D ynam ics of the superconducting condensate in the presence of a magnetic eld. C hannelling of vortices in superconducting strips at high currents

D. Vodolazov, B.J. Baelus, and F.M. Peeters^y Departement Natuurkunde, Universiteit Antwerpen (Campus Drie Eiken), B-2610 Antwerpen, Belgium

On the basis of the time-dependent G inzburg-Landau equation we studied the dynam ics of the superconducting condensate in a wide two-dimensional sample in the presence of a perpendicular magnetic eld and applied current. We could identify two critical currents: the current at which the pure superconducting state becomes unstable (J_{c2}^{1}) and the current at which the system transits from the resistive state to the superconducting state $(J_{c1} < J_{c2})$. The current J_{c2} decreases monotonically with external magnetic eld, while J_{c1} exhibits a maximum at H. For su cient large magnetic elds the hysteresis disappears and $J_{c1} = J_{c2} = J_c$. In this high magnetic eld region and for currents close to J_c the voltage appears as a result of the motion of separate vortices. W ith increasing current the moving vortices form 'channels' with suppressed order parameter along which the vortices can move very fast. This leads to a sharp increase of the voltage. These 'channels' resemble in some respect the phase slip lines which occur at zero magnetic eld.

PACS num bers: Keywords:

It is well-known that the resistive state in superconducting wires or stripes with diam eter/width less or com – parable to the coherence length is realized through the appearance of phase slip centers^{2,3,4}. The phenom enological theory of phase slip centers (PSC) was rst proposed in R ef.⁵. A coording to this theory a PSC is a region with size of order where the order parameter is strongly suppressed. The normal current density produced by the oscillation of the order parameter in the phase slip center decays on a larger distance scale $_{\rm Q}$

C unrent-voltage characteristics of such a system is usually invevensible^{2,4}. It is possible to distinguish two critical current densities: j_{c2} – current density at which the superconducting state becomes unstable and $j_{c1} < j_{c2}$ – current density below which the phase slip solution does not exist in the system 2,4 . In recent work 7 it was claimed that the current j_{c1} is de ned by the competition between two relaxation times: the relaxation time of the absolute value of the order parameter $_{j}$ and the relaxation time of the phase of the order parameter $_{j}$. The phase slip solution does exist when roughly $_{j}$.

Because an applied magnetic eld suppresses the order parameter and leads to the appearance of screening currents in the sample it is naturally to expect that it a ects the phase slip process in the superconductor. For example in Refs.^{6,7} it was shown that a parallel (to the direction of the injected current) magnetic eld modi es the critical currents j_{c1} , j_{c2} ⁷ and the stair structure of the current-voltage characteristic⁶.

If we apply a perpendicular magnetic eld the situation becom es m ore com plicated. In this case the screening currents induced by the magnetic eld decreases the current density jon one side of the superconductor and increases j on the other side of the sample (see Fig. 1) and the current density in some part of the stripe becom es smaller than j_{c1} (if total current is equal to



FIG.1: Schem atic current density distribution in a superconducting stripe in a perpendicular magnetic eld at the critical currents $I_{\rm c1}$ (H) (solid lines) and $I_{\rm c2}$ (H) (dotted lines). In the insert a schem atic view of the considered set up is shown.

 $J = j_{c1}W$). In accordance with Ref.⁷, in that part of the sam ple the phase slip process cannot be realized. We should increase the applied current in order to satisfy the condition $j > j_{c1}$ in any point of the line connecting the two opposite sides of the strip. Further increasing H the slope of j(x) increases (at current $J = J_{c1}(H)$) and at the moment when the current density on the left side of the sam ple reaches j_{c2} (see Fig. 1) both critical currents becomes equal to each other $J_{c2} = J_{c1}$ at eld H = H $(j_{2} \ j_{1})$ =W .

To check the above predictions we studied the current-voltage characteristics of quasi-two-dimensional superconductors using the generalized time-dependent G inzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation. The latter was rst



FIG. 2: Current-voltage characteristics of a superconducting strip in a perpendicular magnetic eld for sweep up and sweep down regimes.

