K inetic energy of H e atom s in liquid ⁴H e-³H e m ixtures

R. Senesi

Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia UdR Roma Tor Vergata via della Ricerca Scienti ca 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

C. Andreani
Dipartimento di Fisica
Universita degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata
and
Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia
via della Ricerca Scienti ca 1,00133 Roma, Italy

A.L. Fielding

Joint Department of Physics
Institute of Cancer Research and Royal Marsden Hospital
Downs Road Sutton Surrey SM 2 5PT, United Kingdom

J. M ayers

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ISIS Facility Chilton, Didcot, Oxon Ox11 OQX, United Kingdom

W.G. Stirling
European Synchrotron Radiation Facility
BP. 220, F-38043, Grenoble, Cedex France
and
Department of Physics, University of Liverpool,
Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

Deep Inelastic N eutron Scattering measurements on liquid ${}^3H = {}^4H \, \mathrm{e}\, \mathrm{m}$ ixtures in the normal phase have been performed on the VESUV IO spectrometer at the ISIS pulsed neutron source at exchanged wavevectors of about q' 120.0 A 1 . The neutron C ompton profes J(y) of the mixtures were measured along the T = 1.96 K isotherm for 3H econcentrations, x, ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 at saturated vapour pressures. Values of kinetic energies, hTi, of 3H e and 4H e atoms as a function of x, hTi(x), were extracted from the second moment of J(y). The present determinations of hTi(x) confim previous experimental indings for both isotopes and, in the case of He, a substantial disagreement with theory is found. In particular hTi(x) for the 3H e atoms is found to be independent of concentration yielding a value hTi(x) to the most recent theoretical estimates of approximately 19 K.

I. INTRODUCTION

The m icroscopic static and dynam ic properties of liquid ${}^4H \, e^3H \, e$ m ixtures are characterized by the interplay between the Ferm i $({}^3H \, e)$ and B ose $({}^4H \, e)$ statistics, the interatom ic interaction, and the quantum -m echanical zero-point motion 1,2 . Moreover, the Pauli exclusion principle strongly in uences the stability of the mixture. Distributions of ${}^3H \, e$ atoms in liquid ${}^4H \, e$ form a prototype quantum liquid as an example of an interacting boson-ferm ion mixture. Indeed the presence of ${}^3H \, e$ a ects the condensate fraction n_0 , the super unid fraction $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions, $n_0 = 1$ of ${}^4H \, e$, the individual momentum distributions are concentrated in the energy, $n_0 = 1$ of $n_0 = 1$ of

The single-atom mean kinetic energies hTi(x) reject the localization of the two isotopes in the mixture and are in uenced by the mixture concentration 3,4,18,19 . An important conclusion of DINS measurements in the concentration

 $1. \hat{\theta}^4$, is that hT i_3 (x) is essentially independent of x, indicating a local environment of the 3 H e atom s in the mixtures similar to that of pure liquid ³He. Ground state simulation techniques provide an insight into the local environm ent of 3 H e and 4 H e in liquid H e m ixtures and pure H e liquids, allowing the evaluation of both partial radial distribution functions and single particle mean kinetic energies. In particular, simulation results for partial radial distribution functions, g; (r), in low concentration mixtures (x 0:1), show two distinct features. The radial distribution function $g_{3,4}$ (r) and local density pro le, $_{3,4}$ (r), are very similar to $g_{4,4}$ (r), $_{4,4}$ (r) and to the pure 4 He radial distribution function 9,17 . The second feature is that $g_{3,4}$ (r) and $_{3,4}$ (r) are markedly dierent from $q_{3:3}$ (r), $q_{3:3}$ (r) and from pure ³He radial distribution function. These ndings support a picture where the ³He atom s experience a greater localization in the mixture with respect to pure ³He, while the ⁴He atoms show a microscopic structure similar to pure liquid ⁴He. The rst feature accounts for the increased HI is values with respect to pure liquid ${}^{3}\text{H e}^{5,6,7,9,18}$. In the case of ${}^{4}\text{H e}$, hT ${}^{i_{4}}$ is on the contrary similar to the pure liquid value for x! 0, and a decrease of hT i4 with increasing concentration is found, in agreement with experimental D INS results. It has to be stressed that the sim ilarity of $g_{3,4}$ (r) and $g_{4,4}$ (r) does not necessarily in ply sim ilar values of HI i_3 and HI i_4 in the mixture. Moreover, since the atomic density n in the mixtures is always larger than the atomic density of pure liquid 3 He, DINS results show that hTi $_3$ is also independent of n; this, again, is in contrast with the widely-assessed density-dependence of mean kinetic energy of all quantum uids and solids. As far as the experimental values of 0:4 range are concerned, these were found to be in agreem ent with microscopic calculations, resulting in a decrease of the kinetic energy of the ⁴He atoms with increasing concentration.

