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#### Abstract

We investigate the electrom agnetic propagation in two-dim ensional photonic crystals, form ed by parallel dielectric cylinders em bedded a uniform m edium . T he transm ission of electrom agnetic waves through prism structures are calculated by the standard $m$ ultiple scattering theory. T he results dem onstrate that in œertain frequency regin es and when the propagation inside the scattering $m$ edia is not considered, the transm ission behaviorm im ics that expected for a left-handed $m$ aterial. Such feature $m$ ay illusively lead to the conclusion that a left-handed $m$ aterial is fabricated and it obeys Snell's law of negative refraction. W e also discuss possible am biguities that $m$ ay be involved in previous experim ental evidence of left-handed $m$ aterials.
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[^0]The concept of the so called Left $H$ anded $M$ aterial (LHM) or $N$ egative Refraction Index $M$ aterial ( $N R \mathbb{I}$ ) was rst proposed by Veselago many years ago '[iT]. In the year 2000, Pendry proposed that a lens $m$ ade of LHM can overcom e the traditional lim titation on the optical resolution and therefore $m$ ake \perfect" im ages [ī]. Since then, the search for LHM and the study of the properties ofLHM have been skyrocketing, signi ed by the rapid grow th of the related literatures.

A n earlier realization ofLH M consisted ofcom posite resonator structures ofm etallic w ires
 ofm icrow aves by a prism. A s pointed out in [ī] , how ever, while this w ork is revolutionary, there are a few unaddressed questions. These include problem s associated w ith such as near eld e ects due to either rapid dispersion along the propagation direction!'[5] or sm aller am ount of attenuation on the shorter side of the prism [|فَ].]. M oreover, the experim ent had only $m$ easured a single angled prism; this is su cient only if the a priori assum ption that the $m$ aterial is refractive is valid. These shortcom ings allow for altemative interpretation of


E ver since its inception, theoreticians have questioned the concept ofLH M and the perfect lenses $m$ ade of LHM . Such a challenge can be classi ed into two categories. First, scientists have questioned whether lefthanded $m$ aterials are genuinely possible. Second, even if they existed, whether LHM would really m ake perfect lenses is also debatable [īr, ', principle behind the rst inquiry is that although peculiar phenom ena observed for some arti cial materials $m$ ay be in con ict with our im mediate intuition, if they can be still explained in the fram ew ork of current know ledge, the resort to negative refraction or LHM is at least not a de nite necessity.

Recently, new sets of experim entalm easurem ents have been reported to provide a mative evidences on LHM . For instance, H ouck et al. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{1}]} \\ m\end{array}\right.$ easured two dim ensional pro les of collim ated $m$ icrow ave beam stransm itted through com posite $w$ ire and split-ring resonator prism s. The authors used two angled prism s , in an attem pt to refute altematives posed in the criticism $s$ on earlier $m$ easurem ents, to obtain a rather consistent negative refractive index. The data appeared to obey Snell's law. The experim ental setup in Ref. [ill represents a com $m$ on $m$ ethod of deducing the refractive index of LHM. B ased upon our rigorous sim ulations, however, we believe that these experim ental results are not conclusive. They $m$ ay not be su cient to con $m$ the existence of LHM. As a matter of fact, we found that
the apparent abnorm al refraction that has been thought to be the negative refraction $m$ ay be well explained in the context of the partial-gap e ects or the related B ragg scattering, which are com $m$ on for all kinds of $w$ ave propagation in periodic structures. In this Letter, we present som e key sim ulation results to support our claim .

H ere we consider the transm ission of electrom agnetic (EM) waves in photonic crystals. To m ake it easy to reproduce our results, we will use the photonic crystal structures that have been com $m$ only used in previous sim ulations, such as those in [1̄2]. T he system $s$ are two dim ensional photonic crystals $m$ ade of arrays of parallel dielectric cylinders placed in a unform medium, which we assum e to be air. T he solution for the wave scattering and propagation in such system s can be obtained by the m ultiple scattering theory. T his theory is exact and was rst form ulated system atically by $T$ w ensky ' $[1][1]$, then has been reform ulated and applied successfully to optical, sonic and w ater w ave problem s $[\underline{1} \overline{1}$ show that an apparent hegative' refraction is indeed possible, in line w ith the experim ental observation $[\overline{4}]$. But, such a negative refraction is not su cient in proving that the $m$ aterials are left handed.

