Inhibiting Three-Body Recombination in Atom ic Bose-Einstein Condensates

Chris P. Search¹, W eiping Zhang^{1,2}, and Pierre M eystre¹ ¹Optical Sciences Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 ²D epartm ent of Physics, T singhua University, Beijing, 100084, P.R. China

W e discuss the possibility of inhibiting three-body recombination in atom ic Bose-Einstein condensates via the application of resonant 2 laser pulses. These pulses result in the periodic change in the phase of the molecular state by , which leads to destructive interference between the decay amplitudes following successive pulses. We show that the decay rate can be reduced by several orders of magnitude under realistic conditions.

PACS num bers: 03.75.G g, 03.65.Y z, 34.50.-s

A fundam ental lim it to the lifetime of trapped atom ic Bose-E instein condensates (BECs) is three-body recom – bination, in which two atoms form a molecular dimer and the third atom carries away the excess energy and momentum [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Unlike other loss mechanisms such as spin relaxation, collisions with background therm al atoms, or spontaneous light scattering, three-body recombination is an intrinsic loss mechanism that cannot be elim inated by simply engineering a better trapping environment.

The decay rate due to three-body recombination is proportional to the square of the atom ic density,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dn}}{\mathrm{dt}} = 3K_3 n^3 = 6 \tag{1}$$

where n is the atom ic density, $K_3 = ha^4 = m$, and a is the elastic atom ic s-wave scattering length for atom s of mass m [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. (We note that Eq. (1) contains an additional factor of 1=6 if all atom s occupy the same quantum state [1]). At high densities and large scattering lengths, three-body recombination represents the prim ary lim it on the lifetim e of condensates.

The strong dependence of three-body recombination on a and the density in poses severe restrictions on experiments done in the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance [8, 9, 10], where a diverges, as well as for tightly con ned samples. The latter situation is relevant in particular to the new eld of integrated atom optics [11]. Thus it is im portant to pursue possible techniques for inhibiting three-body recombination in condensates.

The control of decay and decoherence mechanisms in quantum systems is also important in other areas of physics [12, 13]. Much of this work is motivated by the requirements for quantum computers as well as an interest in fundamental issues in quantum mechanics, such as the Quantum Zeno e ect [14]. An important result in this context is the dem onstration that tim e-dependent external elds that modulate either the energies of the system under consideration or its coupling to the external reservoir into which it decays can reduce its decay rate. A key requirement of such schemes is that the external eld be modulated on a time scale shorter than the reservoir correlation time.

For positive scattering lengths much larger than the range of the interatom ic potential, three-body recom bination is dominated by the formation of molecules in a weakly bound state with binding energy $b = h = m a^2$ [2, 3]. In this paper we discuss the use of a regular sequence of resonant 2 laser pulses applied to that state to inhibit three-body decay. The e ect of each pulse is to change its phase by . This leads to a destructive interference in the probability amplitudes between successive pulses for the form ation of a molecule. A similar idea was explored by Agarwalet al. for inhibiting spontaneous em ission from a two-level atom [13]. However, it is virtually in possible to inhibit spontaneous em ission in free space because of the nearly instantaneous correlation time of the vacuum. The situation is more favorable in the present case: The role of the reservoir is now played by the molecular states form ed by three-body recombination, and its correlation time is roughly on the order of the inverse of the binding energy, \int_{b}^{1} 10⁶ s for 1000a and m 50 a.m.u. Therefore a sequence а ¹_b should be of pulses separated by an interval of T e ective at decreasing the rate of m olecule form ation.

W e proceed by deriving a coarse-grained m aster equation for the evolution of a BEC in the presence of a sequence of in pulsive laser pulses. The pulses are assumed to be o -resonant with respect to the condensate atom s, but resonant with respect to the molecules formed by three-body recombination. The total H am iltonian for the atom -molecule system is $H = H_a + H_m + H_3$ where

$$H_{a} = d^{3}x ^{\gamma} \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{2m} + V(r) ^{\gamma} + \frac{g}{2} \gamma^{\gamma} \gamma^{\gamma} ; \quad H_{3} = h \quad d^{3}x \frac{\gamma}{g} \gamma^{\gamma} \gamma^{3} + hc:$$

$$H_{m} = d^{3}x \frac{\gamma}{g} \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{4m} + h_{b} \frac{\gamma}{g} + \frac{\gamma}{e} \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{4m} + h(!_{eg} e !_{L}) \frac{\gamma}{e} + \frac{h}{2} m(t) \frac{\gamma}{e} + hr:$$

Here H_a and H_m are the atom ic and molecular Ham iltonians, respectively, and H₃ accounts for three-body recombination [1]. The eld operators (r) and $_{g}(r)$ describe the annihilation of atom s and of molecules with binding energy _b, respectively. In H_a, g = 4 h²a=m while V (r) is an external trapping potential and is the chem ical potential.