These ndings motivated the present measurements, which were performed over a wider concentration range, i.e. x = 0.00;0:10;0:35;0:65;0:90;1:00, and with an increased statistical accuracy than previous D INS experiments^{3,4}. At present D INS is the only experimental technique which allows direct access to single-particle dynamical properties, such as the momentum distribution, n (p), and mean kinetic energy hT i^{20} . Experimentally this is achieved by exploiting the large values of wavevector and energy transfers involved in neutron scattering with epithermal neutrons¹⁹. The scattering process is well described within the framework of the Impulse Approximation (IA). In the IA the dynamical structure factor S (q;!), which determines the scattered intensity, is given by:

$$S_{IA} (q;!) = {N \choose 2M} \frac{q}{2M} P^{p} dp;$$
 (1)

where M is the atom ic mass of the struck nucleus. The scaling properties of the scattering law can be expressed in terms of a scaling function: $J(y;q) = \frac{hq}{M} S_{IA}(q;!)$, where $y = \frac{M}{hq} ! \frac{hq^2}{2M}$ is the W est scaling variable 19,21 . The function J(y;q), often referred to as the Neutron Compton Prole (NCP) or longitudinal momentum distribution 19,21 , represents the probability density distribution of y, the atom ic momentum component along the direction of momentum transfer \hat{q} . In the present case the dependence on the direction of the momentum transfer \hat{q} will be omitted given the absence of preferred orientations in the liquid samples. The values for MT i are obtained by exploiting the second moment sum rule for $J(y)^{13,21}$:

$$\frac{Z}{1} y^2 J(y) dy = \frac{2}{y} = \frac{2M}{3h^2} hTi$$
 (2)

where $_{y}$ is the standard deviation of J(y). D INS spectra from a liquid $^{3}He^{-4}He$ m ixture will be then composed of two distinct contributions, one corresponding to the $^{4}HeNCP$, $J(y_{4})$, and, in a dierent region of the D INS spectra, one to the $^{3}HeNCP$, $J(y_{3})$. The $J(y_{3;4})$ functions can be separately analyzed and from their lineshape properties the momentum distributions and mean kinetic energies can be determined.

II. EXPERIMENT

The D IN S m easurements were carried out on the VESUVIO instrument, an inverse-geometry spectrometer operating at the ISIS pulsed neutron source (Chilton, Didcot-UK) 22 . On this instrument the NCP spectrum is reconstructed using the liter difference technique which consists of measuring the time of light of the neutrons scattered by the sample; the nalenergy is selected by a resonant foil analyzer located between sample and detectors 20 . For the present experiment the 4:908 eV resonance of a 197 Au foil liter was chosen. The scattered neutrons were detected by 32 glass scintillators (6 Li-enriched xed-angle elements) placed over an angular range $115^{\circ} < 2 < 144^{\circ}$, yielding average wavevector transfers of q' 128A 1 and q' 116A 1 for 3 He and 4 He respectively. These large values of wavevector transfers ensured that deviations from the IA, generally described in terms of the Final State E ects (FSE) 13 were negligible and did not a ect signi cantly the recoil peak shapes 10,23 . The corresponding average energy transfers accessed were h! ' 11eV and h! ' 7eV for 3 He and 4 He respectively. For highly absorbing 3 He this energy yields a favourable ratio between the absorption and scattering cross section of about 30^{10} .

