GiantQuantum Oscillations of the Longitudinal Magnetoresistance in Quasitwo-dimensional Metals

T.Champeland V.P.M ineev

Com m issariat a l'Energie Atom ique, DSM /DRFM C/SPSM S 17 rue des M artyrs, 38054 G renoble Cedex 9, France

A bstract

We have investigated in frame of the quantum transport theory the magnetic quantum oscillations of the longitudinal magnetoresistance zz in quasi two-dimensional metals for a magnetic eld perpendicular to the layers. Giant Shubnikov-de H aas oscillations are found when the cyclotron energy h!c is much larger than the interlayer transfer integral t (the two-dimensional limit). In large magnetic elds and at low temperatures, the minima of the magnetoconductivity $zz = \frac{1}{zz}$ exhibit a thermally activated behavior in presence of negligibly small chemical potential oscillations, as observed in the organic layered conductor (BEDT TTF) $_2$ SF $_5$ CH $_2$ CF $_2$ SO $_3$. The questions concerning the absence of strong chemical potential oscillations in such compound and the impurity self-energy are discussed.

K ey words: Landau levels, Shubnikov-de H aas e ect, chem ical potential oscillations, quasi two-dim ensional m etals, 00 (BEDT TTF) $_2$ SF $_5$ CH $_2$ CF $_2$ SO $_3$ PACS: 72.15 $_6$ d, 71.70Di

1. Introduction

Features of the magnetic quantum oscillations of magnetization (de Haas-van Alphen e ect) or of magnetoresistance (Shubnikov-de Haas e ect) are known to be noticeably di erent in two-dimensional (2D) metals from three-dimensional (3D) metals. For example, the 2D de Haas-van Alphen e ect is characterized by a sharp saw tooth-like shape at low temperatures, while the oscillations of the magnetization in 3D metals are always smooth. The di erence between 2D and 3D metals can be principally understood in terms of Landau levels broadening.

In the 3D case, the Ferm i energy is crossed by many occupied Landau levels due to the presence of states in the direction parallel to the magnetic eld H. These non quantized states available for any amplitude of magnetic eld act as a recovering

any am plitude of magnetic eld act as a reservoir. The discrete nature of the Landau levels is thus blurred by this broadening inherent to the dimensionality of the spectrum. As a result, the chemical

potential oscillates negligibly with the magnetic eld. Moreover, the oscillating part of the transport properties is always small compared to the non-oscillating part. For example, in a metal with a spherical Ferm i surface, the oscillating part of the conductivity is of the order of [1]

$$-- \frac{!_{c}}{!_{c}} {}^{2} \underbrace{\text{@M}_{osc}}_{\text{@H}} \qquad r \frac{!_{c}}{!_{c}} \qquad 1; \qquad (1)$$

where $!_c$ is the cyclotron pulsation, and M $_{\rm osc}$ is the oscillating part of magnetization.

In the 2D case, there is no Landau levels broadening due to dimensionality, and thus the oscillations of the therm odynamic and transport properties can be very strong. The principal source of Landau levelbroadening is henceforth the presence of impurities in realmaterials. In 3D metals, the impurity broadening is an accessory ingredient in the derivation of theory of magnetic quantum oscillations, and is usually taken into account by hand by introducing in the 1th harmonic amplitude of the oscillations the so-called Dingle factor

$$\exp(21_0=!_c)$$

with the zero eld value of the imaginary part of the impurity self-energy. Such a factor has received a m icroscopic justi cation in Ref. [2]. On the other hand, the consideration of impurities elects on the magnetic quantum oscillations is of the rst im portance in the theory of magnetic quantum oscillations in 2D m etals. The broadening due to pointlike impurities is usually investigated in the socalled self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) which leads to oscillations of the imaginary part of the energy-dependent im purity self-energy ("). In high magnetic elds, the oscillations of (") becom e very strong. In such a regim e, the m ere consideration of point-like impurities has been shown to be inappropriate to describe correctly the magnetic quantum oscillations [3,4]. To our know ledge, the complete and satisfactory theory of the impuritiese ects on magnetic quantum oscillations, i.e. of the lifting of the degeneracy of the Landau levels due to im purities, still does not exist.

