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#### Abstract

$W$ e consider the passage of long polym ers of length $N$ through a hole in a $m$ em brane. If the process is slow, it is in principle possible to focus on the dynam ics of the num ber ofm onom ens s on one side of the $m$ em brane, assum ing that the tw o segm ents are in equilibrium. The dynam ics of $s(t)$ in such a lim it would be di usive, w ith a m ean translocation tim e scaling as $N^{2}$ in the absence of a force, and proportional to N when a force is applied. W e dem onstrate that the assum ption of equilibrium $m$ ust break down for su ciently long polym ers (m ore easily when forced), and provide low er bounds for the translocation tim eby com parison to unim peded $m$ otion of the polym er. These low er bounds exceed the tim e scales calculated on the basis ofequilibrium, and point to anom alous (sub \{di usive) character of translocation dynam ics. This is explicitly veri ed by num erical sim ulations of the unforced translocation of a self-avoiding polym er. Forced translocation tim es are show n to strongly depend on the $m$ ethod by which the force is applied. In particular, pulling the polym er by the end leads to much longer tim es than when a chem ical potential di erence is applied across the $m$ em brane. The bounds in these cases grow as $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{1+}$, respectively, where is the exponent that relates the scaling of the radius of gyration to $N$. O ur sim ulations dem onstrate that the actual translocation tim es scale in the sam e m anner as the bounds, although in uenced by strong nite size e ects which persist even for the longest polym ers that we considered ( $\mathrm{N}=512$ ).


PACS num bers: 05.40.-a 05.40 Fb 36.20 Ey 87.15A a

## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

Translocation of a polym er through a narrow pore in a $m$ em brane is im portant to $m$ any biological processes, such as the in jection of viral DNA into a host, DNA packing into a shell during viral replication, and gene sw apping through bacterial pili [1]1]. Translocation also has practical applications in genetics as in cell transform ation by DNA electroporation [1] $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ and in gene therapy $\left.{ }_{2}^{1}\right]$. This has inspired a num ber of recent in vitro experim ents, including the electric eld-induced $m$ igration of
 hem olysin protein channel in a membrane ${ }^{-1 / 1}$ perim ents are $m$ otivated by the possibility to Tread-o " a DNA orRNA sequence by tracking its passage through a pore

Translocation of a polym er involves both m olecular considerations, such as the shape of the pore channel and its interactions w ith DNA, as well as more macroscopic factors such as the statistics and dynam ics of the long polym er. It is the universal features of the latter which are the focus of th is paper. W hile worm ing its way through the hole, the segm ents of the polym er on each side of the $m$ em brane can \explore" $m$ any possible con $g-$ urations. The num ber of allowed con gurations actually is least when the polym er is halfw ay through the hole, presenting an entropic barrier. In this regard translocation resem bles other entropically controlled polym er sys-
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FIG. 1: Schem atic depiction of polym er translocation from the left side of a m em brane to its right side. ( T hroughout the paper we shall follow this convention for the direction of transport.) The num ber $s$ of the $m$ onom er at the hole is denoted the \translocation coordinate."
tem s , such as polym er trapping in random environm ents
 where the geom etry of the obstacles constrains the kinetics of the polym er.

A num ber of recent theoretical w orks have shed light
 which is schem atically depicted in F ig. 11.11 . A single variable $s$ representing the $m$ onom er num ber at the pore $[12,15,1 \%$ indicates how far the polym er has progressed, and is a natural variable for describing this problem. D ue to its resemblance to the reaction coordinate' for chem ical processes, we call s the translocation coordinate. If s changes very slow ly, such that the polym er segm ents on both sides of the $m$ em brane have tim e to equilibrate,
the $m$ ean force acting on the $m$ onom er in the hole can be determ ined from a simple calculation of free energy, and the translocation problem is then reduced to the escape of a particle' (the translocation coordinate) over a potential barrier. In the follow ing, we shall refer to this lim it as B rownian translocation, but shall dem onstrate that the required equilibration is not tenable for long enough polym ers.

In $m$ any experim ental situations the polym ers are not very long and the observed behavior strongly depends on the properties of the polym er and the pore. T hus introduction of som e speci c features into the channelproperties [1], 1 provide qualitative explanations of the observed behavior. W hile the theoretical understanding of the experi$m$ ents is grow ing, we are stillquite far from quantitative understanding of $m$ any observed features [ $\left.{ }^{[2} 2_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. In this work, we restrict out attention to qualitative features of very long polym ens that are independent of the details of the pore or inter-m onom er potentials. C onsequently, we restrict ourselves to sim ple models. Furthem ore, since we are interested in contrasting the behavior of phantom polym ers, in which the $m$ onom ers do not interact with each other, w ith self\{avoiding ones, in which $m$ onom ers repel each other at short distances, we perform sim ulations in two\{dim ensional (2D ) space, where such di erenœs are $m$ ore pronounced.
$T$ he reduction of the $m$ otion of a large $m$ olecule to a single\{particle problem ignores the fact that the positions of the $m$ onom ers have strong correlations $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, leading to non-trivialdynam icale ects; [1-1 lar, in a dilute solution of polym ers in a good solvent, on tim e scales shorter than the overall relaxation time of the polym er, the $m$ otion of m onom ers is characterized by anom alous dynam ics [24, 2 such e ects are also present in translocation. In a previous work we dem onstrated [2]_] that the scaling of the translocation tim es $w$ th the num ber of $m$ onom ers has a power\{law dependence which cannot be derived from B row nian $m$ otion of a particle over a barrier, but rather follow s from generalscaling considerations. In this work, we focus on consequences of anom alous dynam ics in the presence of a force.
$T$ he rest of this paper is organized as follow s. In Sec.in! we review the lim it of very slow translocation, in which case the problem can be reduced to the $B$ row nian $m$ otion of a single coordinate. W e dem onstrate that the require$m$ ent of equilibration breaks dow $n$ for long polym ers, especially in the presence of a force pulling the polym er to one side. W e also dem onstrate the im portance of how such a force is applied to the polym er, contrasting the cases of a polym er pulled by the end, w ith one forced into a favorable environm ent. Low er bounds on the translocation tim es are obtained in Sec. TII\# by com parison w ith the unim peded $m$ otion of a polym er. T he long tim e scale for equilibration of a forced polym er is due to ths change of shape, e.g. into a stretched sequence of blobs when pulled at one end. Exactly how this change of shape
is achieved through transm ission of the force from one end to another is explicitly show in A ppendix 'A. which solves this problem for a one\{dim ensional (1D ) phantom polym er. O ur other m odel system, the self-avoiding tw o dim ensional polym er is discussed in A ppendix $\bar{B}$ !. T The bounds from unim peded $m$ otion serve a convenient reference point for discussion of anom alous processes that are described in detail in Sec. ' $\bar{I} \bar{V}_{1}$ '. In particular, we nd that the actual translocation tim es have the sam e scaling behavior as the low er bounds.