The experiment has been performed along the 1.96~K isotherm. K nown amounts of gaseous 3H e and 4H e were rst mixed in a reservoir at T=293K. Six mixtures were prepared, for dierent 3H e concentrations, namely x=1.00;0.90;0.65;0.35;0.10;0.00. The mixtures were then allowed to condense into the sample cell to the homogeneous liquid phase at T=1.96~K. Special attention was paid to ensure that the liquid samples were in saturated vapour pressure conditions (SVP); this was achieved by measuring the vapour pressure of the samples on the top of the sample cell by a Baratron pressure transducer. The liquid samples were contained in a square at aluminium cell (6 cm width, 6 cm height, 0.5 cm thickness) placed in a liquid helium ow cryostat; the sample temperatures were recorded by two Ge resistance therm ometers located at the upper and lower ends of the sample cell, resulting in an average temperature T=1.96K 0.01K throughout the measurements. Experimental values of vapour pressure for each mixture composition x were found to be in agreement with SVP data in the literature 24 . For each composition D INS spectra were recorded for runs lasting about 24 hours. The time-of- ight spectra were and normalized to the monitor counts by using standard routines available on VESUVID 20 . In Figure 1 a typical time of ight spectrum as recorded from a single detector for the x=0.35~m ixture is displayed.

From this qure, one can note that the recoil peaks from the two di erent atom ic m asses occur at well separated positions in the time of ight spectrum. This is the case for the whole set of data in the angular range explored. Due to the high values of wavevector transfer accessed, the recoil peaks can be analyzed in wavevector spaces, i. e. $\frac{hq^2}{2M_3}$ and $y_4 = \frac{M_4}{hq}$! $\frac{hq^2}{2M}$ for 3 H e and 4 H e respectively. The xed-angle experimental resolution, $R_1(y_{3;4})$, where l is the l the xed-angle detector element, was determined for each detector through a standard VESUVIO experim ental calibration using a lead sample. The R $_1$ ($y_{3;4}$) as in previous measurem ents on 3 H $e^{10,25}$ and 4 H e 26 , is well described by a Voigt function, whose parameters are $(y_3) = 0.847$ A 1 , $\frac{1}{2}$ $(y_3) = 1.371$ A 1 and $(y_4) = 0.839 \text{ A}^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}(y_4) = 1.740 \text{ A}^{-1}$, where (y) is the standard deviation of the gaussian component and $\frac{1}{2}(y)$ is the halfwidth at halfmaximum of the lorentzian component. A parallel procedure has also been set-up using Monte Carlo neutron transport simulation codes for the VESUVIO spectrom eter^{25,27,28} in order to simulate the complete m oderator-sam ple-detector neutron transport, including multiple neutron scattering and energy dependent neutron absorption. This procedure provided simulated D INS measurements, a simulated resolution function R1(y); which agreed with both experimental data and experimentally calibrated $R_1(y)^{25,27,28}$. This ensured the reliability of the current calibration procedure, and also allows the observed $J_{3;4}$ (y) to be described by a convolution of the longitudinal m om entum distribution and the instrumental resolution function 27 .

A . Absorption and m ultiple scattering correction

The elects of the 3 He neutron absorption on the measured scattering from the liquid mixtures have been examined in detail, using both an analytical approach as well as a deterministic M onte C arbosimulation procedure. In 3 He the neutron absorption cross section is energy-dependent with the typical 1/v variation, with a value of 5333 b for 25 m eV neutrons 29 . The incident energy range covered by the measured 4 He and 3 He recoil peaks were 9-15 eV and 13-20 eV respectively (using Au absorption liters). A lithough the absorption cross section of 3 He has a relatively smooth variation in these ranges, we analyzed in detail the elects on the measured neutron C ompton proles. An approach for the analytic correction of absorption in strongly absorbing media was rest proposed by Sears 30 . The double-dimensional scattering cross section is calculated by evaluating the distribution of scattered neutrons from a sample of nite size, with the quantities S (q;!), the scattering function, and (k), the total cross section per unit volume for a neutron with wavevector k, occurring as parameters of the Boltzmann equation in the neutron transport theory 31 . In the 3 He case