A nother problem which arises in 2D metals is the presence of nonnegligible chemical potential oscillations when the system is isolated. A ctually, the in uence of oscillations of on the shape of the magnetization oscillations or magnetoresistivity oscillations can be investigated at a semi-phenomenological level (by bypassing the microscopic problem with the impurities which determines the exact dependence of the chemical potential with the magnetic eld) and makes no important diculty [5].

In quasi-2D m etals with the magnetic eld perpendicular to the layers, the energy spectrum has the form

$$"_n (p_z) = (n + 1=2)!_c 2tcosp_z s$$
 (2)

w ith p_z the interlayer m om entum , t the interlayer transfer integral and s the interlayer distance. The situation concerning the Landau levels broadening is in some sense intermediate between the 2D and 3D cases and depends on the ratio t=!c:the Landau levels broadening due to dimensionality is strongly e ective when t !c. In the opposite !c, the Landau levels are broadlim it, when t ened only through the impurities e ects, exactly like in 2D metals. It is worth noting that the 3D de Haas-van Alphen and Shubnikov-de Haas theories are not directly applicable to quasi-2D m etals because the saddle-point m ethod used in the calculations of the 3D case [1] breaks down with the spectrum (2) when $!_c$ t. The (sem iphenomenological) theory of the de Haas-van Alphen e ect in quasi-2D metals [6] has revealed

a crossover between the 2D and 3D lim its for the behavior of the m agnetization oscillations.

It was with the same idea of a reduced dimensionality driven by the ratio t=!c that we studied the magnetoresistance oscillations in quasi-2D m etals [7]. The regim et l_c hasbeen investigated in details in Ref. [8] and in references therein. Here, we are rather interested in the 2D lim it t m otivated by the experim ents of W osnitza et al. [9] and Nam et al. [10] on the organic layered conductor [®] (BEDT TTF)SF₅CH₂CF₂SO₃.Giant Shubnikov-de H aas oscillations of the longitudinalm agnetoresistance zz were observed at high m agnetic elds and low tem peratures. In particular, a regime with a thermally activated behavior of the m in im a of the magnetoconductivity zz =1 has been pointed out form agnetic elds higher than 20 T [10]. Such a feature is completely absent in the 3D Shubnikov-de H aas theory.

Strong oscillations of zz were also reported in the organic conductor (ET)₂T Hg (SeC N)₄ [11]. The observation of slow oscillations of the magnetoresistance in a eld region [11] in plies that the situation in this compound is presumably intermediate between the two regimes tells [8] and tells [7].

As mentioned previously, the 2D limit in quasi-2D metals is problem atic concerning the theoreticaltreatment of the impurities eects. Being aware of this weakness, only qualitative properties based on general theoretical considerations (i.e. without making specicassum ptions about the form of the impurity self-energy) are expected to be reasonable at this stage.

The relevance of the 2D lim it in the conductor [®] (BEDT TTF)SF5CH2CF2SO3 is indicated by the saw tooth shape of the de Haas-van Alphen signal already observed for elds H T [12].M oreover, them agnetization ocillations [12] are also consistent with negligibly small chemical potential oscillations in this compound. The reason for this is still unclear. An explanation may be the presence of an intrinsic non-quantized reservoir form ed by quasi-1D bands. We note that another m echanism for reducing the chem ical potential oscillationsm ay be the magnetostriction. Indeed, the volume of the sample is not xed in the conditions of experim ents and thus is expected to vary with the variation of magnetization. The changes in the chemical potential associated with the oscillations of the density of states and with the magnetostriction m ight be cancelled under particular conditions [13].