## II. BROW NIAN TRANSLOCATION AND ITS LIM ITATIONS

If the translocation process is su ciently slow, at each stage the statistics of the segm ents $w i l l$ be govemed by the equilibrium Boltzm ann weight. If so, the dynam ics is constrained to reproduce the corresponding statistics. A simple $m$ ethod to achieve this is to focus on the translocation coordinate $s$, and to $w$ rite dow $n$ a stochastic Langevin equation for its evolution. This procedure, which we shall refer to as B rownian translocation, is the chieftoolem ployed in $m$ ost analyticalstudies[14, and shallbe review ed in this Section.
A. Unforced m otion

In the absence of an extemal potential, the entropic contributions to the free energy of the tw o polym eric seg$m$ ents result in a free energy $\left.F(s)=k_{B} T \ln [\mathbb{N} \quad s) s\right]$
 ber of possible states as the polym er threads the hole, which can be regarded as an entropic barrier. For phantom polym ers (random walks) is equal to $1 / 2$, while for self-avoiding polym ers it depends on the dim ensionality of space. From this free energy, we can construct a Langevin equation $\underline{s}=m @ F=@ s+(t)$, where $m$ is a mobility coe cient indicating how easily the polym er is pulled through the hole. To obtain the correct Boltz$m$ ann statistics, the noise ( $t$ ) has to be uncorrelated at di erent tim es, with a variance equal to $m l_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$.

As dem onstrated in Ref. $\left.{ }^{[2} \underline{6}\right]$, the corresponding Fokker\{P lanck equation for the probabillity $p(s ; t)$ can be $m$ ade independent of $N$ by a sim ple change of variables s! $s N$ and $t!t=N^{2}$. C onsequently, the average translocation time (and its uctuations) must scale as $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ for any. In fact, a very sim ilar distribution for the transit tim e is obtained by ignoring the potential barrier com pletely (setting $=0$ ). In this lim it the translocation coordinate sim ply undergoes di usion, i.e. at tim e scales much shorter than typical translocation tim es we expect $\left(s\left(t^{0}\right) \quad s(t)\right)^{2} /$ 土 ej .
B. Pulling on the ends

T he advent of optical tw eezers has $m$ ade it possible to m anipulate single $m$ acrom olecules. A com $m$ on procedure is to attach latex balls to the end (s) of a polym er (such as D N A ), and then to $m$ anipulate the balls by optical tw eezers [27, 2 2d]. W hile this is not the $m$ ethod com $m$ only used in the translocation experim ents, it $m$ otivates an interesting extension of the previous calculation. Let us im agine that through an optical tw eezer set-up forces $F_{+}$and $F$ are applied to the tw o ends of the polym er (perpendicular to the wall), as in Fig. 'in'.

A polym er con guration in which the ends are separated by a distance $x$ gets an additional Boltzm ann weight of $\exp \mathrm{F}^{r}$ rkT . For a G aussian polym er of length $N$, integration over all locations ix leads to a contribution of $\exp N\left(F a=k_{B} T\right)^{2}$ to the partition function ( $a$ is a $m$ icroscopic length scale). Restricting the integration over $x$ only to half space (as appropriate for the translocation problem ), does not change the qualitative form, i.e., in the presence of the force the free energy acquires a term proportional to $k_{B} T N\left(F a=k_{B} T\right)^{2}$.

The above argum ent can be generalized to a selfavoiding polym er by noting that due to dim ensionalconsiderations a foroe $F$ should alw ays appear in the com bination $F R=k_{B} T$, where $R$ is a characteristic size of the polym er, such as its radius of gyration $R_{g}$. $Q$ uite generally $R \quad a N$, with $=1=2$ for a phantom (non $\{$ selff interacting) polym er, and $=3=4$ and 0.59 for self\{ avoiding polym ers in space dimensions $d=2$ and 3, respectively [2d]. T he contribution of the force to the free energy can thus be written as $k_{B} T \quad\left(F a N \quad=k_{B} T\right)$. W hen the force is su ciently strong to deform the poly$m$ er into a sequence ofblobs (w e shallargue later that th is is the relevant regim e for translocation), the free energy is expected to be linear in $N$, necessitating ( $x$ ) $X=$. Such considerations thus im ply a free energy contribution of $N k_{B} T\left(F a=k_{B} T\right)^{1=}$. In the speci c case of translocation, adding up the contributions from the tw o segm ents leads to


The corresponding Langevin equation for the translocation coordinate is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{s}=F_{+}^{1=} F^{1=}+(t) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have absorbed various coe cients into the param eter . N ote that the average velocity has a nonlinear dependence on the foroes; in the case of $\mathrm{F}=0$ grow ing as $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{1=}$. C onsequently, the translocation tim e in such a set up should decrease $w$ ith the applied force as / $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{F}_{+}^{1=}$. N ote that this expression breaks down for $s m$ all forces, where the typical translocation tim e
is controlled by the di usive uctuations. The distinction between weak and strong force regim es has a speci cm eaning in the context of polym ers, and quanti ed through the scaling combination $f \quad \mathrm{FaN}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. For weak foroes this combination is $s m$ all, and the equilibrium polym er shape is not changed. For strong foroes f 1 , and the polym er becom es stretched. T he sam e division applies to forces that are strong enough to overcom e the di usive character of the translocation coordinate.