$$(k) = {}_{a}(k) + {}_{s}(k)' {}_{a}(k) {}_{s}(k)$$
 (3)

where $_a$ (k) and $_s$ (k) are the absorption and scattering cross sections per unit volume; the beam attenuation due to multiple scattering is also found to be negligible, since the ratio of double to single scattering is of the order of $_s$ (k)=2 (k) 31 . In the case of a slab shaped sample and backscattering geometry, as shown in Figure 2, the double-di erential cross section for single scattering is given by:

$$\frac{d^2}{d dE_f} = \left[\frac{A}{(k_i) \sec(i) + (k_f) \sec(i)} \ln_3 \frac{k_f}{4 k_i} S(q;!) \right]$$
 (4)

where A is the surface area of the sam ple, n_3 is the ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}$ atom ic number density and is the atom ic scattering cross section. The wavevector dependence of ${}_a(k)$ is expressed by ${}_a(k) = n_3 \frac{4}{k} b_c$ " where b_c " is the imaginary part of the coherent scattering length 31,32 .

Experim ental time of ight spectra from dierent xed-angle detectors have been transformed into y space and described in terms of the xed-angle neutron Compton pro les J(y) using standard VESUVID procedures; details

of the transform ation of time of ight spectra in xed-angle neutron Compton pro less are accurately described in refs.^{20,33}; in order to correct for the incident-wavevector dependent absorption an analytical correction has been applied to the y-transformed data:

$$J(y)_{l;corr} = J(y)_{1} \frac{x}{x_{3} + (1 - x)_{4}} \left[a(k_{f}) + a(k_{i}) \frac{k_{i}^{2}}{k_{f}^{2} \cos_{f}} \right]$$
 (5)

where $_{a}$ (k) is the wavevector dependent absorption of 3 He, and $_{3}$ and $_{4}$ are the scattering cross section for 3 He and 4 He respectively. In the case of inverse-geom etry spectrom eters such as VESUVID, the nalwavevector is constant, and for a xed angle spectrum, Eq. 5 assumes the form

$$J(y)_{1:corr} = J_1(y) [A + B k_i]$$
 (6)

where A and B are constants depending on the scattering angle and the concentration x; nally, due the zero-order sum rule for J(y), $J(y)_{1;corr}$ (the su x corr is then om itted in the next sections) have been normalised to unity 30 . The concentration dependent factor was rst proposed by H ilton et al. and is particularly valid under the current experimental conditions of large wavevector and energy transfers, where cross correlation between 3 He and 4 He cross sections are negligible. As a complementary procedure the M onte C arboneutron transport code D IN SM S^{28} has been also employed to evaluate multiple scattering contributions and to test the analytical absorption corrections in the mixtures. In particular this code accounts for the energy dependent absorption of the mixtures employing the following expression:

$$n [x_3 + (1 x)_4] e^{n [x(_3 + _a) + (1 x)_4] t} dt$$
 (7)

which represents the probability that a neutron travelling along the $\frac{1}{2}$ direction within the slab-shaped sample will scatter between t and t+ dt. As expected, the ratio of double to single scattering intensities varied between 1.2% for the x = 0.1 m ixture and 0.1% for the x = 0.9 m ixture, in agreement with theoretical predictions^{30,31}. Therefore multiple scattering corrections were neglected. Moreover, by comparing the ratio of simulated data in momentum space with and without absorption contributions included, the analytical correction factor, (Eq. 5) was recovered. An example of a correction factor, as derived from simulations for a x = 0.35 m ixture for a scattering angle of 135° is presented in Figure 3.

III. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The experim ental spectra for each m ixture composition were converted to ${}^{3}\text{He}$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}$ m omentum space. Following this procedure a single function, J (y), averaged over all the 32 detectors was derived for each isotope and for each composition.