The multiple scattering theory (MST) used in our simulation can be sum marized as follow s. C onsider an arbitrary array of dielectric cylinders in a uniform medium. The cylinders are im pinged by an optical source. In response to the incident wave from the transm itting source and the scattered w aves from other scatterers, each scatterer will scatter repeatedly waves and thus scattered waves can be expressed in term s of a m odal series of partial waves. Regarding these scattered waves as the incident wave to other scatterers, a set of coupled equations can be form ulated and com puted rigorously. T he totalw ave at any spatial point is the sum $m$ ation of the direct $w$ ave from the source and the scattered $w$ aves from all scatterers. The intensity of the waves is represented by the m odulus of the wave eld. The details about M ST can be found in Ref.'[1] $\left.{ }^{[17}\right]$.

For brevity, we only consider the E-polarized waves, that is, the electric eld is kept parallel to the cylinders. The follow ing param eters are used in the sim ulation. (1) The dielectric constant of the cylinders is 14 , and the cylinders are arranged in air to form a square lattioe. (2) T he lattioe constant is a and the radius of the cylinders is 0.3 a ; in the com putation, all lengths are scaled by the lattioe constant, so all the lengths are dim ensionless. (3) A variety of the outer shapes of the arrays is considered, including the prism structures and the slab.

First we consider the propagation of EM waves through the prism structures of arrays of dielectric cylinders, by analogy w th those shown in $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{4} 1\end{array}\right]$. Two sizes of the prism s are considered and ilhustrated by Fig. ${\underset{1}{1}}_{11}^{1}$. W e have used tw o types of sources in the sim ulations: (1) plane waves; (2) collim ated waves by guiding the wave propagation through a w indow before incidence on the prism $s$, $m$ im idking $m$ ost experim ents; (3) a line sourae. T he results from these three scenarios are sim ilar. In this Letter, we only show the results from the line source which is located at som e distance beneath the prism s. W e note here that when using a plane wave, e ects from the prism edges play a role and should be rem oved.

W e have plotted the transm itted intensity elds. T he results are presented in F ig ${ }_{2}^{1}$ the incident waves are transm litted vertically from the bottom. The im pinging frequency is $0.1922 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}$; the frequency has been scaled to be non-dim ensional in the sam e way as in $R$ ef. [1]̄]. W e note that at this frequency, the wave length is about ve tim es of the lattice constant, nearly the sam e as that used in the experim ental of [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$. The incidence is along the $\left[\cos 22: 5^{\circ}, \sin 67: 5^{\circ}\right]$ direction, that is, the incidence $m$ akes an equal angle of $22.5^{\circ}$ w ith regard to the [10] and [11] directions of the square lattice; the reason why we choose this direction will becom e clear from later discussions. M oreover, on purpose, the intensity im aging is plotted for the elds inside and outside the prism s on separate graphs w hidh have been scripted by $I^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ respectively.

W ithout looking at the elds inside the prism $s$, purely from $F$ ig. ${ }_{-1}^{1}$ (al) and (b1) we are able to calculate the $m$ ain paths of the transm itted intensities. T he geom etries of the transm ission are indicated in the diagram $s$. T he tilt angles of the prism $s$ are denoted by , w hereas the angles $m$ ade by the outgoing intensities relative to the norm als of the titled interfaces are represented by . A coording to the prescription outlined in Ref . $1\left[\frac{[4}{4}\right]$, once and are determ ined, Snell's law is applied to determ ine the e ective refractive index: $\mathrm{n} \sin =\sin$. If the angle is tow ards the higher side of the prism s w ith reference to the norm al, the angle is considered positive. O therw ise, it is regarded as negative. In light of these considerations, the apparent hegative refractions', sim ilar to the experim ental observations, indeed appear and are indicated by the black arrows in the graphs. A fter invoking Snell's law, the negative refraction results are deduced. From (a1) and (b1), we obtain the negative refractive indiges as $0: 84 \quad 0: 17$ and $0: 87 \quad 0: 21$ for the two prism $s$ respectively. The inconsistency between the two values is less than 4\%, which is close to that estim ated in the experim ent $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$. T he overall uncertainty in the present sim ulation (up
to $24 \%$ ) is less than that in the experim ent (up to $36 \%$ ) tīll. Therefore, a consistent negative refractive index $m$ ay be claim ed from the $m$ easurem ents show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} 11$ would have been regarded as an evidenœ show ing that the photonic structures described by Fig. 'in' are lefthanded $m$ aterials, at least for the frequency considered. By the sam e token, we even found that w ith certain adjustm ents such as rotating the arrays or varying the lling factor, thus obtained index can be close to the perfect -1 .