## C. C hem ical potential di erence

A $m$ ore com $m$ on situation for translocation is when the environm ents separated by the $m$ embrane are not equivalent, so that the polym er encounters a chem ical potential di erence between the two sides. In this case, the leading contribution to the free energy is $F(s)=$ $+s+\mathbb{N} \quad s)$, and the Langevin equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{s}=0 \quad+\quad(t) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(+)>0$. In this case the average translocation velocity is predicted to be proportional to
, leading to typical exit tim es that scale as $\mathrm{N}=$ for large. It is possible to envision situations in which the polym er is forced (or hindered) by a com bination ofboth a chem ical potentialdi erence, and foroes applied to the tw o ends. For the corresponding Langevin equation, we $m$ erely need to add the force contributions in Eqs. (1,) and (

In the experim ents of $M$ eller et al. [5] is driven by an electric potential di erence betw een the two sides of an arti cialmem brane suspended in a liquid. It is com $m$ only assum ed that since the conductivity of the liquid is signi cantly higher than the conductivity of the $m$ embrane, the liquid on each side of the $m e m-$ brane is an equipotential. The voltage drop then occurs only across the $m$ em brane, and is experienced only by the (charged) $m$ onom ens $m$ oving through the pore [22ㄴ․․]. If so, the voltage di erence is equivalent to the chem ical potentialdi erence discussed above. H ow ever, the previous results $w$ ith force acting only on the end $m$ onom ers serve as a waming that the results are quite sensitive to where the force is applied to the polym er. It would thus be reassuring to carry out a m ore precise calculation of the electric eld in the vicinity of the pore, and how it acts upon the $m$ onom ers.

> D. Lim itations

The analytical procedure outlined in this section rests on the assum ption that the tw o polym eric segm ents have com e to equilibrium, so that the corresponding free energy can be used to construct a Langevin equation. The
$m$ inim al requirem ent is that the typical translocation time should exceed the equilibration tim e equil of a polym er. For a chain of nite size, it is alw ays possible to achieve this by designing the pore to have a large friction coe cient. In Ref. [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ], it is argued that this is the case applicable to the experim ents of Ref. [\$] 1 ]. H ow ever, the equilibration tim e of a polym er depends strongly on its length, scaling as equil $(\mathbb{N}) / N^{z}$. A s discussed in the next section, the exponent $z$ is typically larger than 2 for Brow nian dynam ics of self-avoiding polym ers (and equal to 2 for a phantom polym er).

Since typical transit tim es for unforced translocation scale as $\mathrm{N}^{2}$, it is possible to im agine that the form alism $m$ ay apply to phantom polym ers. Indeed there is som e evidence of th is from num ericalsim ulations [ $\left.{ }^{3} 0_{1}^{-1}\right]$, although $w$ ith an inexplicably large friction coe cient. T he situation becom es worse in the presence of a force (either im posed by pulling or a chem icalpotentialdi erence), in which case typical translocation tim es are predicted to be proportional to N . In the latter case, the range of applicability of B row nian translocation' is even further lim ited.

## III. UN IM PEDED MOTION OFA POLYMER

Since the collective dynam ics of the passage of polym er through the pore is hard to treat analytically, as a rst step we shall derive lower bounds on the characteristic tim e scale. The key observation is that the restriction that the $m$ onom ers should sequentially pass through a hole in a $m$ em brane can only im pede the $m$ otion of the polym er. H ence the tim e scale for the polym er to travel the sam e distance in the absence of the wall should be a generous lower bound to its translocation time. In this Section we shall thus explore the tim e scale for unim peded $m$ otion of the polym er in the circum stances of interest.

## A. Unforced di usion

In the unforced lim it, the translocating polym er sim ply goes from one side of the $m$ em brane to the other by diffusion.' In the process, the center ofm ass of the polym er $m$ oves a distance of the order of a typical size, say the gyration radius of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$. H ow long does it take for a poly$m$ er to $m$ ove a sim ilar distance $w$ ithout the constraints im posed by the pore and the wall? In the absence of hydrodynam ic interactions, the di usion constant $D$ of a polym er is related to the di usion constant $D_{0}$ of a single m onom er by $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{0}=\mathrm{N}$. C onsequently, the tim e that a polym er needs to di use its own radius of gyration scales as $\mathrm{N}^{1+2}$ [1]i, $]$. ( T his is also the relaxation tim e of the slow est intemalm ode of a polym er [111], and is called the R ouse equilibration tim e.) For self\{ avoiding polym ers > $1=2$, and the equilibration time is clearly longer than that obtained for translocation though a hole
in the wall assum ing B row nian translocation. T he R ouse tim e scale of $N^{1+2}$ should thus be a low er bound to the correct translocation time.

## B. Pulling on the end

Now consider a polym er that is being pulled by one end. The regim e of interest to us is when the force is strong enough to deform the shape of the polym er. T he equilibrium shape of the polym er is then a stretched sequence of blobs' [1] 1-1. T he num ber ofm onom ers perblob $N_{B}$ is such that foroe acting on it is $m$ arginally strong, and obtained from $F a_{B} \quad k_{B} T$. The typical size of each blob is thus $R_{B} \quad a N_{B} \quad k_{B} T=F$, while the num ber of blobs is $N=N_{B} \quad N\left(F a=k_{B} T\right)^{1=}$. The overall length of the stretched chain is now $R(F) \quad R_{B}\left(\mathbb{N}=N_{B}\right)$ aN $\left(F a=k_{B} T\right)^{1=}{ }^{1}$. The mobility of the center of the $m$ ass of a polym er of length $N$ is proportional to $1=N$, and since there is only a force applied to one $m$ onom er, its net velocity scales as $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{N}$. The characteristic relaxation time is the sam e as the tim e scale of the polym er $m$ oving a distance of order of its size, and hence behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { equil }(F) \frac{R(F)}{V(F)} / N^{2} F^{2+1=}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he sam e conclusion is obtained ifwe start w ith a globular polym er and then apply the force to one end, and wait until the other end feels the foroe.)

N ote that upon approaching the boundary between w eak and strong forces at $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{N}$, we regain the equilibration tim e $\mathrm{N}^{1+2}$ for unforced polym ers. H ow ever, the result in Eq. (4, í) is only valid for $N \quad F a=k_{B} T \quad 1$, since for stronger forces, as we shall see in the speci $c$ m odels described in the next Section, the velocity of the $m$ onom er (and hence of the entire chain) saturates.