The NCP were analysed by simultaneously thing the two recoil peaks appearing in $J(y_3)$ ($J(y_4)$). The component centered at y=0 in J(y) was the dby a model function M (y) broadened by the instrumental resolution. The other component, centered at negative (4 He) or positive (3 He) y values were the dusing a Voigt function. The model function, M (y), used to describe the longitudinal momentum distribution was of the form:

$$M (y) = \frac{e^{\frac{y^2}{2}}}{2} \sum_{n=21}^{x^2} [d_n H_n (\frac{y}{2})]$$
 (8)

where H $_n$ ($\frac{y}{2}$) is the n-th H erm ite polynom ial, and d_n are tting parameters; this functional form was applied by Sears³⁵ for the analysis of neutron scattering from pure liquid 4 He, and a generalized form, including angular dependencies, is currently used for momentum distribution spectroscopy in hydrogen containing systems on the VESUVIO spectrometer³⁶. We used $d_0 = 1$ and $d_2 = 0$, in order that M (y) satisfies this functional form was

$$Z_1$$
 $M(y) y^2 dy = {}^2 = {}^2_y$
(10)

In the present case orders up to H $_4$ ($\frac{y}{2}$) were employed. The inclusion of higher order polynomials did not result in signicant in provements of the ts. As an example, in Figure 4 the J (y) function for three dierent compositions is presented, together with the tted lineshapes. The results for the determination of the mean kinetic energies for the two isotopes and the six mixture compositions are reported in Table 1, and are shown in Figure 5, in comparison with previous experiments and several theoretical predictions.

The present results extend the range of concentration x w ith respect to previous D IN S m easurem ents, w ith improved statistical accuracy. The 4 He kinetic energy is found to decrease w ith concentration, while the 3 He kinetic energy does not depend appreciably on the concentration.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of the present experiment show that the kinetic energy of ${}^4\text{He}$ atoms is strongly a ected by the addition of ${}^3\text{He}$; this is expected from density and quantum statistics arguments. A remarkable agreement with previous measurements and recent theories is found, with slight discrepancies with respect to nite temperature method calculations on the other hand the kinetic energy of the ${}^3\text{He}$ atoms appears una ected by the presence of the higher density boson with which seems to promote ${}^3\text{He}$ delocalization. It is illustrative to compare the J (y₃) spectra for the x = 0:10 m ixture and for the pure ${}^3\text{He}$ wid, as shown in Figure 6, there is no substantial broadening of J (y₃) on going from the pure liquid to the low-concentration mixture.

We stress that in several papers^{5,6,7} the discrepancies between experiments and theories on the determinations of hT i_3 have been attributed to high energy tails in the dynam is structure factors, resulting in exponential-like tails in the momentum distributions, which could be masked by background noise, or are not accounted for using inadequate model functions for J $(y_3)^{5,6,7}$. We point out, however, that since the high energy tails are due to the repulsive part of the interatom is potential, they are present in the momentum distribution of the ⁴He component as well. This is well illustrated by Fig. 6 of ref.⁵, where the momentum distributions of ³He and ⁴He in an x = 0.066 m ixture have practically the same high-momentum tails. The background noise is the same for the two isotopes in the same measurement, while the spectrometer resolution narrows on going from variables y_4 to y_3 in the same spectra; therefore one would expect an increased sensitivity to the detection of the high-momentum tails in J (y_3) . To test this hypothesis we simulated a measurement of J (y_3) for an x = 0.066 m ixture in the experimental conguration of the present measurements. The momentum distribution published in ref.⁵, $n^{(3)}(k)$ of ³He for the x = 0.066 m ixture at zero temperature was converted to J (y_3) using the general result¹⁹:

$$J(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\dot{y}\dot{y}}^{Z_1} dk \, k \, n(k)$$
 (11)

This function shows pronounced high-momentum contributions due to the depletion of the Ferm i sphere, the Ferm i wavevector being $k_f=0.347 \rm A^{-1}$. The kinetic energy value, obtained from the second moment of the reconstructed J (y_3) was hT $i_3=18.2 \rm K$. The function was used as input for the D IN SM S code, which was run for a scattering angle of 135° . The input J (y_3) and the simulated experiment are reported in Figure 7.