W e assume that the laser eld, with Rabi frequency $_{\rm m}$ (t) and frequency $!_{
m L}$, is resonant with a single vibrational state in the molecular potential of the electronically excited m olecule. This state is denoted by the annihilation operator $\hat{e}_{e}(\mathbf{r})$. Its binding energy relative to the electronic energy of the corresponding free atom s is $_{e}$, so that the resonance condition is = $(!_{eq} e) + !_{L} =$ 0. We further assume that the laser is o -resonant with respect to the excited state transition for the corresponding free atom s, $a = !_{eq}$! m = 2; a, wherem = 2 is the atom ic Rabi frequency [15] and a the linewidth. For = 0 this gives a = e b. Since b corresponds to a weakly bound state near the dissociation limit, e would have to correspond to a low lying vibrational state in the molecular potential for the excited atom swith a binding energy of the order 1 10GHz.

For the problem at hand, $_{m}$ (t) = $_{0}$ f (t) where f (t) is a train of square pulses of unit am plitude with duration $_{p}$ and separation T such that $_{0 p} = 2$. If $_{p}$ is short compared to the characteristic time of the center-offm ass m olecular dynamics, the molecules undergo a complete R abioscillation for each pulse, leaving their excited state unchanged while their ground state acquires a phase shift. We can then elim inate the excited molecular state from H $_{m}$ and make for the ground state eld operators the substitution

$$\hat{g}$$
 (r;t) ! (1) $\frac{t}{T+p} \hat{g}$ (r;t)

where [:::] denotes the integer part of the term in brackets.

The H am iltonian H $_3$ describes the form ation of dimers from three colliding atoms in which the third atom carries away the excess kinetic energy and momentum released by the molecule form ation. The binding energy of the molecule is converted into molecular and atom ic kinetic energies, $_{\rm b}=3{\rm hK}^2{=}4{\rm m}$ where + hK and hK are the atom ic and molecular momenta. For hK $^2{=}4{\rm m}$, we can decompose the atom ic eld operator as $^\circ(r)=^\circ_{\rm T}(r)+^\circ_{\rm F}(r)$ where $^\circ_{\rm T}$ represent the trapped condensate atom swhile $^\circ_{\rm F}$ are atom swith kinetic energy 2 $_{\rm b}{=}3.$ By making what am ounts to the rotating wave approximation and keeping only resonant terms, H $_3$ reduces to

[4],

$$H_{3} = h \qquad d^{3}x \stackrel{\gamma}{}_{g} \stackrel{\gamma}{}_{F} \stackrel{\gamma}{}_{T} + h \Sigma$$

We note that the molecules and recoiling atoms escape from the trap for $_{\rm b}=3>V_0$ where V_0 is the trap depth. For large scattering lengths with $_{\rm b}=10^6{\rm s}^1$, atoms and molecules are lost for $V_0=k_{\rm B}<10~{\rm K}$. Under these conditions, it is convenient to adopt a plane wave basis for the atoms and molecules that are lost from the trap, $\hat{}_{g}(\mathbf{r};t) = (1=V) \sum_{k} \hat{a}_k(t) \exp[ik r]$ and $\hat{}_{\rm F}(\mathbf{r};t) = (1=V) \sum_{k} \hat{a}_k(t) \exp[ik r]$, where V is a quantization volume. For the condensate we use a zero-tem perature single-mode approximation, $_{\rm T}(\mathbf{r};t) = u_0(\mathbf{r})\hat{b}(t)$ and $u_0(\mathbf{r})$ is a Hartree wave function for the condensate ground state with eigenvalue . A fler transform ing to the interaction representation, the interaction H am iltonian becomes

$$H_{3}(t) = \int_{k_{1};k_{2}}^{x} hU(k_{1}+k_{2})(1)^{t=T+p} e^{i ! l_{2}t} \hat{a}_{k_{1}}^{y} \hat{c}_{k_{2}}^{y} \hat{b}^{3} + h \varepsilon$$
(2)

where $!_{12} = hk_1^2 = (4m) + hk_2^2 = (2m)$ b and U (k) = $d^3xe^{ik r} u_0^3(r) = V$.