U nim peded $m$ otion of the pulled polym er thus places a lower bound of $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ on the translocation tim e, far exceeding the tim e scale (/ N ) calculated in the previous section. It $m$ ay not be readily apparent how the force applied to one end of the polym er is transm itted to the other end, and why the qualitative picture ofblobs presented above is valid. T here is actually one lim it in which the problem ofpulling a polym erby the end can be solved analytically, and that is for a 1D phantom polym er. In A ppendix 'A.'. this model and the corresponding analysis are presented in som e detail.
C. M im icking a chem icalpotential di erence

It is di cult to com e up with an unhindered situation that best resem bles the case of a chem icalpotentialdi erence across a $m$ em brane. A bsent the restrictions im posed by the $m$ em brane, there is now a force that is applied to a single $m$ onom er, at the spatial position where the
$m$ em brane would reside. Unlike the previous case, the m onom er to which the force is applied now changes constantly. There is thus no incentive for a drastic change in the shape of polym er, and we assum e that the scaling of the size rem ains the sam $e$, i.e. $R \quad a N$, independent of
. A t each $m$ om ent there is a force of $=$ a applied to the entire polym er, as a consequence of which its center ofm ass should $m$ ove $w$ th a velocity $v / \quad=N . W$ e thus conclude that the tim efor such unhindered $m$ otion scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad) \quad \frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~V}} / \underline{\mathrm{N}^{1+}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that to recover the equilibration tim e of the unforced polym er we have to set / N in the above equation. W hile this is the sam e scaling form as that of a force applied to the end, it is di erent from the weak/strong criterion that would have been deduced on the basis of energetics ( $N \quad k_{B} T$ ). This is a re ection ofthem anner in which we introduced the unim peded version (as a force, rather than a chem icalpotential difference.) N onetheless, we still expect the velocity, and hence the tim e scale in Eq. (TG) to saturate for $k_{B} T$, as explicitly dem onstrated for the m odels considered in the next Section.

## IV. ANOMALOUSTRANSLOCATION

H aving established som e som e (presum ably generous) lower bounds, we now would like to focus on the true asym ptotic dynam ics of translocation. G iven the lim itations of analyticalstudies, the chieftoolem ployed in this section is num erical sim ulations. Interestingly, we nd that the lower bounds obtained in the previous section are actually quite restrictive.

## A. Sub \{di usive behavior of unforced $m$ otion

In a previousw ork $[\underline{2} \bar{G}]$, wem ade a detailed study of the $N$ \{dependence of the $m$ ean translocation time. O ne of the central conclusions w as that in the case of B row nian dynam ics of a self-avoiding polym er, the translocation tim e scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{1+2}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is of the same order as the equilibration tim e of a polym er of length $N$, and also dem onstrates that the actualexit tim e scales in the sam em anner as the bound established in the previous section.
$T$ he above $N$ \{dependence of is inconsistent $w$ ith sim ple di usion of the translocation coordinate $s(t)$, re ecting the constraints im posed by the collective $m$ otion of the entire polym er. A related situation occurs for the
uctuations ofa labeled $m$ onom er in space, which are also anom alous and sub\{di usive[ [24] on tim e scales shorter


FIG. 2: Temporal uctuations of the $m$ onom er num ber $s$ located at the hole, averaged over the initial tim e $t^{0}$ and over 1000 independent sim ulations, for polym ers of lengths $\mathrm{N}=8$, $16,32,64$, and 128.
than the equilibration tim e. Follow ing this analogy, we suggested [2]] that the short tim e uctuations ofs (t) follow the anom alous di usion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h s^{2}(t) i \quad t^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Eqs. (G) and ( $\overline{\underline{G}}, \mathbf{1})$ to be consistent, we m ust obtain s of order $N$, when $t$ of order, leading to the exponent relation $=1=(1+2)$. $N$ ote that for a phantom polym er $==1=2$, i.e. the anom aly disappears in this lim it, and the process becom es di usive. (T his differs from the corresponding $m$ otion of a labeled $m$ onom er
 polym er.) This is consistent with a detailed study of a three\{dim ensionalphantom polym erby C hem et al. [3]d] which concluded that the results $m$ ay be interpreted in term $s$ of di usive $m$ otion of the translocation coordinate over a barrier. Such correspondence is likely a fortuitous coincidence for phantom polym ers, and even in this case, the value of the ective di usion constant could not be obtained from the geom etrical features of the $m$ odel [30 $\left.{ }_{3}{ }^{1}\right]$.

To establish the anom alous nature of (unforoed) translocation dynam ics, we carried out $M$ onte C arlo (MC) simulations on a m odel of two-dim ensional selfavoiding polym ers, described in A ppendix Bim ulations in two (rather than three) dim ensions have the advantage of relative ease, and stronger di erences from phantom polym ers. W e followed the dynam ics of $s(t)$, focusing on the quantity $s^{2}(s(t+t) \quad s(t))^{2}$. This correlation function is depicted in Fig. '2, ind was obtained by averaging over to of over 1000 independent sim ulations for $\mathrm{N}=8,16,32,64$ and 128. Two cautionary points $m$ ust be $m$ ade in considering this data: $T$ he rst is that we have no a prioriassurance that this process is stationary; the results $m$ ay depend on both $t$ and $t^{0}$, and consequently in uenced by the averaging over $t$. (For


FIG. 3: \Snapshots" of a 128 -m onom er phantom polym er passing through a membrane in one dim ension. Each line depicts the position $x$ (in lattice units) of the nth $m$ onom er at a xed time. D i erent lines correspond to tim es when the 20th, 40th, :: : 120th (bottom -left to top-right) $m$ onom er crosses the $m$ em brane (the thick line at $x=0$ ).
exam ple, choosing a short averaging range $m$ ay increase the e ect of the initial conditions. W e perform ed averaging over shorter ranges of $t^{0}$ and did not see signi cant di erences in the correlation functions.) Secondly, for each value of $t$, we can only include processes whose translocation tim e exceedst; consequently, the size of the ensem ble decreases w ith increasing $t$. (H ow ever, this effect is insigni cant for $t$ several tim es shorter than the m ean translocation tim e.) In Fig. included, i.e. for each polym er the statistics w as collected up to the $m$ om ent that the translocation w as com pleted.