In the following sections, we derive $\,$ rst the form of $\,$ zz in the 2D $\,$ lim it for a point-like in purity scat-

tering with an arbitrary in purity self-energy. The mechanism of appearance of the therm alactivation of conductivity minima is pointed out. Then, assuming a specie form for the impurity self-energy, we have performed a numerical analysis of the temperature and magnetice eld dependences of zz oscillations to compare with experiments [9,10].

2. Quantum oscillations of zz

We restrict ourselves to point-like in purity scattering, which allows us to neglect the vertex corrections. The magnetoconductivity $_{\rm zz}$ consists of two pieces susceptible of oscillating with the magnetic eld [7]

$$z^{1}$$
 $z^{2} = d^{\prime\prime} (f^{0}(^{\prime\prime})) [_{RA} (^{\prime\prime}) + _{RR} (^{\prime\prime})];$ (3)

the Boltzm ann piece

$$_{RA}$$
 (") = $e^2 g_0 \frac{!_c}{2}^{X} \sum_{n}^{Z} \frac{dp_z}{2} v_z^2 (p_z) G_{n,p_z}^{R} G_{n,p_z}^{A}$; (4)

and a pure quantum piece

$$_{RR}$$
 (") = $e^2 g_0 \frac{!}{2} x^{X} = \frac{dp_z}{2} v_z^2 (p_z) < G_{n p_z}^{R} = {}^2 (5)$

Here f^0 (") is the derivative of the Ferm iD irac distribution function, g_0 is the constant 2D density of states (at H = 0),

$$v_z(p_z) = \frac{e''_n(p_z)}{ep_z} = 2stsinp_zs;$$
 (6)

and

$$G_{n_1p_2}^{A} = G_{n_1p_2}^{R} = \frac{1}{" \quad "_n(p_n) + i} \quad (7)$$

are the advanced and retarded G reen's functions.

In the 2D \lim it ! c t, the sum m ation over the Landau levels can be perform ed analytically to give [7]

$$_{RA}$$
 (") = $_{0}$ $_{0}$ $\frac{\sinh (") = (")}{\cosh (") + \cos 2 \frac{"}{!_{0}}}$ (8)

and

$$RR(") = \frac{1 + \cosh(") \cos 2 \frac{"}{!c}}{\cosh(") + \cos 2 \frac{"}{!c}}$$
(9)

with

$$_0 = e^2 g_0 t^2 s = _0;$$
 $_0 = 2 \frac{_0}{!_c};$ (") = 2 $\frac{_{(")}}{!_c}$:




Fig.1.Both contributions $_{R\,A}$ (solid line) and $_{R\,R}$ (dotted line) for a constant parameter (") = 0.25.They compensate each other between the Landau levels and add together at the Landau levels.

Here the function () is still unknown, since no speci cassum ption about the impurity self-energy has been made yet.

For (") 1, it is straightforward to note that the two contributions $_{\rm R\,A}$ and $_{\rm R\,R}$ are compensated between the Landau levels, and are sum med at the Landau levels, to give

$$_{zz}$$
 (") = $\frac{4}{3}$ $_{0}$ $_{0}$ $\frac{^{2}$ (") $1 + 2\sin^{2}$ $\frac{"}{!c}$ $\frac{}{2}$: (10)

The spectral conductivity $_{zz}$ (") between the Landau levels is very small and at over a wide range in energy. To illustrate the particular behavior of $_{zz}$ (") at (") 1, we have represented in Fig. 1 the functions (8) and (9) for a constant (") = 0.25.

3. M axim a ofm agnetoresistivity

If the chem ical potential oscillations are negligibly small, the main means of conduction between the Landau levels at a nite low temperature is the therm alexcitation at the edges of the gap-like range . This explains why the conductivity is minimal for integer values of $=!_c$ with the thermally activated dependence

$$_{zz}^{\text{m in}} = \exp \frac{1}{z}$$
 (11)

At very low tem peratures, quasi-particles whose energy lies in the rangem ainly contribute to conduction, and them in im a of conductivity saturate.