T hough tem pting, there are am biguities in the above explanation of the transm ission in the context of negative refraction that has led to the negative refraction index. This can be discemed by taking into consideration the wave propagation inside the prism s . A s shown clearly by Fig.' (a2) and (b2), the transm ission inside the prism s has already been bent at the incidence interfaces, referring to the two white arrowed lines in (a2) and (b2). If Snell's law were valid at the outgoing interfaces, it should also be applicable at the incident boundaries. Then w th the zero incidence angle and a nite refraction angle, Snell's law would lead to the absurd result of an in nite refractive index for the surrounding $m$ edium which is taken as air. Besides, when taking into account the bending inside the prism, the incident angle at the outgoing or the tilted surface is not any more. Therefore the index value obtained above cannot be correct. T hese am biguities cannot be exchuded from the current experim ents that have been claim ed to support LHM using Snells law [in 'ilini]. In the experim ents of $R$ ef. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4}], \text { for exam ple, the transm ission elds inside the prism } s \text { are }}\end{array}\right.$ not shown. The authors also stated clearly that the negative index behavior can be only achieved by som e adjustm ents, im plying that the experim entally observed negative index behavior is not robust. W e have checked this point by rotating the orientation of the arrays ofthe cylinders. The apparent hegative refraction' $m$ ay disappear, depending on the relative geom etries betw een the incident direction and the orientation of the lattioe.

In search for the cause of the apparent hegative refraction' shown in $F$ ig. 'in ${ }_{1}$, we have carried out further sim ulations. For exam ple, in Fig. 'ī, we plot the EM wave transm ission across two slabs of photonic crystals w ith two di erent lattioe orientations: one is along the diagonal direction, i. e. the [11] direction, and the other is along the direction $m$ aking an equal angle to the [11] and [10] directions. The incident frequency is again 0.192 $2 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}$. H ere, we see that when the incident w ave is along the [11] direction, the transm ission follow s a straight path inside the slab. For the second case, the propagation direction is bent inside the slab: the m a jor lobe tends to follow the [11] direction, and a m in or lobe of transm ission
appears to $m$ ake an perpendicular angle $w$ ith regard to the [11] direction, that is the [-11] direction. A s aforem entioned, if Snells law were used to obtain the refraction index, the absurd num ber of in nity would be deduced only am biguously.

Fig. ${ }^{2}=1$ clearly indicates that there are som e favorable directions for waves to travel. This phenom enon is not uncom $m$ on for $w$ ave propagation in periodically structured $m$ edia. It is well-known that periodic structures can $m$ odulate dram atically the wave propagation due to B ragg scattering, a feature fundam ental to the X -ray m aging. In som e situations, the system s reveal com plete band gaps, referring to the frequency regim e where waves cannot propagate in any direction. In some other occasions, partial band gaps may appear so that waves $m$ ay be allowed to travel in som e directions but not in som e other directions. $M$ ore often, the periodic structures lead to anisotropic dispersions in w ave propagation. The whole realm of these phenom ena has been well represented by the band structures calculated from Bloch's theorem. The preferable directions for wave propagation are associated $w$ th the properties of band structures. In fact, the above abnorm al hegative refraction' phenom enon $m$ ay be explained in the fram ew orks of the band structures calculated for the crystal structures considered.

Fig. $\underset{1}{\text { su }}$ show s the band structure calculated for the square lattioes used in the above simulations. A complete band gap is shown betw een frequencies of 022 and 028 . Just below the com plete gap, there is a regim e of the partial band gap, in which waves are not allowed to travel along the [10] direction. The frequency $0.1922 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}$ we have chosen for sim ulation lies within this partial gap area. W ithin this gap, waves are prohibited from transm ission along the [10] direction. As a result, when incident along an angle that lies between the [11] and [10] direction, w aves will incline to the [11] direction. This observation explains the abnorm al refraction observed above. D ue to the sym $m$ etry, the waves are also allowed to travel in the directions which are penpendicular to [11]. This explains why we have also seen an intensity lobe along [-11] from Fig. 1 qualitatively sim ilar for other frequencies w ithin the partialband gap. Furthem ore, we have chedked other frequencies at which although there is no partialband gap, the dispersion is highly anisotropic, sim ilar features $m$ ay also be possible.