Since the values of $s$ cannot exceed $N$, as the tim e\{ di erence $t$ becom es of order of , $s^{2}$ saturates, as is apparent in the case of $N=8$ in $F$ ig. tim es shorter than $(\mathbb{N})$, the results for di erent lengths seem to form a single curve. This instills con dence in the quasi\{stationary character oftranslocation on tim e scales shorter than. On the logarithm ic scale the graph seem s to have curvature for $t<1000$. This is probably a consequence of discreteness of the $m$ odel, since corresponding di erences in $s$ are sm aller than 5. For larger tim es the slope of the curve approaches 0.80 , which clearly indicates the presence of anom alous di usion, and is consistent $w$ ith the expected value $2=(1+2)$, $w$ th $=3=4$ for 2D self\{avoiding walks [2]-9]. Thus, despite its nite duration, the translocation process at short scales resem bles a stationary process (at least $s^{2}$ is insensitive to $t^{0}$ ) which exhibits anom alous dynam ics.
B. Pulling on the end

As discussed in Sec. "III, the polym er pulled by a force $F_{+}$is relatively undistorted as long as $\tilde{f}$ $\mathrm{F}_{+} \mathrm{aN}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T} \quad 1$, and the corresponding translocation tim es are not very di erent to those in the absence of force. Increasing polym er length at xed $\mathrm{F}_{+}$ultim ately leads to a regim e w th $f \quad 1$, in which the polym er is expected to be stretched into a sequence of blobs. It is the latter regim e which is of interest us, and which shall be explored by exam ining the one\{ and tw o\{dim ensional polym er m odels introduced earlier.

## 1. O ne\{dim ensional phantom polym er

Sim ulations are carried out w ith the m odel 1D phantom polym er presented in A ppendix A. starting w ith a polym er that is equilibrated on one (say, left) side of the $\backslash m$ em brane" (a point on a 1D lattice) w ith one end point held at the $m$ embrane. The \narrow opening" is im plem ented by allow ing only sequential passage of the $m$ onom ers across the $m$ embrane, i.e. the $n$th $m$ onom er can $m$ ove from the left to the right only if ( $n \quad 1$ )th m onom er is already on the right side. C onversely, the $n$th $m$ onom erm ay di use from the right side to the left, only if the $(n+1)$ th $m$ onom er is on the left side. T he
rst $m$ onom er of the chain is restricted to rem ain on the right throughout the process. W e study the dynam ics of translocation as a function of the force $F$ applied to the
rst $m$ onom er. H ow ever, the results becom e independent off when the reduced force $f \quad \mathrm{Fa} a \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ exceeds unity, since it becom es very unlikely for the rst $m$ onom er to m ove backw ards.

Figure $m$ onom er polym er going across the $m$ em brane. Since the $m$ axim al separation betw een adjacent $m$ onom ers is two lattice spacings, the slopes of the curves are lim ited by 2. N ote that for $x>0$ the polym er is alm ost $m$ axim ally stretched, while the $\mathrm{x}<0$ con gurations resemble the in itial random walk state. There is also much sim ilarity between the pro le of the polym er for $x>0$, and the the steady \{state con gurations of a polym er $m$ oving in the absence of the $m$ em brane, as depicted in $F$ ig. 114 A ppendix ${ }^{2} A$

The results of averaging the translocation time (over 1000 realizations) are indicated by the circles in Fig. $\overline{4}$. $T$ he points appear to fall on a straight line in this logarithm ic plot, w ith the slope of $1: 93$ 0:01 from a least-squares- $t$. T here is a slight upw ards curvature, and the e ective slope varies from 1.84 for points w ith N 128 to 1.93 for all the points, indicating potential crossover e ects persisting even for $N=512$. From this data by itself it is di cult to determ ine the ultim ate slope. H ow ever, we can com pare the results w ith the tim es required to cross an im aginary $m$ em brane (i.e. unim peded di usion). This low er bound which w as described in the pre-



F IG . 4: Logarithm ic plot of the m ean translocation tim e as a function of length for a one\{dim ensional phantom polym er w ith an in nite force applied to one end. T he circles represent passage through an opening, while the squares represent $m$ otion in the absence of a $m$ em brane. Each data point represents an average over 1000 processes.
leads to the $m$ ean passage tim es depicted by the squares in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} \overline{1}$ tion is indeed 2.00 . Since the unim peded crossing tim es are indeed shorter, the asym ptotic exponent in the presence of the $m$ em brane has to be larger than or equal to 2. (O therw ise, for su ciently large $N$ the curves will intersect causing longer tim es for passage if the $m$ em brane is absent.) $W$ e therefore conclude that the translocation of a phantom polym er in 1D should asym ptotically scale as $\mathrm{N}^{2}$, saturating the bound obtained previously.

## 2. Two\{dim ensional self\{ avoiding polym er

W e next study the translocation of a self\{avoiding polym er in 2D as a function of the force $F$ applied to the rst $m$ onom er. $F$ igure $1_{-}^{-1}$ p depicts the distribution of translocation tim es for a 128 \{m onom er polym er at three values of $f=F a=k_{B} T$.Asf increases from 025 to 1 , the $m$ ean translocation tim e drops by less than one order of $m$ agnitude, and the relative width of the distribution decreases som ew hat. N ote that once $f \quad 1$, the rst $m$ onom er alw ays $m$ oves in the forw ard direction, and the results becom e independent of $f$.
$F$ igure $\overline{\text { ' }} \mathbf{G}$ sum $m$ arizes the results obtained for polymer lengths N ranging from 8 to 128, and for a variety of forces. E ach point corresponds to an average over 1000 realizations. The gure depicts the scaled inverse translocation tim e as a function ofthe dim ensionless force $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{Fa} a=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. The vertical scale has been multiplied by $\mathrm{N}^{1: 87}$ to produce m oderate collapse of data for di erent N s, although as explained further on, we do not believe this to be the correct scaling factor in the asym ptotic


FIG. 5: The distribution of translocation tim es for a 2D polym er $w$ ith $N=128$. Each histogram represents results from 250 independent translocations for forces $\mathrm{Fa} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=$ $0: 25,1$, and 1 (from right to left) applied to one end. (T he horizontal axis is logarithm ic.)