The simulated spectrum was tited by the convolution of a Voigt function, representing the spectrom eter resolution, and two model functions: a simple gaussian and the Gauss-Herm ite expansion introduced above (Section III) with orders up to H $_8$ ($\frac{y}{2}$). The use of a single gaussian resulted in hT i_3 = 16.7 0.4K, while the use of the Gauss-Herm ite expansion resulted in hT i_3 = 17.0 0.4K. This indicates that the experimentally determined kinetic energies of He are altered by less than 2.5 K, ruling out a strong elect of the high-momentum tails on the determination of kinetic energies.

A second test was performed to compare self-consistently the datasets available from the present measurements. The pure ^3He liquid data (x = 1:00) were used as the calibration measurement, and J (y_3) was modelled to have the functional form reported in ref. 39 , corresponding to a Ferm i-like momentum distribution with a discontinuity at the Fermimomentum and high-momentum exponential tails; the resulting kinetic energy was hT i_3 = 11:7K, a value close to the theoretical predictions This function was convoluted with a Voigt function, an "e ective" resolution to be determined from the t to the pure liquid data. The resulting Voigt function had the following parameters: (y_3) = 1.262 A 1 , $_2$ (y_3) = 0:9173 A 1 . This "e ective" resolution was employed to t the x = 0:1 data, and the resulting kinetic energy was hT i_3 = 10:47 0:6K, con m ing that no increase in kinetic energy, even in low-concentration m ixtures, is indicated in the D INS experiments.

This picture suggests that the local environm ent of the ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}$ atom s remains unchanged in saturated vapour pressure liquid m ixtures. Within the same picture, the hT i_3 (x) behaviour results also in a hT i_3 (n) behaviour, where n is the total atom ic density, which diers radically from the widely assessed atom ic-density dependence of kinetic energy of all quantum uids and solids. Figure 8 shows the hT i_3 (n) behaviour of the mixtures, as compared to hT i_3 (n)

of the pure liquid 10,37 . The atom ic density in the mixture is higher than in pure liquid 3 He in equilibrium, and increases up to n=21.87nm 3 for x=0, upon adding 4 He 38 . A statistically signicant departure from the density-dependence observed in the pure—uid appears for n 19 nm 3 . However we stress that in this case the simultaneous changes of density and concentration prevent a thorough picture of the density and concentration dependence of hT i_3 . System atic studies in several (concentration, pressure, tem perature) therm odynam ic states not previously investigated both experimentally and theoretically are certainly needed on the experimental and theoretical sides. For example, hT i_3 (n) measurements on mixtures at xed concentration (xed concentration and increased pressure and density) would test whether the density dependence is recovered upon approaching and crossing the liquid-solid transition. However previous and present results show unambiguously a behaviour of hT i_3 in the mixture which is not density dependent as for other quantum—uids and is not reproduced by any simulation studies.

The interpretation of these results is far from obvious. Two independent measurements^{3,4} and the present work, have shown unequivocally that the ³He kinetic energy in the mixtures is essentially independent on concentration and density. Further, we have shown that high energy tails cannot explain this surprising result. Given the fact that a remarkable agreement between experiment and theory has been found for the pure helium liquids, we hope that our work stimulates further theoretical and experimental e ort. From the experimental point of view, we can envisage that high-resolution and high statistical quality data over an extended range of temperatures, compositions and densities, can be obtained employing novel chopper and resonance—liter spectrometers at pulsed neutron sources. However, the understanding of these results remains a challenge to conventional theories of liquid isotopic helium mixtures.

A cknow ledgm ents

This research has been supported by the VESUVIO project (EU Contract Number: ERBFMGECT980142). RS kindly acknowledges J. Boronat, F. Mazzanti and A. Polls for stimulating discussions. The authors acknowledge the ISIS User Support Group for the valuable technical support during experimental measurements.

TABLE I: Single-particle kinetic energies for 3 H e and 4 H e respectively for the six m ixtures. The density of the m ixtures is also reported; density values were derived extrapolating the data from Table VI of ref. 38 to T = 1:96K. Note that due to the very small intensities of the 4 H e recoil peaks for the x = 0:65 and the x = 0:90 m ixtures it was not possible to reliably determ ine hT i₄.