Starting from Eq. (2) we can derive a master equation for the density operator of the condensate atom s, (t). In this approach the molecules and free atom s are interpreted as a reservoir coupled to the condensate by H $_3$ (t). It is important to note that H₃(t) is not a continuous function of time since the pulses result in a discontinuous sign change after each interval T + p. Hence one must proceed with caution when deriving the master equation since di erentiation and integration are no longer inverses of each other. We use the form of the master equation derived e.g. in Ref. [16] for the coarse-grained derivative (t) = ((t+))(t))= of the system density operator, being a time long compared to the correlation time of the reservoir $_{\rm c}$ but short compared to times over which the condensate evolves, c 1= n². Taking the reservoir density operator to be in the vacuum state and assuming that = N T where N is the number of pulses and p T,we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (t) &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{i} {}^{h} {} {}^{b} {}^{y_{3}} {}^{b_{3}} ; & (t) {}^{i} \\ &\\ & \displaystyle \frac{1}{2} {} {}^{b} {}^{y_{3}} {}^{b_{3}} {}^{3} & (t) + & (t) {}^{b} {}^{y_{3}} {}^{b_{3}} {}^{3} {} & (t) {}^{b} {}^{b} {}^{y_{3}} : & (3) \end{array}$$

Here (T;N) is a \Lamb shiff" of the condensate atom s. W e do not reproduce its lengthy expression, concentrating instead on the decay rate of the condensate, (T;N) due to molecule form ation,

$$(T;N) = \begin{cases} X \\ jJ (k_1 + k_2) j^2 \tan^2(!_{12}T=2) \\ \frac{\sin^2 (!_{12}N T=2 + N =2)}{N T !_{12}^2=4} : \qquad (4) \end{cases}$$

The tan² ($!_{12}T=2$) term in (T;N) describes the effect of the pulses on the decay of the condensate. We note that (T;N)NT agrees with the transition probability calculated directly from H₃(t) using rst-order time-dependent perturbation theory [13].

For a M arkovian reservoir, $_{\rm c}$! 0, one can let = N T ! 1 [16]. At the same time we note that for an even number of pulses no net phase (m odulo 2) is acquired by the m olecules. Under these conditions the decay rate is

$$\lim_{l = 1} = 2 \int_{k_1;k_2}^{X} j (k_1 + k_2) j^2 \tan^2 (l_{12}T = 2) (l_{12}):$$
(5)

For $\tan^2(!_{12}T=2)$ 1, one then recovers the standard result for the decay rate in the M arkov lim it, as expected.

It is clear from Eq. (5) that $\lim_{j \to 1} = 0$. This is due to the fact that for $!_{12} = 0$, the phases of the molecules and free atoms do not change in the interval between pulses, $j(T + _p) < < (j + 1)T$, where j is an integer. However at the end of each interval, $= j(T + _p)$, the phase of the molecules changes by . As a result, there is complete destructive interference between the transition amplitudes for neighboring intervals.

For a nite reservoir correlation time _c, how ever, it is no longer possible to (form ally) let = NT ! 1 and the interferences cease to be fully destructive, resulting in a non-zero condensate decay rate. To reduce below its unperturbed value requires $\tan^2 (!_{12}T=2)$ 1 but since c is approximately given by the reciprocal of the reservoir bandwidth, c, one must choose T c. that is, the laser pulses must be separated by an interval shorter than the correlation time of the molecular eld. The bandwidth of the molecular reservoir is determined by the short-wavelength cuto in H₃, which is on the order of the range of the interatom ic potential, R_0 < a [1, 17], This gives a bandwidth of $_{\rm c}$ h=m $R_0^2 > _{\rm b}$.