FIG. 6: Scaled inverse translocation time as a function of the reduced force $F a=k_{B} T$ applied to the end \{ $m$ onom er, for $\mathrm{N}=8,16$, : : : 128 .
regim e. A s expected, the curves saturate when figni cantly exceeds unity.
$N$ ote that all the points in $F$ ig. ${ }^{-1}$ (G) belong to the regim e where $f$ 1, i.e. when the shape of the polym er is expected to be di erent from equilibrium, and stretched. This is con $m$ ed in $F$ ig $i_{2}^{-} \eta$, which depicts con gurations of the polym er in the process of translocation under the action of an in nite force. The front end of the poly-


FIG.7: C on gurations of a polym er of length $\mathrm{N}=128$, pulled through a hole by an in nite force applied to its rst m onom er. The circles, diam onds and triangles represent the initial con guration, and at tim es $t=60 ; 000$ and 120,000 M onte C arlo tim e steps.


FIG. 8: Logarithm ic plot of the dependence of the translocation time on polym er length $N$, when an in nite force is applied to the end \{m onom er. T he line is a to a power law dependence w ith exponent 1.875 .
m er is quite stretched, som ew hat resem bling a directed random walk, suggesting that self\{avoiding interactions play a secondary role in this lim it. If the front part of the polym er controls the translocation tim e, it should have the sam e scaling $w$ ith $N$ as the corresponding tim efor a phantom polym er, i.e. we expect / N ${ }^{2}$. Figure ig depicts the dependence of on N ; the e ective exponent in this range is $1: 875 \quad 0: 005$. A lthough sm aller than two, it is close to the value of the e ective exponent of a phantom polym er in this range of lengths N. G iven the bound presented earlier, it is reasonable to expect asym ptotic convergence to this value. H ow ever, as far as we can judge by analogy to phantom polym ers, we need $N$ to be $m$ uch larger than 1000 to see an exponent of 2 .

> C. C hem icalpotential di erence

W hen the environm ents on the two sides of the $m$ em brane are di erent, the $m$ onom er at the pore experiences a force pushing it to the $m$ ore favorable side. As ex-


FIG.9: Logarithm ic plot of the $m$ ean translocation time as a function of polym er length for a one\{dim ensional phantom polym er sub ject to an in nite chem ical potential di erence. The solid line is a $t$ to a power law with exponent 1.45.
plained previously, this form of forcing leads to yet a di erent form of asym ptotic behaviorw hich is onœm ore explored using our tw o num ericalm odels.

## 1. O ne\{dim ensional phantom polym er

Figure ${ }^{19}$ depicts the $N$ \{dependence of the translocation time fora1D phantom polym erunder the in uence of an in nite potentialdi erence, i.e. when the $m$ onom er at the pore can only $m$ ove to one side. T he data on the logarithm icplot are tted to a straight linew ith exponent $1: 45 \quad 0: 01$, although there is a slight upw ard curvature even for $N=512 . N$ ote that in the lim it of large chem icalpotentialdi erence, the $m$ onom ers that have already crossed to the right side no longer play any role in the translocation process, which is thus constrained by the dynam ics of the $m$ onom ers rem aining on the left side. In Sec. 'IIIT we argued that the translocation tim e of an unim peded phantom polym er should scale as $\mathrm{N}^{3=2}$, since the leftm ost $m$ onom er $m$ ust travel a distance of order $N^{1=2}$ w ith a velocity of order $1=N$. Thus $3 / 2$ should be a lower bound for the exponent characterizing the scaling of the translocation tim ew ith $N$. By com paring this lim it w ith our num erical results, we conclude $3 / 2$ to be the true asym ptotic form describing our sim ulations.

## 2. Two\{dim ensional self\{ avoiding polym er

Figure '1'-1' presents the distribution of translocation tim es for a polym er with $N=64$ at several values of the dim ensionless chem icalpotentialdi erence $=k_{B} T$. These distributions are quite wide | although they becom e (relatively) narrow erw ith increasing , the width


FIG. 10: D istribution of translocation tim es of a $64\{$ $m$ onom er polym er sub ject to chem ical potential di erences of $=k_{\text {в }} T=0,025,0.75$, and 2 (right to left). (T he horizontalaxis is logarithm ic.)


FIG.11: Scaled inverse m ean translocation tim e as a function of reduced chem ical potential di erence $=k{ }_{\boldsymbol{B}} T$, for $\mathrm{N}=8,16,32,64$ and 128.
of the distribution is of the sam e order as the average even in the lim it of an in nite. As expected, the average translocation tim es decrease and saturate when $=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ exceeds unity. The results fordi erent values of $=k_{B} T$ and $N$ can be approxim ately collapsed by scaling $w$ ith $\mathrm{N}^{1: 45}$, as show n in F ig. i111. (where each point represents an average over 1000 independent runs). The quality of the collapse is very poor, and we shall argue that $N^{1: 45}$ is not the expected asym ptotic pow er.

Since ( $w$ th the exception of $=0$ ), the points in Fig. ${ }_{1} 11_{1}^{1}$ correspond to a strong force at the pore, we expect the con gurations of the translocating polym er to be di erent from those of a polym er in equilibrium. To explore this di erence, in $F$ ig in we show a pair of con-
gurations for an in nite chem ical potential di erence. $W$ e see that fast translocation results in a higher density of $m$ onom ers im $m$ ediately to the right of the pore, which $m$ ay in principal slow down the process. (Recall that in the case ofphantom polym ers the $m$ onom ers that have passed through the hole have no further in uence.) N evertheless, the whole process should still be bounded by the corresponding tim e for passage of an unim peded polym er, as discussed in Sec. this bound, the relevant tim e corresponded to m otion of the leftm ost $m$ onom er over a distance of size $R / N$, leading to a tim e scale grow ing as $N$ to a power of $1+$ which in 2 D is 1.75 .