Х	n (nm ³)	$hT i_3$	(K)	hT i_4	(K)
00.0	21.87	_		16.0	0.5
0.10	21.4	12.1	0.4	13.8	0.6
0.35	19.94	10.4	0.3	12.0	0.6
0.65	18.22	11.8	0.7	_	
0.90	16.27	10.7	8.0	_	
1.00	15.44	10.9	0.4	_	-

V. TABLES

VI. FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Bottom: time of ight D INS spectrum from the x=0.35 m ixture for a single detector at the scattering angle $2=135^{\circ}$. The ${}^{3}\text{He}$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}$ signals occur at approximately 205 s and 240 s respectively; the sample cell signal is located at about 340 s. Top: wavevector and energy transfer range accessed in the bottom spectrum.

Figure 2. Schem atic diagram of the scattering geom etry for analytical absorption corrections. S is the slab-shaped absorbing sample. $_{i,f}$ and $k_{i,f}$ are the angle of incidence (scattering) with respect to the normal to the sample, and initial (nal) neutron wavevectors. Note that for the present case $_{i}$ = 0.

Figure 3. Correction factor derived from the ratio of simulated data with and without absorption contributions.

Figure 4. J (y_3) for the x = 0:10;0:35; and 1:00 m ixtures (solid circles); The peak centered at negative values of momentum corresponds to the ⁴He peak, centered at lower recoil energy. The solid lines are the ts to the neutron C om pton pro les.

Figure 5. Single-particle kinetic energies for 3 H e(lower panel, solid circles) and 4 H e (upper panel, solid circles) respectively for the six m ixtures. D IN S experim ental results from A zuah et al. 3 , right-triangles. D IN S experim ental results from W ang et al. 4 , left-triangles. Variational calculations at zero tem perature for x=0.066 from B oronat et al. 5 , solid squares. R estricted path integral M onte C arlo calculations at T=2K from B on insegniet al. 7 , open diam onds. Variational M onte C arlo calculations at zero tem perature from Lee et al. 8 , solid triangles. R estricted path integral M onte C arlo calculations at T=2K from B on insegniet al. 9 , solid diam ond.

Figure 6. J (y_3) for the x = 0.10 m ixture, full circles and pure ³He liquid (x = 1.00), open triangles.

Figure 7. Simulated $J(y_3)$ for a x = 0.066 m ixture. Upper panel, input Neutron Compton Prolle derived from ref.; lower panel, the resulting $J(y_3)$ after the simulation with the D IN SM S code.

Figure 8. hT i_3 (n) for ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}^{-4}\text{H}\,\text{e}$ liquid m ixtures and pure ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}$ liquid; present m easurem ents on the m ixtures, solid circles; D INS experim ental results from A zuah et al. , on the m ixtures, right-triangles; pure liquid ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}$ from D in eo et al. , open squares; high density pure liquid ${}^3\text{H}\,\text{e}$ from Senesi et al. , open circle.

E lectronic address: roberto senesi@ rom a2.infn.it

 $^{^{1}\,}$ E .K rotscheck and M .Saarela, Phys. Rep. 232, 1 (1993)

 $^{^2\,}$ E . R . D obbs, H elium Three (O x ford U niversity P ress, O x ford, 2000).

³ R.T.Azuah, W.G.Stirling, J.Mayers, I.F.Bailey and P.E.Sokol, Phys. Rev. B 51, 6780 (1995).

⁴ Y.W ang and P.E.Sokol, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1040 (1994).

⁵ J. Boronat, A. Polls, A. Fabrocini, Phys. Rev. B 56, 11854 (1997).

 $^{^{6}}$ F M azzanti, A . Polls and J. Boronat, Phys. Rev. B 63, 54521 (2001).

 $^{^{7}}$ M .Boninsegniand S.Moroni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78,1727 (1997).

 $^{^{8}}$ W .K.Lee and B.Goodm an, Phys. Rev. B 24, 2515 (1981).

 $^{^{9}}$ M . Boninsegniand D . M . Ceperley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2288 (1995).

¹⁰ R. Senesi, C. Andreani, D. Colognesi, A. Cunsolo, M. Nardone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4584 (2001)

 $^{^{11}}$ J.Casulleras and J.Boronat, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 3121 (2000).