T he phenom enon of the band structuresm ay help discuss the abnom altransm ission that has been interpreted as the onset of the negative index behavior in the experim ents $[\underline{[\overline{4}} \mathbf{4}]$. Up to date, all the claim ed left-handed $m$ aterials are arti cially $m$ ade periodic com posite struc-
tures, in which the negative perm ittivity and perm eability are obtained near a resonance frequency. From our experiences, near such a resonance frequency, either com plete band gaps or partialband gaps are likely to appear. In other words, the w ave propagation largely depends on the periodic structures. W ithout taking this fact into account, the transm ission $m$ ay be regarded as unconventional, and then the artifact conclusion that a LHM has been realized and observed $m$ ay be resorted to. D ue to the lim ited inform ation available from the experim ents, we cannot yet de nitely come to the conclusion that the apparent hegative refractions' observed in experim ents are due to partial band gaps, highly anisotropic dispersions, or inhom ogeneities. The present sim ulations, how ever, at least indicate that som e of these e ects $m$ ust be addressed in order to be able to interpret the experim ental data correctly.

Lastly, we would like to $m$ ake a com $m$ ent on the perm ittivity and perm eability of com posite $m$ aterials. It is well-known that these quantities are only $m$ eaningfiul in the sense of the e ective $m$ edium theory. A basic assum ption is that the wave propagation should not be sensitive to details of the supporting $m$ edia. O therw ise, whether the de nitions of the perm 䜣ivity and perm eability are stillm eaningful is itself doubtful. Ifm easurem ents of the tw o param eters could not be justi ed accordingly, it could be m isleading to deliberately interpret wave propagation in term s of negative or positive refraction. In the above cases, although the wave length is much larger than the lattioe constant, the wave propagation is obviously still sensitive to the lattioe arrangem ents. Therefore, the ow ofenergy should be interpreted asm ainly controlled by B ragg scattering processes, rather than as a propagation in an e ective medium. In other words, the m edia act as a device that can bend the ow of opticalenergies, rather than as an e ective refracting-m edium .

In sum $m$ ary, we have sim ulated wave transm ission through prism structures. The results have show $n$ som e am biguities in interpreting the apparent abnorm albehavior in the trans$m$ ission as the onset of the negative refraction index behavior. It is suggested that periodic structures can give rise to peculiar phenom ena which need not be regarded as a negative index behavior.
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F IG . 1: The im ages of the transm itted intensity elds. H ere, the intensities inside and outside the prism structures are plotted separately, so that the im ages can be clearly show n w th proper scales. The geom etric $m$ easurem ents can be inferred from the gure. The tilt angles for the two prism s are $18^{\circ}$ and $26^{\circ}$ respectively, and have been labelled in the gures. For cases (al) and (b1), we observe the apparent hegative refraction' at the angles of $15 \quad 3^{\circ}$ and $21 \quad 5^{\circ}$ respectively. W hen applying Snell's law, these num bers give rise to the negative refraction indiges of $0: 84 \quad 0: 17$ and $0: 87$ 0:21 for (a1) and (b1) separately. In (a2) and (b2), the intensity elds inside inside the prism s are plotted. H ere we clearly see that the transm ission has been bent. In the plots, [10] and [11] denote the axial directions of the square lattioe of the cylinder arrays.

F IG . 2: The im aging elds for slabs of photonic crystal structure. T wo lattioe orientations are considered: (a) The slab m easures as about 5610 and the incidence is along the [11] direction; (2) the slab m easures as 5013 and the incidence is along the direction that $m$ akes an equal angle to the [11] and [10] directions. T he m ain lobes in the transm itted intensities are show $n$.

F IG . 3: The band structure a square lattice of dielectric cylinders. The lattige constant is a and the radius of the cylinders is $0: 3 \mathrm{a}$. The M and X denote the [11] and [10] directions respectively. A partial gap is betw een the tw o horizontal lines.
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