T he optim aldata collapse of the inverse translocation tim es for $\mathrm{N} \quad 128$ leads to an exponent 1.45 (w th a rather poor data collapse). Therefore, we extended our sim ulations for the case of the in nite to larger values ofN . F ig. '13 cation tim e on $N$, for polym er lengths up to 512. D ata points w ith N 128 correspond to averages over 1000 independent sim ulations, while $N=256$ and 512 include 300 and 130 runs, respectively. Thee ective slope of the
$t$ for data points below $N=128$ is $1: 45 \quad 0: 01$, while all the data points produce an e ective slope of $1: 53 \quad 0: 01$. A lso by directly $m$ easuring the e ective slopes betw een successive pairs of points we de nitely see an increase, $w$ ith the last pair of points giving a slope of 1:60 0:03. H ow ever, the increase is very slow, and the uncertainties are too large to enable a reliable extrapolation to large N. By com paring the results to data obtained in the sim ulations of phantom polym ens we believe that eventually the exponent will reach 1.75 ; how ever, this will probably happen only for $N$ signi cantly larger that 1000.
V. D ISC U SSIO N

Translocation of a polym er through a pore is intrinsically a m any body problem involving collective and cooperative $m$ otion ofm onom ers crossing a $m$ em brane. If the process is su ciently slow, it is possible for the segm ents on the tw o sides to com e to equilibrium, in which case the dynam ics of the translocation coordinate (the num ber of m onom ers on one side) is sim ilar to B row nian m otion of a single particle. H ow ever, the assum ption of equilibrium m ust necessarily break down for long enough polym ers; even m ore drastically when the polym er is pulled to one side by a force. W e argued previously [2G] that the collective $m$ otion of the whole polym er slows down the translocation to the extent that the corresponding dynam ics is anom alous and sub \{di usive. This is explicitly veri ed in this paper by quantifying the tem poral correlations of the uctuating translocation coordinate. $W$ e note that there is a general theoretical fram ew ork [ $\left.{ }^{3} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ for anom alous dynam ics of a single variable, which may be pro tably applied to this problem.

O ne of the ob jectives of this paperwas to nd how dynam icalanom aliesa ect them otion of the polym er under


FIG.12: C on gurations of a polym er of length $N=64$ crossing a $m$ em brane from left to right under an in nite chem ical


F IG . 13: Logarithm ic plot of the dependence of the translocation time on polym er length $N$, for an in nite chem ical potential di erence. The solid line is a $t$ to a power law dependence w th exponent 1.53 .
the action of a force. W th this in $m$ ind, we also em phasized that the $m$ ethod by which the polym er is foroed is quite im portant. In particular, pulling the polym er by one end leads to stretched con gurations, and slow er overall dynam ics, com pared to applying a chem ical potentialdi erence (which can modify the densities on the tw o sides). W hile pulling the polym er by opticaltw eezers
is not the currently favored $m$ ethod for arti cial translocation of biopolym ers, for potential applications such as decoding the sequence, it should o er a better controlled procedure (whether by itself or in conjunction with a voltage di erence).

To understand the time scales involved in foroed translocation, we initially provided what at rst glance appear to be a quite loose lower bounds by analogy to unim peded $m$ otion of a polym er, i.e. neglecting the constraints im posed by passage of the polym er through a hole in a wall. W e then perform ed num erical sim ulations on two model system s: a 1D phantom polym er, and a self\{avoiding polym er in 2D.D irect interpretation of the num erical results $w$ as $m$ ade di cult by very large cross\{ overe ects which persist in the length scales of $100\{1000$ $m$ onom ers accessible to num erical study. N onetheless, by appealing to the low er bounds found earlier, we concluded that (rather surprisingly) the actual translocation tim es scale in the sam ew ay as in the lim it of unim peded $m$ otion. T hus the constraints from the collective $m$ otion of the whole polym er tum out to be at least as im portant as those im posed by the requirem ent of passage through a hole.

The experim ents of $R$ ef. $\left.{ }^{-5}\right]$ of 10 to 100 base pairs, the pulling velocity of singlestranded DNA through a nanopore is independent of $N$, but $w$ th a non-linear dependence on the applied force. In Ref. [2].], we brie y speculated whether such behavior $m$ ay be consistent $w$ th anom alies associated w ith poly$m$ eric constraints. A s dem onstrated in this paper, such constraints result in tim e scales (and hence pulling velocities) which $m$ ust depend on $N$ for large enough $N$. The only case where we observe a non-linear force-velocity relation which is independent of N is when short (hence equilibrated) polym ers are pulled by a force applied to one end.

W e hope that these results encourage further experi$m$ ental and analytical studies of forced translocation. In particular, it would be interesting to better characterize the $m$ anner in which extemal foroes act on the polym er, even in the case of a voltage di erence across the $m$ em brane. Hydrodynam ic e ects, not considered in this paper, are also likely to play an im portant role. Som e of these e ects can be inchuded in $m$ ore realistic num erical sim ulations, although in that case one should keep in $m$ ind the rather long crossover tim es that appear to be intrinsic to this process.
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APPENDIX A:THEONE\{DIMENSIONAL PHANTOM POLYMER MODEL

Selffavoiding interactions are integral to understanding the statics and dynam ics of real polym ers. N evertheless, it is usefiul to study one\{dim ensional phantom polym ens, w ith no interactions betw een $m$ onom ens which are not adjacent along the chain. Independently of the details of the interactions of adjacent $m$ onom ers, long phantom polym ers are harm onic [ $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, in the sense that the probability distribution of the distance $\dot{r}_{i} \quad r_{j} j b e-$ tw een $m$ onom ers iand $j$, approaches a $G$ aussian for large ii jj. In practioe, such behavior already appears for ii jj 10, and on such a \coarse-grained" levelone can view the polym er as consisting of $m$ onom ers connected by springs whose energy is proportionalto $\left(r_{i} \quad r_{j}\right)^{2}$. In this $\lim$ it, certain aspects of phantom polym er dynam ics can be analyzed analytically, and we can com pare the expected asym ptotic behavior w ith the num erically observed dynam ics. Such treatm ent provides both a better view of crossovere ects, and produces som e insights into the dynam ics of $m$ ore realistic (self\{ avoiding) m odels.

W e em ploy a phantom polym er $m$ odel in which the $m$ onom ers are restricted to sites ofa 1D lattice $w$ ith spacing $a$. The polym er connectivity is im plem ented by requiring the distance betw een adjacent $m$ onom ers not to exceed tw o lattioe constants. A n elem entary M onte C arlo (MC) step consists of random ly picking a $m$ onom er and attem pting to $m$ ove it in a random ly selected direction. If an extemal force $F$ is applied to the rstm onom er of the chain, then the probability to $m$ ake a step in the direction opposite to the force is proportional to $\exp \left(a F=k_{B} T\right)$. A MC time unit corresponds to $N$ attem pts to m ove m onom ers.