The de Broglie wavelengths of the molecules and energetically free atoms are of the order a. For a trapped condensate of size `, one typically has ` a. In this case, we may treat the gas as being locally hom ogeneous with respect to the fast moving molecules and atoms form ed by recombination. For a uniform BEC, then becomes

$$= _{0}F (T _{b}; N)$$
 (6)

where $_0 = (1=2)(=V)^2 (4m=3h)^{3=2} \frac{P}{b}$ is the decay rate in the absence of any pulses in the ! 1 M arkov lim it. Note that is related to K₃ by K₃ = 36 [4]. The



FIG.1: Normalized decay rate for N = 20 and = 1:5 with pulses (solid line) and without pulses (dotted line) as a function of T $_{\rm b}$

dimensionless factor re ecting the elect of the 2 pulses is

F (t; N) =
$$\int_{1}^{Z} dx \frac{p \tan^2 (xt=2) \sin^2 ((xt+1)N=2)}{2 N t(x=2)^2}$$
:
(7)

Here = $(\begin{array}{c} b \end{array}) = b$ is a dimensionless high energy cuto. By setting tan² (xt=2) = 1 in Eq. (7) we obtain the nite time decay rate in the absence of any pulses, which we denote as F_{NP} (t; N). F_{NP} rapidly approaches its limiting value of 1 fort 1 for all values of N and considered below. This is illustrated in Fig. 1 for N = 20 and = 1.5

The elective number of pulses N = [=T] that contribute to the reduction of is determined by the time over which the phases of the molecular states can evolve coherently. Any event that leads either to the decay of the molecular state or to a random ization of its phase will negate the accumulated e ect of the pulses. Since the molecules formed by three-body recombination are in a very weakly bound state, they can decay to more deeply bound vibrational states via inelastic collisions with atom s [18, 19, 20, 21]. The decay rate for the molecular state is then given by n = nv4 nha= 3m. Here, nv is the elastic collision rate between atom s and molecules with velocity $v = h^{-3}$ 3m a and we assume that the cross section can be approxim ated by the atom -atom 4 \hat{a} . is then the ratio of the elastic cross section, inelastic to elastic cross sections for transitions to deeply bound vibrational states of the molecules.

W e can therefore take 1= n in order to determ ine the e ective number of pulses. In this case the condition on the tim e scales involved in the derivation of the master equation, $1 = n^2 p \underline{1} = 0 n^2$ c, can be reexpressed as na³ 4 = 3; 3=(4) (a=R₀)² where na³ is the dilute gas parameter and is typically 1. Refs. [18, 19, 20, 21] give empirical values for 10^9 10^{11} om ³/s in the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance. U sing the values a 1000a and m 50am u. gives a range for 1. With these values of one can reduce the of0:01 above condition on the time scales for $a > R_0$ to simply



FIG.2: Normalized decay rate as a function of the dimensionless pulse period, T $_{\rm b}$ for N = 10 (solid line), N = 11 (dashed line), N = 19 (dotted lined), and N = 20 (dashed dot) for a cuto of = 1.5.



FIG. 3: Normalized decay rate as a function of the dimensionless pulse period, T $_{\rm b}$ for N = 20 and a cuto of = 0.9 (solid line), = 1:3 (dashed line), = 1:7 (dashed dot), and = 2:1 (dotted lined).

na³ 0:1 so that the condensate must simply be dilute. These considerations in ply that for a condensate density of $n = 10^{15}$ / cm³ and 10^{10} cm 3 /s, one can have on the order of 10 pulses within the lifetim e of a molecule for a pulse period of $T = 10^6$ s. Fig. 2 shows a plot of = 0 as a function of T for N = 10;11;19;20. It is clear that the more pulses that can be applied, the greater the reduction in the decay rate. In general for N T $_{\rm b}$ 1 and nite, an even num ber of pulses, 2j, produces a low er decay rate than 2j 1 while for larger T b the decay rate can be lower for an odd num ber of pulses. However, the dierence between an even and odd number of pulses decreases as N gets larger. We note that F (t; N) is sensitive to the precise value of the cuto [13], as illustrated in Fig. 3. This is a common feature in e ective lowenergy eld theories [17]. Despite the dependence on , one has F (T_b;N) 1 provided T_b;T_b . Fig. 3 shows that when T $_{\rm b}$, the decay rate starts to increase rapidly. From these results, we conclude that the pulse train is able to reduce the decay rate to only a few percent of its \bare" value.