written down in the work of Ref.⁸

$$\frac{p - u}{1 + 2jf} = \frac{0}{0t} + i' + \frac{2}{2}\frac{0jf}{0t} =$$

$$= (r - iAf + (1 - jf)) : (1)$$

and should be supplemented with the equation for the electrostatic potential

$$' = div (Im ((r iA)));$$
 (2)

which is nothing else than the condition for the conservation of the total current in the wire, i.e. divj = 0. In Eqs. (1,2) all the physical quantities (order param eter = j jeⁱ, vector potential A and electrostatic potential ') are measured in dimensionless units: the vector potentialA and momentum of superconducting condensate A is scaled by $_0 = (2)$ (where $_0$ is the quanp = rtum of magnetic ux), the order parameter is in units of 0 and the coordinates are in units of the coherence length (T). In these units the magnetic eld is scaled by H_{c2} and the current density by $j_0 = c_0 = 8^{-2} - 2^{-2}$. T in e is in units of the G inzburg-Landau relaxation time $_{GL} = 4$ $_n$ $^2 = c^2 = 2T \sim = \frac{2}{0}$, and the electrostatic potential (') is in units of ' $_0 = c_0 = 8^{-2}$ $_n = \sim = 2e_{GL}$ (n is the normal-state conductivity). The parameter u is about 5:79 according to Ref.⁸. We also put A = (0; H x; 0) in Eq. (1,2) because we considered the case when the e ect of the current-induced m agnetic eld is negligible.

In our calculations we mainly used vacuumsuperconductor boundary conditions (r iA) j = 0and r' $j_1 = 0$ except for the regions where current was injected (see insert in Fig. 1). In those points we used the norm all metal-superconductor boundary conditions = 0 and r' $j_1 = j$.

W e found two jumps in the current-voltage characteristics (see Fig. 2). The rst one is connected with the transition of the sample from the supercondicting to the



FIG.3: Dependence of the critical currents $j_{\rm c1}$ and $j_{\rm c2}$ on the applied perpendicular magnetic eld.

resistive state (but with j j \in 0). The second transition is the one from the resistive to the norm al state. The hysteresis connected to the second transition survives till very high m agnetic eld. The latter is connected with the stability of the norm alstate carrying current at tem peratures low erthan the critical tem perature (see for example Ref^{2}). For such a system it was shown that the norm al state m ay exist (at T < T_c) till very low current densities if there is no nite superconducting nucleus. In our case we in ject current through part of the cross-section of the sample (see inset of Fig. 1) and in this way we provide a more optimal condition for the nucleation of superconductivity in the corners of the superconducting sam ple where the current density is m in im a leven in norm al state. W e want to stress that the second hysteresis crucially depends on the geom etrical param eters of the sam ple and it is not the subject of the present paper to study that e ect. We only study here the rst hysteresis which is alm ost sam ple-independent.

In Fig. 3 we present the dependencies of J_{c1} (H) and J_{c2} (H) for di erent widths of the sam ple. W e found that in accordance with our predictions the current J_{c1} increases at low magnetic elds and at some H = H it becom es equal to J_{c2}. Beyond H that type of hysteresis no longer exists in the system and the critical current $J_c = J_{c1} = J_{c2}$ decreases with increasing magnetic eld. Only for relatively narrow samples with W there is a second peak in the J_c (H) dependence. The reason for that peak is probably connected with strong nonlinear e ects. Indeed, the current density is related to the momentum of the superconducting condensate (p = rA) asj=p(1 p²) in accordance to the G inzburg-Landau relation. The second peak occurs approximately at a eld H_s when the rst vortices penetrate the sample in absence of injected current. At $H = H_s$ the value of p on the edges is close to unity for samples with W It means that the term (1 p) may be very important in that range of elds. In wider stripes the above e ect is negligible and there is no second peak in the $J_{\rm c}$ (H)

curve.

For magnetic elds larger than H_s, vortices will penetrate the superconductor and occupy the central part of the sam ple9,10. If one injects current into the sam ple the vortex-lled region starts to move to one side of the sam ple and when the vortex dom e touches the sam ple boundary the vortices will have the sam ple^{9,10}. This value of the current is the critical one. It is essential that the distribution of the current density in the vortex dom e is practically constant in the resistive state (in narrow samples with $W < = 2^2 = d$) even in the absence of bulk pinning¹¹. At elds H $\rm H_{\ s}$ the vortex dom e occupies alm ost the whole sam ple and it means that at $J' J_{c1}(0) = j_{c1}W$ the conditions for the occurrence of phase slip lines (or vortex channels) will be ful lled and it will lead to the appearance of a stair structure in the I-V characteristics and to a sharp increase of the voltage at $J > J_{c1}(0)^{12}$. It also follows that at relatively large H this current should be eld-independent. The lower boundary of the eld-independent region is de ned by the condition that the current density distribution should be alm ost uniform (H $_{\rm low\,er}$ 'H $_{\rm s}). The upper boundary$ H_{upper} depends on how large is magnetic eld and how strong the order param eter is suppressed in vortex lled region because according to Refs.^{6,7} both these factors a let the value of j_{c1} (it leads to a decrease of it).