Fig. 2. Oscillations of the magnetoresistance $_{\rm zz}=_0=_{\rm 0=}_{\rm zz}$ as a function of magnetic eld for different temperatures (from the top, 0.59, 0.94, 1.48, 1.58, 1.91, 2.18, 2.68, 3.03, 3.38, 3.80, and 4.00 K).

If the them ally activated behavior can be pointed out by qualitative arguments, i.e. without invoking a speci c model for ("), the study at a quantitative level needs speci c assumptions concerning the impurity self-energy. As declared in introduction, such investigation has to be done with care, since the theory in the 2D limit does not exist yet.

Since the chem ical potential m ay be $\,$ xed in 00 (BEDT TTF)SF5CH2CF2SO3 by a nite reservoir form ed by a quasi-1D band [12], we proposed [7] to include this reservoir in the calculations of (") within a model of short-range in purity scattering. U sing the SCBA, we obtained the self-consistent equation [7]

(") =
$$\frac{0}{1 + R} 4R + \frac{\sinh (")}{\cosh (") + \cos 2 \frac{"}{1 + R}} 5$$
 (12)

where R is a dimensionless parameter measuring the strength of the nite reservoir. For R = 0 (no reservoir) and $_0$ 2, this equation leads to the absence of states between the Landau levels. This unphysical result [3,4] is avoided as soon as R \in 0. A ssum ing the value R = 5 necessary for suppressing signicantly the chemical potential oscillations [12], we found [7] that the oscillations of (") are of the order of 10% for H = 60 T, and thus neglected them in our numerical calculation [7] (i.e. we took (") $_0$). In Fig. 2, we show the oscillations of $_{zz}$ at different temperatures. The thermal activation of $_{zz}$ maxima is well reproduced

20 T.

num erically for elds H

4. Concluding remarks

Our model considering only short-range impurity scattering and the spectrum (2) therefore accounts for the therm alactivation of zz m in im a in presence of negligibly small chemical potential oscillations, as observed in the layered conductor (BEDT $TTF_3SF_5CH_2CF_2SO_3$. However, there remain some qualitative features in experim ents [9,10] not explained by our theoretical model, such as the increase of $_{\rm zz}$ m in im a with the magnetic eld at high magnetic elds and low tem peratures. It is worth noting that under these latter conditions, the Landau levels broadening due to point-like in purity scattering alone is probably ine ective. Landau levels broadening due to impurity scattering on nite range becomes predom inant and has to be envisaged as being physically relevant for seizing the complete behavior of zz oscillations.

R eferences

- [1] A A .A brikosov, Fundam entals of the theory of m etals (E lsevier, A m sterdam , 1988).
- [2] YuA.Bychkov, Sov.Phys.JETP 12, 977 (1961).
- [3] M E.Raikh and T.V. Shahbazyan, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1522 (1993).
- [4] B. Laikhtm an and E. L. Altshuler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 232, 332 (1994).
- [5] T.Champel, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054407 (2001).
- [6] T.Cham pel and V.P.M ineev, Philos. M ag. B 81, 55 (2001).
- [7] T. Cham peland V. P. M. ineev, Phys. Rev. B 66,195111 (2002); also T. Cham peland V. P. M. ineev, Phys. Rev. B 67,089901 (E) (2003).
- [8] P.D.Grigoriev, Phys. Rev. B 67, 144401 (2003).
- [9] J.W osnitza et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 508 (2001).
- [10] M .-S.Nam et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.87,117001 (2001).
- [11] V N . Laukhin et al., Physica B 211, 282 (1995).
- [12] J.W osnitza et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 7383 (2000).
- [13] N.E. A lekseevskii and V.I. Nizhankovskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 61, 1051 (1985).