insets.

Figure 3: a) The rise time dynamics at 7 K taken at various F in J/cm 2 (for presentation purpose all traces have been shifted vertically by 0.1). Solid circles represent the data obtained by scanning the pump line while measuring E (t = t_0), while the open circles correspond to measured directly. Solid lines are to the data using Eq.(2), with the intensity F (absorbed energy) dependence of and K plotted in panelsb) and c). Dashed lines in b) and c) represent the best to Eqs.(3). Inset to panela): Solutions of Eq.(2) for dierent K.

^[1] V.V.Kabanov et al., Phys. Rev. B 59, 1497 (1999).

^[2] J.Dem saret al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4918 (1999).

^[3] G P. Segre et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137001 (2002).

^[4] M. L. Schneider et al., Europhys. Lett. 60, 460 (2002).

^[5] R.D. Averitt et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 140502 (R) (2001).


^[6] J.Dem sar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 800 (1999), J.Dem sar et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 041101 (2002).


^[7] R D . A veritt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 017401 (2001).

^[8] J.Dem sar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 027401 (2003).


^[9] J.F. Federici et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 11153 (1992).

- [10] G.L. Carretal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3001 (2000).
- [11] J.Nagam atsu, et al., Nature 410, 63 (2001).
- [12] S.L.Bud'ko et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1877 (2001).
- [13] H.Kotegawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 127001 (2001).
- [14] F.Bouquet et al., Europhys. Lett. 56, 856 (2001).
- [15] F.G iubileo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 177008 (2001).
- [16] S. Soum a et al., Nature 423, 65 (2003).
- [17] A.Y.Liu, I.I.M azin, J.K ortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 087005 (2001).
- [18] I.I.M azin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107002 (2002).
- [19] H J. Choiet al, Nature 418, 758 (2002).
- [20] R.A. Kaindlet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027003 (2001).
- [21] A.Rothwarf, B.N. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 27 (1967).
- [22] M C.Nuss, J.O renstein, in M illim eter and Subm illim eter W ave Spectroscopy of Solids, edited by G G runer, Springer-Verlag, G erm any, 1998.
- [23] E.Knoesel, M.Bonn, J.Shan, T.F.Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 340 (2001).
- [24] J.T.K indt, C.A. Schmuttenmaer, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 8589 (1999).
- [25] W N. Kang, et al., Science 292, 1521 (2001).
- [26] J.Dem saretal, Int. J.M od. Phys. 17, 3675 (2003).
- [27] The norm alstate dynamics are virtually T-independent up to 400 K and did not change when the probe photon energy was tuned from 1.45 eV to 1.65 eV.
- [28] A detailed analysis of OPOP data together with Rothwarf-Taylor analysis will be presented elsewhere.
- [29] R.Osbom et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 017005 (2001).
- [30] S. Tam ura and H. J. Maris, Phys. Rev. B 51, 2857 (1995).
- [31] P.B.Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1460 (1987).
- [32] S.D. Brorson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2172 (1990).
- [33] J.J. Tu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 277001 (2001).
- [34] Calculated using Eq. 10 from [31] with Eliahsberg e-ph coupling function from [35].
- [35] A A . Golubov et al., J. Phys.-Cond. M at. 14, 1353 (2002).
- [36] To account for experim ental resolution of 0:3 ps, and the initial e-e and e-ph scattering processes, Eq.[2] has been convoluted with Gaussian of 0.8 ps FW HM.





Demsar et al., Figure 2



Demsar et al., Figure 3