¹² S.M oroni, F. Pederiva, S. Fantoni and M. Boninsegni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2650 (2000).

¹³ H.R.G lyde, Excitations in Liquid and Solid Helium (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994).

¹⁴ H.R.G.lyde, R.T.A zuah and W.G.Stirling, Phys. Rev. B 62, 14337 (2000).

¹⁵ U.Ba le, M. Zoppi, F. Barocchi, R. Magli and J. Mayers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1957 (1995).

- ¹⁶ D.M. Ceperley, R.O. Sim m ons and R.C. Blasdell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 115 (1996).
- ¹⁷ A Fabrocini, L. Vichi, F. Mazzanti and A. Polls, Phys. Rev. B 54, 10035 (1996).
- ¹⁸ J. Boronat and J. Casulleras, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8844 (1999).
- 19 R.N. Silver and P.E. Sokol (eds.) Momentum Distributions (Plenum Press, New York, 1989).
- 20 A.C.Evans, J.M ayers, D.N.T im ms, M.J.Cooper, Z.Nautrforsch. 48a, 425 (1993).
- 21 G . I. W atson, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 8, 5955 (1996).
- R. Senesi, C. Andreani, Z. Bowden, D. Colognesi, E. Degiorgi, A. L. Fielding, J. Mayers, M. Nardone, J. Norris, M. Praitano, N. J. Rhodes, W. G. Stirling, J. Tomkinson, and C. Uden, Physica B 276-278 200 (2000).
- ²³ A.S.Rinat, M.F.Taragin, F.M azzanti and A.Polls, Phys.Rev. B 57, 5347 (1998); K.H.Andersen, W.G.Stirling and H.R.Glyde, Phys.Rev. B 56, 8978 (1997).
- 24 S.G.Sydoriak and T.R.Roberts, Phys. Rev. 118, 901 (1960).
- ²⁵ R. Senesi, C. Andreani and D. Colognesi, J. Low Temp. Phys. 126, 57 (2002).
- $^{26}\,$ D . Colognesi, C . And reani, R . Senesi, Europhys. Lett. 50, 202 (2000).
- ²⁷ C. Andreani, D. Colognesi, E. Degiorgi, A. Filabozzi, M. Nardone, E. Pace, A. Pietropaolo, R. Senesi, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 497, 535 (2003).
- ²⁸ J.M ayers, A.L. Fielding and R. Senesi, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 481, 454 (2002).
- ²⁹ S.F.Mughabghab, M.Divadeenam and N.E.Holden, Neutron cross-sections, Vol. 1 (A cademic Press, New York, 1981).
- ³⁰ V.F. Sears, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 9, 409 (1976).
- ³¹ V.F. Sears, Adv. Phys. 24, 1 (1975).
- ³² V.F. Sears and F.C. Khanna, Phys. Lett. B 56, 1 (1975).
- 33 G. Baciocco, C. Andreani and J. Mayers, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 276, 297 (1989); G. Baciocco, C. Andreani and J. Mayers, in Momentum Distributions, R. N. Silver and P. E. Sokol (eds.) (Plenum Press, New York, 1989); A. D. Taylor, SNS Moderator Performance Predictions, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Report RAL 84-120 (1984).
- 34 P.A.Hilton, R.Scherm and W.G.Stirling, J.Low Temp.Phys. 27, 851 (1977).
- ³⁵ V.F.Sears, Phys. Rev. 185, 200 (1969).
- ³⁶ G.F.Reiter, J.M ayers and P.P latzman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 135505-1 (2002), and references therein.
- R.Dimeo, P.E.Sokol, R.T.Azuah, S.M.Bennington, W.G.Stirling, K.Guckelsberger, Physica B 241, 952 (1994).
- ³⁸ H.A.K ierstead, J.Low Temp. Phys. 24, 497 (1976).
- ³⁹ R.T.Azuah, W.G.Stirling, K.Guckelsberger, R.Scherm, S.M.Bennington, M.L.Yates and A.D.Taylor, J.Low Temp. Phys. 101, 951 (1995).