Finally we discuss the e ect of the laser pulses on the atom s. The atom s experience a periodic AC Stark shift, hj $_{a}$ (t) $\hat{f}=4$ $_{a}$. It leads to a renorm alization of the binding energy relative to the dissociation limit and can be

neglected without loss of generality. Of greater concern are the atom losses due to Rayleigh scattering of laser photons. They should be less than the losses due to three-body recombination, otherwise we have simply replaced one loss mechanism with another. Fort $T + p_{\mu}$ the time-averaged Rayleigh scattering loss rate is = $(a_{j_0}^2 = 8 \frac{2}{a})(p = T)$ (0:785=T)(j₀j=j a)(a=j a) where we have again assumed that T $_{p} = 1=2$ 0. If 10°s^{1} , a detuning of a $10^{10} \mathrm{s}^1$, and we take _a 10^6 s, we obtain a lifetime of 1 $10_{p} = T$ 10s. Note, how ever, that is a single-particle loss rate and is una ected by changes in the density n and a.

In conclusion, we have discussed a technique for inhibiting three-body recombination in BEC via a sequence of resonant 2 laser pulses. We have shown that the three-body decay rate can be reduced to only a few percent of its value in the absence of the pulses. This method should be useful for extending the lifetime of condensates in the high density regime and near Feshbach resonances.

This work is supported in part by the US 0 \propto of NavalResearch, by the NSF, by the US A m y Research 0 \propto , by NASA, and by the Joint Services Optics P rogram.

- [1] Yu. Kagan, B. V. Svistunov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, JETP Lett. 42, 209 (1985).
- [2] P.O.Fedichev, M.W. Reynolds, and G.V.Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2921 (1996).
- [3] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, and J. P. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1751 (1999).
- [4] M .W .Jack, Phys.Rev.Lett.89, 140402 (2002).
- [5] B.Borca, J.W. Dunn, V.Kokoouline, and C.H.G reene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 070404 (2003).
- [6] E.A.Burt et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 337 (1997).
- [7] D. M. Stamper-Kum et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 2027 (1998).
- [8] J. Stenger et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 2422 (1999).
- [9] J.L.Roberts et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 728 (2000).
- [10] T.Weberetal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 123201 (2003).
- [11] W .Hansel, P.Hommelho, T.W .Hansch, and J.Reichel, Nature 413, 498 (2001); H.Ott et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 230401 (2001).
- [12] C. Search and P.R. Berm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2272 (2000); L. V iola and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A 58, 2733 (1998); L. V iola, E. K nill, S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2417 (1999); D. V itali and P. Tom besi, Phys. Rev. A 59, 4178 (1999); G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A 61, 013809 (2000); A.G. K ofm an and G. K urizki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 270405 (2001); A.G. K ofm an and G. K urizki, N ature 405, 546 (2000).
- [13] G.S.Agarwal, M.O.Scully, and H.W alther, Phys.Rev. Lett. 86, 4271 (2001); G.S.Agarwal, M.O.Scully, and H.W alther, Phys.Rev.A 63, 044101 (2001).
- [14] B.M isra and E.C.G.Sudarshen, J.M ath. Phys. 18, 756 (1977).
- [L5] D.J.Heinzen, R.W ynar, P.D.D num m ond, and K.V. Kheruntsyan, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 5029 (2000).

- [16] P.M eystre and M. Sargent III, "E lem ents of Q uantum Optics, 3rd.Ed." (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- [17] P.F.Bedaque, E.Braaten, and H.W.Hammer, Phys. Rev.Lett.85,908 (2000).
- [18] V.A.Yurovsky, A.Ben-Reuven, P.S.Julienne, and C.J. W illiam s, Phys. Rev. A 60, R 765 (1999); V.A.Yurovsky and A.Ben-Reuven, Phys. Rev. A 67 050701 (R) (2003).
- [19] E.Timmermansetal, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 2691 (1999); E.Timmermansetal, cond-mat/9805323.
- [20] P.Soldan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 153201 (2002).
- [21] N. Balakrishnan, R. C. Forrey, and A. Dalgamo, Chem. Phys.Lett. 280, 1 (1997).