W e found that the voltage sharply increases at $J > J_c$ when vortex slip lines or vortex channels appear in the sample (com pare Fig. 2 and Fig. 4 at H = 0.3 and H = 0.6). In contradiction with the above prediction the current at which the vortex channelling occurs decreases with increasing H (see Fig. 2). The most probable reason is that the current density strongly varies over the width of the sample for H H_s in case W = 9 because even close to H_{c2} there will be only three rows of vortices (see Fig. 4).

O ur results coincide qualitatively with recent experim ental results on the I-V characteristics of carbon nanotube (com pare Fig. 13 in Ref.¹³ with Fig. 3 in our paper) m easured at di erent m agnetic elds. In that work a non-m onotonous dependence of J_{c1} on H was observed at low m agnetic elds. In Ref.¹⁴ a stair structure in I-V characteristics of M o/Sim ulti-layer stripes was found which appears practically at the same value of the injected current, as predicted in this paper, in wide eld region.

In conclusion, we found that an external magnetic eld strongly a ects the resistive state of mesoscopic wires and stripes. It leads to a shrinking of the hysteresis in the current-voltage characteristic at relatively high values of H.Ifthem agnetic eld is perpendicular to the current direction the dependence J_{c1} (H) is a non-m onotonous function of H at low magnetic eld. At high magnetic elds J_{c1} and J_{c2} m onotonically decreases with increasing H for both perpendicular and parallel⁷ orientation of the applied magnetic eld.

This work was supported by IUAP, GOA (University of Antwerp), ESF on "Vortex matter", the Flem ish Science Foundation (FW O - V l). One of us (D N) is supported by DW TC to promote S & T collaboration between Central and Eastern Europe.



FIG.4: Contour plot of the order parameter in the resistive state of a superconducting strip at dierent values of the magnetic eld and applied current. The width of the sample is 9, the length is 40, and we used the parameter = 10, hji = $J = (j_0 W)$.

E lectronic address: denis@ uia.ua.ac.be

- ^y Electronic address: peeters@uia.ua.ac.be
- ¹ Everywhere in the paper we de ne the current by J = $I=d= \int_{0}^{W} j(x)dx$.
- ² B.I. Ivlev and N.B.Kopnin, Adv. Phys. 33 (1984) 80.
- ³ M. Thinkham, Introduction to superconductivity, M cG raw-Hill, NY, 1996), Chpt. 11.
- ⁴ R.Tidecks, Current-induced nonequilibrium phenomena in quasi-one-dimensional superconductors, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- ⁵ W.J.Skocpol, M.R.Beasley, and M.Tinkham, J.Low Tem p.Phys.16 (1974) 145.
- ⁶ A M. Kadin, W J. Skocpol, and M. Tinkham, J. Low Temp.Phys.33 (1978) 33.

- ⁷ S.M ichotte, S.M ate -Tem p i, L.P iraux, D.Y.Vodolazov, and F.M. Peeters, cond-m at/0309699.
- ⁸ L. Kramer and R.J. W atts-Tobin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 1041.
- ⁹ M Yu. Kypriyanov and K K. Likharev, Sov. Phys. Solid State 16 (1975) 1835.
- ¹⁰ M.Benkraouda and JR.Clem, Phys.Rev.B 58 (1998) 15103.
- ¹¹ G.M.Maksimova, N.V.Zhelezina and I.L.Maksimov, Europhys.Lett. 53 (2001) 639.
- ¹² The coexistance of phase slip process and ux ow was discussed already in the paper: S.V. Lem pitsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 90 (1986) 793. The author predicted (using the modi ed London approach) that the resistive state

in wide (W) stripes may be realized at nonzero magnetic eld for low currents through ux ow and for high currents through the appearence of phase slip lines. The nonmonotonous dependence of J_{cl} (H) and the eld-independent current at which the phase slip lines (vortex channels) appear at high H were not discussed.

- ¹³ A.Kasum ov, M.Kocial, M.Ferrier, R.Deblock, S.Gueron, B. Reulet, I. Khodos, O.Stephan, and H. Bouchiat, preprint.
- ¹⁴ A.Y. A ladyshkin, A.Y. K lim ov, M A. Kucherenko, V.V. Kurin, V L.M ironov, Y N.Nozdrin, and E E.Pestov, unpublished.