W e rst perform ed sim ulations of polym er motion when $F$ ! 1 , in the absence of a membrane. Figure '14' depicts several exam ples of spatial con gurations in steady state, i.e. when the in itialspatialcon guration has been forgotten. The resulting pro les can be explained analytically by noting that in the G aussian lim it the equation ofm otion of a 1D phantom polym er is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho^{2} x}{@ n^{2}}=\frac{@ x}{@ t} ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used dim ensionless units, (distance in lattige constants, and time in M C units, which leads to a ( $m$ onom er) di usion constant of order one), and om itted prefactors of order unity. The model used in the M C sim ulations has a nite stretchability, while a G aussian chain can be stretched inde nitely. H ow ever, once the scaled force f $\mathrm{F} a=k_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ becom es signi cantly larger than unity, the dynam ics becom es essentially independent of $F$, as a backwards step of the end point has negligible probability. Thus, instead of using F ! 1, we can get set $\mathrm{f}=1$, which leads to the boundary condition $@ x=@ n=1$ at the beginning of the chain. For such a boundary condition we can easily nd a stationary


FIG. 14: Five pro les of a 1D phantom polym er of length $\mathrm{N}=128$, w th an in nite force applied to one end in steady state $m$ otion. T he curves are displaced along the vertical axis such that the position of the rst $m$ onom er is at $x=0$.


FIG. 15: Scaled coordinate $x$ of the rst $m$ onom er as a function of scaled time $t$, for $N=8,16,:::$, 512 (right to left), in the absence of a $m$ em brane.
solution of Eq. ( (A-1) , as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n)=v t+\frac{v}{2}(n \quad N)^{2} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=1=\mathrm{N}$. Sim ulations, of our $m$ odel polym er in the absence of a $m$ em brane indeed con $m$ that the stationary state velocity is proportional to $1=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{M}$ oreover, the actual shape of the polym er in steady state, as de-


The solution in Eq. (AN) can also be used to understand the crossover to stationary $m$ otion, starting from a relaxed initial state. It is easy to see that the initial velocity of a point to which the force is appled is of order unity. As tim e progresses, this velocity decays as $t^{1=2}$,


FIG. 16: Scaled coordinate $x$ of the nst $m$ onom er of $a$ translocating phantom 1D polym er, as a function of the scaled tim et, for $N=8,16,:::, 512$ (right to left).
until after time $N^{2}$ it reaches its nal (stationary) value of order $1=\mathrm{N}$. The $m$ onom er at the opposite end does not feel the extemal force in the beginning, and starts m oving w ith velocity of order $1=\mathrm{N}$ after time. W e also perform ed sim ulations of unim peded polym er m otion m im icking the translocation set-up, by considering an im aginary $m$ em brane located at position $x=0$ which has no e ect on the $m$ otion ofm onom ers. The initial con guration was chosen by equilibrating the poly$m$ er on one side ( $x<0$ ), w th the rst $m$ onom er $x e d$ to $x=0$. Then an in nite force was applied to the rst $m$ onom er, and its position $x$ was tracked as a function of tim e, until all the $m$ onom ers crossed to $x>0$. For every N , the results w ere averaged over 1000 independent runs. In these sim ulations the end point only needs to $m$ ove a distance oforder $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad \mathrm{N}^{1=2}$ to cross to $\mathrm{x}>0$. M oving at a steady velocity of $1=\mathrm{N}$, this would take a tim e of order $N^{3=2}$, which is signi cantly shorter than . Thus the tim e
$\mathrm{N}^{2}$ required for the last m onom er to start feeling the force sets the tim e scale for a polym er w th a large force applied to its rst $m$ onom er, to $m$ ove a distance of order of its radius of gyration. The $m$ easured $N$ \{dependence of the translocation tim $e$ is depicted by squares in $F$ ig. $\overline{4} \frac{14}{4}$. $T$ here is a slight curvature in the logarithm ic plot and the slope approaches $2: 00$ 0:01, con m ing $\mathrm{If}^{2}$.
$T$ he above argum ents suggest that $(x=N)^{2}$ should be a linear function of $t=\mathrm{N}^{2}$. T hus appropriately scaled plots
of the $m$ otion of the rst coordinates should collapse for di erent values of $N$. The actual picture appearing in F ig. $\overline{1} \overline{1}-1$ is m ore com plicated: while we see an approach to a single curve for the largest $N$, there are very strong
nite size e ects for m oderate values of $N$. The results for crossing the im aginary wallare surprisingly sim ilar to those for translocation of the phantom polym er through a hole (as described in Sec. 'IV_'), as depicted in Fig.'1]. (B oth plots are obtained by averaging 1000 translocation processes.) The linear behavior of these curves close to the origin con ms our expectation that at short tim es the velocity is proportional to $t^{1=2}$. H ow ever, for scaled variables around 0.5 the line has a slight curvature, w hich distorts the apparent scaling relations, and creates the illusion of slightly di erent exponents.

## APPEND IX B:THE TW O \{D IM ENSIONAL SELF-AVOID ING POLYMER MODEL

W e used a 2D lattice uctuating bond polym er m odel [2.-1] for M C sim ulations of a self\{ avoiding polym er. The $m$ onom ers are placed on the sites of a square lattioe, $w$ ith the bonds betw een adjacent $m$ onom ers restricted not to exceed $\overline{10}$ lattioe constants. The excluded volum e between $m$ onom ers is im plem ented by requiring that no two monom ers can approach closer than 2 lattice constants. The m em brane w th a hole is constructed from a row of im m obile m onom ers arranged in a straight line, w ith a 3 lattice constant gap representing the hole. Such a hole is sm all enough to allow only a single monom er to pass through, thus enabling a unique identi cation of them onom ers which separates the polym er into tw o seg$m$ ents on di erent sides of the $m$ em brane. A $n$ elem entary M C m ove consists of random ly selecting a m onom er and attem pting to $m$ ove it onto an adjacent lattice site (in a random ly selected direction). If the new position does not violate the excluded \{volum e orm axim albond \{length restrictions, the $m$ ove is perform ed. $N$ elem entary $m$ oves form one M C tim eunit. The rstm onom er is not allowed to $w$ thdraw to the opposite side of the $m$ em brane. Since we are investigating a non \{equilibrium process, the initial conditions $m$ ay play an im portant role. We chose an initial state in which the rstmonomerwas xed inside the hole, and the rem aining polym er w as equilibrated for $m$ ore than the Rouse relaxation time [11]. A fter such equilibration, the rst $m$ onom er was released, and that $m$ om ent $w$ as designated as $t=0$.
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